



Ex Zono A. P. Provincialis Mibernie, A. 1865.



A 512/311





MEMOIRS  
OF THE  
MOST REV. OLIVER PLUNKET,

Archbishop of Armagh, and Primate of all Ireland,

WHO

SUFFERED DEATH FOR THE CATHOLIC FAITH IN THE  
YEAR 1681.

Compiled from Original Documents

BY THE REV. PATRICK FRANCIS MORAN, D.D.,

VICE-RECTOR OF THE IRISH COLLEGE, ROME.

BIBLIOTHÈQUE S.J.

Les Fontaines  
60 - CHANTILLY



DUBLIN :  
JAMES DUFFY, 7, WELLINGTON-QUAY,  
AND 22, PATERNOSTER-ROW, LONDON.  
1861.

DUBLIN:  
Printed by J. B. O'Toole and Son,  
6 & 7, GREAT BRUNSWICK-STREET.



TO

The Most Reberend Dr. Cullen,

ARCHBISHOP OF DUBLIN,

PRIMATE OF IRELAND, AND DELEGATE APOSTOLIC,

AS A

MARK OF RESPECT AND GRATITUDE

TO THE FORMER RECTOR OF S. AGATHA'S

AND TO

THE FIRST PATRON AND ENCOURAGER OF HIS STUDIES,

THE

Following Memoirs are Dedicated

BY THE AUTHOR.



## PREFACE.

---

THE following Memoirs are for the most part compiled from manuscripts preserved in the various archives of Rome. However, many particulars have been gathered from a short printed Italian Life of Dr. Plunket, written by the learned Oratorian, F. John Marangone, and given as an appendix to his "Life of the Venerable Servant of God Buonsignore Cacciaguerra ;"\* as also from various works relating to ecclesiastical history, or to the life of our martyred primate, published in Ireland.

As the annals of our Church in the seventeenth century are very imperfect, I have inserted in these Memoirs as many original documents as possible, trusting that they may throw light upon several points of the interesting history of that period, even though they interrupt the narrative. Many of these documents are particularly valuable, as placing before us not only a statement of the sufferings, but also a picture of the private life and

\* Rome, 1712, in 4to.

virtues of those heroic men, who, in the days of persecution, preserved the sacred deposit of the faith, and handed it down to us in its integrity.

As to the Appendix referred to in the notes, it is ready for the press, and will appear in due time, if these *Memoirs* meet with the approbation of the public, but the present work is complete without it.

The preliminary essay on the persecutions to which the Catholics of Ireland were subjected by the Puritans was deemed necessary, that the reader might be made acquainted with the sad desolation of the Irish Church, at the time when Dr. Plunket was chosen its chief pastor by the Holy See. In the words of a contemporary writer, "Ireland was no longer Ireland; it had become a garden overrun with briars, a wilderness to be cultivated and reclaimed anew."

In concluding, I feel bound to express my gratitude, for their unvarying kindness, to the learned Prefects of the Roman Archives, and particularly to Monsignore Theiner, Archivist of the Vatican, the Very Reverend Prefect of the Barberini Library, and the Abbate Rosi, Prefect of the Archives of the S. Congregation de Propaganda Fide.

# INTRODUCTION

TO THE

## Memoirs of the Most Rev. Oliver Plunket,

BEING

AN ESSAY ON THE PERSECUTION OF THE IRISH CATHOLICS BY THE PURITAN  
PARLIAMENT AND CROMWELL, FROM 1641 TO 1658.

---

### *General Proscription of the Irish Catholics by the Puritans.*

THE persecution carried on by the Puritan Parliament and Cromwell against the Catholics of Ireland has scarcely a parallel in the history of the Church. No sooner had the Puritan faction become predominant in England than they resolved on the utter extermination of the Irish people, declaring that thus alone could Catholicity be rooted out from our island. In fact, this extermination of the Irish Catholics became a leading feature in their political programme. "The Parliament party," writes Lord Clarendon, "had grounded their own authority and strength upon such foundations as were inconsistent with any toleration of the Roman Catholic religion, and even with any humanity to the Irish nation—and more especially to those of the old native extraction, the whole race whereof they had upon the matter sworn to extirpate."—*History*, i., 215.

As early as the 8th of December, 1641, an act was passed in Parliament to the effect that the Catholic Religion should never be tolerated in Ireland; and in order to carry this act into execution, the Lords Justices issued the following order to the commander of the Irish forces:—

"It is resolved, that it is fit his Lordship do endeavour, with his Majesty's forces, to slay and destroy all the said rebels, and their

adherents and relievers, by all the ways and means he may ; and burn, destroy, spoil, waste, consume, and demolish all the places, towns, and houses where the said rebels are or have been relieved and harboured, and all the hay and corn there, and kill and destroy all the men there inhabiting able to bear arms."

All the subsequent acts of Parliament and orders of the Chief Justices are dictated in the same sanguinary strain. As an instance we may cite the enactment by the Lords and Commons of England on 24th of October, 1644: "*that no quarter shall be given to any Irishman, or to any papist born in Ireland.*"

The writers of the party were animated by the same exterminating spirit; and, though the soul shudders at the recital, we shall present an extract from one of the political pamphlets of the period, that the reader may fully appreciate the virulence of the Puritan hatred against the Catholics of Ireland:—

"I beg upon my hands and knees that the expedition against them may be undertaken whilst the hearts and hands of our soldiery are hot, to whom I will be bold to say, briefly: 'happy is he that shall reward them as they have served us; and cursed is he that shall do the work of the Lord negligently. Cursed be he that holdeth back his sword from blood; yea, cursed be he that maketh not his sword stark drunk with Irish blood—that maketh them not heaps upon heaps, and their country a dwelling-place for dragons, an astonishment to nations. Let not that eye look for pity nor that hand be spared that pities or spares them; and let him be accursed that curseth them not bitterly.'"—See longer extract in *O'Connell's Memoir*, page 346.

It would be tedious to enter into full details of the cruel extermination by which the army in Ireland sought to carry into effect the desires of their English masters. The whole history of their sanguinary career may be well compendiated in the words of the Protestant historian, Borlase, "the orders of Parliament were excellently well executed."—*Hist. of Reb.*, page 62. Leland and Warner refer to the letters of the Lords Justices themselves for the fact, that the soldiers "slew all persons promiscuously, not sparing even the women." And Dr. Nalson, another Protestant historian, appeals to the testimony of officers who served in the Parliamentary army, "that no manner of compassion or discrimination was shown either to age or sex." Lord Ossory, too, himself a bitter enemy of



the Catholics, in a letter to Ormond, informs him how the Puritan Lord President of Munster "caused innocent and guilty to be alike executed;" and commemorates some instances of barbaric cruelty for which we would seek in vain a parallel in the fiercest persecutions of paganism.

One of their officers, named Tichburne, who commanded in Dundalk, in 1642, was able to boast that in his district "there was neither man nor beast to be found in sixteen miles between the two towns of Drogheda and Dundalk, nor on the other side of Dundalk, in the county of Monaghan, nearer than Carrickmacross."\* A Protestant dignitary, Dean Bernard, describing the same scene, wrote: "By the death of so many men about us, having their houses and all their provisions either burnt or drawn hither, the dogs only surviving are found very usually feeding upon their masters; which taste of man's flesh made it very dangerous for the passengers in the roads, who have been often set upon by these mastiffs, till we were careful to kill them also."—Page 109.

Another officer, Sir William Cole, who commanded in a few counties of the North, slew in a short period, as Borlase informs us, together with 2,400 swordsmen, "seven thousand of the vulgar sort." (Hist. page 112). And the same historian adds, (page 113) that "after this manner did the English fight in the other quarters."

When in May, 1642, the Earl of Clanrickard induced the citizens of Galway to submit once more, and took them under the king's protection, he received a reprimand from the Lords Justices, declaring that he should have prosecuted them "with fire and sword." Moreover, to prevent like clemency for the future, "they issued a general order to the commanders of all garrisons, not to presume to hold any correspondence or treaty with any of the Irish papists dwelling or residing in any place near or about their garrisons, or to give protection, immunity, or dispensation from spoil, burning, or other prosecution of war to any of them, but to prosecute all such rebels with fire and sword, according to former commands and proclamations in that behalf."

\* Ap. Curry, page 169; and *Vindiciæ*, page 417.

Sir Charles Coote was one of the leading champions of Puritanism in Ireland, and of him in particular, and his associate officers, M'Geoghegan writes—"There were no exceptions in the barbarous orders which they gave to their soldiery when letting them loose to make their bloody hunts amongst the Irish Catholics." Yet far was the Parliament from reproving the conduct of this sanguinary monster; and when he was slain in one of his excursions near Trim, in April, 1642, we are informed by Borlase, that "floods of English tears accompanied him to the grave." (Hist. page 104).

When such were the sentiments of the Government and chief officers, we can no longer be surprised at individual deeds of barbarous cruelty perpetrated by the soldiery on the defenceless inhabitants; it is thus we find them deliberately knocking out the children's brains against the walls at Clonakilty, county Cork; we find them turning the Irish into their houses, to which they then set fire, as in Bantry, to enjoy the screams of agony of their victims; we find them, at Bandon bridge, tying the Catholics back to back, and casting them from the battlements of the bridge, to perish in the river beneath. And in the Commons' Journals of 1644, (vol. 3, page 517), it is recorded that Captain Swanley having captured a vessel at sea, and thrown seventy individuals overboard, *because they were Irish*, he was summoned to the bar of the House of Commons, "and had thanks there given him for his good service, and a chain of gold of £200 value."\*

To proceed with order in detailing the progress of this dire persecution, we shall—1st see the violence with which it raged in the chief districts of Ireland, till the year 1652; and in the—2nd part, we shall examine the penal laws subsequently enacted by the Cromwellians for the avowed purpose of rooting out Catholicity from our "Island of Saints."

\* Lord Clarendon (ii. 478), writes that this was not an exceptional case; but, on the contrary, with officers of the navy, "it was a rule, whenever they made Irish prisoners, to bind them back to back, and cast them overboard."

## PART THE FIRST.

*Persecution of the Catholics in the principal districts of Ireland.*

## § 1.—SUFFERINGS OF CATHOLICS IN DUBLIN.

DUBLIN being the seat of Government, was the first city that experienced the sad effects of the Puritan persecution. Before the close of 1641 a proclamation was published, interdicting there the exercise of the Catholic religion; a rigorous search was made to discover the priests and religious, and no fewer than forty of them being arrested, they were, for some time, treated with great rigour in prison, and then transported to the continent. An extract from a letter addressed to his superior in Rome, on the 12th July, 1642, by a Capuchin father thus sent into exile, will convey some idea of the storm which had been let loose against the Catholics:—

“Whithersoever the enemy penetrates, everything is destroyed by fire and sword; none are spared, not even the infants at their mothers’ breasts, for their desire is to wholly extirpate the Irish race. In Dublin our order, as, also, the other religious bodies, had a residence and a beautifully ornamented chapel, in which we publicly, and in our habit, performed the sacred ceremonies; but no sooner had the soldiers arrived from England, than they furiously rushed everywhere, profaned our chapels, overturned our altars, broke to pieces the sacred images, trampling them under foot and destroying them by fire; our residences were plundered, the priests were everywhere sought for, and many, amongst whom myself and companion, were captured and cast into prison..... We were twenty in number, and the Lords Justices at first resolved on our execution, but through the influence of some members of the council, we were transported to France. The masters of the two vessels into which we were cast,

received private instructions to throw us into the sea, but they refused to commit this horrid crime. Oh, would to God that we had been worthy to be led to the scaffold, or thus drowned for the faith."\*

A narrative of the Jesuit missionaries thus briefly sketches the sufferings they endured. "We were persecuted, and dispersed, and despoiled of all our goods; some, too, were cast into prison and others sent into exile."† Amongst the fathers of the society, was F. Henry Caghwell, renowned for his learning and zeal: "being confined to his bed by sickness, he was apprehended by the soldiers and hurried to the public square; as he was unable to walk or even to stand, he was placed on a chair more for mockery than for ease, and subjected to the derision and cruel insults of the soldiery; he was then beaten with cudgels and thrown into the ship with the others for France."‡ Another holy priest, whose name is well known in connection with the history of our suffering church, father Henry Fitzsymons, though in his eightieth year, "was obliged with the other Catholics to fly from Dublin and seek safety in the mountainous districts. The winter had set in with unusual severity, yet he had to undertake the difficult journey on foot and to wander stealthily through the woods and mountains. He passed the whole winter in the midst of a bog, being thus secured from the Puritan cavalry. His cabin being only half covered, he was exposed to the wind and rain; his bed was of straw, always moist from the rain above, or from the stagnant waters of the bog beneath. Yet the good priest was ever joyous, and only intent on consoling those who were sharers of his sufferings. The children he instructed in the catechism, the sacrament of penance he administered to all that approached. He could not, however, long endure the privations of that painful state, and was therefore obliged to embark for the continent, where he soon expired, full of merits as he was of years."§

Though it was death for Catholics to exercise their religion

\* Lett. of Fr. Nicholas, superior of the Capuchins of Dublin; Pictavii, 12 Julii, 1642, in my possession.

† *Missio Soc. Jes. usque ad an. 1655*, in archiv. Colleg. Hib. Romæ.

‡ *Relatio rerum quarundam notabilium quæ contigerunt in Hibernia ab anno 1641*, usque ad an. 1650 in *ibid.* archiv.

§ *Ibid.*

within the walls of Dublin, yet many continued to reside there privately; nor was a devoted clergy wanting to risk every peril in order to administer to them the holy sacraments. The manuscript narrative already referred to details many instances of the arts to which they were obliged to have recourse to thus break to their flock the bread of life. One lived as a hermit, perpetually shut up in a secret place, only a few Catholics being acquainted with this retreat. Another often changing his disguise, went publicly through the streets; at one time he wore a long beard and a soldier's dress; at other times he travelled as a mechanic or merchant; sometimes, too, he carried a bread-basket on his shoulders, thus becoming all to all that he might gain all to Christ. A third disguised himself as a miller, and occasionally as a gardener; and though living in the country, often passed through the midst of the enemy's guards carrying herbs, or fruits, or some such articles, as if he were journeying to market, whilst he was in reality hastening to the bedside of the infirm.

These stratagems, however, did not always enable them to elude the vigilance of the soldiery. Thus, one aged man—a venerable Jesuit—was seized at the very altar when offering the holy sacrifice; the soldiers at once tore off the sacred vestments and cast him into a horrid dungeon. Another priest, though disguised, was assailed by them in the public streets, despoiled of all he had with him, and thrown into the common sewer; and it was only by the interposition of some passers-by, who declared he could not be a priest, that he was rescued from their brutality.

When, in 1647, the city was treacherously surrendered by Ormond to the Puritans, the severest measures were at once re-enacted against the Catholics. By public edict it was commanded that all *papists* should quit the city; it was declared a capital crime for any of them to stop even one night within the walls of Dublin or its suburbs, and it was prohibited under penalty of death and the confiscation of property to receive into their houses any Jesuit or priest, whilst at the same time large rewards were held out to all who would give information against the violators of this edict.\*

\* Relatio, &c.

Whilst the sword of persecution thus rendered desolate the church of Dublin, another scourge was sent by Providence to attest the virtue of our suffering people. In the month of June, 1650, the plague commenced its first ravages within the city walls. "In my diocese," writes the archbishop, "almost all the priests have died or have been murdered by the enemy; the religious are scattered, and my flock for the greater part has been destroyed by war and famine, though the pestilence has, as yet, scarcely made its appearance amongst us." (Letter of 6th June, 1650.) Before the close of that year, the plague had numbered amongst its victims 16,000 of the inhabitants.\* Many fled to the country parts to avoid the contagion; yet for three years it raged with unabated fury, during which interval the number of its victims were swelled beyond 30,000.† It was only in the winter of 1651 that the violence of the disease seemed for a while relaxed, but the rage of the heretics against the Catholics was then increased tenfold.‡ On the feast of St. Stephen, the Protomartyr, the governor of the city, desirous to slay the souls of those who perchance had escaped from the pestilence, published an edict commanding all Catholics of whatsoever sex or age to present themselves at the heretical churches, or otherwise within fourteen days to remove, under penalty of death, beyond two miles from the city walls; none were allowed to return to the city without a written permission from the governor, and then only by day, for it was absolutely prohibited to all Catholics to rest for even one night within the walls. No alternative now remained to the Catholics; "they had to choose between the death of the body or of the soul. Yet of all the dense population of Dublin, only five hundred of the lowest populace, impelled by fear of the cold, and famine, and other impending calamities (to them far more dreadful than the sword) presented themselves at the churches of the heretics."§ A

\* *Missio Soc. Jesu, &c.*, written in 1651. Borlase states, that "in the summer of 1650, 17,000 persons died of the plague in Dublin.

† *Littere annuæ, &c.*, 1662; "*toto illo tempore tantopere seviit ut supra 30 millia hominum e vivis sustulerit.*"

‡ *Ibid.* "In sequente hieme furor pestis nonnihil desæviit, sed hæreticorum rabies in orthodoxos incaluit."

§ *Inter tot angustias, ex confertissimo totius urbis Dublinensis populo, quingenti tantum gregarii homines, frigoris, famis aliarumque ærumnarum ferendarum foris apprehensione perculti, quas plus gladio pertimescebant, hæreticorum templa adierunt.*" *Ibid.*

merciful Providence was not wanting to those who chose to suffer everything rather than imperil their faith. Such Catholics as yet retained some property outside the city walls welcomed the exiles to their roofs, and shared with them their remaining goods till, in the following year, the rigour of the edict was again relaxed, whilst, at the same time, all were gladdened by the heroic return to the bosom of mother church of the greater part of the five hundred who had fallen away.\*

§ 2.—SUFFERINGS OF CATHOLICS IN CASHEL.

In 1647 the Earl of Inchiquin, having administered the *covenant* to his apostate followers, led them on to the assault of Cashel. Along his march he everywhere burned the crops, and massacred the peasantry; and to the present day his name is familiar in the household traditions of our country, as "*Murrrough of the burning*." All the cruel deeds, however, of that sanguinary monster sink into insignificance, when compared with the sack of the ancient city of Cashel. "There is not on record," says Rev. Mr. Meehan, "a more appalling tragedy;" and the following details, taken from the manuscript narrative of the Irish superior of the Jesuits, written early in 1651, more than justifies this assertion:†—

"Cashel became not only a prey to the enemy, but rather a slaughter house.‡ The city being but badly fortified, it accepted the offer of conditions from Inchiquin, and opened its gates. The garrison, about 300 in number, together with the priests and religious, as also very many of the citizens, retired to the cathedral church, which holds a strong position, and is styled the Rock of St. Patrick. The enemy, having taken possession of the city, and in part destroyed it by fire, assailed the cathedral with all their forces, but were heroically repulsed by our troops. After a long combat, the general of the enemy suspended the fight, and, demanding a surrender, offered permission to the garrison to depart with their arms and ammunition, and all the honours of war, requiring, however, that the citizens and

\* Ibid. "*Maxima pars eorum qui hereticorum conciones adierant, in gremium S. Matris Ecclesie convolarunt.*"

† *Relatio rerum quarundam, &c. ut sup.*

‡ "*Hosti cessit non modo in prædam sed et in lanienam.*"

clergy should be abandoned to his mercy. It was then that the true heroism of the Catholic soldiers was seen. They refused to listen to any conditions unless the citizens and clergy, whom they had undertaken to defend, should be sharers in them; and they added, that they chose rather to consecrate their lives to God on that Rock of St. Patrick, than to allow their sanctuary to be profaned by dogs. The assault was then renewed with extreme ferocity; the enemy, being seven thousand in number, assailed the church on every side, entering by the windows and the shattered doors. Nevertheless, for some time the struggle was bravely maintained within the church, till our few troops were rather overwhelmed by the multitude of the enemy, than vanquished by them.\*

"When all resistance ceased, then was the cruelty of the heretics displayed against the priests and religious, one of whom was of our society, by name F. William Boyton. Many old men, of eighty years of age, aged females, some of them in their hundredth year, besides innumerable other citizens, who had grown old, not only in years but in piety, and whose only arms were their prayers, prostrate around the steps of the altar, now empurpled them with their blood, whilst the infirm, who had been borne to the church as to a place of sacred refuge, and the innocent children, were slain on the very altars.

"Within the cathedral nine hundred and twelve was the number of the slain, of whom more than five hundred were of the heretical troops, and about four hundred of the Catholics.† Everywhere dead bodies were to be seen, which for some days remained uninterred. The altars and chapels, the sacristy and seats, were covered with them, and in no place could the foot rest on anything save on the corpses of the slain.

One of the priests who had taken refuge in the cathedral, Father Theobald Stapleton, was remarkable for his piety; clothed with surplice and stole, and holding a crucifix in his left hand, he sprinkled with holy water the enemy's troops as they rushed into the sacred edifice. The heretics, mad with rage, strove with each other who should pierce him with their swords, and thus he was hewn to pieces. At each wound the holy man exclaimed, "strike this miserable sinner!" till he yielded his soul into the hands of his Creator.

\* *Obruti potius quam superati sunt.*

† *Ex quibus Catholici fere quadringenti; ex hæreticis supra quingentos.*



In the town itself no fewer than 3,000 were massacred by the heretical enemy, and twenty priests were martyred within the sanctuary. The heroic death of Father Richard Barry, of the order of St. Dominick, is especially recorded:\*

“When the priests had been cut to pieces, Richard Barry alone survived. Him did God reserve for greater trials. The captain seeing the venerable friar in his habit, and struck by his noble and sanctified appearance, said to him: ‘Your life is your own, provided you fling off that habit; but if you cling to such a banner, verily you peril life itself.’ When the father replied, that his habit was an emblem of the passion of the Redeemer, and more dear to him than life; ‘think more wisely,’ rejoined the captain; ‘indulge not this blind passion for martyrdom, for if you comply not with my orders, death awaits you.’ ‘But if so,’ said the father, ‘your cruelties will be to me a blessing, and death itself great gain.’ Infuriated at this answer, they bound the venerable man to a stone chair, kindled a slow fire under his feet and legs, and after two hours of torture his eyes flashed their last upon that heaven which he was about to enter. Then did his persecutors transfix the lifeless body with their spears, while yet the bubbling blood trickled from the parched arteries.”

The demoniac scenes that followed most clearly prove how great a share religious hatred had in stimulating the fervent Covenanters to this fearful massacre:—

“The heretics set to work at once to destroy all the sacred things which had been stored in the cathedral of St. Patrick. The altars were overturned; the images that were painted on wood were consigned to the flames; those on canvas were used as bedding for the horses, or were cut into sacks for carrying burdens. The great Crucifix which stood at the entrance of the choir, as if it had been guilty of treason, was beheaded, and soon after its hands and feet were amputated. With a like fury did they rage against all the other chapels of the city; gathering together the sacred vases and all the most precious vestments, they, through ridicule of our ceremonies, formed a procession. They advanced through the public squares wearing the sacred vestments and having the priestly caps on their heads, and inviting to Mass those whom they met with on the way. A beautiful statue of the immaculate Virgin taken from our church was

\* See Dominicus de Rosario's History of the Geraldines, p. 202, originally printed in Lisbon, 1655; translated by the Rev. C. P. Meehan, and published by Duffy, Dublin. 1847.

borne along (the head being broken off), in mock state with laughter and ridicule. The leader of the Puritan army had, moreover, the temerity to assume the archiepiscopal mitre, and boast that he was now not only governor and lieutenant of Munster, but also archbishop of Cashel."\*

### § 3.—SUFFERINGS OF THE CATHOLICS IN DROGHEDA.

Cromwell landed on our shores firmly resolved to acquire popularity amongst his fellow-Puritans by the extermination of the Irish papists. On his arrival in Dublin he addressed his soldiers, and declared that no mercy should be shown to the Irish, and that they should "be dealt with as the Canaanites in Joshua's time."†

The city of Drogheda was the first theatre of his exterminating fury. No sooner had the garrison of the town submitted on the promise of quarter, than orders were given for an indiscriminate massacre. There were in the city 3,000 choice troops, commanded by the brave Sir Arthur Ashton, a Catholic. Three times did they repel the charge of the 10,000 assailants, till, seeing further resistance fruitless, they accepted the conditions proposed to them. Cromwell, writing to the Parliament, makes it a boast that, despite the promise of quarter, he himself gave orders that all should be put to the sword;‡ and, subsequently, in the usual Puritanical phrases of that period, he styles that worse than brutal massacre, *a righteous judgment of God upon the barbarous wretches; a great mercy vouchsafed to us; a great thing done, not by power or might, but by the Spirit of God.*" As to the slaughter of the inhabitants, it continued for five days, and the Puritan troops spared neither age nor sex, so much so, that the Earl of Ormond, writing to the secretary of Charles II., to convey the intelligence of the loss of Drogheda, declares that "Cromwell had exceeded himself, and anything he had ever heard of, in breach of faith and bloody inhumanity." General Ludlow, in his despatches, speaks of it as *an extraordinary severity*, and, indeed, Crom-

\* Relatio, &c., ut sup.

† "Dr. Anderson's Royal Gen.," 786.

‡ Letter Sept. 17, 1649, to Hon. William Lenthall, Speaker of the Parliament in England.

well's own letters present sufficient data to justify these statements.

The church of St. Peter, within the city, had been for centuries a place of popular devotion: a little while before the siege the Catholics had re-obtained possession of it, and dedicated it to the service of God, and the holy Sacrifice was once more celebrated there with special pomp and solemnity. Thither many of the citizens now fled, as to a secure asylum, and, with the clergy, prayed around the altar; but the Puritans respected no sanctuary of religion: "*In this very place,*" writes Cromwell, "*near one thousand of them were put to the sword. I believe all the friars\* were killed but two, the one of which was Father Peter Taafe, brother to the Lord Taafe, whom the soldiers took the next day, and made an end of; the other was taken in the round tower—he confessed he was a friar, but that did not save him.*" We learn some further particulars about this massacre in St. Peter's church from *Johnston's History of Drogheda*:—

"Quarter had been promised to all those who should lay down their arms; but it was only observed until all resistance was at an end. Many, confiding in this promise, at once yielded themselves prisoners, and the rest, unwilling to trust to the mercy of Cromwell, took shelter in the steeple of St. Peter's; at the same time the most respectable of the inhabitants sheltered themselves within the body of the church. Here Cromwell advanced, and, after some deliberation, concluded on blowing up the building. For this purpose he laid a quantity of powder in an old subterraneous passage which was open, and went under the church, but, changing his resolution, he set fire to the steeple, and, as the garrison rushed out to avoid the flames, they were slaughtered. After this he ordered the inhabitants in the church to be put to the sword, among whom many of the Carmelites fell a sacrifice. He then plundered the building, and defaced its principal ornaments."

Thomas Wood, one of the Puritan officers engaged in this massacre, and brother of the justly celebrated Anthony Wood, relates that a multitude of the most defenceless inhabitants, comprising all the principal ladies of the city, were concealed in the crypts or vaults of the church; thither the bloodhounds tracked them, and not even to one was mercy shown.† Lord

\* They were Carmelites.

† "In vit. Anton. Wood."

Clarendon also records, that during the five days, that the streets of Drogheda ran with blood, "*the whole army executed all manner of cruelty, and put every man that related to the garrison, and all the citizens who were Irish, man, woman, and child, to the sword.*"\*

Dr. Fleming, archbishop of Dublin, in a letter to the Sacred Congregation (5th June, 1650), says, that four thousand brave men, amongst whom his own nephew, Colonel Fleming, were slain in this frightful massacre; and Cromwell himself reckoned that less than thirty of the defendants were *not massacred, and these, he adds, are in safe custody for the Barbadoes*:

The manuscript narrative often referred to† presents many details regarding this horrid tragedy. "The city being captured by the heretics, the blood of the Catholics was mercilessly shed in the streets, and in the dwelling-houses, and in the open fields; to none was mercy shown, not to the women, nor to the aged, nor to the young. The property of the citizens became the prey of the parliamentary troops; everything in our residence was plundered; the library, the sacred chalices, of which there were many of great value, as well as all the furniture sacred and profane, were destroyed. On the following day, when the soldiers were searching through the ruins of the city, they discovered one of our fathers, named John Bathe, with his brother, a secular priest: suspecting that they were religious, they examined them, and finding that they were priests, and one of them, moreover, a Jesuit, they led them off in triumph, and, accompanied by a tumultuous crowd, conducted them to the market-place, and there, as if they were at length extinguishing the Catholic religion and our society, they tied them both to stakes fixed in the ground, and pierced their bodies with shot till they expired."

Father Robert Netterville was another victim of their fury. He was aged and confined to bed by his infirmities; nevertheless, "he was dragged thence by the soldiers and trailed along the ground, being violently knocked against each obstacle that presented itself on the way; then they beat him with clubs, and when many of his bones were broken, they cast him on

\* Hist., vol. vi. page 395.

† See lett. cit. ut. sup.

‡ Relatio rerum, &c., written in 1651.

the highway; on the fourth day, having fought a good fight, he departed this life to receive, as we hope, the martyr's crown."

For the unparalleled brutality displayed on this occasion a vote of thanks was passed by parliament to Cromwell, a day of general thanksgiving throughout the kingdom was ordered, and it was decreed "that the house does approve of the execution done at Drogheda, as an act of justice to themselves and of mercy to others who might be warned thereby."†

#### § 4.—SUFFERINGS OF THE CATHOLICS IN WEXFORD.

In Wexford the scenes of Puritan barbarism were again renewed. Cromwell having obtained possession of the town through the treachery of one of Ormond's officers, "*thought it not good or just to restrain the soldiers from their right of pillage, nor from doing of execution on the enemy.*"‡ In his opinion the massacre of the inhabitants could only be likened to that of Drogheda, and he adds: "It pleased God to give into your hands *this other mercy*, for which, as for all, we pray God may have all the glory." In the same letter he estimates the number of the garrison thus butchered at 2,000, and recommends the Parliament to send over English Protestants to inhabit the city, as "of the former inhabitants not one in twenty can be found to challenge any property in their own houses. Most of them are run away, and many of them were killed in this service. God, by an unexpected providence in His righteous justice, brought a just judgment on them, causing them to become a prey to the soldiers."

It was on the 11th of October that the enemy entered the town of Wexford. The History of the Jesuits in Ireland, by father St. Leger (1655), thus briefly sketches the scene of slaughter that ensued: "On the city being taken, Cromwell exterminated the citizens by the sword." Another contemporary record details the special sufferings of the friars of the

\* Ibid. Another MS. history of the Jesuit order in Ireland briefly states regarding the massacre at Drogheda, "all the Catholic citizens were cut off by Cromwell; one of our society was tied to a stake and hewn in pieces. Six of our fathers were then there; now there is none."—Anno 1655.

† In Common's Journals, &c.

‡ Lett. of Crom. to the Parl.

order of St. Francis: "On the 11th of October, 1649, seven friars of our order, all men of extraordinary merit, and natives of the town, perished by the sword of the heretics. Some of them were killed kneeling before the altar, and others whilst hearing confessions. Father Raymund Stafford, holding a crucifix in his hand, came out of the church to encourage the citizens, and even preached with great zeal to the infuriated enemies themselves, till he was killed by them in the market-place."\* The archbishop of Dublin, in the letter already referred to, repeats the same in a few words: "At Wexford," he says, "many priests, some religious, innumerable citizens, and two thousand soldiers were massacred."†

The fullest narrative, however, of the persecution in this town, is presented by the venerable bishop of the diocese, Dr. Nicholas French, who from the place of his exile, thus wrote to the Internuncio in the month of January, 1673:—

"On one day I lost, for the cause of God and the faith, all that I possessed; it was the 11th of October, 1649; on that most lamentable day my native city of Wexford, abounding in wealth, ships, and merchandize, was destroyed by the sword,‡ and given a prey to the infuriated soldiery by Cromwell, that English pest of hell.§ There, before God's altar, fell many sacred victims, holy priests of the Lord; others, who were seized outside the precincts of the church, were scourged with whips; others were hanged; some were arrested and bound with chains; and others were put to death by various most cruel tortures. The best blood of the citizens was shed; the very squares were inundated with it,|| and there was scarcely a house that was not defiled with carnage, and full of wailing. In my own palace a youth, hardly sixteen years of age—an amiable boy—as also my gardener and sacristan, were cruelly butchered; and the chaplain, whom I caused to remain behind me at home, was transpierced with six mortal wounds. These things were perpetrated in open day by the impious assassins. From that moment (and this it is that renders me a most unhappy man) I have never seen my city or my flock, or my native land, or my kindred. After the destruction of the city I lived for five months in the woods with death ever impending over

\* Letter of F. Francis Stafford. See it in full in *Duffy's Magaz.*, May, 1847.

† "Multi Sacerdotes, nonnulli religiosi, plurimi cives, et duo millia militum trucidati."—Lett. 5 June, 1650.

‡ In ore gladii deleta fuit.

§ Peste inferni anglicana.

|| Fundebatur clarus civium sanguis quo inundabant plates, &c.

me. There my drink was milk and water, a small quantity of bread was my food, and on one occasion I did not taste of it during five days; there was no need of cookery for my scanty meals, and I slept in the open air, without either bed or bed-clothes. At length the wood in which I lay concealed was surrounded by numerous bodies of the enemy, who anxiously sought to capture me and send me loaded with chains to England. My angel guardian being my guide, I burst through their lines, and escaped owing to the swiftness of my able steed."\*

In the library of Trinity College, Dublin, another letter of this prelate is preserved, written at the same period, and entitled "Apologia," being a defence of the course he had pursued in seeking his safety in exile. In it he thus addresses his accuser:—

"You say nothing about my native city, Wexford, cruelly destroyed by the sword on the 11th of October, 1649; nothing of my palace being plundered, and of my domestics impiously slain; nothing of my fellow-labourers, precious victims, immolated by the impious sword of the heretics before the altar of God; nothing of the inhabitants weltering in their own blood and gore. The rumour of the direful massacre reached me whilst I was in a neighbouring town suffering from a burning fever. I cried and mourned, and shed bitter tears, and lamented; and turning to Heaven, with a deep sigh, cried out, in the words of the prophet Jeremias, and all who were present shared in my tears. In that excessive bitterness of my soul, a thousand times I wished to be dissolved, and to be with Christ, that thus I might not witness the sufferings of my country. From that period I have never seen my city nor my people, but, as an outcast, I sought a refuge in the wilderness. I wandered through woods and mountains, generally taking my rest and repose exposed to the hoar frost, sometimes lying hid in the caves and caverns of the earth. In the woods and groves I passed more than five months, that thus I might administer some consolation to the few survivors of my flock who had escaped from the universal massacre, and dwelt there with the herds of cattle. But neither trees nor caverns could afford me a lasting refuge; for the heretical governor of Wexford, George Cooke, well known for his barbarity, with several troops of cavalry and foot soldiers, searching everywhere, anxious for my death, explored even the highest mountains and most difficult recesses; the huts and habitations adjoining the

\* Litt. Nicol. Fernens. Ep. ad Internuntium. Anversæ Jan. 1673. From the original letter.

wood, and in which I sometimes had offered the holy Sacrifice, he destroyed by fire, and my hiding places, which were formed of branches and leafy boughs of trees, were all overturned. Amongst those who were subjected to much annoyance, on my account, was a nobleman in whose house he supposed me to lie concealed. He searched the whole house with lighted tapers, accompanied by soldiers, holding their naked swords in their hands to slay me the moment I should appear; but amidst all these perils God protected me, and mercifully delivered me from the hands of this blood-thirsty man."

In the extracts just cited, the public square or market-place is referred to as the chief scene of this wholesale massacre. Many of the principal inhabitants had assembled there, and no fewer than 300 females are said to have chosen the same place of refuge. They knelt around the great cross which was erected in its centre, and they hoped that their defenceless condition, their prayers and cries, would move the enemy to compassion. The ruthless barbarian, the pagan Goth or Hun, would have been moved to pity, but Puritan ferocity had steeled the hearts of Cromwell's followers against every sentiment of mercy, and the market-place of Wexford was soon inundated with the blood of these martyrs.\*

#### § 5.—SUFFERINGS OF THE CATHOLICS IN CORK.

Whilst these deeds of cruel barbarity were perpetrated by Cromwell's troops, many of the southern towns, through the treachery of the officers of Inchiquin, were surrendered into the hands of the Puritans, and thus they, too, soon became the theatres of a most violent persecution. The narrative from which many extracts have already been made,† gives the following details as to the city of Cork :—

\* Some have questioned the accuracy of the statement made by M<sup>r</sup> Geoghegan and Lingard as to the massacre of these females around the cross of Wexford; they say Dr. French and other contemporary writers would not be silent in regard of this particular. But these contemporary writers sufficiently describe the wholesale massacre of the inhabitants, without mercy being shown to age or sex; and any particulars that are added have a special reference to themselves. The same writers, when describing the destruction of Drogheda, are silent as to the massacre of the females in the crypts of St. Peter's Church; and were it not for the narrative of an officer who himself was engaged in that barbarous deed, some critics would probably now be found to reject it as fabulous. The constant tradition, not only of Wexford, but of the whole nation, attests the truth of the statement of the above-mentioned historians.

† *Relatio rerum quarundam, &c.* Anno 1650.



“The tempest of the most cruel persecution, carried on by the parliamentarians against the Catholics, reached Cork without having to encounter any obstacle. For, the president of the province pretending to be a liege minister of the king, was, together with his troops, admitted without difficulty within the walls. Having thus, under pretence of defending it for the king, got possession of the city, he perfidiously handed it over to the parliamentarians.”

Their first edict was that all the clergy should at once depart from the city, permitting, however, four parish priests to remain, lest the Catholic citizens, who were as yet too powerful, might be impelled to revolt. As the Puritan forces increased, fresh pretexts were found for new persecutions :—

“The hatred of the heretics for our religion (the narrative thus continues) becoming greater and greater every day, an order was published prohibiting the citizens to carry swords, or to have in their houses any arms whatsoever. This being effected, another proclamation was issued by the president of the council of war, commanding all Catholics either to abjure their religion or to immediately depart from the city. Should they consent to embrace the parliamentary teaching (*parliamentarium religionem*), they were permitted to remain and enjoy their goods and property. Should they, however, pertinaciously adhere to popery, all, without exception, were to immediately depart from the city. Three cannon shots were to be fired as signals at stated intervals before nightfall, and any Catholic that should be found in the city after the third signal, was to be massacred without mercy. It was then that the constancy of the citizens in the faith was seen. There was not even one to be found in the whole city to accept the proffered impious condition, or to seek to enjoy his property and goods with the detriment of his faith. Before the third signal all went forth from the city walls—the men and the women, yea, even the children and the infirm; and it was a sight truly worthy of heaven to see so many thousands thus abandoning their homes—so many venerable matrons, with their tender children, wandering through the fields, or overcome by fatigue, seated on the ground in ditches, or on the highways; so many aged men, some of whom had held high offices in the state, and were members of the nobility, with their wives and families, wandering to and fro, knowing not where to seek a place of refuge; so many merchants who, on that morning, abounded in wealth, but now had not a home in which to rest their weary limbs, yet all with joy went forth to their destruction, aban-

doning their houses and goods, their revenues and property and wealth, chosing rather to be afflicted with the people of God, on the mountain tops, and in the caverns, in hunger and thirst, in cold and nakedness, than to enjoy momentary pleasures and temporal prosperity with sin."

§ 6.—SUFFERINGS OF THE CATHOLICS IN KILKENNY.

After the massacre of Wexford, Cromwell invited the other cities and towns to surrender. Should they consent to receive parliamentary garrisons, their property and goods were to be secured to them, and no inquiries were to be made as to religion. One thing only would be required, that *the Mass* should be abolished, "for," he added, "wheresoever the sway and authority of Parliament extends, the Mass shall not be tolerated." However unable the Catholics might be to resist the torrent of destruction that was now bursting upon them, yet they were too devoted to the faith to embrace this impious condition, and, as we learn from Dr. Burgatt, (subsequently Archbishop of Cashel), not one was found in the whole island who would consent to barter his religion for the proffered boon.\* Thus the sword of extermination was again unsheathed.

"Catholicity was flourishing in the city of Kilkenny, when the Puritan army, like a devastating torrent, overturning everything in its course, appeared before its walls."† Whilst the inhuman foe threatened it from without, another scourge laid it waste within. The plague raged with such fury, that its brave garrison was reduced from 1,200 to 400 men. So dreadful was the contagion, that when the earl of Castlehaven destined some troops to succour it, they refused to march, declaring that they were ready to fight against man, but not against God. The enemy granted favourable conditions to the citizens, but no sooner had they got possession of the city, than these were violated; "they impiously profaned the churches, overturned the altars, destroyed the paintings and crosses, and profaned all things sacred. The vestments, which

\* "Brevis Relatio de præsentī in Hibernia [fidei et Ecclesiæ statu," written in 1667, in my possession.

† Relatio rerum, &c.

had been for the most part concealed, were discovered and plundered by the soldiery; the books and paintings were cast into the streets, and either destroyed by fire or brought away as booty." The holy bishop, Dr. David Rooth, venerable for his years, his piety, his learning, and his zeal, had just entered a carriage to seek for safety by flight, when the enemy arrived. They inhumanly dragged him from his seat, despoiled him of his garments, and then clothing him with a tattered cloak which was covered with vermin, they cast him into a loathsome dungeon, where, after a prolonged martyrdom, he expired in the month of April, 1650.\*

Dr. Patrick Lynch of Galway, writing on the 1st of May, 1650, to the secretary of the Sacred Congregation, mentions that the rumour had reached him of the death of this holy bishop, of the cruelties exercised in the city of Kilkenny, and of numbers of priests and religious, and citizens, having been put to death.

Whilst the pestilence raged within the city, one good priest, father Patrick Lea, was especially distinguished by his charity and zeal. Not only was he untiring in administering to the spiritual wants of the sick and dying, but he also assisted them in their corporal wants; he ministered to the poor even in the most loathsome duties, and sometimes, too, he was seen digging graves, and bearing on his shoulders to interment the bodies of those who were abandoned. It was whilst exercising this last-mentioned excess of Christian heroism that he himself was infected with the disease, and expired a martyr of charity a few days before the arrival of Cromwell at the gates of Kilkenny.†

#### § 7.—SUFFERINGS OF THE CATHOLICS IN WATERFORD.

The narrative above referred to, written in 1655, thus describes the destruction brought on Waterford at this period: "As the year 1650 spread mourning and sorrow through all parts of the kingdom, so, in a special manner, did it put an end to the happiness of Waterford." Three

\* Letter of Dr. Fleming, Archbishop of Dublin, 5th June, 1650.

† *Relatio rerum*, &c.

enemies, pestilence, famine, and the sword, at the same time assailed the city. The enemy offered, indeed, liberal conditions, together with the privileges of the citizenship of London, and the free exercise of their religion. But the inhabitants held in mind the interpretation that had been put upon this latter article on the surrender of Ross, when Cromwell declared that it extended only to the internal belief, and not to the open practice of that religion, and hence they resolved on resisting to the last the heretical foe. Dreading the treachery of the royal officers, they refused to admit within the walls the reinforcements which Ormond offered them: and though the siege was carried on with unremitting vigour from September to December, so heroic was the defence, that on the feast of St. Francis Xavier the enemy abandoned the siege in despair.

However, "those whom the parliamentary forces could not subdue, were gradually wasted away by pestilence, till at length the city became a prey to the enemy."\* Of the many thousands who then defended it, four hundred survived, when Ireton, after the siege of Clonmel, advanced a second time against its walls.† Nevertheless it again resisted for nine weeks, "and it came into the enemy's hands, not so much overcome by force, as because it had become a solitude through the violence of the pestilence." For a little while no persecution was proclaimed, but ere long the virulence of Puritanism was seen; an edict commanded all Catholics to depart from the city within three months, and thus citizens and clergy were involved in a common ruin;" *and now, glorious confessors of Christ, they seek a secure asylum scattered through the various regions of the earth.*"‡

From a letter of a Capuchin father (30th June, 1651,) written from Waterford to his superior in Rome, we learn that no ecclesiastic dared to appear publicly in the city, and that neither friendship nor rewards could induce the heretics to allow the slightest toleration.§ "As for me," he adds, "I

\* Relatio, &c.

† "Ex multis armatorum millibus vix superfuerunt quadringenti armis ferendis idonei," &c. Ibid.

‡ Ibid. in varias mundi partes gloriosi Christi confessores emigrarunt.

§ "Nullus ecclesiasticus audet apparere: nullum enim horum tolerant aut favore victi aut numeribus."

pass freely through the city, for I serve as gardener the chief heretic of this city: sometimes, too, I work in carrying loads, passing as one of the coalporters." We learn further details from the bishop of the diocese, who, writing from his place of exile to Rome (3rd March, 1651), thus depicts the ruin that had fallen on his once chosen flock: "War and the pestilence have laid waste the whole country; our churches and altars are profaned and transformed into stables or barracks, or hospitals; no longer is the sacrifice offered up, nor the divine word preached, nor the holy sacraments administered; the ecclesiastics who were spared by the plague, have been sent into banishment; the pestilence swept away five thousand of the citizens and the soldiery, and yet continues its havoc there. Truly this dire scourge is a chastisement for our sins."

§ 8.—SUFFERINGS OF THE CATHOLICS IN GALWAY.

To review in detail the sufferings of the other cities of Leinster and Munster, would be to repeat the scenes which we have already described. There is, however, something peculiar in the rigour displayed by the Puritans in the capital of the western province that claims a special attention. Our chief guide in this article will be "the Life of Dr. Francis Kirwan, bishop of Killala, written by his friend, Dr. John Lynch, and published at St. Malo's in 1669"

The city of Galway was remarkable amongst the other cities of Ireland, for the wealth of its inhabitants and the beauty of its edifices. The walls were of green marble, flanked by numerous towers; the waters of Lough Corrib flowed through its centre, whilst the regularity of its streets, the fair proportions of its buildings, its noble squares, and its palaces, built of native marble, gladdened the eye. All this was soon to become a prey to the ruthless enemy. It was in the month of June, 1651, that the Puritan army marched into Connaught, laying waste the whole province with fire and sword, and on the 8th of July they encamped

§ See reprint and translation of this work, by Rev. C. P. Meehan, Dublin, 1848.

before the walls of Galway. The city had already been decimated by the pestilence, yet it was only after nine months' combat that the enemy entered within the walls.

Dr. Francis Kirwan was at this time lying hid in a country house, at a short distance from the city. For eight months he continued there in a small narrow room, which, besides two beds for himself and his chaplain, was barely able to contain a chest. This served for an altar; and whilst the holy sacrifice was offered up each day, one bed had to be removed to afford standing room for the celebrant. The intense cold of winter was endured without a fire, and during the whole eight months only thrice did the bishop go for an instant from this hiding-place: on one occasion he was carried out wrapped in a sheet, whilst the enemy were engaged in searching every corner of the house for arms, and when met by the soldiers he was recognized only as a feeble and worn-down old man; and well does his biographer compare his many sufferings at this period to those of the early pastors of the Catholic church.

Within the city the soldiery displayed a *rabid detestation* of the Catholic priests, and with an insatiate avarice plundered the Catholic citizens of all they possessed. When the bishop deemed it more secure to enter the town, "he was obliged to take refuge in the topmost stories of the houses aneath the tiles, and this, too, at mid-winter, without one spark of fire. Sometimes, too, he was forced to go out on the roof, and when the pursuers approached, to descend into a neighbouring house by the dormant-window." (page 123). We must allow this contemporary writer to depict some of the frightful scenes of persecution to which the citizens were at the same time subjected:—

"Along with the three scourges of God, famine, plague, and war, there was another which some called the fourth scourge, to wit, the weekly exaction of the soldier's pay, which was extorted with incredible atrocity each Saturday, bugles sounding and drums beating. On these occasions the soldiers entered the various houses, and, pointing their muskets to the breasts of men and women, threatened them with instant death if the sum demanded was not instantly given. Should it have so happened that the continual payment of these pensions

had exhausted the means of the people, bed, bedding, sheets, table-cloths, dishes, and every description of furniture, nay, the very garments of the women, torn off their persons, were carried to the market-place and sold for a small sum, so much so that each recurring Saturday bore a resemblance to the day of judgment, and the clangor of the trumpet smote the people with terror almost equal to that of doom's day." (Page 123.)

The scene of plunder in the house of Mr. Martin Kirwan, which he next describes, is only an instance of the fearful course which was pursued by *these harpies*,\* when the country was parcelled out to their devastating fury:—

"In the house they found only young children and servants, together with the mother who superintended their education, for the father and his son were in prison. Having ransacked the whole house, the soldiers entered an inner room, where they saw some glittering rays of light, and in this recess they discovered a wooden tabernacle, ornamented with gilded mouldings, and wooden candle-sticks likewise gilt, which the bishop was about to place in some church; all these sacred objects did the soldiers drag out of the house, nor could they be induced by supplication or money to restore them; they subsequently tore them all to pieces, and scattered many relics that had been deposited in the tabernacle."

When at length the good bishop, finding it impossible to remain any longer concealed, surrendered to the government, he and several other ecclesiastics were treated as galley-slaves; they were marched along in bodies surrounded by soldiers, drums beating and bugles sounding, and when, by the diligence of the priest-catchers, many other ecclesiastics were cast into prison, they were locked up in houses hired for the occasion, and for which the prisoners themselves had to pay.† During his imprisonment the holy man found occasion frequently to celebrate the sacred mysteries, and at a window in the rear of the prison administered to the children the sacrament of confirmation. (Ibid page 127.) No sooner was it discovered by the government that the bishop and his companions were thus engaged in conferring spiritual blessings on the

\* This is the designation given them by the contemporary author.

† Ibid, page 127.

Catholics, than their banishment was resolved on ; the confessors of Christ "were suddenly carried off to a ship, and on their way were surrounded by a terrible escort, nor had they any previous notice of the decree of banishment, lest their friends might succour them with some viaticum." (Page 129.)

Throughout the whole province of Connaught the persecution raged with the same fury. Thus, when Dr. James Fallon, who governed the diocese of Achonry as vicar-apostolic, "was arrested in Iar-Connaught, the heretics so plundered him of his copious collection of books, that not even a breviary was left with him. Before he was made prisoner, he for a long time was exposed, day and night, to the inclemency of the winter, till he at length erected a small hut at the base of a rock, which he covered with leafy branches; here he remained till the goats, brousing on the foliage, stripped the branches, and then he was obliged to seek elsewhere a place of refuge." (Ibid. page 15.)

#### § 9.—SUFFERINGS OF CATHOLICS DURING THE PLAGUE.

Thus was the whole country subjected to a dire persecution, which surpassed in ferocity the sufferings of any nation recorded in history :—

"Everywhere agriculture and commerce ceased. Each one's thoughts were solely devoted to preserve his life, and to avoid the impending destruction. Hence resulted a dearth of all articles of food, and with famine, a pestilence, too, assailed us. Thus the three scourges of God, of which David had to chose but one, were all at the same time inflicted on us : famine, pestilence, and war. Urged by the famine, numbers fled from all parts of the kingdom to seek shelter in the cities, whilst others, too, fled thither, driven from their estates, or escaping from the sword of the heretical enemy, so that no longer could a place be found for them within the walls, and the outcasts filled the highways and the country around."\*

So dreadful, indeed, was this scourge, that the learned Dominican father, Dominick de Rosario, cried out—"Oh, look upon us to-day, ye nations. Are we not a spectacle to men and angels ? Learn of us what a terrible calamity it is to fall

\* Missio Soc. Jesu, &c.



into the hands of the living God; and let him who stands take heed lest he fall.\*

It was from the commencement a main object of the Puritans to bring on this famine. Ormond's letters inform us† that "Sir William Parsons advised the *governor to the burning of corn*, and to put man, woman, and child to the sword; and Sir Adam Loftus wrote to the same effect." It was, indeed a renewal of the policy pursued at the time of Elizabeth, and which was so strongly recommended by Spencer, "in order that thus," he said, (the Irish) "might be driven to devour each other." That the parliament hoped for this result is clear from the History of Lord Clarendon, who records (ii. 323), that when an armistice was agreed to between Ormond and the Catholic forces, the parliament passed a vote of censure on the commander for betraying, as they said, the interests of the Protestant religion, "since the rebels were now brought to their last gasp, and reduced to so terrible a famine that, like cannibals, they eat one another, and must have been destroyed immediately, and utterly rooted out."

The pestilence which resulted from the famine first appeared in the west, and thence soon spread itself through the whole country. The Provost of Galway, writing on the 1st of May, 1650, says—"The pestilence has changed this city into a desert by the flight of nearly all the inhabitants, and the death of three thousand persons." Another letter in the following month of June estimates the total number of deaths in that city, from famine and pestilence, at 3,900.

We have already seen how in Dublin no fewer than 30,000 citizens were mowed down by the same disease. In Limerick, too, it made many victims. "Truly these were disastrous times," cries out father Dominick de Rosario, "for the sword was ever unsheathed without the walls, whilst death was mowing down his victims within."‡ The heroism of father James Woolf, in assisting the sick in this city, is especially recorded. He was absent when the city was taken by the enemy; but "on learning that all the ecclesiastics there had been either

\* "Hist. of the Geraldines," page 193.

+ Vol. 2, page 350.

‡ Hist. &c., page 224.

expelled or butchered, he contrived to get into the city for the purpose of administering the sacraments to the sick and dying." He was only allowed to continue eight days in this ministry of charity. Being arrested by the heretics, he was led forthwith to execution, and from the scaffold exhorted the assembled multitudes to remain steadfast in the faith, addressing to them these memorable words—"We are made a spectacle to God, men, and angels; but the angels rejoice, whilst men scorn us."\*

In Waterford it also raged with especial violence, and the number of its victims soon swelled to five thousand. We are informed by father Dominick de Rosario, that as soon as it made its appearance there, "the bishop called his priests together, and exhorted them to strain every nerve in order to console the afflicted. This they did with great assiduity, administering unceasingly the holy sacraments of penance and the Eucharist."† He mentions two as particularly distinguished in this city—father Michael O'Cleary, prior of the Dominican convent, and father White, a secular priest. "Three days did they pass in solitude and prayer before entering on that harvest of death; and when they had received the sacramental confessions of thousands, they themselves died of the infection."

The disease, however, was not confined to any particular district; it spread throughout the whole island, and prepared the way for the triumph of the Puritans. "The success of Cromwell and Ireton, and his followers," writes a contemporary author,\* "must be ascribed, not so much to their own strength as to the dreadful pestilence that desolated the country. For, the anger of God being kindled against us on account of our sins, his chastening Angel so afflicted with a direful pestilence almost all the towns and cities of the entire kingdom, that the soldiers and citizens being swept away by it, the enemy often got possession of little more than empty cities or fortifications, so few were those that remained to oppose them."

But we shall allow an English Protestant historian, who was

\* See Dom. de Rosario, loc. cit. page 210, and Hib. Dom. page 568.

† Relatio rerum, &c., an.

‡ Loc. cit., page 223.

himself employed at this very time in hunting to death the Irish, to describe the frightful miseries which then fell upon our devoted country:—

“About the year 1652 and 1653, the plague and famine had so swept away whole counties, that a man might travel twenty or thirty miles and not see a living creature, either man, beast, or bird; they being either all dead or had quit those desolate places; our soldiers would tell stories of the place where they saw a smoke; it was so rare to see either smoke by day, or fire or candle by night. And when we did meet with two or three poor cabins, none but very aged men with women and children, and those like the prophet might have complained, ‘We are become as a bottle in the smoke, our skin is black like an oven, because of the terrible famine.’ I have seen those miserable creatures plucking stinking carrion out of a ditch, black and rotten, and been credibly informed that they digged corpses out of the grave to eat. And some instances are added too horrible to be here related.”\*

Amongst the victims of the plague was the Commander-in-Chief of the Puritan forces. On the surrender of Limerick, the heroic bishop of Emly, Albert O'Brien, was, with all other ecclesiastics, excepted from hope of pardon. When brought before Ireton, he fearlessly announced to the tyrant that before many days he himself should answer for his crimes before the tribunal of God. The holy martyr was at once led to the scaffold, but before eight days his prophecy was verified, Ireton being stricken with the plague, and with his last breath exclaiming that that bishop's blood was the cause of his death.† Lest this event should be regarded by the Catholics as a triumph the English of Limerick, for some years, observed Thursday, the day on which Ireton expired, as a day of solemn festival.‡

\* Colonel Laurence's "Interest of Ireland," part ii. pp. 86-7.

† Dom. de Rosario in "Hist. &c." pp. 204-7.

‡ Letter of Dr. John O'Molony, from Paris, 10th May, 1652, in my poss.

## PART THE SECOND.

*Penal Laws enacted against the Irish Catholics—General State of the Kingdom in 1652.*

OUR country, once the island of saints, was now wholly become a prey to the persecutors. As Judea of old its cities were desolate, its altars were overthrown, everything sacred was trampled on, its priests were led to the scaffold, and the inhabitants that yet survived were subjected to a worse than Assyrian captivity:—

“Neither the Israelites were more cruelly persecuted by Pharaoh, nor the innocent infants by Herod, nor the Christians by Nero, or any other of the pagan tyrants, than were the Roman Catholics of Ireland at this fatal juncture.”\*

In the history of the Jesuit missions in Ireland, this sad period of our country's suffering is thus depicted:—†

“The heretical enemy having overcome every obstacle, and obtained possession of the whole kingdom, raged with such fury against all ecclesiastics and everything dedicated to religion, that the Turks or the very demons from hell could not display greater impiety or ferocity. Everywhere the public crosses and other emblems of the Catholic religion were overturned, the altars were destroyed, the chapels profaned, and used as storehouses or stables; the stained glass windows, on which the sacred history of our Saviour's life, and the images of saints were represented, were everywhere demolished; the sepulchres and monuments of the dead were broken open and scattered, that no memory should remain of the Catholic religion; the bells were thrown down and broken; the sacred images and vestments were torn to atoms; the statues of the Blessed Virgin and the saints were

\* Morison's *Threnodia*, p. 14.

† *Missio Soc. Jesu. in Hib. usque in an. 1655.*

dragged through the public streets, with ropes around the neck, besmeared with filth, and hanged from gibbets; the priests and religious were treated with a thousand indignities, cast into prison and butchered; the Catholics were despoiled of their goods, laden with oppressive burdens and treated as slaves. I could mention a thousand horrible instances of such cruelty; and many, too, were the miraculous interpositions of Providence to avenge their impiety. Frequently were seen in the public squares heaps of Catholic books and sacred ornaments and images to be destroyed by fire; the Catholic citizens were expelled from their houses and possessions; and the most noble families were subjected to the lowest and most degrading offices; children and youths were torn from their parents; aged matrons and noble ladies were seized on as servants and employed in the most menial occupations. Truly this persecution of the Catholics was direful, envenomed; cunning, astute; the heretics feigned that they did not persecute individuals, but only the superstitions and abominations of popery (this was their language). However, these things they persecuted in individuals, and individuals suffered death for them. There was no restraint on the soldiery when pursuing the Catholics; the persecutors were at the same time accusers, witnesses, and judges; by day and by night they burst into the houses of the Catholics; they broke open rooms and desks and private drawers under the pretence of searching for ecclesiastics, and even when no resistance was offered them, they invented whatever suited their designs, and took away with them whatsoever they pleased. It was a capital crime for any ecclesiastic to enter a city, or town, or garrison, to offer the holy Sacrifice, or to administer the sacraments; and for doing so many suffered death; the same penalty was incurred by whosoever received a priest into his dwelling. No individual could sleep in any of these places without signing his name and receiving an express permission from the governor; those who came were minutely examined, as to who they were, whence they came, what their business, &c."

All the religious houses were levelled to the ground, and the religious themselves either led to the scaffold or sent into banishment. In the acts of the general Chapter of the Dominican order, held in Rome in 1656, we read of the Irish Province:—

"Abundant was the harvest that in our own times ripened there for the heavenly Master, of those forsooth who suffered extreme torture for the Catholic faith; of forty-three convents that our order

possessed in that island, there is not one now remaining, all, through the heretical fury, being consumed by fire, razed to the ground, or devoted to profane uses. In the year 1646, we numbered 600 friars there, now not a fourth part remains, and even they are exiles from their native shores, the others being all either crowned with martyrdom or condemned to a lengthened death in the islands of Barbadoes.”\*

The sufferings of the Jesuits were not less severe. Before the Puritan invasion they were eighty in number, they possessed six colleges, eight residences, besides many oratories and schools; but in the universal desolation only seventeen fathers remained, and they too lost everything, not even retaining an image or a book, or the breviary itself: and when the holy Sacrifice was to be offered up, it was only in some cave or granary, or obscure corner, and anticipating the morning aurora, the house and windows being closed, and few being admitted. The fathers being dispersed and scattered, sought a refuge in various places; some in the towns and huts of the poor, others in the mountains and woods, with difficulty dragging along a miserable existence, that they might assist and console the Catholic outcasts; some there were who, in the disguise of rustics or mendicants, visited the cities and towns, and now in one house, now in another, offered the Holy Sacrifice, and administered the Sacrament.† From a petition presented to the Sacred Congregation in 1654, we learn that all the Franciscans and Capuchins were likewise banished, some few alone remaining in the island, who lived “as shepherds or herdsmen, or tillers of the soil.”

At the same time the convents of the nuns were destroyed, and their inmates, wheresoever they had not consulted for their safety by flight, were treated with inhuman barbarity. De Burgo has preserved the memory of two (page 572,) who were crowned with a glorious martyrdom. One, almost in her hundredth year, was discovered in her place of concealment, and despoiled of everything, even of her very garments; the

\* See De Burgo “Hib. Dom.” p. 525. A letter presented to the Sac. Cong. on the 4th June, 1657, says: “Più di 30 Domenicani sono fatti morire in Irlanda dal 1641, in qua, molti altri relegati nelle isole Barbadoes, e più di 500 con publico editto esiliati, vanno dispersi per il mondo, ma con il medesimo desiderio di esporre la vita per quella misera patria.”—MS. in my poss.

† Vide “Missio. etc. citat.”

barbarians, moreover, inflicted on her many severe wounds, and she lived only long enough to be borne by her maid to a neighbouring oratory, that she might expire before the altar of our Lady; the other, who was younger in years, fled from the hands of her pursuers, and some days afterwards, was found frozen to death in the hollow of a tree, in an adjoining wood, in which she had taken refuge.

Dr. John Lynch, in his *Cambrensis Eversus*, published in 1662, likens the sufferings inflicted on the Irish Catholics by the Puritans, to those meditated by Antiochus against the Jews: he applies to them the words of Tobias, (iii. 4,) "We are delivered to spoil and to captivity and death, and are made a fable and a reproach to all nations." And again, those of St. Jerome: "The bishops are taken prisoners, the priests slain, the churches thrown down, horses stabled at the altar of Christ, everywhere grief, everywhere lamentation, and death in a thousand shapes." But, he adds, "we have long been familiar with such scenes, and as nail drives nail, our fresh wounds efface the memory of our former ones."—(Vol. i. p. 9.)

It would be difficult to find any parallel for all the sufferings which our country thus endured. The writers of this period continually re-echo the passage of the Lamentations:—"The child and the old man lie without on the ground: my virgins and my young men are fallen by the sword: thou hast slain them in the day of thy wrath: thou hast killed and shewn them no pity." The author of *Cambrensis Eversus* just referred to, (pp. 21-5,) well remarks, that the cruelty of the Puritans combined the malice of all preceding persecutions, and no better parallel can be found for the dread desolation of the whole kingdom, than what we read in Sacred Writ, when the chosen people saw their temple razed, their sanctuary polluted, their cities laid waste, and the people become a bye-word among the nations. To cite any further extracts from the contemporary writers when commemorating this sad scene of universal destruction, would be merely to repeat the same phrases; for, so general was the ruin, that it admitted of but little variety in depicting it. We shall therefore conclude this article with the words of Bruodin:—"Ireland being entirely subjugated, and scourged by God with pestilence,

famine, and the sword, the churches were everywhere profaned, the altars overthrown, the sacred images broken to atoms, the crosses trampled under foot, the priests banished or led to the scaffold . . . and no words can express how many and how great were the evils which the Catholics that survived were compelled to endure.”—(p. 639.)

#### § 2.—EDICT AGAINST THE CLERGY.

Whilst some Catholic soldiers remained in the island, the Puritan persecutors did not display the full excess of their fury. Their first care, therefore, was to rid themselves of that check to their ferocity. Every facility was given to the foreign courts to transport the Irish soldiers to their service. “The agent of the Spanish government (writes a contemporary author in 1654), transferred thousands and thousands of them every month partly to Spain and partly to Belgium.”\* Borlase estimates the number of those transported in the year 1654 alone at 27,000; and another historian adds, that altogether no fewer than 40,000 Catholics were thus banished from Ireland to the Continent, to be a standing monument of the persecuting spirit of Puritanism, whilst they, at the same time, filled all Europe with admiration of their valour.†

The troops being thus removed, on the 6th of January, 1653, the first edict of persecution was published against the Catholic clergy. By it‡ all ecclesiastics, secular and regular, were commanded, under penalty of treason, to depart from the kingdom within twenty days; and should they return, they incurred the penalties and confiscations specified in the 27th of Queen Elizabeth, that is, they were “to be hanged, cut down while yet alive, beheaded, quartered, embowelled, and burned; the head to be set on a spike, and exposed in the most public place.” In addition to this, the new act commanded that every person who, after the twenty days thus specified, should harbour or receive into his house any

\* MS. “Status Rei Cath. in Hibernia hoc anno 1654,” in Archiv. Colleg. Hib. Romæ.

† Another contemporary document in my possession states, that no less than 20,000 Irish took refuge in the Hebrides and other Scottish islands.

‡ See this edict in full in Dominick de Rosario pages 227-8, also in De Burgo and others.



ecclesiastic, " would incur the confiscation of his property, and be put to death without hope of mercy."

Thus did the persecutors seek to deprive the fold of its pastors; and we cannot but here adopt the words of Dominick de Rosario, " Right well did England know that her triumph would never be secure as long as the ministers of the Catholic religion, who kept watch over the flock, were suffered to live in the land." ( *Loc. cit.* 229.)

An example of the severity with which this edict was carried into execution, is recorded in the narrative of the condition of Ireland in 1654.\*

" When this edict was published the superior of the Jesuits was lying sick of fever in the house of a respectable citizen, unable to move in bed, not to say to journey on foot or on horseback; a petition was, therefore, presented to the governor of the city that he might be allowed to remain some few days till his strength should return. But the governor replied, though the whole body of the Jesuit was dead, and life remained only in one hand or one foot, he must at once quit every inch of Ireland. The sick man was forthwith seized in bed, hurried along for about seventy Irish miles in the midst of a severe winter to a seaport, and there, with two other Jesuits and forty secular priests, was cast into a vessel bound for Spain."

The annual letters of the society of Jesus (anno 1662), having referred to the just-mentioned decree, adds:—

" It is easy to imagine what whirlwinds of dangers then assailed the Catholic community in this island; and yet the assault evidenced how little the persecutors gained by that edict, for the more their fury raged against the priests, the more courageous did these become to encounter every danger; and although very many of them in each city of the kingdom were cast into prison, of whom some were hanged on gibbets, some expired, overcome by the sufferings of their filthy dungeons, some were sent into exile to Spain, and others transported as slaves to the Barbadoes, yet those who escaped from the enemy's pursuit were not deterred by such impending dangers from the discharge of their ministry; and others who, scattered through the various academies of Europe, were engaged preparing themselves for

\* MS. Statut. rev. Cath., &c., 1754.

the Irish mission, on seeing the harvest now ripe for the sickle, and hoping for more abundant spiritual fruit amidst these temporal disasters, in greater numbers than was known for many years, abandoned their studies and entered on their field of labour. In the mean time the magistrates, lest the edict might fall into oblivion, and in order to strike greater terror into those who might give shelter to the clergy, caused it to be proclaimed anew each year throughout the entire kingdom; whence it happened that the greatest part of the priests, unwilling to create danger for their flocks, lived in caverns, or on the mountains, or through the woods, or in remote hiding places, and often, too, were obliged to pass the winter without any shelter, concealed amidst the branches of the trees. This deplorable condition of the kingdom fills all the Catholics with terror."

This decree was carried into execution with the greatest rigour, and no mercy was shown to whosoever was found to violate it. Dr. Burgatt presents us with the following details as to the number of the clergy who were sent into exile, or suffered extreme penalty at this direful period:—

"In the year 1649," he writes, "there were in Ireland twenty-seven bishops, four of whom were metropolitans. In each cathedral there were dignitaries and canons; each parish had its pastors; there was, moreover, a large number of other priests, and innumerable convents of the regular clergy. But when Cromwell, with exceeding great cruelty, persecuted the clergy, all were scattered. *More than three hundred were put to death by the sword or on the scaffold*,"\* amongst whom were three bishops; more than a thousand were sent into exile, and amongst these all the surviving bishops, with one only exception, the Bishop of Kilmore; who, weighed down by age and infirmities, as he was unfit to discharge the episcopal functions, so too was he unable to seek safety by flight. And thus for some years our island remained deprived of its bishops, a thing never before known during the many centuries since we first received the light of Catholic faith."†

To discover the clergy that remained in the kingdom, spies and informers scoured the country on every side, impelled partly by hatred to religion, partly by the proffered reward. Five pounds was the sum held out by government for the

\* *Supra trecentos gladio et crucibus extincti, &c.*

† *Brevis Relatio, &c.*, by Dr. William Burgatt, agent of the Irish clergy in Rome, afterwards archbishop of Cashel, presented to the Sacred Congregation, 1667.

apprehension of a priest,\* together with a third part of the property of the person on whose lands he should be discovered; moreover, the profession of informer was declared an honourable one, and such persons were, by virtue of the edict, to receive the special favour of the Crown, and to be promoted to offices and dignities, as men *well deserving of the State*.†

Owing to this diligence of the persecutors, the number of the Catholic priests that escaped their search was comparatively few:—"The prisons were everywhere filled with prelates, priests, and religious, some of whom were executed on the scaffold, others were privately butchered, whilst the greater number were sent into exile." Thus writes the Superior of the Jesuits in 1652.

Another writer, to whom we have more than once referred, describes the state of Ireland in 1654, and contrasts the comparative ease with which the Catholic clergy had in former years evaded the penal statutes, with the difficulty of remaining concealed amidst the present perils, and adds:—

"Now the whole aspect of the kingdom is changed; difficulties and dangers are met with at every step; no human industry can enable us to avoid them, but all must be left to a watchful Providence. The cities and towns are now wholly occupied by the heretics, and the Catholics are banished from them; the castles and country residences of the gentry are converted into barracks, or if not, are held by heretical new-comers. No one is allowed to travel through the country without being examined at every mile by the soldiery; you have to show the letters patent of the magistrate of the district from which you come, and in them your age, stature, beard, colour of hair, condition of life, and many other special characteristics are mentioned, and if you are found wanting in any one of them, you are immediately arrested as a spy or a priest, nor is there any hope of the soldiers' sentence being reversed, for each soldier has the juridical right by martial law to arrest any person he may suspect, and inflict

\* A MS. in Archiv. Colleg. Hib. Rom. entitled "Missio Hibernica, anno 1652," says:—"Jam diminuto multis in regni partibus habitantis populi numero, excrecentibusque pro vastitate sylvis, excrecere simul ceperunt rabide luporum catervæ quæ cum novos colonos infestarent, excitata venatorum industria, propositis præmiis, et promissis argenti libris quinque in singula luporum capita, et simul in opprobrium religionis Catholicæ eadem summa promissa est cuicumque sacerdotis delatori. Adeoque lupi caput et sacerdotis eodem venale pretio fuit."

† Morison, "Threnodia," page 27.

capital punishment. The same martial law authorizes them to enter the house of any Catholic, at any hour of the day or night, and explore every corner of it, under the pretence, forsooth, of detecting and arresting priests. And lest any of the soldiery should be enticed by bribes to allow any priest to escape, the English Government offers a larger reward of each discovery than could be hoped for from the oppressed and impoverished Catholics. The soldiers, therefore, partly impelled by hatred for the Catholic religion, and partly urged on by avarice and the hope of lucre, never cease by day or by night to beset the houses of the Catholics, and explore their most secret recesses; moreover, they hire spies, and keep them in various quarters, that they may thus receive information of any rumour that may be heard of the arrival of a priest in the neighbourhood.”\*

### § 3.—OTHER PENAL LAWS.

Further penal enactments against the Catholics were passed in quick succession. One of the first measures was to confiscate the estates of the Catholic gentry. No fewer than five millions of acres were parcelled out amongst the Puritan soldiers and favourites of the Protector, and so complete was the extermination of the natives that when the government commissioners were distributing some estates in Tipperary, none of the inhabitants could be found to point out the bounds of these estates.†

“Thus,” writes Curry, “the sword of extermination passed over the land, and the soldiers sat down to banquet on the hereditary possessions of the natives.”‡

And Dominick De Rosario cries out—

“It was not enough for them to torment and slay all of the Irish who fell into their hands; on the contrary, they resolved to proscribe all those who had not been taken in their impious toils; they contemplated the extirpation of the Irish people, in order to secure their triumph and new fangled religion.”§

That the persecution might be carried on with some semblance of justice, a new tribunal was instituted, called a high

\* Status Rei Cath. &c. an. 1654.

† Privy Council Book, A. 5, in “Haverty’s History of Ireland,” page 595.

‡ Review of the Civil Wars of Ireland.

§ Hist. &c., page 227.

court of justice; in it all the ordinary forms of law were set aside, and so iniquitous and bloody were the sentences pronounced in these courts, that they were commonly called "Cromwell's slaughter-houses."\*

The parliament commissioners in Dublin, for their part, were not idle. It was enacted, that any one absent from the Protestant parish church on Sunday should incur a fine of thirty pence; and it was made obligatory on the magistrates of Ulster, Meath, Leinster, and Munster, to take away the children of the Catholics and send them to England to be educated Protestants.† All Irish noblemen, whose fathers were not English, were obliged, under pain of death, to wear a distinctive mark on their dress; the Irish of inferior rank were likewise compelled to wear a black round spot on the right cheek, under pain of being branded with a similar mark for the first offence, and of being hanged for the second.‡ No office was to be conferred on an Irishman, if a fit Englishman could be found; if an Englishman were killed, the Irish of his district forfeited their lives; if an Englishman lost any of his property, the Irish had to compensate his loss threefold. Moreover, all Irish beyond fourteen years of age were declared the property of the republic, to be employed on sea or land; and any Irishman going one mile beyond the district in which his name was registered without a passport, or any one taking part in an assembly of *four persons*, forfeited his life.§

The history of the Jesuit mission in Ireland, written in 1662, thus describes the condition to which the country was now reduced:—

\* "The Israelites in Egypt (writes De Burgo) could cry to Pharaoh from their oppression, yet this was not granted to the Irish; if the former were oppressed, they had, however, the flesh-pots and abundance of food; the Irish whilst enduring a worse than Egyptian slavery, are exterminated by famine and the sword."—(Hib. Dom. page 707.)

† Act of Parl. 1657. De Burgo. loc. cit. page 707.

‡ Porter, "Compend. Annal," page 292.

§ See these and other enactments in Porter's loc. cit.; also De Burgo and Cambren. Evers. vol. i. page 51, not p. Another act which, perhaps, even still more reveals the rapacity of the persecutors, commanded all Catholics throughout the kingdom to surrender on the 24th of February, 1653, all the horses that in any way might be deemed fit for the saddle. See "Vita Francisci Kirovani Ep. Alladen," page 119.

"The Catholic nobility and gentry, and the inhabitants of the cities and towns, are deprived of their lands and goods, and partly banished to foreign countries—partly driven to the remote and uncultivated parts of the kingdom; some, too, were sold as slaves for the American islands, and some were privately butchered. . . . Thus all the Catholics are in exile, and in their stead, in the cities, and castles, and towns, and garrisons, none are to be found but parliamentary heretics, for the most part of the lowest class of artisans, and the scum and outcast of society.\* Hence, the ecclesiastics have nowhere a resting-place, and they are forced to fly to the herds of cattle, or to seek a refuge in the barns, or stables, or desert places; sometimes they seek to conceal themselves by paying for their lodging in the houses of the heretics. As regards the fathers of the society, some dwell in ruined edifices, others sleep by night in the porticos of the temples, lest they should occasion any danger to the Catholics."

Again we read:—

"The heretics being now masters of the kingdom, the clergy is scattered and destroyed, and the Catholic religion is almost extinct.† The nobility and gentry, and native citizens, are despoiled of their goods and properties, and in their place foreign heretics have been imported, the vilest of men, persecutors and capital enemies of the Catholic religion; so that Ireland no longer seems to be Ireland, and there are no longer any persons there to harbour the clergy and religious, but only to pursue them and lead them to imprisonment, torture, and the scaffold. Such is the sad condition of Ireland under the most cruel tyrant, Oliver Cromwell, the Nero, Domitian, and Julian, of our age. . . . Hence Ireland is in a far worse condition now than it was one hundred years ago, for it is inundated with foreign enemies and heretical persecutors; it is as an uncultivated field, overrun with briars—an immense and frightful wilderness—a new and unexplored land, to be once more cultivated and reclaimed."

The following still more minute and invaluable narrative of the many penal enactments of this time enforced against the Catholics, is extracted from another contemporary writer:‡—

"The Irish nation, besides many other gifts of nature, has two

\* Viles, opifices, populi fœx et quisquilie.

† Deletus et expulsus est clerus; Catholica religio pene extincta.

‡ Relatio rerum quarundam, &c., anno 1650.

especially remarkable and most innate in her, which seem as two talents most liberally bestowed on her by God—namely, constancy in the Catholic religion, and an insatiable thirst for knowledge, in both which qualities I know not if she yields to any other nation. All who are acquainted with the nation, know well these her characteristics. The heretics, too, know them by experience; ever since the commencement of the Anglican schism they oppress the Irish with an iron yoke, and renewing the cruelty of the enemies of the Jews towards the shorn Samson, they unceasingly strive, by every art, to destroy in them the eyes of religion and learning; having proscribed the true pastors of souls, they imported mercenary pastors, whose only aim is to plunder and slaughter and destroy. The Catholic schoolmasters being expelled, now no one can open a school but a heretic, that, forsooth, the poison of Satan may be instilled into the children's minds. All Catholic books are prohibited, and wheresoever they are found, they are destroyed by fire, and in their stead we are inundated with pestiferous books that scatter everywhere the cockle of heresy. The use of printing is interdicted to the Irish, lest, forsooth, any book might be circulated that did not come forth from an heretical source. Nay more, whilst the Catholic religion yet flourished in the kingdom, the English Parliament decreed that no university should be erected in Ireland, lest, perhaps, the eyes of the people might be opened to see the tyranny of the yoke imposed on them. It is strictly forbidden for an Irishman to send his children for education to foreign parts, excepting to England, where he will be sure to imbibe the asp's milk. The jurisconsults are expelled from the tribunals, nay, the Irish are expelled from every office, unless they attest, by oath, the supremacy of the crown in matters of the church and religion. The eldest sons of the nobility, when young, are handed over to the guardianship of heretics, and these guardians, or rather wolves, devour the innocent lambs, and seize on all their goods and revenues: they consign, moreover, the youths to heretical schools as to so many prisons, where, by daily threats and punishments, they compel them to attend at the Protestant conventicles. They cannot contract marriage except with one destined by these guardians, wherefore it often happens that the most noble youths are bound to receive wives from the very lowest class, and from families that have only just emerged from the scum of society by rapine and fraud, the daughters, to wit, or relatives of the tutors, who moreover are always heretics, and deeply imbued with the poison of Calvinism. All the Irish are excluded from the viceroyalty of the kingdom; they are even declared incapable of this office by the very fact of being

born in Ireland. Merchandise and commerce are subjected to so many taxes and restraints, that they are almost wholly taken from the hands of the Irish, and given to strangers.\* The lands and territories of the gentry, by new interpretations of the laws, are extorted from those who possessed them for centuries, and are given to upstart heretics. We ourselves have seen many most respectable men, who, were it not for the oppression that prevails, would abound in wealth, but who now are seated in ruined edifices by an uncheering fireside; and when interrogated as to the reason of their carelessness, they replied that they did not dare to live otherwise, and were they to repair or ornament their houses, the harpies would at once seize on them, and they themselves be deprived of the little that remained. Hence is the whole nation now reduced to such poverty, that it is no longer reckoned by the foreign countries, and none but poor and outcasts now go forth from that island, whence formerly, as St. Bernard writes, went forth so many swarms of holy men, and countless bands of philosophers, who illumined France, Germany, and Italy, by their learning and the splendour of their virtues."

#### § 4.—PERILS OF THE CLERGY.

The reader can now easily picture to himself the perils that on every side beset the Irish priesthood. Yet, heedless of danger, many clung to their flocks to break to them the bread of life. History does not afford examples of more heroic fortitude, more fearless courage, more enduring constancy, than that displayed at this period by the Catholic clergy of Ireland. Mr. Dalton, in his history of the Archbishops of Dublin, quotes from a Latin manuscript, written in 1653, the following extract:†—

"The keen eyed vigilance of persecution has driven the Catholic laity into the country; and the priests and monks scarcely presume to sleep even in the houses of their own people; their life is warfare and earthly martyrdom; they breathe as if by stealth among the hills or in the woods, and not unfrequently in the abyss of bogs or marshes, which their oppressors cannot penetrate; yet, hither flock congregations of poor Catholics, whom they refresh with the consolation of

\* Dr. French, in "Unkind Deserter," page 186, writes—"The Catholics of Ireland are excluded from all commerce, which the very Turks do grant to their Christians."

† Archbishops of Dublin, page 424.



the sacraments, direct with the best advice, instruct in constancy of faith and confirm in the endurance of the cross of the Lord. These things, however, could not be effected without the knowledge of the heretics, who in a simultaneous impulse are hurried through the mountains and the woods exploring the retreat of the clergy; and never was the chase of the wild beasts more hot and more bitter than the rush of the priest-destroyers through the woods of Ireland, many of whom deem it the most agreeable recreation to run down to the death those beasts of the woods, as they term the Catholic clergy."

The narrative of the state of Ireland in 1654,\* presents many additional particulars:—

"We live, for the most part, in the mountains and forests; and often, too, in the midst of bogs to escape the horse of the heretics. One priest, advanced in years, father John Carolan, was so diligently sought for, and so closely watched, being surrounded on all sides, and yet not discovered, that at length he died of starvation. Another, father Christopher Netterville, like St. Athanasius, for an entire year and more, lay hid in his father's sepulchre; and even there with difficulty escaping the pursuit of the enemy, he had to fly to a still more inconvenient retreat. One was concealed in a deep pit, from which he at intervals went forth on some mission of charity. The heretics having received information as to his hiding-place, rushed to it, and throwing down immense blocks of rock, exulted in his destruction; but Providence watched over the good father, and he was absent, engaged in some pious work of his sacred ministry, when his retreat was thus assailed. As the holy Sacrifice cannot be offered up in these receptacles of beasts rather than of men, all the clergy carry with them a sufficient number of consecrated hosts, that thus they themselves may be comforted by this holy Sacrament, and may be able to administer it to the sick and to others."

Every art of the most refined cruelty was deemed lawful when pursuing to death these doomed victims of the Catholic clergy; and many are the instances which have been handed down to us of priests who were dragged from their hidden recesses, and subjected to the most brutal excesses. One scene, recorded by Ludlow in his memoirs (vol. 1; page, 422; edition Vevay, 1698), sufficiently illustrates the rage of the perse-

\* *Status rei Catholicæ, &c.*, 1654, in *Archiv. Colleg. Hib. de Urbe*.

cutors. When marching from Dundalk to Castleblaney, and passing by a deep cave, he discovered that some Irish were concealed therein. Two days were spent by his party in endeavouring to smother the fugitives by smoke. At the close of the first day, thinking that all should be dead, some of them entered the mouth of the cave, but as they advanced, the foremost was wounded by a pistol-shot fired from within. It appears that the inmates preserved themselves from suffocation by holding their faces close to the surface of some running water in the cavern; and one, who was placed at the entrance as guard, took his post near a crevice through which the air was admitted. On the next day all the crevices were stopped, the fires were kindled anew, and, as Ludlow expresses it, "another smother was made." The soldiers then entered with helmets and breastplates: they found the only armed man dead inside the entrance, but they did not enjoy the brutal gratification of finding the others suffocated, for they still preserved life at the little brook. A crucifix, chalice, and sacred vestments were found in the cave, and fifteen of the surviving fugitives were at once massacred by the soldiery; one of the victims is supposed to have been a Catholic priest; it is evident they had assembled to assist at the holy Sacrifice, and it became their happy privilege by martyrdom to pass from the temporary altar to the presence of the Lamb, in his unveiled splendours in Heaven.

Wholly peculiar to this Puritan persecution was the edict published at the same time, commanding the Catholics under the severest penalties to give information against their loved pastors, should they merely chance to meet with them even in the public streets :—

"If any one shall know where a priest remains concealed in caves, woods, or caverns, or if, by any chance he should meet a priest on the highway, and not immediately take him into custody, and present him before the next magistrate, such person is to be considered a traitor and an enemy to the republic. He is accordingly to be cast into prison, flogged through the public streets, and afterwards have his ears cut off. But should it appear that he kept up any correspondence or friendship with a priest, he is to suffer death."\*

\* Morison, loc. cit. p. 27.

No edicts, however, could sever the bonds that united together the pastors and their flocks. A letter of the Archbishop of Tuam, written from Nantes in September, 1658, informs us that, even then, whilst the persecution raged with its greatest violence, there were 150 priests in his province, and a like number in the other provinces, "attending to the care of souls, seeking refuge in the forests and in the caverns of the earth." The same illustrious confessor of the faith informs us that the priests lately arrested were not put to death as formerly, in consequence of the remonstrance of the Catholic princes on the continent, but "they were transported to the island of Inisbofin, in the diocese of Tuam, where they were compelled to subsist on herbs and water."

One of the priests arrested at this period was father James Finaghty, vicar-general of the diocese of Elphin, a man much maligned, even in some of our Catholic histories. The short record of his sufferings handed down to us in a narrative of the visitation of that diocese made in 1668, sufficiently proves that if the penalty of death was suspended for awhile, yet no toleration was allowed to the Catholic clergy:—

"Father James Finaghty frequently suffered many tortures and cruel afflictions from the common enemy, for the faith of Christ; five times was he arrested, and once he was tied to a horse's tail and dragged naked through the streets, then cast into a horrid dungeon; nevertheless, being again ransomed by a sum of money, he continues to labour untiringly and fearlessly in the vineyard of the Lord."\*

#### § 5.—TRANSPANTING TO CONNAUGHT.

The sword, and subsequent persecuting edicts, did not succeed in exterminating the Catholic Irish. Hence, the ingenuity of the Puritan masters was set to work to discover some new means for attaining that end. A spot was chosen, the most desolate and devastated in the whole kingdom, and thither, by public proclamation, (in 1654,) all Catholics were commanded to repair. This was, in fact, nothing less than a frightful imprisonment of all the survivors of the nation. To

\* *Relatio visitationis diœc. Elphin. factæ an. 1668, ab Edmundo Teige, &c., in my possession.*

Connaught or the scaffold, was the fiendish cry of the persecutors throughout the country; and yet it was not even the province of Connaught, but only the barren portions of it that the bounty of the Puritans set aside for the Irish Catholics. The heretics retained for themselves a breadth of four miles along the shores of the Atlantic, and of two miles along the rich banks of the Shannon. The Irish, moreover, were not allowed to reside in the capital of the province, or in any of the market-towns.\* Pent up within these precincts, it was expected that the Catholic race would soon become extinct by famine and disease; for throughout this barren district the new-comers were friendless and unpitied, without food to eat or house to afford them a protection; there was no seed to sow, nor cattle to stock the land. It was death for an Irishman to step beyond the limits thus cruelly traced, and any *mere Irishman* found in any other part of the kingdom could be butchered without further inquiry. We shall allow Lord Clarendon to sketch this refinement of Puritan policy:—

“They found the utter extermination of the nation which they had intended, to be in itself very difficult, and to carry in it somewhat of horror, that made some impression upon the stone-hardness of their own hearts. After so many thousands destroyed by the plague which raged over the kingdom, by fire, sword, and famine, and after so many thousands transported to foreign parts, there remained still such a numerous people, that they knew not how to dispose of; and though they were declared to be all forfeited, and so to have no title to anything, yet they must remain somewhere; they therefore found this expedient, which they called an *Act of grace*. There was a large tract of land, even to the half of the province of Connaught, that was separated from the rest by a long and large river, and which by the plague, and many massacres, remained almost desolate. Into this space they required all the Irish to retire by such a day, under the penalty of death; and who should after that time be found in any other part of the kingdom, man, woman, or child, should be killed by anybody who saw or met them. The land within the circuit, the most barren in the kingdom, was, out of this grace and mercy of the conquerors, assigned to those of the nation as were enclosed, in such

\* See P. Walsh's Reply to a Person of Quality, &c.

proportions as might with great industry preserve their lives."—(Clarendon's Life, vol. ii. p. 116.)\*

The persecutors, however, were not satiated by thus *transplanting* the Irish inhabitants; they, moreover, obliged all to whom some portions of land were marked out in this barren district, to sign conveyances or releases of their titles to their former properties, that thus they and their heirs might be forever debarred from their old inheritance.† This law was not a mere idle threat; it was carried into execution with the greatest rigour. Amongst other instances we find recorded, that when some of the transplanted Irish erected cabins or creaghts, as the hurdle houses were then called, in the vicinity of Athlone, orders were sent from Dublin Castle to banish all *the Irish and other popish persons* from that neighbourhood, so that no such gathering should be allowed within five miles of the English garrison.‡

No pen can describe the frightful scenes of misery that ensued. With famine and pestilence, despair seized upon the afflicted natives; thousands died of starvation and disease; others cast themselves from precipices, whilst the walking spectres that remained seemed to indicate that the whole *plantation* was nothing more than a mighty sepulchre.§

The Puritans, however, were still attentive to extort from the poverty of the transplanted Catholics whatsoever might perchance, have yet remained to them. A contemporary writer thus describes these new arts of the Puritan persecutors:—||

"There is one thing that now perplexes us very much, the transplanting of our nation to the province of Connaught. This is a tract of Ireland for the most part rocky and mountainous, and wholly

\* See also Scobell's Statut. p. 258. The 1st of May, 1654, was the day fixed, after which any Irishman found in any part of three provinces of Ireland might be arbitrarily put to death.

† Clarendon loc. cit. vol. ii. p. 116.

‡ MS. Orders of Council, cit. by Haverty, p. 595.

§ See History of the Irish Catholics, by M. O'Connor, p. 87.—"Thousands perished of cold and hunger, many flung themselves headlong from precipices, and into lakes and rivers, death being the last refuge from such direful calamities." Also De Burgo in Hib. Dom. p. 706.

|| Status rei Catholicæ, anno 1654.

reduced to a wilderness by the constant whirlwind of wars, uninterrupted for so many years. Nowhere, throughout all that region, can a house be met with; scarcely is there a particle of a wall left standing, the edifices being destroyed by fire, and levelled to the ground, lest any habitation or defence should remain for the Catholics. Two cities alone remain, and from these the inhabitants are expelled, and they are now filled with English Anabaptists; some of the maritime ports, too, are inhabited by the same pest; the remainder of the province is wholly devastated, and everything levelled to the ground.

“To this desert all the nobility and gentry of the kingdom, and all that had any land or possessions are now transported; amidst these mountains they receive some small particles of land, for the most part sterile and rocky. There they must fix their dwellings, and build for themselves, as best they may, or otherwise be exposed to the hoar frost. Nor is the evil confined to this. The Catholics thus transplanted, although deprived of nearly all their fortunes and goods, are, nevertheless, obliged to support in this Connaught wilderness seventy stations of Puritan soldiers, which are arranged at stated distances throughout the country, under the pretence, indeed, of their own security, and lest Catholics might plot against the State, and excite fresh disturbances, but in reality that they may keep away all priests, and prevent the exercise of the Catholic religion; and, moreover, that thus any property that still remained amongst the persecuted natives might be wasted away and consumed in supporting such a number of guards, and so the whole nation might become gradually extinct; for they see that no violence or artifice can force them to abandon the Catholic faith. Indeed, the magistrates more than once notified to some of the Catholic gentry whom they were anxious to protect, that all this vexation would cease, should they only consent to renounce the Roman Pontiff, and especially the Mass. They sought also to persuade not a few of the Catholics, that it was folly for them to precipitate themselves into voluntary banishment, which could be prevented by so easy a remedy. But the Catholics closed their ears with the holy fear of God against these Siren enchantments, and they choose to suffer even death rather than to tarnish their glory, holding in mind that they are children of saints, and that an inheritance of glory awaits them.”

#### § 6.—PURITAN COLONISTS.

Ireland was now, indeed, become the spoil of her merciless heretical enemy. The whole kingdom was ordered to be surveyed, and “the best land was rated at only four shillings

per acre, and some only at one penny."<sup>\*</sup> The soldiers drew lots as to the parts of the kingdom that should be allotted to them, and Cromwell reserved to himself a large private demesne, comprising the chief portion of the county Tipperary.

To supply inhabitants to the desolate country, Protestant settlers were invited from New England, and liberal offers were likewise made to the Vaudois of Piedmont, should they choose Ireland for an evangelical colony.<sup>†</sup> These offers, however, were made in vain; and so universal was the horror of the brutality displayed by the Puritan officers in Ireland, that none but the very dregs of society could be found even in England<sup>‡</sup> to seek a share in the spoil. There is a passage in Dominick de Rosario's History of the Geraldines, that details to us the character of the new settlers, and the rapacious spirit with which they rushed to plunder our island of saints:—

"That raging mass, besprinkled with the monarch's blood, burst upon the land of my birth. The butcher, the buffoon, and the hired cut-throat, each led his band; and the very dregs of English cities and towns were invested with centurion authority. Then came hideous woes, as though God would lash us with a triple scourge, discord, famine, and pestilence. Well was it for those who died by the plague, for they passed away without dishonour; and happier were they who perished by the edge of the sword, for they thus escaped the lingering pangs of hunger. Cities and towns were seized by those ruthless slayers; the nobility was ruined, the temples of God razed, altars polluted, everything sacred profaned, whole families destroyed, smiling plains reduced to barrenness, and the lowing herds slaughtered to feed an unbridled soldiery. Blessed, then, were they who possessed nothing. But how shall I describe the horrors which those fiends heaped on the heads of the Catholic clergy? In their private houses; in the caverns of the earth; in the recesses of the mountains and woods; naked and unarmed; were they not maimed, stabbed, struck with stones in their very transit to the gibbet? Oh!

<sup>\*</sup> Morrice, *Life of Orrery*, vol. ii., page 117.

<sup>†</sup> Hutchinson, *History of Massachusetts*, page 190. *Thurloe*, vol. ii. page 459.

<sup>‡</sup> Many citizens of London at the time of the great fire, in 1666, looked on it as a chastisement from God for the cruelties exercised against the Irish.

how many of them breathed out their souls exhorting their countrymen to deeds of heroism, and undying attachment to the Catholic religion !”\*

Amongst the manuscripts belonging to the King’s library in the British museum, there is a work entitled “An Account of Ireland,” written in 1773, which, speaking of the Cromwellian era, thus describes well the hordes of sectaries that overspread the three confiscated provinces of Ireland. “An army of new settlers, and mostly of a newer religion, whether Anabaptists, Socinians, Muggletonians, Brownists or Millenarians, now obtained large grants of forfeited lands in Ireland, and from these adventurers are descended some of the principal persons in the kingdom in opulence and power. Most of these settlers were men of the sourest leaven, who eagerly adopted the most harsh and oppressive measures against those upon whose ruin they rose.† This description of the sectaries of every hue that divided amongst themselves the possessions of the exterminated or transplanted Irish, is confirmed by Lord Clare, in his celebrated speech on the union:—

“A new colony of new settlers composed of all the various sects which then infested England,—Independents, Anabaptists, Seceders, Brownists, Socinians, Millenarians, and dissenters of every description, many of them infected with the leaven of democracy,—poured into Ireland, and were put into possession of the ancient inheritance of its inhabitants.”

It cannot be expected that many virtues would be found in the train of these ruthless colonists; on the contrary, they seemed to wage war against every virtue, and to have become the champions of every vice. An eye-witness, Mr. Thomas Wadding, thus writes, on the 21st October, 1656:—“There is no corner of Ireland but is now filled with heresies and atheism, and iniquity of every sort; never was the Catholic name so persecuted; malice is triumphant, all vices flourish, justice has decayed; true faith, and mercy, and modesty, and sincerity are banished; violence and audacity everywhere

\* Loc. cit. page 298.

† See “The Irish Church, its History and Statistics,” by W. Shée, Sergeant-at-law, &c., 1852, page 9.



prevail; no one has any property but what he acquired by fraud and violence; the good are exposed to persecution and mockery, the bad alone are prosperous, and abound in wealth. . . . So that we are tempted to cry out, 'Oh, God! what an age have you made us spectators of!'"\*

In *Cambrensis Eversus*, vol. 3, page 75, we find an additional corroborating testimony to the vile character of the new colonists:—"Nobles of high descent," says that contemporary author, "were robbed of two-thirds of their hereditary estates and ordered to confine themselves within the contracted limits of the remaining third; while the properties wrested from them were assigned to swarms of Englishmen, collected from the barber's shops, and highways, and taverns, and stables, and hog-sties of England."

#### § 7.—IRISH EXPORTED AS SLAVES.

It was not enough to import foreigners of every hue and every denomination into Ireland; the Puritan rulers deemed it further necessary to export as slaves to the American islands as many of the natives as yet survived the miseries and vexations of Connaught. Jamaica and the adjoining islands had lately passed into the hands of England, and slaves were wanting to cultivate the sugar and tobacco-plant on their deadly soil. Sir William Petty,† writing in 1672, states that six thousand boys and women were thus sold as slaves to the undertakers of the American islands. Bruodin estimates the total number of the exiles from Ireland at 100,000; and adds, that of these several thousands were transported to the tobacco islands.‡ A letter, written in 1656, cited by Dr. Lingard, reckons the number of Catholics thus sent to slavery at 60,000. "The Catholics are sent off in shipsfull to the Barbadoes and other American islands. I believe 60,000 have already gone; for the husbands being first sent to Spain

\* *Deus ad que nos tempora reservasti!* Letter of Thomas Wadding from Nantes, 21 Oct., 1656, to Mgr. Rinuccini; preserved in Barberini Archives.

† *Political Anatomy of Ireland*, page 187.

‡ *Propugnac.* pag. 672, "*aliquot millia in diversas Americæ tabaccarias insulas relegata sunt.*"

and Belgium already, their wives and children are now destined for the Americans.”\*

This transportation to slavery was even viewed by the Puritan persecutors as a boon they were conferring on the Irish Catholics. When Secretary Thurloe wrote to the Lord Deputy of Ireland to inform him that a stock of Irish was required for the peopling of Jamaica,† the Lord Deputy replied:—

“Concerning the supply of young men, although we must use force in taking them up, yet it being so much for their own good, and likely to be of so great advantage to the public, it is not the least doubted but that you may have such a number of them as you may think fit to make use of on this account. I shall not need repeat anything regarding the girls, not doubting to answer your expectations to the full in that; and I think it might be of like advantage to your affairs there and ours here, if you should think fit to send fifteen hundred or two thousand boys to the place above-mentioned. We can well spare them, and who knows but that it may be the means of making them Englishmen—I mean rather Christians. As for the girls, I suppose you will make provisions of clothes and other accommodations for them.”‡

The author of the “Description of Ireland in 1654,” without stating the number of those thus transported to the tobacco islands, observes:—

“The heretics at length, despairing of being ever able to alienate the Irish from the ancient faith, transport their children in ships-full for sale to the Indian islands, that thus, forsooth, no remnant of the Irish race may survive, and none escape from the utter extermination of the nation.”

\* When the Rev. John Grace visited these islands in 1666, he found that there were as yet no fewer than 12,000 Irish scattered amongst them, and that they were treated as slaves.—(From his letter of 5th of July, 1669).

† Thurloe's Memoirs, vol. iv.

‡ Thurloe loc. cit. page 75. In Porter, “Comp. Annual,” page 292, we find the following article of the Irish Commissioners: “That Irish women, as being too numerous now, be sold to merchants and transported to Virginia, New England, Jamaica, or other countries, where they may support themselves by their labour.”

M<sup>r</sup>Geoghegan (page 577), reckons, exclusive, probably, of the women, and children, “from fourteen to twenty thousand, both soldiers and country-people, sold as slaves and transported to America.”

The same writer adds an instance of the sufferings to which the Irish slaves were subjected in these distant islands:—

“God alone knows the severe lot that awaits the Irish children in that slavery. We may form some idea of it from what happened to some others of our nation there last year, that is to say, in 1653. The heretics, seeing that matters were prospering with the Irish in the island of St. Christopher, and being excited partly by envy and partly by hatred of the Catholic religion, seized in one night and bound with chains three hundred of the principal Irish that were there, and carried them off to a desert island, which was wholly destitute of all necessities of life, that there they might inevitably perish from cold and starvation. This was, alas! too sadly realized in all, excepting two, who, through despair, cast themselves into the sea, resolving to risk their lives rather on the waves than on the barren rocks. One of these soon perished, the other reached the mainland, bearing the sad intelligence of the dreadful fate of his companions.”

The letter of father Grace, already mentioned, states that those who yet survived in 1666 were cruelly treated both temporally and spiritually: “The administration of the sacraments and the giving of instruction is wholly interdicted, nor can any priest visit them without risking his life.”

Another “Relatio” of the same islands, made about the same time, reckons the population of Barbadoes at 40,000, of whom 8,000 were Irish; and it adds, regarding these Irish, that “they are sadly deprived of spiritual assistance; nevertheless their constancy in the faith is wondrous and miraculous (*mira et miraculosa*), for they cling to it despite the oppressive exactions, and threats, and promises, and innumerable arts employed by the heretics to withdraw them from it.” In another small island adjoining St. Christopher, the same narrative says, there were 600 Irish; these stealthily sought to frequent the sacraments, and assist at the holy sacrifice in some of the French chapels, but “as often as they are discovered they receive the lash and are fined by their English masters” (*multas et verbera patiuntur ab Anglis.*)

## § 8.—THE OATH OF ABJURATION.

Father Richard Shelton, Superior of the Jesuits in Ireland, writing to the Sacred Congregation, on 28th of April, 1658, conveyed the sad intelligence, that the persecution of Cromwell against the Irish Catholics was carried on with ever increasing fury; two of the Jesuit fathers had lately been arrested, and were treated with great cruelty, especially, he adds, "every effort is now made to compel the Catholics, by exile, imprisonment, confiscation of goods, and other penalties, to take the sacrilegious oath of abjuration, but all in vain, for as yet there has not been even one to take it, with the exception of a stranger residing in our island, who had acquired large possessions, and being afraid of losing them, and at the same time being ashamed of the other Catholics, undertook a journey of more than 200 miles, to present himself to one of Cromwell's commissaries"\*

The oath devised by Cromwell, condensed into a few formulas all the virulence of Puritanism against the Catholic tenets. It was as follows:—

"I *A. B.* abhor, detest, and abjure the authority of the Pope, as well in regard of the Church in general, as in regard of myself in particular. I condemn and anathematize the tenet that any reward is due to good works. I firmly believe and avow that no reverence is due to the Virgin Mary, or to any other saint in heaven; and that no petition or adoration can be addressed to them without idolatry. I assert, that no worship or reverence is due to the sacrament of the Lord's Supper, or to the elements of bread and wine after consecration, by whomsoever that consecration may be made. I believe there is no Purgatory, but that it is a popish invention; so is also the tenet that the Pope can grant Indulgences. I also firmly believe that neither the Pope, nor any other priest, can remit sins, as the papists rave. And all this I swear," &c.†

The penalty enacted against all who should refuse to take this oath was the confiscation of two-thirds of all their goods,

\* In a note of the Sacred Congregation at this period, reference is made to a Brief sent by the Holy Father to console the Catholics of Ireland, and animate them to endure with constancy the persecution to which they were exposed.

† Morison *Threnodia*, &c., p. 31; Ant. *Buodiu*, p. 95; De *Burgo*, p. 708.

which was to be repeated each time that they should prove refractory. It was expected that the Catholic gentry, already reduced to poverty by continued exactions, would be terrified into compliance by the dread of absolute penury and utter ruin which now impended over them. As to the poorer class, another penalty was enacted, forsooth, slavery in the Barbadoes.\* In every town commissaries and officers were specially deputed to receive this oath, and these received instructions from Government to commence with such persons as would probably assent to the oath, and to proceed in the matter with the greatest energy. At this moment of peril for the faith of our people, the Catholic clergy were everywhere to be seen abandoning their hiding-places to encourage their flocks; they fearlessly went around from house to house admonishing the rich to despise their transitory possessions, when an eternal inheritance was at stake, and reminding the poor that God's providence would not abandon them, and that in his own good time God would repay an hundred-fold all their sufferings.†

"These exhortations were not made in vain (we quote the words of a contemporary narrative), and the innate constancy of the whole nation in the Catholic faith, shone forth with such splendour, that a like instance of national constancy can nowhere be found in history; all, animated with the spirit of faith, declared that they were ready to endure extreme torture, rather than obey the impious edict. Even the most wealthy betrayed no apprehensions, and they avowed that of all the penal enactments, this was the most grateful to them; for in the others some secondary motive was often assigned, but here the only and express motive was hatred to the Catholic faith, for which it would be to them a matter of joy to sacrifice whatsoever they possessed?"‡

For once the heretics were found to second the efforts of the Catholic clergy. They yearned for new confiscations, and already had marked out for themselves the lands now possessed

\* Ibid. Also "Relatio quorundam quæ in Hibernia acciderunt circa juramentum quod abjuratiōis vocant a Cromwello Catholicis injunctum emitti."—A Contemp. MS. preserved in Archiv. Colleg. Hib. Romæ.

† M.S. Relatio, &c. "Tunc videre erat e clero latebris exeuntes, cursare per Catholicorum domos," &c.

‡ Ibid. Hæc et similia non surdis caneantur, &c.

in Connaught by the transplanted Irish gentry. The better to secure their prey, they assumed the sheep's clothing, and going round amongst the Catholics, they declared that the act of parliament was most unjust, that no one should interfere with their conscientious convictions, that they admired the steadfastness of the Catholics in adhering to principle despite every enactment, and that this heroic constancy of the nation had won for it an immortal fame throughout the kingdoms of Europe.\* The Catholics were not deceived by these vain appearances, but, nevertheless, they clung unflinching to their holy resolve.

The citizens of Cork had already distinguished themselves by their constancy in the Catholic faith; when summoned to take the impious oath their laurels were multiplied ten-fold.

To the city of Cork all the Catholics of the surrounding territory were ordered to repair on a stated day to have the new oath proposed to them; the penalty of imprisonment and confiscation of all their goods was enacted for all above fifteen years of age who should neglect to attend. On the appointed day, between five and six thousand Catholics entered the city walls; a few only absented themselves, anxious to await the result. According to the heretical custom of holding the assizes in the cherished sanctuaries of the Catholics, the magistrates took their seats in *Christ's Church*, a happy omen that even the material edifice should be dedicated to Him whose faith was now so nobly to be confessed. All were arranged in processional order, that the oath might be more easily administered individually to each of them. In the foremost ranks was a young man who entered the church with a light step, and whose looks beamed with joy. The clerk received immediate orders to administer to him for the first the oath; for the magistrate saw in his joyous countenance a readiness, as they imagined, to assent to their desires. The young man requested that the oath should be translated into Irish, for he feared lest some of those around him not understanding the English language, might inadvertently take the oath; a crier at once read it aloud in Irish, so that all within the church might hear. "And what

\* Ibid. "Videres lupos vulpes imitantes ut certius prædentur et devorent, &c."

is the penalty," he then asked, "for those who refuse the oath." "The loss of two-thirds of their goods," was the magistrate's reply. "Well, then," added he smiling, all that I possess is six pounds; take four of them; with the two that remain and the blessing of God, myself and my family will subsist; I reject your oath." An aged husbandman that stood by his side, filled with admiration, cried out aloud, "Brave fellow, reject the oath." The words were caught up from rank to rank till the church and the street without rang with the echo, "*reject the oath, the impious oath.*" For half an hour these words and the exclamation, "Oh God look down on us;" "Oh Mary, mother of God, assist us," could alone be heard. The magistrates, as though a thunderclap had rent the heavens, were struck mute with terror; then rising from their seats, they commanded the assembled multitude to disperse, and every one of them under pain of death, to depart from the city within an hour. Thus, concludes the contemporary narrative, the glorious confessors of Christ went forth with joy, praising God for the mercy he had shown to them.

In other districts similar scenes of Catholic constancy were witnessed, and none could be found to assent to the impious oath, and barter for the momentary enjoyment of their perishable goods the priceless treasure of their faith.

#### § 9.—CONSTANCY OF THE IRISH IN THE FAITH.

The author of *Cambrensis Eversus*\* well contrasts the condition of the Irish nation, with that of other countries, at the close of this sad period :—

"The happiness of the other nations of Europe has often excited our envy. They have peace on every side, and dwell every one under his own vine and fig-tree, but we are expelled from our home and country; others overflow with abundance of all things, we are emaciated by want; the foreigner is naturalized amongst us, the natives are made aliens. In foreign cities majestic piles of new buildings are every day towering to the skies, with us the foundations of not a single house are laid, while the old are heaps of crumbling ruins,

\* It was published in 1662. See reprint by Rev. Dr. Matthew Kelly. Dublin, 1648. Page 61, seqq.

their roofs open to the rains, and their walls rent, or mere shells and shapeless masses. In other countries temples are zealously decorated, with us they are either levelled to the ground or roofless, or desecrated by tribunals which condemn men to death, or by similar sacrilegious uses. The children of foreigners receive a learned education, which is contraband and penal in our country. With them the clergy are honoured, with us they are either in dungeons or forests, bogs or caverns. The universal law of the Christian world has exempted from slavery all who profess the Christian religion; but your Irish subjects are torn from the arms of their wives and children by civic vultures, and transported and sold as slaves in India. Thus are the children of the Irish made a prey, and their wives carried off, and their cities destroyed, and their holy things profaned, and themselves made a reproach to the nations. . . . There is no species of injury which the enemies have not inflicted on the Irish, no virulence which they have not disgorge, no torture which they have not employed.”\*

It would, indeed, be difficult to find in history a parallel for that ever-redoubled cruelty which the Puritans displayed. Yet it was impossible to weaken the innate attachment of the Roman Catholics to their holy religion. Countless was the number of those who perished by the sword of the persecutor, or on the scaffold, yet the survivors declared themselves ready to risk the same torments rather than renounce the Catholic faith. When they were offered the enjoyment of [their] possessions, should they embrace the new creed, all, as in Cork, went forth from their homes, embracing poverty, and cold, and nakedness, in preference to prosperity with the wicked; when their lives were offered to them if they only delivered up their priests to the mercy of the enemy, they choose to be butchered with the martyrs of God rather than live with the impious; when, as we have just seen, the oath of abjuration was commanded, under penalty of the loss of the little goods that yet remained to them, they, with one accord, resolved to cling to the cross of Christ, and reject the proffered boon. As a true Christian people, they looked upon all their sufferings as chastisements from the hands of God, and their chief care was, by penitential

\* “*Nulle sunt nocendi artes quas in Hibernos inimici non exercuerunt nullum virus quod non effuderunt; nullum tormentum quod non intenterunt.*”  
Ibid.



deeds, to avert his indignation. One instance is especially recorded in the "Description of Ireland in 1654":—

"Throughout the entire kingdom prayers and fasting were ordered; the priest in each district exhorting the people to appease the anger of God. With such exactness was this order obeyed, that there was not one Catholic throughout the entire kingdom who did not fast for three days on bread and water, and even the little children of four, or perhaps only three years, most rigorously observed that fast; moreover, all that had attained the proper age were consoled with the holy sacraments of Confession and the Eucharist. No sooner did this piety of the people become known, than, like oil cast upon the fire, the fury of the heretics was rekindled three-fold, and, like hungry wolves, they now breathe nothing but slaughter, and threaten to pursue, with still more atrocious violence, the children of Christ."

Thus, as often in the ways of God, the immediate result of the piety of our people seemed to be only a redoubling of the persecutor's rage, and yet these prayers were not breathed in vain; "a remnant remained in Israel;" all the power and ingenuity of the enemy could not root out the tree of faith, and the 500,000 Catholics that then survived in Ireland were in less than two hundred years swelled to more than eight millions.

Sir William Petty, writing in 1672,\* states that the population of Ireland, in 1641, was 1,466,000, the Catholics being to Protestants as *eleven to two*. After the devastation of the country by the Puritans, the population could not be accurately determined, yet the same writer (page 29), estimates the proportion of Catholics to Protestants as *eight to one*. Lord Orrery, writing to the Duke of Ormond, Feb. 26, 1662, says—"It is high time to purge the towns of the papists, as in most of them there are three papists to one Protestant." At the same time, in the rural districts, the Catholics were as fifteen to one. Dr. Plunket, in some of his letters, states the proportion of Catholics to Protestants throughout Ireland as eleven to one; but he subsequently adds that the proportion was small in the northern counties. It cannot, of course, be pretended that these calcu-

\* Status, &c., 1654.

† Political Anatomy, &c., page 13.

lations were accurate, for, owing to the state of the country, it must have been impossible to learn the precise number of the Catholic inhabitants in the rural districts. One thing, however, they sufficiently prove, that the persecutors had not attained the desired end, and that with the Irish race the Catholic religion was still firmly rooted in Ireland. Sir William Petty describes as follows the religion of our country at this period :—" *All the Irish are Catholics ; the Scotch colonists are Presbyterians ; the English are one-half Protestant, the other half Independents, Anabaptists, Quakers, and other dissenters.*"

We have already often had occasion to refer to a manuscript narrative of the Jesuit Mission in Ireland, written about the year 1655; from it we extract the following record of the devotedness of the surviving natives in enduring every suffering rather than abandon the Catholic faith :—

" Although heresy and tyranny, in the fullness of its pride, strove by every artifice and cruelty, to extirpate this people, and wished that there should be *no smith in Israel*,\* that thus the nations might be either overwhelmed in ignorance, or compelled to whet their arms in the forges of the Philistines; nevertheless, the Irish, despising every danger, choose rather to send their children to distant lands in search of learning, than that they should enjoy at home domestic ease under heretical masters, imperiling their faith. So tenaciously and indomitably has the whole nation clung to the Catholic faith in its full integrity and purity, that in a thousand Irishmen, scarcely one can be found who is not thoroughly devoted to the Holy See; and even the heretics who came to Ireland from other countries, when they have lived there for a little while, and become accustomed to the genius of the people, gradually detest their heresies, and embrace the Catholic religion."

#### § 10.—DECAY OF THE PURITAN COLONISTS.

That Protestant colonists have never been able to secure a permanent hereditary succession in Ireland, is a matter of notoriety. As regards the Puritan hordes that rushed over to seize on the devastated country, we shall merely cite an extract from the manuscript narrative now referred to:—†

\* Cambrensis Eversus writes in 1662 almost in the same strain. "They have drawn their precedent from the policy of the Philistines who, *after banishing all smiths* from the land, fell upon the Israelites unarmed," &c. Edit. Dublin, page 23.

† Relatio rerum quarundam, etc.

“The English Parliamentarians in the beginning of the war, inflated with their own power and strength, did not hesitate to parcel out Ireland for sale to the London merchants, and other heretics throughout England. The whole kingdom was thus divided, as if by agrarian law, into geometrical portions, a certain price being fixed for each farm. Each one purchased for himself some vast territory, subdividing it at a higher price to others. New colonists thus flocked to Ireland in countless numbers;\* artizans, merchants, soldiers, and others, numbering more than 200,000. To consummate the insolence of their pride, they already prepared ships with chains and cords, and more than 30,000 iron manacles are said to have been made, to transfer the Irish slaves (it was thus they designated our free and innocent people) to the Indian islands to cultivate the tobacco-plant, and they were all persuaded that the old inhabitants being expelled they had nothing to do but settle down at their ease and enjoy their estates. But, behold the hand of the Lord struck these persecutors, I might say, with Egyptian plagues. They were not, as yet, three months in Ireland, when most fetid vermin crawled forth from their bodies in such swarms, that their hair, and beard, and garments, were covered with them, so that they could not appear in public through shame, nor could they anywhere find rest, and what increased the wonder, though their beds and rooms were filled with this pest, yet the contagion did not spread to the neighbouring Irish, nor did it even touch the Irish servants of those who were infected with it, not one of whom is known to have suffered from this disease; it was confined to the strangers alone, and by that disease, and in other ways, God so humbled their pride, that from 1641 to 1650 more than 180,000 English in various parts of Ireland were carried away, not so much slain in war, as destroyed by this herodian disease and other plagues. And though the Puritans have now nearly all Ireland in their own hands, still we are confident that they will not last, nor strike deep roots;† but when our offended God will have through them scourged us for our iniquities, the earth shall, in the words of scripture, vomit them forth, and like their predecessors they, too, will fall away. For it is observed and confirmed by experience, since the beginning of the anglican schism, all the heretics that went from England to inhabit Ireland, though they were by rapine and exactions raised on a sudden to immense wealth and the highest titles, yet, like snow at sun-rise, they melted gradually away, and as smoke and vapour they quickly disappeared. Not that this is to be imputed to

\* ‘*Ingens colluvies.*’

† *Persuasum habemus eos non fore diuturnos, nec radices altos acturos.*

the English nation, whose natural disposition and innate uprightness, were they not infected with heresy, would be admired and loved by all; but in these facts we recognize the special punishment of God for heresy, and the special protection of St. Patrick for our island, who, as he expelled all serpents from our shores, so that nothing venomous can, to the present day, subsist there, so did he obtain for us this blessing from God, that the Catholic religion being once planted in Ireland, it should never be infected by the poisonous breath of heresy. The Catholic religion has certainly continued untainted for twelve hundred years and more, in our island; so that from the blessing already received through the intercession of our holy Patron, we have reason to hope for the future blessing, and the present firmness of the nation in the faith of Christ, is a pledge of its future constancy."

# MEMOIRS

OF

## DR. OLIVER PLUNKET,

Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of all Ireland.

---

### CHAPTER I.

#### EARLY LIFE OF DR. PLUNKET.

DR. OLIVER PLUNKET, the subject of these memoirs, was born at Loughcrew, in the county of Meath, in the year 1629.\* He was by birth connected with many of the most illustrious families of Ireland, and was a near relative of Dr. Patrick Plunket,† who successively ruled the dioceses of Ardagh and Meath; as also of Dr. Peter Talbot, Archbishop of Dublin, who, in a letter addressed to him before his appointment to the see of Armagh, styles himself *his cousin*. The latter relationship was probably derived through the Earls of Roscommon.‡ The Bishop of Ardagh, just mentioned, to whom we are indebted for many interesting particulars on this matter, thus

\* To avoid frequent reference, we may as well at once remark that most of the dates hitherto adopted by the biographers of Dr. Plunket are wholly erroneous; thus, for instance, in a late work on Irish ecclesiastical history, we find it stated that Oliver Plunket was born in 1616, went to Rome in 1649, and having pursued his studies for eight years in the Irish College, received consecration in Rome from the Pope himself, in August, 1669. Thus, too, in a late interesting biography, Dr. Plunket's birth is placed in 1631, his journey to Rome in 1649, and his return to Ireland in October, 1669. These dates are wholly at variance with the letters of Dr. Plunket and many other contemporary documents.

† See letter of Dr. P. Plunket, 22nd June, 1669.

‡ In his History of the County of Dublin, page 189, Mr. Dalton, describing the monuments of the ancient chapel of Malahide, writes, "the most worthy of notice is an altar-tomb surmounted with the effigy, in bold relief, of a female habited in the costume of the 15th century, and representing the Honorable Maude Plunket, wife of Sir Richard Talbot. She died in 1482."

writes, on the 19th of October, 1668, to Dr. Oliver Plunket himself, then Agent of the Irish Clergy in Rome\* :—

“As regards your relatives, the Earls of Fingall and Roscommon have re-acquired their lands and property, which were in the hands of Cromwell’s officers, and to the great delight of all friends, the castle of Killiney (Killeen), six (?) miles from Dublin, has been restored to Lord Fingall. The Baron of Dunsany not having recovered any of his estates, is reduced to great poverty : but the Baron of Louth has obtained a partial restitution of what he lost.† Mr. Nicholas Plunket, of Dunsail,‡ has got back all his former possessions. The other Plunkets of Tatrath,§ Balrath, and Preston have not as yet got back their castles, which are all still in the hands of the Cromwellians and Londoners, having been purchased by them from the Parliament in the time of the Rebellion.”

Oliver, at an early age, displayed a desire to devote himself to the sacred ministry, and his education, until his sixteenth year, was confided to his own relative, Dr. Patrick Plunket, then titular Abbot of St. Mary’s, Dublin. This we learn from a letter of the illustrious teacher himself, who, when the buds had put forth their flowers, and the little plant had become a full-grown tree, recalled to mind with delight the labour of former years, and the anxious care with which he had scattered in his tender mind the fruitful seeds of virtue and learning. This letter is addressed to the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda|| :—

“During twenty-two years I ruled the diocese of Ardagh, nor did I abandon the Church entrusted to me until the persecution of Cromwell, when I suffered exile for seven years. As soon as the king, having obtained possession of his hereditary dominions, restored to my dear brother and nephews their castles and possessions confiscated by Cromwell, I obtained permission to return to my native country, in which I was the only bishop to perform the pontifical functions. Promptly and faithfully I carried into effect in the very city of Dublin (though not without imminent danger) the Decrees of the Sacred Congregation against the false and schismatical Brethren, and on every occasion will I intrepidly continue to execute them, even though now in my old age all my blood should be shed; nay, it would be a glorious thing for me to exchange for martyrdom, through reverence for the Apostolic See, the few years of life which yet remain. Rome, as a watchful and holy mother, was not unmindful of my

\* See letter of ditto, 19th October, 1668.

† This agrees with the statement of De Burgo : “Tres fuere simul suntque gentis Plunketane proceres, comes nimirum Fingallus, atque Barones Dunsanius et Louthanus. Cæterum antistes Oliverius prodiit e domo Plunketorum de Lougherew in Midensi agro Lageniae, teste Lodgeo. vol. 1, p. 156.”—*Hibernia Dominica*, p. 130, n. (t).

‡ Dunsoghly.

§ Tara (?)

|| See Appen dix No. 1.

labours; and you, a true lover of Ireland, being the proposer and promoter of my cause, the Holy See and the Sacred Congregation transferred me from the lowly diocese of Ardagh to that of Meath, the most flourishing in the whole kingdom, where, dwelling in my own home, I may superintend my whole flock. For this favour, and for having increased the number of our bishops, I render to you, and ever shall render, all the thanks in my power; *as also for the affection displayed by you towards Dr. Oliver, who is closely united to me by birth. Having educated him from his infancy till his sixteenth year, I sent him to Rome to pursue his studies at the fountain-head of truth, and I now take pride in his having merited your patronage: neither do I believe that my judgment is led astray by flesh and blood when I assert that he burns with ardent zeal for the Apostolic See, and for the spiritual progress of our country. I earnestly commend him to your protection.*

"So much regarding private matters; let us now pass to public affairs.

"In these kingdoms three Parliaments will soon be summoned, whose principal object will be to repress the fanatics, the Independents, and the Presbyterians. The number of these Dissenters has increased, whilst the Protestants have dwindled away almost to nothing. Thanks be to God the number of the Catholics is daily increasing, to whom, if I mistake not, the king will now be favorable, for it is his interest to conciliate them, that aided by them and the Protestants he may have a strong party to oppose to the fanatics and Presbyterians, who are the enemies of all monarchy.

"The Bishop of Kilmore being continually infirm in body, and sometimes too in mind, is not able to repress the dissensions which have arisen in his diocese; the only remedy would be to give him a coadjutor. I propose to you a person renowned for his learning and piety, who during the persecution of Cromwell never abandoned the sheep entrusted to his charge. For six years he dwelt by day in the caverns and rocks, and by night offered the holy sacrifice and refreshed the scattered flock. His name is Robert Plunket, Pastor of Kilbride, and son of the Baron of Locriff, not far from the Diocese of Kilmore. If in your charity you seek to remedy the aforesaid evils, you will be good enough to nominate him or some other coadjutor.

"In this my Diocese of Meath, than which no other is more extensive in the whole kingdom, there are about eighty secular priests, learned and exemplary men; there are also many belonging to the Regular clergy, Dominicans, Augustinians, Franciscans, and some Fathers of the Society, who with great applause attend to the education of youth, so that the heretics themselves send their children to their schools.

"I have placed so clearly before our Catholics the iniquities of Walsh\*

\* A brief account of Father Peter Walsh is given in Brennan's *Eccles. History of Ireland*, t. 2, p. 203. Father Taaffe was brother of Lord Carlingford. Both were Franciscan Friars, and occasioned great evils in Ireland. Walsh was the great promoter of a profession of pretended Catholic principles called the *Remonstrance* (compiled in 1661), which contained many things derogatory from the dignity of the Holy See, and offensive to Catholic ears. He was also an intimate friend of Lord Ormond, a perfidious enemy of Ireland and its religion, who availed himself of the agency of Walsh to excite dissensions in the Catholic body, and to weaken it.

and Taafe, that these two unhappy men have been obliged to quit the kingdom. They now live privately in London, seeking the patronage of the Court, in order to assail us. Against them and their followers I will be ever ready to carry out your Decrees.

"I remain, your Excellency's most obliged and devoted servant,

"PATRICK of Meath.

"Killiney in Meath, 22nd June, 1669."

In 1643, Father Peter Francis Scarampo was sent by the Holy See on a special mission to Ireland. He was a man filled with the spirit of God, and during his stay among them, heaven seemed to smile on the cause of the Irish Confederates, and to crown their efforts with success. In 1645, the Supreme Council petitioned the Holy Father to have a Nuncio to represent him in Ireland, after the manner of great Catholic kingdoms, and at the same time solicited him to confer this dignity on Father Scarampo; but the humble disciple of St. Philip\* offered a most decided opposition to this project, in so much so, that Innocent X., when permitting him by a Brief of the 5th of May, 1645, to return to Rome, expressed regret that through the holy man's humility the Church in Ireland, and with it in a manner the universal Church, should be deprived of his eminent services.

A few months later Father Scarampo, accompanied by five youths,† was seen hastening towards the Irish coast. A frigate was there awaiting him to bear him and his companions to Flanders, and the people flocked around him in thousands to receive for the last time the blessing of one whom they loved, and to pray in return that God would shower down his benedictions on the good Oratorian, and on the youthful Levites whom he was leading with him to the sanctuaries of Rome, there to drink in at the very source the pure streams of truth with which one day they might refresh their native land.

\* The following extract from the Vallicellian MS. life of F. Scarampo will be interesting to many of our readers. The writer says:—"I remember that meeting one day with Cardinal Panzirolo, then Secretary of State of Pope Innocent X., he said to me: 'your Father Scarampo through humility has not accepted the title of Nuncio, but he has fulfilled all the duties of an excellent Nuncio.' And again, Father Wadding, a religious of that exemplary life and learning which the whole world knows said to me frequently: 'I will not call your Father Scarampo by any other name than that of Apostle of Ireland, for he truly deserves this title.'"

† From the Archives of Vallicelli, Barberini, Colleg. Hib. de Urbe.—The departure of Father Scarampo for Rome is erroneously referred by some writers to 1647; not only do the various authorities above referred to, mention it as having occurred in 1645, but Dr. Plunket in many of his letters states that he lived in Rome for *twenty-five years*, which necessarily requires his arrival in that city before the close of 1645.



One of these youths was the future martyr-Archbishop of Armagh, then in his sixteenth year. The holy Oratorian seems to have even then cherished a special affection for *Don Oliverio*, as he loved to style him—an affection increased with each succeeding year, which was faithfully responded to by Dr. Plunket.

A journey from Ireland to Flanders was not without its dangers at this period. The narrow seas were covered with cruisers of the rival states, and pirates, also, continually infested the British Channel. The Nuncio Rinuccini,\* in the month of October of this very year (1645), when sailing from France to Ireland, had more than once with difficulty escaped from the pursuit of the Parliamentary squadron; and Father Scarampo, with his young companions, now incurred like dangers when sailing from the Irish shores. Pursued for twenty-four hours, says his biographer,† by two large vessels, they were more than once in imminent danger of falling into the hands of the enemy. On arriving in Flanders new perils awaited them. When travelling through the country they were seized by bandits, and it was only by the payment of a large sum of money that they obtained their liberation. But Providence having safely conducted them through these and many other trials, at length, before the close of the year 1645, they arrived in the Eternal City, and knelt together around the tombs of the Apostles.

Here we must depart awhile from chronological order, that we may be the better enabled to appreciate the warmth of affection with which, in later years, Dr. Plunket repaid the paternal kindness of the good Oratorian. In 1656, the city of Rome was ravaged by the plague. A central hospital for those stricken by the frightful malady was established in the island of St. Bartholomew. All who, impelled by charity, entered within its walls to minister to the wants of its inmates were deemed devoted to certain death. Nevertheless, many were the zealous priests who sought this martyrdom of charity; and to judge from the records of the time, none displayed in a higher degree that heroism of divine love than F. Peter Francis Scarampo. Scarce, however, had he entered upon his arduous mission in the pestilential wards of the hospital, than the members of his order, and his other many friends in Rome, wrote

\* See the History of "the Confederation of Kilkenny," by the learned and Rev. C. P. Meehan, page 106 *seq.* See also "Castlehaven's Memoirs," and "Nunziatura in Irlanda, di Mgr. Rinuccini," Firenze, 1844, page 67. The fullest details of this journey of Rinuccini are preserved in a MS. letter, written from Limerick on 10th November, 1645, by a companion of the Nuncio, which is preserved in Archiv. Irish College, Rome.

† Arringhi, MS. Life of Vallicelli, and Barberini libraries, also, letter of Scarampo, which we shall afterwards give in full.

letters urging him to abandon the theatre of such imminent danger, and to reserve for other labours his precious life.

The only letter of this apostolic man, which is now preserved in the Vallicellian archives, was written in reply to one of these solicitations, and, in the style of those times, bears the address, "To my Very Reverend Master, Mr. Oliver Plunket, at S. Girolamo della Carità (Rome)." This letter is truly valuable, not only as disclosing to us the noble sentiments of charity and faith which animated the zealous Father of the Oratory, and his ardent affection for Dr. Plunket; but also as recording those facts of their journey to Rome, which otherwise, perhaps, should have remained wholly unknown to us. I translate it entire from the original letter, in the handwriting of Father Scarampo: \*—

"Why do you fear, oh you of little faith? Should we desist from a work which is truly ours, which God wishes us to perform, which is pleasing to Him? I have almost completed my sixtieth year, and never before did such an occasion present itself of satisfying for my sins, and perhaps should I live as many more, such another would not be granted to me: shall I therefore be idle and allow it to be lost? But, you say, you shall die? What matter; even at the Chiesa Nuova and everywhere else we must die. Relatives, friends, masters, acquaintances, dependants, subjects, penitents will grieve; but all these, if they do not die themselves before me will have, at some other time, to weep my death. Would it then be proper for me not to face death, in order to pass perhaps eight, ten, fifteen years more in a painful old age, even should death not prematurely assail me? and yet it will be vain for it to assail me, should not the Lord of death so will it. The same God who snatched both me and you from that death with which the piratical ships threatened us in the English Channel—who freed me from snares in my long and continual journeys—who, in Flanders, by ransom, liberated us from robbers, and who in His power guided us through many other more imminent and certain dangers, which you have never known or heard of—He too is present in the hospital, should I be seized with the pestilence in St. Bartholomew's. In Him do I confide. How therefore do you say to my soul, change your quarters and return? He who sees all things, will He be unwatchful of me?

"So much about myself. As to you, place your trust in God and He will do everything. If I have shown you any love or kindness—for I conferred no benefit—to whom are you indebted? to Him who is the bestower of all gifts, the Father of charity and of kindness; what He performed through me—I repeat it—if it was any good, He can perform the same still better through another. Be of good courage! rest not for your support on reeds, of which I am one of the most fragile. I will

\* This letter is incomplete, not only in the MS. lives, but also in that published by Arringhi. The authors of these lives are also inaccurate in copying some of the sentences. See the original letter in Appendix No. 2.

do what you desire : I will commend you to my Fathers, Virgil and Marianus ; nor need you ever entertain any doubt of their charity. When I have leisure I shall give the testimonial letters. I shall speak about you to Cardinal Barberini, or perhaps I may write to him. Would that I could in like manner assist Mr. Baron.\* Father Virgil will not speak to his Holiness in his favour, unless he be solicited to do so. Let him continue his petitions to Monsignor Ferrini, the Pope's Almoner ; let him also send a petition to Monsig. Piccolomini, which if he himself is not in favour, Dr. Creagh† will not, I think, refuse to take charge of. I wish I were able, and I would do it. Kiss his hand for me. Salute, also, Father Luke‡ and his charge, Father Young§ and his, not forgetting Dr. Creagh and all the other Irish. Thank them for their prayers ; and as these are most necessary for me, have them frequently offered up for me ; and I embrace you from my heart.

"From the island of St. Bartholomew, the Feast of St. Francis (4th Oct.),

"Your most faithful and devoted servant,

"PET. FR. SCARAMPO.

"P.S.—Having applied both mind and hand to the drawing up of the desired testimonials, I am in doubt as to what is to be written ; for you have most unexceptional vouchers as to your birth, journey, life in the city, and in the college, and in the house of S. Girolamo. However, if there is anything special that you desire, send me a copy which I may sign, or which I may re-write with my own hand."

This letter clearly evinces the lively interest with which Father Scarampo sought to provide for his young friend. Indeed, so great was the solicitude which he continually displayed in his regard, that his own brother, on one occasion, said to Dr. Plunket||—"Father Peter Francis does for you what he would never consent to do for me ; for he readily goes on every occasion to speak to the Cardinals in your favour, and this he never once did for me, though I frequently solicited him to do so, even on some matters of great importance."

\* From the form of salutation subsequently added, it is clear that this was an Irish priest. Probably he was Father Walfrid Baron, nephew of the celebrated F. Wadding, and author of many justly celebrated works. He was a Franciscan, for many years resident in Rome.

† This was Dr. John Creagh, Domestic Prelate to Alexander VII. He was brother of the celebrated mayor of that name, so distinguished during the siege of Limerick, and uncle of Dr. Creagh, subsequently Archbishop of Dublin.

‡ F. Luke Wadding, the renowned author of the *Annals of the Franciscan Order*. In the letters of the time he never receives from his friends any other name than *Padre Luca*.

§ He was a Jesuit, at this time Rector of the Irish College, Rome.

|| See MSS. Lives, Barberini, Vallicelli and Arringhi. "Sappiate che il Padre Pier Francesco mio fratello fa per voi quel tanto che non ha giammai voluto far per me ; mentre si prontamente va in vostro servizio all' occorrenza a parlare ai Cardinali. Cosa di che quantunque esso da me nei miei interessi pregato non volle giammai fare."

A few days passed on from the date of the above letter, and the fears of the friends of Father Scarampo were too sadly realized. Struck by the pestilence, contracted in attending the sick, he breathed his last on the 14th of October, 1656, offering up his life as a holocaust of charity. He was interred in the church of St. Nereus and Achilles, and the following simple inscription was placed upon his tomb:—

To Peter Francis Scarampo,  
Superior of the Roman Congregation of the Oratory,  
Who, inflamed with the ardour of charity,  
And ministering to those infected by pestilence,  
Being seized with the same contagion,  
Received as his reward a wished-for death,  
The day before the Ides of October, 1656,  
Of his age the 60th.\*

Amongst the MSS. of the Vallicellian, there is, on a flysheet, another beautiful inscription, composed by some of F. Scarampo's admiring friends, and not improbably by our own Dr. Plunket. It is as follows:—

"Peter Francis Mary Scarampo, from Saone,  
Superior of the Congregation of the Orat. of S. Philip Neri in Rome.  
From nature he received nobility;  
This he increased by his life,  
By his death he rendered it undying.  
During the contagion  
He embraced the heroism of charity,  
And losing life he attained it,  
In the year of salvation 1656, of his age the 60th,  
The day before the Ides of October."†

\* D. O. M.  
Petro Francisco Scarampæ  
Romæ Congregatæ Oratorii Præposito  
Qui dum fervore charitatis accensus  
Pestilentia laborantibus ultro ministrat  
Eodem morbo correptus  
Optatam mortem pro mercede recepit.  
Frid. Id. Oct. MDCLVI.  
Ætat. Suae LX.

† Franciscus Maria Scarampus Saonensis,  
Congregatæ Oratorii S. Philippi Neri Romæ Præpositus.  
Nobilitatem naturâ meruit  
Vitâ auxit  
Morte reddidit Immortalem.  
Grassante lue  
Majorem charitatem amplexus  
Vitam dum perdidit invenit.  
Anno Salutis MDCLVI. Æt. suæ LX.  
Fridie Idus Octobris.

In the letter cited above, Father Scarampo had commended Dr. Plunket to the care of F. Virgilius Spada. This priest was a member of the Oratory, and had been for some time Superior-General of the Order. During the pestilence he had entered the hospital with F. Scarampo, and had ever been his constant companion in his labours of love. To him Dr. Plunket, whilst weeping for his departed friend and benefactor, now turned for consolation; and the fragment of the letter which still remains, addressed by him to F. Spada, will better express than any words of ours the bereavement and sorrow which overwhelmed him. It is preserved in the Vallicellian and Barberini manuscript life of Father Scarampo:—

“Ireland has lost its untiring protector and efficacious benefactor in the death of F. Peter Francis Scarampo; and I, in particular, have lost a father more dear to me than my earthly father, for he conducted me from Ireland, encountering in so long a journey many dangers from pirates and bandits, and bringing me to Rome at his own expense, and also maintaining me for three years in the city and in our college;\* and even when I had completed my studies, his assistance, whether temporal or spiritual, was never wanting to me. God alone knows how afflicting his death is to me, especially at the present time, when all Ireland is overrun and laid waste by heresy. Of my relatives, some are dead, others have been sent into exile, and all Ireland is reduced to extreme misery: this overwhelms me with an inexpressible sadness, for I am now deprived of father and of friends, and I should die through grief were I not consoled by the consideration that I have not altogether lost Father Scarampo; for I may say that he in part remains, our good God having retained your reverence in life, who, as it is known to all, were united with him in friendship, and in charity, and in disposition, so as even to desire to be his companion in death, from which, though God preserved you, yet he did not deprive you of its merit.”

But it is time to resume our narrative, and pursue the career of Dr. Plunket as student, and subsequently as professor, in Rome.

\* There being but a limited number of burses in the college, his expenses were defrayed for a time by F. Scarampo until a burse became vacant.

## CHAPTER II.

### DR. PLUNKET PURSUES HIS STUDIES IN ROME.

THE Irish College for the secular clergy in Rome, as most of the other Irish Continental institutions, dates its origin from the times of persecution. Gregory the XIII. (1572-85) had more than once contemplated the establishment of such an asylum for our nation, but the demands for arms and supplies made on him by the Irish princes then combating for their lives and religion, consumed the various sums set aside by him for this purpose. The bishops of Ireland, however, were persevering in their solicitations, and in a *Relatio status* of the Irish Church presented to Rome in 1625,\* the foundation of an Irish College is insisted on as a necessary means for supplying our suffering island with virtuous and learned pastors, and maintaining its connexion with the centre of Catholic unity.

Among other papers bearing on this subject, one preserved in the Barberini archives gives the following resumé of the motives then urged to attain this end.†

1.—“Lest such faithful and loyal children of the holy Roman Church, more so even than all other Christians, should seem not children, but orphans.

2.—“That at home they may be the more confirmed in their usual constancy in suffering persecution, seeing that they are dear to the chief Pastor of Christendom.

3.—“That the modesty and piety of the Irish may be made known in Rome, where hitherto, since the time of the persecution, some rude stagglers had excited prejudices against the whole nation by their sad ambition and ignorance.

4.—“That through this foundation of a seminary, the same fruits, and perhaps in still greater abundance, may be gathered, which were sought for in the establishment of the seminaries of other nations.

5.—“Because, without such a seminary the restoration of discipline among us would be impossible, as Ireland would remain in ignorance of the discipline of the Roman Church, by which the Christian faith must be propagated and sustained.

6.—“Because, by the same institution, the adjoining islands, and the greater part of Scotland will be succoured, in which scarcely a vestige of religion now remains, and in which the Irish language alone is used.”

\* Archiv. de Prop. Fid.

† Vid. Appendix No. 4.

Notwithstanding the repeated solicitations of the Irish bishops, it was only in the year 1627 that the college was at length established through the munificence of Cardinal Ludovisi, nephew of Gregory XV., and through the untiring exertions of the illustrious ornament of the Franciscan Order in the seventeenth century, Father Luke Wadding. This wonderful man, having already founded the convent of St. Isidore for the members of his own order, earnestly laboured to have a similar institution opened for the secular clergy. An occasion soon presented itself, and, indeed, a truly propitious one. Urban the VIII. had, on his accession to the Papal throne, nominated Cardinal Ludovisi Protector of Ireland. This Cardinal was distinguished even in Rome by his liberality and munificence. One monument alone, the church of St. Ignatius, which is due to his piety, should suffice to immortalize his fame. It was his desire, in which he was confirmed by his most intimate friend, Luke Wadding, to render to the Irish Church some important service calculated to perpetuate the memory of his protectorate. "It was not a difficult matter," says the simple narrative from which we learn these particulars, "to convince his Eminence that no other work was more worthy of his munificence, or could render more lasting service to the Irish Church, than the foundation of a missionary college for the youth of that nation."\* Without delay this idea was carried into effect; and we learn from many sources, that it was the intention of his Eminence not merely to found the college, but to endow it with sufficient funds for the maintenance of a large number of students; death, however, cut short his beneficent designs, and the sum which he was able to bequeath for its endowment being comparatively small, during the 170 years which the college lasted till its suppression by the French usurpers of Rome, in 1798, it was scarcely ever able to receive more than eight students within its walls.

At the period of which we now treat it was under the direction of the Jesuit Fathers, and it sent forth so many learned and distinguished missionaries, who shed lustre on the Irish hierarchy, to which many of them were raised, that it won for itself in Rome the title of nursery of Bishops, "*seminarium episcoporum*." Indeed the 17th century may be justly considered a glorious era in the history of the Irish College.†

\* MS. Hist. of the College written in 1678. "P. Lucas Waddingus, O.S.F., Cardinali. apprime charus proposuit rem quam adductis rationibus, facile ostendit esse munificentia Principis dignissimam, Hiberniæ præ cæteris proficuum et mole sua amplam ac perennem, erectionem videlicet seminarii ad educandam in Urbe juventutem ad usum missionum."

† For instance the following Prelates, contemporary with Dr. Plunket, and whose names will more than once recur in the following pages, were educated in

Of the five students conducted to Rome by Father Scarampo three were placed in the Irish College,\* two of whom, Drs. Plunket and Brennan, were destined, as Archbishops of Armagh and Cashel, to become pillars of the Irish Church in the days of her severest trials; whilst the third, Father Walsh,† having completed his course of studies, entered the congregation of the oratory, and made first Perugia, and then Rome, the theatre of his missionary labours.

On arriving at Rome, Dr. Plunket devoted himself for some time to the study of rhetoric under Professor Dandoni.‡

In the following year, 1646, he was admitted a student of the Irish College. There he applied himself with great diligence for eight years to the study of Mathematics, Philosophy, and Theology;§ subsequently he attended the lectures on Canon Law of the celebrated Jurisconsult Mariscotti,|| in the halls of the Roman University called the Sapienza.

With what ardour and proficiency he applied to these studies we learn from a paper presented to the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda in 1669, by the then Rector of the Irish College, Father Edward Locke.¶

"I, the undersigned, certify that the very Reverend Dr. Oliver Plunket, of the Diocese of Meath, in the Province of Armagh, in Ireland, is of Catholic parentage, descended from an illustrious family, on the father's side from the most illustrious Earls of Fingall, and on the mother's side from the most illustrious Earls of Roscommon, being also connected by birth with the most illustrious Oliver Plunket, Baron of Louth, first Nobleman of the Diocese of Armagh: and in this our Irish College he devoted himself with such ardour to Philosophy, Theology, and Mathematics, that in the Roman College of the Society of Jesus he was justly ranked amongst the foremost in talent, diligence, and progress in his studies: these speculative studies being completed he pursued

the Ludovisian Irish College: Dr. Brennan, Bishop of Waterford and Lismore, and thence transferred to the Archdiocese of Cashel: Luke Plunket, Vicar Apostolic of Raphoe, afterwards of Derry: Ronan Maginn, Vicar Ap. of Dromore: Dr. Cusack, Bishop of Meath: Dr. Peter Creagh, Bishop of Cork, and Cloyne, afterwards Archbishop of Dublin, &c. &c.

\* See Appendix No. 49.

† From the Vallicellian MS. life of Father Scarampo we learn that in 1669 it was the intention of the Sacred Congregation to confer on F. Walsh the Arch-bishopric of Cashel, his native Diocese: he being prevented by sickness from accepting that dignity, Dr. William Burgatt was appointed to that See.

‡ See letter of Dr. Plunket, 30th November, 1679.

§ Amongst those who at this period attracted by their learning foreign students to the halls of the Roman College, we may mention Father Pallavicino, afterwards Cardinal of Holy Church.

|| Mark Anthony de Mariscotti, was one of the most learned Jurisconsults of the 17th century.

¶ See Appendix No. 5.



with abundant fruit the course of Civil and Canon Law, under Mark Anthony de Mariscotti, Professor in the Roman Sapienza, and everywhere and at all times he was a model of gentleness, integrity, and piety.

EDWARD LOCKE,

Rector of the Irish College de Urbe.

Rome, 8th June, 1669."

It was the rule of the Irish College that the students after their ordination should return to Ireland; and they were obliged solemnly to avow their intention of discharging this duty, should they not be exempted from it by their superiors. Such was the state of Ireland in 1654, the date of the ordination of Dr. Plunket, that there were great obstacles to prevent him from entering immediately on his sacred mission. Indeed, nothing can be more sad than the spectacle then presented by our church. The ruthless invasion of Cromwell had rendered like unto a desert the fairest plains of Ireland: her cities were desolate, and the country deluged with the blood of the inhabitants; many of the survivors of the dreadful massacres had been sent to undergo a lengthened martyrdom in the Barbadoes, or the swamps of Savannah: all colleges and seminaries had been suppressed, religious houses dissolved, the clergy proscribed, the Bishops put to death or driven into exile, the churches all ruined: in a word, the long meditated purpose of the enemies of Ireland and of Ireland's creed seemed to have been realized. But still the spark of faith was not extinguished, and faithful pastors, concealed in the recesses of the forests and the fastnesses of the mountains, gathered together the remnants of their scattered flocks and broke to them the bread of eternal life.

Fearing to enter on this arduous mission without further preparation, Dr. Plunket, on the 14th June, 1654, thus addressed the General of the Jesuits.\*

"I, Oliver Plunket, your most humble petitioner, student of the Irish College, having completed my Philosophical and Theological studies, considering the impossibility of now returning to Ireland (as your paternity well knows); in accordance with the rules of this College, and with the oath which I have taken, humbly request of you, most REV. FATHER, that I may be allowed to continue in Rome and dwell with the Fathers of S. Girolamo della Carità. I promise, however, and declare, that I will be ever ready to return to Ireland whenever you, REV. FATHER, or my superiors shall so command.

Rome, 14th June, 1654.

\* See Appendix No. 6.

The permission sought for was readily accorded, and for three years Dr. Plunket devoted himself altogether to study and the unostentatious exercise of the sacred ministry in the silent retreat of S. Girolamo. In 1657, however, his fame for theological learning being wide-spread in Rome,\* he was appointed Professor in the College of Propaganda, where for twelve years he continued to lecture on Speculative, Controversial, and Moral Theology. He was at the same time Consultor of the Sacred Congregation of the Index, and of other Congregations. In a letter of the 15th September, 1674, Dr. Plunkett thus alludes to his labours in promoting study in these schools:—

“I spent in Rome twenty-five years (1645-1669), and for twelve of these I served the Sacred Congregation in the chairs of Theology and Controversy. I also served the Sacred Congregation of the Index, as you are aware. The state in which I found the course of studies in Propaganda, and the progress which they had made before I left Rome, may be learned from the Very Rev. Fathers Libelli, Laurea, Spinola, Sommascho, and the Rector Bonvicini: who were the Prefects of Studies during my time, and are acquainted with the matters to which I refer.”

Dr. Plunket, whilst prosecuting his studies at the Roman College, became acquainted with the celebrated Father Pallavicini, then filling one of the chairs of the Roman College, which had been illustrated by the learning of Suarez, De Lugo, and Toledo. When the literary and theological labours of the great historian of the Council of Trent were rewarded by his promotion to the Roman purple, Dr. Plunket continued to enjoy his friendship, and to live with him on terms of the most cordial intimacy. In a letter addressed to Cardinal Casanatti, 15th August, 1676, the Archbishop of Armagh thus writes:—

“Whilst your Eminence was Secretary of Propaganda I had the honour of enjoying your erudite and learned conversation, in company with His Eminence Pallavicini, of happy memory, and I must in sincerity declare that from such conversation I derived great instruction. Of this and of many other favours received from your Eminence, I, although in these remote parts of the world, retain a lively recollection, and never forget you in the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass, praying the divine Majesty to grant to you long life and every prosperity for the good of all, and especially of this afflicted country.”

\* “Essendo nota la sua grave dottrina nella Sacra Teologia fu eletto l'anno 1657, per lettore di questa sacra facoltà nel Collegio de Prop. Fide.”—Marangoni, pag. 117.

Of those whose society he thus enjoyed, many afterwards attained the highest dignities in the Church; and among others, Monsignor Odescalchi, who in 1676 was raised to the Chair of Peter, assuming the name of Innocent XI. The letter written by Dr. Plunket, then Archbishop of Armagh, on the occasion of this elevation, and addressed to the Cardinal Prefect of Propaganda, exhibits many features of the life of Dr. Plunket in Rome at this period. It bears the date 11th August, 1677:

"May it please your Eminence—It would be difficult to express with what spiritual consolation and joy the Catholics of this kingdom, lay and ecclesiastic, have received the announcement of the merited exaltation of our Holy Father to the Chair of St. Peter, as I have already declared to Monsignor Tanari, the Internunzio, a prelate, indeed, of great prudence, and of extraordinary zeal for the affairs of the Church in this nation, who, on the first news of the election, communicated to us the intelligence. The heretics themselves, who surely are no lovers of the faith of St. Peter, entertain, nevertheless, a special veneration for his present successor; and the English and Scotch, who during the past years visited Rome for sight-seeing, returned greatly edified, and reduced to silence their own preachers and ministers, who from the pulpit proclaim all sorts of fables and falsehoods about Rome. The courtesy and kindness shown to the Protestants who visit Rome are of great advantage to the poor Catholics of this country. Whilst professing Theology and Controversy in the College of Propaganda for many years, I had experience of the sanctity of our Holy Father, and of the great esteem in which he was held by all for wisdom, prudence, and holiness. I was particularly intimate with D. Marcantonio Odescalchi: I often assisted him when he served the poor and ragged and needy, many of whom were covered with vermin. He gathered them into an asylum, and clothed them at his expense: with his own hands he cleansed them, fed them, &c. I am morally certain that God granted to his Church so holy a Head through the merit, in great part, of the saintly D. Marcantonio. This being the case, your Eminence will easily imagine with what joy I received the intelligence of the exaltation of our Holy Father; hence I feel compelled to announce to the people what I saw and what I experienced, that thus they too may raise their hands in thanksgiving to the divine Majesty, and supplicate for the long life of his Holiness. The Irish are especially bound to do this, in consequence of the great tenderness and compassion ever displayed towards them by the Holy See. During the persecutions which they sustained these hundred years past, and which we still have to sustain, rather than abandon the ancient faith, they suffer with joy the plunder of all their goods, and the privation of all offices and dignities: and I am sure the Holy Father will ever with spiritual care promote and preserve the holy faith in this kingdom, and remove all obstacles which might impede its progress.

"I pray your Eminence to inform his Holiness, that there has been

lately published here, in English, in a new form, the pestiferous history of *Soave*,\* which is a continual tirade against the Popes, and against the Council of Trent, with the life of that impostor, as though he were a hero. As the poison has been thus spread, an antidote indeed is necessary; such would be the history of the same Council, by Cardinal Pallavicini, if translated into English; should the duty of translating it be imposed on Dr. Leyburne, Auditor of his Eminence Cardinal Howard, he being a learned man, and versed in the English, Latin, and Italian languages, would perform the task most successfully. You cannot imagine what injury has been done by that pest of a writer, *Soave*: all the nobility, gentry, merchants, &c., read his history, and it is the more detrimental as it pretends to be written by a religious of our communion. I request you once more to propose this matter to the Holy Father, that without delay the necessary remedy may be provided, which indeed would be the above-mentioned translation; and offering you my homage, I shall ever be,

“ Your Eminence's

“ Most devoted and obliged servant,

✠ “ OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

“ Dublin, 11th August, 1677.

“ To his Eminence Cardinal Cybo, &c., &c.”

Dr. Plunket enjoyed, moreover, a close intimacy with the Cerri family, and especially with Monsignor Cerri, with whom, as Secretary of the Sacred Congregation de Prop. Fide, he maintained in after life an uninterrupted correspondence and constant friendship. On being made acquainted with the appointment of his old friend and fellow-student to the high office of Secretary of Propaganda, Dr. Plunket thus wrote to him, recounting the first occasion of his intimacy with that family:—

“ MOST REVEREND LORD,—A letter from any member of the house of Cerri would be at any time most gratifying to me; but the letter of your Excellency, of the 11th of June last, was especially so, not only for its own merits, but on account of the many obligations which I owe to the revered memory of your father, who was my master and benefactor. My intimacy with him commenced in this way:—Father Peter Francis Scarampo was confessor of the pious and devout lady, your mother; she gave frequent accounts to D. Francesco (your father) of the great prudence and virtue of this F. Scarampo, priest of the Chiesa Nuova; and D. Francesco being intimately acquainted with, and esteemed by Cardinal Barberini, excited in his Eminence a great affec-

\* The assumed name of Father Paul Sarpi, a Venetian apostate friar, of the Order of the Servites, author of a history of the Council of Trent, famous for distorting facts, and misrepresenting doctrines.

tion for F. Scarampo, who, after discharging the mission of papal minister in Ireland, conducted me thence to Rome. When the pestilence broke out in that city, F. Scarampo, by a pious stratagem, obtained permission to assist those who were infected in the island of St. Bartholomew, and there he afterwards got sick, and died in the odour of sanctity. A little while before his death he wrote a letter to D. Francesco, recommending me to his protection, which was of great advantage to me, as through his intercession I soon afterwards obtained the chair of Theology, and subsequently of Controversy, in the College de Propaganda Fide, where I continued to teach till I was appointed to the Primatial See of this kingdom, about nine years ago ; so that your excellency, *haereditario jure*, as if by inheritance, is my master and protector ; and I in these remote quarters of the Christian world make continual remembrance of D. Francesco in the most holy sacrifice of the Mass, and I pray for the prosperity of the whole house of Cerri, and I induce other priests to do the same. I am moreover obliged to your Excellency for the favour conferred on Dr. James Cusack,\* who is a learned and prudent man, and has laboured here with great zeal for the last sixteen years. The venerable Bishop of Meath was well deserving of this favour, having served the Sac. Congregation, as bishop, for nearly thirty years, during all the fury of the persecution.

“OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

“Dublin, 30th August, 1678.”

In little more than twelve months from the date of this letter, Monsignor Cerri was hurried to a premature grave; and Dr. Plunket, writing on the 30th of November of the following year, thus expresses his sorrow at that event:—

“I am exceedingly grieved at the death of Monsignor Cerri. He was my fellow-student in the city of Rome, and his father, M. Francis Cerri, was my most dear friend. I shall have the holy sacrifice and prayers offered up for the repose of his soul by the clergy of the province of Armagh, for they are indeed under an obligation of doing so on account of the fatigues which he sustained for them when Secretary of the Sac. Congregation.”

We have already remarked that Dr. Plunket, in 1654, entered the house of the Oratory at S. Girolamo della Carità. The justly-renowned Rubricist Catalani, in his commentary on the Roman Pontifical,† affirms that he became a member of the Oratory; but from the petition presented by him to the general of the Jesuits in June, 1654, it is manifest that he intended merely to reside there; and in the sketch of his life by Marangoni, to which Catalani refers as his authority, it is only said that—

\* He had been lately appointed Coadjutor Bishop of Meath.

† Tom. 3, index, *voc.* Plunket.

"Having completed his studies about the year 1654, he procured a place among the fathers of the house of S. Girolamo della Carità, where he was admitted, and he obtained permission to reside there till such time as his superiors would judge it opportune to send him to Ireland."\*

Even to the present day the house of S. Girolamo continues to be a place of peaceful retreat, where many members of the secular clergy take up their abode, devoting themselves at the same time to the pursuits of study, and to the exercise of the ecclesiastical ministry.

Marangoni was a learned oratorian whose writings have acquired for him a universal fame. In the appendix to his work, "Life of the Servant of God, Father Buonsignore Cacciaguerra," page 116, he gives a chapter entitled "Life of Father Oliver Plunket, afterwards Archbishop of Armagh, and Primate of Ireland." This life extends from the 116th to the 124th page, and contains little more than the translation of Dr. Plunket's discourse at Tyburn. Nevertheless, the few additional sentences that are added are of inestimable value, as illustrating this period of Dr. Plunket's life, and supplying some facts which we would seek for in vain from other sources. He commences his narrative by declaring that Dr. Plunket "should be ranked amongst the most illustrious personages whose virtuous lives adorned the house of S. Girolamo della Carità.")† This house had been founded by St. Philip; many of his early disciples had lived there, and it had ever been the abode of virtue and learning, and hence these words of the learned oratorian show how eminent was the fame of sanctity to which Dr. Plunket had attained, and how distinguished were his missionary labours in Rome.

Marangoni then describes the occupations of Dr. Plunket whilst living at S. Girolamo—

"Here it is incredible with what zeal he burned for the salvation of souls. In the house itself, and in the city, he wholly devoted himself to devout exercises; frequently did he visit the sanctuaries steeped with the blood of so many martyrs, and he ardently sighed for the opportunity of sacrificing himself for the salvation of his countrymen. He moreover frequented the hospital of Santo Spirito, and employed

\* "Terminati gli studj circa l'ano 1654 (it was, as we have seen, precisely June, 1654), procurò di avere luogo tra i Padri di questa Casa di S. Girolamo della Carità ove fu ammesso ed impetrò la facoltà di potervisi trattener quanto fosse piaciuto a chi spettava di rimandarlo con opportunità propria in Ibernia."

† "Uno de' più celebri soggetti che hanno illustrato questa Casa di S. Girolamo della Carità." Pag. 116.

himself even in the most abject ministrations, serving the poor infirm to the edification and wonder of the very officials and assistants of that place."\*

Rome is truly rich in sacred monuments. Its very soil, so often bedewed with martyrs' blood, shed for the Catholic faith; the treasures of the relics of countless saints which it conceals; its sanctuaries and shrines—present resistless attractions to the fervent soul. The great founder of the Oratory, St. Philip, never allowed a day to pass without rekindling, at these shrines, the flames of divine love. Dr. Plunket seems to have taken him for his model, and to have daily visited these holy places with special ardour of devotion. As we have seen, Father Scarampo presented in his life a true model of Christian solicitude for the poor and the infirm: and the devotedness which Dr. Plunket displayed in the public hospitals in assisting them and in ministering to their wants, sufficiently attests what progress he had made in this sacred school of virtue. Marangoni speaks only of the hospital of Santo Spirito; but from the incidental reference made by Dr. Plunket himself in his letter to the Prefect of Propaganda, when speaking of D. Marcantonio Odescalchi, we learn that he visited other hospitals with like charity and zeal.

It was when visiting Santo Spirito, that a holy Priest announced to him his future martyrdom, which gave occasion to the humble prelate to betray the ardent desire with which he burned in his inmost soul to attain that glorious crown. We shall allow the learned Marangoni to narrate this fact in his own words:—

"I cannot here but relate a fact," he says, "which is attested by Father James Mochi, a priest yet living, and Dean of the Fathers of this house of S. Girolamo, who at that time was engaged in attending the hospital of Santo Spirito, so frequented by Father Oliver, who also was well known to him: he therefore attests that Dr. Oliver Plunket, having gone in his episcopal dress to visit that hospital, before his departure from Rome, when standing at the door which looks towards the castle of St. Angelo, and bidding farewell to the then prior, D. Jerome Mieskow, a Polish Priest of extraordinary sanctity of life; the latter, embracing

\* Qui è incredibile il zelo grande per la salute dei prossimi di cui avvampava il suo cuore; si applicò tutto agli esercizi divoti e dentro e fuori di casa; frequentava la visita dei santuarii bagnati col sangue di tanti Martiri generati sospirava ardentemente l'opportunità di sacrificare se stesso per la salute dei suoi. Visitava frequentemente l'ospedale di S. Spirito ove si esercitava ne' ministerj anco più abietti in servizio dei poveri infermi, con edificazione ed ammirazione de' medici uffiziali e servienti di quel luogo." Pag. 116.

him, and, as if prophecying, said to him: 'My Lord, you are now going to shed your blood for the Catholic faith.' And he being wholly inflamed with the desire of thus shedding his blood for Christ, replied with humility: 'I am unworthy of such a favour; nevertheless, aid me with your prayers that this my desire may be fulfilled.'"<sup>\*</sup>

### CHAPTER III.

#### DR. PLUNKET AS AGENT OF THE IRISH CLERGY AT ROME.

AT the close of the year 1668 there were only two Catholic Bishops in Ireland, Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Ardagh, and Dr. Owen McSweeney, Bishop of Kilmore.<sup>†</sup> On the continent three other members of our Hierarchy, the Bishop of Kilfenora, the Bishop of Ferns, and the Archbishop of Armagh,<sup>‡</sup> lived in exile. No wonder, then, that the widowed churches of Ireland should have hailed with joy the 21st of January, 1669, the day on which the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda nominated four new bishops to vacant sees, *i.e.*, Dr. Peter Talbot to the Archiepiscopal See of Dublin, Dr. William Burgatt to Cashel, Dr. James Lynch to Tuam, and Dr. Phelan to Ossory.

The Irish ecclesiastics scattered throughout the continent shared in the common jubilee; and amongst other letters written on this occasion I find one addressed to the Secretary of Propaganda by Dr. Fallon,<sup>§</sup> Professor of Theology in the University of Bologna, who thus writes to thank the Sacred Congregation for the favour conferred on the Church of Ireland.<sup>||</sup>

\* "Non tralascierò qui di notare ciò che attesta il P. Giacomo Mochi sacerdote ancora vivente e Decano de' Padri di questa casa, che allora si ritrovava all'assistenza del sudetto Archispedale di S. Spirito tanto frequentato dal P. Oliverio da lui molto ben conosciuto: riferisce che portatosi in abito Prelatizio, a visitare quel luogo, nell'atto del licenziarsi che fece sulla porta del medesimo luogo, che guarda il castello col Priore che era allora il Sig. D. Girolamo Mieskovio Polacco Sacerdote di gran bontà di vita, abbracciandolo questi quasi profetando nell'abbracciarlo, gli disse: Monsignore voi ora andate a spargere il sangue per la fede Cattolica: ed egli che n'era tutto acceso di desiderio gli rispose con umiltà: 'Non ne sono degno, ma pure aiutatemi colle vostre orazioni acciò questa brama si adempisca.'"

† Dr. French, writing on the Continent in 1667, speaks of Dr. McSweeney as then dead. This is an error: for the letters of the Archbishop of Armagh, and the other Prelates, in 1668, and 1669, more than once speak of him as yet living, although retired in the most remote part of the country, and subject to continual infirmities both in body and mind.

‡ Dr. Edmund O'Reilly died in Paris, in March, 1669.

§ Dr. Fallon was for twenty-five years Professor of Theology in the University of Bologna.

|| See Appendix No. 7.



"Most Reverend Monsignor, I lately received letters from Dr. Plunket, by which I was able sufficiently to understand the great solicitude and benevolence of your Excellency in succouring our country, which is so poor, and menaced by so many dangers: wherefore, may God grant to you his blessing, and may you during your whole lifetime enjoy the good things of Jerusalem. I certainly am of opinion that your selection could not fall on persons better suited, and more prudent than those you have selected for the spiritual government of our falling kingdom of Ireland. I myself know almost all of them to be of a most virtuous and exemplary life; and, I doubt not, full of zeal for the Catholic faith: wherefore I return thanks, not indeed proportionate, but as far as is in my power, to the bestower of so great a gift, devoting myself perpetually to your service; praying that you may enjoy a long and happy life, for the propagation of the faith, and the direction of the Church of Ireland.

"GREGORY FALLON.

"Bologna, 20th July, 1669.

"To the Secretary of the Cong. de Prop. Fid."

During this period of persecution our Irish Hierarchy was more than once on the verge of destruction; and if our Church at the present day does not present the sad desolation of England and Scotland, we are indebted under Heaven to those indefatigable men who laboured in season and out of season to preserve unbroken, despite the efforts of the enemies of our holy faith, the succession of our chief pastors.

No one laboured more strenuously than Dr. Plunket in attaining this happy result. He had already been for some time agent in the Roman Court for his relative the Bishop of Ardagh; but no sooner were the new bishops nominated by the Holy See than he was chosen by them their common representative in Rome. The letter addressed to him by Peter Talbot, the justly celebrated Archbishop of Dublin, is exceedingly interesting under many respects. He thus writes:—

"The Bishop of Ferns has requested me to unite with him in constituting you our agent in the Roman Court, for the Province of Dublin, to which request I have most readily assented, well knowing your zeal for the faith, and the affection you bear your friends; and that you will correspond with the confidence placed in you, to the full satisfaction of all.

"I was consecrated in Antwerp, on Sunday last\* (the 8th of May),

\* There is here a marginal note, "16th May, 1669;" but this cannot be correct. Comp. letter of Dr. Talbot, written on the 15th May, 1669 (styl. vet.), which was Sunday. See App. No. 13. He says he was consecrated on the preceding Sunday, that is, the 8th of May, (styl. vet.)

and I now return in haste to London to meet Peter Walsh, and oppose his infamous efforts against God, the king, and his country; and, although he protends nothing but allegiance to the king, I know that this is only a mantle with which such plotters ever seek to mask their evil designs.

"Until such time as you shall be able to procure the pallium for me, I have obtained a Brief, authorizing me to exercise its prerogatives and privileges. Nevertheless, I beseech you to ask for it in the same manner as it was granted to my predecessors, and that as soon as possible.

"Neither I nor my Province shall present any petition in the Roman Court, without giving intelligence to you and Dr. Brennan, and we hope that no attention will be paid to any one else. I say this, because I have heard that a memorial was presented to the Sacred Congregation, or to the Holy Father, soliciting the power to absolve those who incurred the censures of Rinuccini; this would occasion great disorder, as there is a rigorous edict of the king against all who would ask for such an absolution; and I believe it is not the desire of the Sacred Congregation that any noise should be made in this matter: it is well that we should have the power of absolving *in foro conscientie* all such as have any scruples on this head, but it would be unwise to send any public document to that effect.

"In the Province of Armagh there is such confusion that I suppose an Archbishop will soon be appointed. I have proposed three: Patrick Everard, a learned man, of noble and ancient lineage; he studied in Seville, in Spain, and is a good theologian and preacher; Thomas Fitzsymons, and a certain Dr. Nugent. Everard is the best suited.

"Your most affectionate cousin,

"PETER OF DUBLIN."\*

Before Dr. Plunket could represent to the Sacred Congregation the views of the Archbishop of Dublin regarding the censures of Rinuccini, the power of absolving from them had already been dispatched from Rome. The Internuncio writes on the 18th of April, acknowledging the receipt of these faculties for the Irish bishops, and we find Dr. Talbot, in the postscript to his letter of the 15th May, thus referring to the letter he had just received, conveying the power of absolving from these censures.†

"I have received the letters of your Eminence dated 27th April, by which faculty is granted to absolve all who solicit absolution from

\* This letter is without date, being only a copy of the original letter. There is the following note in the handwriting of Dr. Plunket:—

"Dr. Talbot started from Brussels on the 28th May for London, as I have been informed by Bartholomew Plunket, President of the Irish Seminary in Brussels."

† See Postscript of Appendix, No. 13.

the censures fulminated by Rinuccini. I embrace with due obedience and humility the paternal goodness of His Holiness; but it seems to me that the publication of such a faculty would be attended with great danger; as it was enacted by a law of the King and Parliament of Ireland, that any one asking to be absolved from the censures of Rinuccini should be incapable of acquiring goods or receiving any inheritance, and by far the greater number of the Catholics applaud this law; nor do I remember any one having had recourse for absolution from these censures, to those who formerly received a like faculty; for all, with one accord, attribute the ruin of our country to the divisions occasioned by these censures. Nor are they the ignorant alone who say this, but even the greater part of the clergy, secular and regular, warmly contend that the censures were invalid. Wherefore it surprises me how a petition to absolve from them could be presented to His Holiness in the name of the Catholic bishops of Ireland. I indeed deem it very proper that we should have power to absolve in the tribunal of penance all such as recur to us; but should this become known, the whole Hierarchy of Ireland would be exposed to great risk, and the Irish laity would be compelled to declare by public document that they never gave any commission to have such a request forwarded to His Holiness. Wherefore I think it expedient, and I stated so to his Excellency the Internunzio, that this faculty should be given by word of mouth to the Archbishops, but that the letters of your Eminence should in nowise be transmitted to Ireland, till such time as an answer may be received to this difficulty, which with due submission I propose.

“PETER OF DUBLIN.

“Brussels, 15th May, 1669.”

The result was that this faculty of absolving from the censures of Rinuccini was not published;\* and we find some years later the same subject engaging the attention of the Sacred Congregation and of our Irish bishops.

The predecessors of Dr. Plunket, as representatives of the Irish bishops in Rome, had filled that office with prudence, and conciliated for themselves and for the Irish Church the esteem of the authorities in that city. Dr. Burgatt, who, as we have

\* Nothing can be more groundless than the assertions of Charles O'Connor in his Historical Address, as to the refusal of the Holy See to grant absolution from the censures of Rinuccini. Before the Nuncio took his departure from Ireland he gave faculty to the Archbishop of Armagh to absolve from these censures, and to sub-delegate such faculty to any others he might deem fit. On his death, Alexander VII., by special Brief, gave a like faculty to the Bishops of Raphoe, Cork, Leighlin, and Clonfert (1655); on the death of the Bishop of Raphoe, the newly appointed Archbishop of Armagh was, a few years later, nominated in his place: and in 1665, another Brief seems to have been addressed to all the surviving bishops, empowering them in like manner to absolve from these censures. On another occasion we may perhaps discuss more fully the merits of some of Dr. O'Connor's statements in his “Historical Addresses,” &c.

seen, was at this time appointed Archbishop of Cashel, had held that office for many years; and Dr. O'Dwyer, whose name is so justly illustrious as connected with the national Confederation, and subsequently as Bishop of Limerick, was in the early half of this century deputed, on more than one occasion, as agent of our bishops to the Papal court. Those who, during the latter years of the seventeenth century, were appointed to the same office were not unworthy of their predecessors, and the names of Dr. Brennan, Dr. Creagh, Dr. Sleyn, and Dr. Michael Plunket sufficiently attest the solicitude of the Irish Prelates in sending worthy representatives to the central See of the Catholic world.

The principal efforts of Dr. Plunket were directed against the machinations of Taafe and Peter Walsh, who left no stone unturned to find patrons and abettors for their well-known "Remonstrance." Indeed, through the zeal and labours of Dr. Talbot, both before and after his appointment to the Episcopate, this Remonstrance was soon wholly discredited in Ireland, and Dr. Plunket laboured with equal ardour in Rome to second his efforts, and make known to the authorities there the real iniquitous designs of the Remonstrants. The Archbishop of Dublin on the 4th of July, 1669, thus wrote to Dr. Plunket, congratulating him on his labours in the cause of the Irish Church, and supplying at the same time much interesting information regarding Peter Walsh\* :—

"DEAREST COUSIN,—I have received your four letters, all treating of the same matter, and I am much obliged for your efforts to promote the public welfare of our country, and I hope that in its own good time you shall receive the fruit of your labours. We know by experience the benevolence and favour of Monsignor Baldeschi : our prayers and hearts are his. When the occasion presents itself, thank the Cardinal Barberini, and tell him how I esteem his counsel not to enter into disputes with Walsh; I discredit him, however, in the esteem of those who are in authority and of men of learning. Here he is so concealed and unknown that no one can tell where he lives. He has made many offers, and shown more than once a desire to submit to Holy Church; but when it comes to the point he wishes to maintain his opinions and to justify himself. Through others I hold out to him hopes of clemency and pardon should he return to the truth, and retire to some Catholic country to one of his convents or monasteries. In my opinion it would be time that his Superiors should oblige him, under penalty of incurring censure, to retire to Flanders, which precept or command should be sent to him without delay, for it is his boast that he has never disobeyed them.

"Yours, &c.,

"London, 4th July, 1669.

"PETER OF DUBLIN."

\* See Appendix No. 9.

A paper regarding Walsh and his associates, presented by Dr. Plunket to Propaganda in May, 1669, contains many further particulars on this subject, which he states were gleaned from letters of Dr. French, and Bartholomew Plunket, President of the Irish College in Brussels, written on the 13th of April, 1669:—

“Walsh has sent an agent to London to seek a continuation of the protection of the Queen, but her Majesty has withdrawn her protection from him. This agent also sought to procure for Walsh the favour of the new Viceroy, but he received for answer that if the new Viceroy found Walsh in Ireland on his arrival he would send him to the scaffold.

“F. Taafe is gone to London, and is waiting to receive money and a safe conduct from Monsignor, the Internuncio, to pass into Flanders.

“Ormond, now that he has lost all power, puts himself forward, and pretends to be a well-wisher of his country. The King makes a display of affection towards him, but his intimacy with the Duke of York gives displeasure, and it is thought that for this reason he was deprived of his office.

“The Catholic gentry of Ireland lately sent Sir Nicholas Plunket as their agent to London, to obtain from the King a restoration of their property on the occasion of the change of government; but it is feared that nothing will be gained, as many in the Court are interested in the plunder, the Duke of York receiving annually two hundred thousand scudi† (£50,000), and Ormond a like sum.”‡

The Taafe to whom reference is made in this letter is almost unknown in the published histories of this period; and yet few events attracted more attention for many years, or threatened our Irish Church with such imminent danger, as the imposture which he devised, and which can scarcely find a parallel in the ecclesiastical annals of any country. To support the ruinous fabric of the Remonstrance, this companion of Peter Walsh forged a Bull from the Holy See, empowering him, though a simple friar, to act as Vicar Apostolic of all Ireland, and depose, as he should think fit, the local Vicars and Bishops, and make many other arbitrary arrangements for the due reformation of the Irish Church; all his plans, however, having for their chief object to discredit and depose whosoever had been opposed to the Remonstrance, and to place the ecclesiastical authority of the country in the hands of its favourers and abettors. So

\*See Appendix No. 10.

† According to the relative exchange of that time, four scudi were deemed equivalent to £1.

‡ Plowden, *Hist. Review of State of Ireland*, t. 1, p. 175, brings the testimony of a writer who states that “the gifts and grants of Ormond amount to £630,000;” all which gifts were continued by Parliament.

artful was the forgery, and so ingenious its author, that he procured the recognition and authentication of his Bull, not only from Ormond and the English Government, but even from Dr. Darcy, Bishop of Dromore, and Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Ardagh. The particulars of the confusion which ensued in many dioceses, and of the sums which were levied on various ecclesiastics, in virtue of this pretended authority, belong to the Life of Dr. Edmund O'Reilly, to whom we are chiefly indebted for having unmasked this iniquitous imposture. A letter, addressed to Dr. Oliver Plunket by the Bishop of Ardagh, in 1668, evinces the zeal with which that good Prelate sought to amend his error, committed in recognizing Taafe, whilst at the same time it affords much additional information regarding our Irish Church at this period:—

“Dublin, 19th Oct. styl. vet. 1668.

“I have received your letter, which was most gratifying to me and to all your friends, as well for the news concerning your health, as for the information regarding the pretended Commission of Taafe, authenticated, as he pretended, by Cardinal Roberti, and by a public notary. Few or none dared to oppose his Commission in the commencement, through reverence for the Apostolic See, and F. Taafe made various copies, and sent Visitators with them throughout the whole kingdom, who for the most part were those who had signed the rash and scandalous formula of Peter Walsh. When I saw the Commissioners whom he employed I commenced to doubt of the validity of the Commission, and I rejected it, as the whole kingdom knows, even before the letters came from Rome, and I made this known by a public deed; for when one of the Visitators of Taafe had excommunicated the Vicar-General of our Primate, the Archbishop of Armagh, I declared the excommunication null. This exceedingly annoyed Taafe, and in all his subsequent letters he declared me his enemy, which, indeed, affected me very little. When, thanks to divine Providence, the letters and orders of the Sacred Congregation, written in the name of the Holy Father, came to me, I, laying aside all human respect for family or parentage, rigorously executed them, and presenting myself to Taafe in this city, exhorted him most pressingly to be obedient to the Holy See, it being a human fault to err, but a truly diabolical one to persevere in error. He, in the beginning, despised my exhortation, and with a fierce oath exclaimed that the Queen Mother, who had obtained for him this Commission from two Sovereign Pontiffs, would maintain him in spite of all his opponents; and he boasted that he would send me and all the clergy of Ireland into exile. I answered, that we were all ready to suffer in so just a cause, but that with God's aid he would not be able to prevail in any way against us. Taafe, afterwards, reflecting on matters, thought better to write to me, declaring that he would submit to the commands of the Holy See, and of the Sacred Congregation, as I announce to his

Eminence Cardinal Barberini in the enclosed letter, which you will hand to him without delay.

"For the rest, it would be tedious to describe all the particulars of the manner of proceeding of this friar, *ex ungue leonem*. He has commanded all his Visitators to exact twenty scudi from each Vicar-General, and four scudi from each Parish Priest; and he commanded that in case of poverty, and of their not being able to pay this sum, they should on three successive Sundays, *intra Missarum Solemnia*, ask it as alms from the people. His manner of life gives occasion to great scandal. May God grant him repentance, and give him grace to change his life."

Taafe went through all this farce more as the dupe of Peter Walsh than through any malice of his own; after repeated summonses he at length repaired to the Eternal City, and for many years led a retired life in the convent of S. Isidore.

On the same day on which the bishops were nominated to the vacant Sees, Dr. Patrick Plunket was transferred from Ardagh to Meath. Dr. Oliver Plunket, when petitioning for this translation, assigned as its motive the sad condition to which the diocese of Ardagh had been reduced since the devastation of Cromwell. "No two Catholics," he says, "have been left in possession of their hereditary estates, and the whole country is parcelled out between the soldiers of Cromwell and the merchants of London, who purchased it from that tyrant or from Parliament."\*

In the month of April following Dr. Plunket obtained for the same prelate the faculty of conferring Holy Orders "*extra tempora et non servatis interstitiis*;" as also "of consecrating the holy oils in the presence of at least five priests; but not on any other day except Holy Thursday, unless necessity should require the contrary."† The most important petition, however, presented by him to the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation was addressed in the name of all the bishops, and was as follows‡:—

"To establish peace and order in the 36 dioceses, which are in the four provinces of the kingdom of Ireland, whose secular clergy amounts to the number of a thousand, the regulars, moreover, being six hundred, and the Catholic population two millions, we supplicate your Excellency, through the great zeal and affection you bear our kingdom, to consider the following points:—

\* "Non vi sono due Signori Cattolici in possesso dei loro Castelli o possessioni essendo quasi tutta (la diocesi) distribuita tra i soldati di Cromwello tiranno, e tra i Mercanti di Londra, i quali l'hanno comprato dal Parlamento o dal detto Cromwello."

† Consecrandi olea cum quinque saltem Sacerdotibus, non extra diem Coenæ Dni. nisi necessitas aliud urgeat."

‡ See Appendix, No. 12.

" 1. That until such time as the Sees of Ireland are provided with Bishops and Vicars Apostolic, the Bishops already appointed may nominate Vicars-General to the Dioceses which are vacant, or may become vacant, that thus all occasion of schism may be removed.

" 2. That the Vicars Apostolic, should they be constituted, may be made dependent on the resident Bishop of the Province.

" 3. That on any day of Lent the Bishops may consecrate the Holy Oils.

" 4. That the Bishops may have the faculty of blessing a Crucifix, which being kissed by the dying, may communicate to them the indulgence in *articulo mortis*, and that he may bless one such Crucifix for each Parish Priest.

" 5. That the Bishops may be enabled to communicate their faculties to such Irish Priests, as moved by the spirit of God, may feel a vocation to undertake the arduous mission of the American islands to succour the many thousands of Irish Catholics sent thither into exile by the tyrant Cromwell, and who, through the want of Priests, run great risk of their eternal salvation.

" 6. That by one Order or one Brief, an indulgence may be granted to all the Cathedrals, Churches, and Parishes of Ireland on their titular feast, their dedication, the festivals of our Lord, of the Blessed Virgin, and the holy Apostles. I said in virtue of one Brief or Order; for to multiply Briefs for every Church would be too tedious and dangerous in a country ruled by heretics, where there are rigorous penal laws against any such communication with Rome; and that this indulgence may be gained wherever the Parish Priest says Mass, for we have no fixed Churches or Oratories, but celebrate the Holy Sacrifice often in the fields, now at one place, now at another, and often too in the castles of the nobility and gentry." . . . .

These faculties seem to have been accorded by the Holy Father; but when a little later Dr. Plunket, as Archbishop of Armagh, represented the imminent danger of being detected by the Government spies, and the difficulty of assembling so many Priests, and hence further solicited the faculty of consecrating the oils with the assistance of only *two Priests*, he received for answer from the Secretary of the Holy Office that this case had been already provided for (*jam provisum est*) in the faculties communicated to Bishops in heretical countries (*in locis infidelium*).

Whilst Dr. Plunket thus laboured in the eternal city to promote the interests of the Irish Church, he displayed an equal solicitude in providing for the wants of his suffering countrymen who perchance had taken refuge in that common asylum of all the faithful. I find one instance especially recorded in a MS. account of some of the early Students of the Irish College.\*

\* Archiv, Colleg. Hib. de Urbe.



In the month of April, 1666, Dr. Edmund O'Reilly, Archbishop of Armagh, took his departure from Rome. A few days before his leaving an Ecclesiastic arrived from Ireland, and solicited admission to the Irish College. No burse, however, was vacant in the College, and as the young traveller's funds were exhausted, a sad alternative presented itself to his mind. No sooner was this case of distress made known to Dr. Plunket than he set to work to satisfy the pious desires of the young man, and obtaining a contribution of 30 scudi from the Primate, from various other individuals an additional sum of 50 scudi, and supplying 20 scudi, the sum which was yet wanting, from his own scanty funds, he succeeded in placing him within the College walls, and maintaining him there till such time as he was able to enjoy a burse of that institution.

It was probably at this period that Dr. Plunket composed the Irish poem to which O'Reilly, in his "Irish Writers," refers, and which thus began:—" *Oh Tara of the Kings!*" During his infancy he had often roamed about that royal hill, and it cannot surprise us that in after-life his soul should dwell with rapture on the ancient glories of his country clustered around its summit. Such reminiscences especially could not fail to recur to his mind when standing amidst the ruined trophies of the persecutors of the Christian name, he contemplated from afar the struggles of his countrymen, and the persecutions which they endured, not so much in the cause of nationality as for the faith of their fathers.

---

## CHAPTER IV.

### DR. PLUNKET NOMINATED ARCHBISHOP OF ARMAGH.

ON the 9th of July, 1669, Dr. Oliver Plunket was nominated by the Sacred Congregation Archbishop of Armagh. His illustrious predecessor had been compelled, by the storm of persecution which laid waste our island, to seek an asylum on the Continent, and some months had now elapsed since death closed his eventful career. Having been almost wholly deprived for many years of the presence of its chief spiritual pastor, the Church of Armagh was torn by dissensions, and the germs of many scandals had appeared in some of the districts subject to the Primatial See. Hence, urgent were the solicitations of the Irish prelates to have a successor appointed without delay, who might heal these wounds and restore peace and tranquillity to the

desolate flocks. None more forcibly represented to the Holy See the necessity of appointing at once a distinguished prelate to the vacant Church, than the Most Rev. Peter Talbot, the lately consecrated Archbishop of Dublin. On the 15th of May, 1669, he thus writes to the Cardinal Prefect of Propaganda\* :—

“ With due reverence I have received here in Brussels the Apostolic letters and the faculties, together with a letter of your Eminence, addressed to me, and another addressed to the clergy of Dublin; and on last Sunday I was consecrated in Antwerp. I confess that the burden imposed on me by His Holiness far exceeds my strength, which I know to be slight indeed. But I hope that the spirit which rules the whole Church and distributes its grace and gifts according to the exigency of the office which each one discharges, will also grant to me such aid as may enable me to guard from the wolves the flock committed to my charge, and to repel the last efforts of Peter Walsh; who, not content with his past persecution, now again leaves no stone unturned to obtain a confirmation in his infamous office of government satellite and spy, that thus he may continue in his schism. To attain this dignity, he is preparing for a journey to England, strengthened by the solicitations of some heretics, and the commendatory letters of the pseudo-Primate of Armagh. But these arms will but little aid the cause of the discredited and foolish man, who received a fatal blow in the fall of the Duke of Ormond, or at least in his removal from the government of Ireland. As for me, both by my own desire and by the counsel of my friends, I hasten to London in order to oppose Walsh, and confirm the royal ministers in their sentiments and hatred of his iniquities. Thence I shall proceed direct to Dublin, and soon acquaint your Eminence with the present state of matters, and my future hopes.

“ But as no part of Ireland stands so much in need of a proper Pastor and Primate as the Province of Armagh, in which the clergy is split into factions, giving occasion of great scandal not only to the Irish Catholics, but also to the English and Scotch Protestants, who are very numerous in Ulster, I cannot delay to acquaint your Eminence with the necessity of promptly nominating an Archbishop for Armagh. For though it is not expedient for the present to create many bishops, lest Ormond should say that the Papal authority received a sudden and dangerous increase in Ireland since his withdrawal; nevertheless, the Bishop of Armagh added to the other three Archbishops can give no occasion of evil report or envy, especially should he be a person not displeasing to the Court. Three have been proposed to me by those best acquainted with matters and persons. *D. Patrick Everard*, a learned theologian, exceedingly pious and prudent. He suffered much for the Catholic faith during the thirty-six years which he strenuously and untiringly laboured in cultivating the vineyard of the Lord, and in

\* Vid. Append. No. 13.

rooting out vices in the province of Armagh, of which he is a native, and he is descended from a noble and ancient family. His prudence appears even from the fact that in all the dissensions of the clergy of his province, he was never known to be the author or promoter of factions; and whilst there was no more determined enemy of the Remonstrants, no one, at the same time, was less obnoxious to the government. He opposed and condemned the Dublin approval of the Sorbonic propositions; he is well skilled in the Irish language, preaches also in English, and is dear to both nations; nor will his appointment be displeasing to the king. The second proposed to me for the Primacy is *D. Thomas Fitzsimons*, or *Mac Symons*, to whom nothing is objected excepting his having signed the Dublin formula. The third is *Dr. Nugent*, who lives in Spain, and I believe taught there; but I do not deem him so well suited as *D. Everard*.

"Moreover as the Province of Armagh is so vast, that at least two suffragans may be required, and as the Bishop of Kilmore is either delirious or deficient in many things, I would recommend *D. Oliver Dease*, Vicar-General of Meath, as worthy of the See of Ardagh, or of Clogher, especially as the only objection made to him is that of age; whilst, nevertheless, he is of a robust constitution. Surely the number of years during which he has fought the battles of God, should favour, rather than impede, the promotion of one who is thus at the same time full of merits and of years.

"No public danger can now be feared from *F. James Taafe*, for he is so deficient as well in cash as in prudence, that he can be of harm only to himself: he is deemed a solemn impostor by all. He daily promises to start for Belgium, and, I am sure, he will be faithful to his promise, for without money it will be difficult for him to remain any length of time in England. . . . .

"PETER OF DUBLIN.

"Brussels, 15th May, 1669. (styl. vet.)"

Besides those here proposed by *Dr. Talbot*, many others were recommended to the Sacred Congregation as worthy to succeed to the Primatial See. Some difficulty, however, was met with in regard to each of them. *Dr. Everard*, for instance, a member of the Society of Jesus, was Rector of the Irish College of Antwerp, and the only pillar of its support; and his removal from it threatened to deprive the nation of that ecclesiastical resource. *Dr. Nugent* was advanced in years, and the merits of *Dr. Fitzsimons* had not as yet been sufficiently attested to justify his nomination to that important See. "But why delay," said the Holy Father, "in discussing the dubious merits of others, whilst we have here in Rome, a native of that island, whose merits are known to us all, and whose labours in this city have already added so many wreaths to the peerless glory of

the 'Island of Saints.' Let D. Oliver Plunket be Archbishop of Armagh.\*

This appointment, whilst it filled with terror and dismay the sowers of dissension and the enemies of our holy faith; called forth the applause of the true lovers of Ireland, and of the watchful guardians of that chosen portion of the Church of God. The illustrious Bishop of Ferns thus writes from his place of exile to the Archbishop of Cesarea, then Secretary of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda:†

"MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND REV. LORD,—Applauding and rejoicing I have hastened hither from Gand to the most Reverend and Illustrious Internunzio of Belgium, to return all possible thanks to our Holy Father, in the name of my countrymen, for having crowned with the mitre of Armagh the noble and distinguished Oliver Plunket, Doctor of Theology. . . . To your influence we owe it, that such a prelate, of noble birth, and adorned with exalted talents, benevolence, and virtue (and yet of no proud conceit) should be raised to the government of the Primatial Church, the spirit of God leading the minds of their Eminences to this conclusion. It came from on high (such is my opinion), that whilst your Excellency wisely laid open this matter to the Holy Father, he should place this excellent man on the mountain of the Church, in which office, he will be a light to all who hope in the Lord.

"The Holy Father acted holily and justly, in promoting a devoted child of the Roman See, rather than one who, having favoured Walsh the Philistine, when combating against the Ark of God, nowise deserved to be honoured by the Church. . . . Do you, in the mean time, most Illustrious Lord, pursue your course; for by your counsel, affection, and efforts, the falling Hierarchy of the Irish Church has commenced to exalt itself above heresy and error; according to your piety, promote the members of both Clergy, but only such as are faithful servants of God, soldiers of Christ, champions of the Cross, devoted to the Holy See, and holily fulfilling their vows to God. I lovingly kiss your consecrated hands.

"In all things your most obedient servant,

"NICHOLAS, Bishop of Ferns.

"Brussels, 30th August, 1669."

\* His contemporary, Arsdekin, thus writes:—"Præbuit div. Providentia occasionem, per quam acquisitum tot annis solidæ sapientiæ thesaurum in patriam suam latius erogaret. Postulabatur a Sum. Pont. Clemente IX. præsul idoneus qui Ecclesiam Armacanam cum suprema Primatis potestate in Hibernia administraret . . . Demum sua Sanctitas omnibus pro rei gravitate expensis in hanc sententiam conclusit: Non est cur diutius consultemus de incertis, quando rem certam ante oculos habemus. En virum probatæ virtutis, consummatæ doctrine, diuturnæ experientiæ in ipsa urbis Romæ luce omnibus dotibus conspicuum Oliverium Plunketum: hunc ego Archiepiscopum. Armacanum, hunc ego Hiberniæ Primatem Apostolica auctoritate constituo."—(Theol. Trip. tom. 3, p. 227.)

† See Appendix, No. 14.

Dr. James Dowley, lately appointed Vicar Apostolic of Limerick, writes in like manner, congratulating the Holy See on the happy appointment made to Armagh\* :—

"MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND REV. LORD,—I return exceeding thanks to your Excellency for my election in the last Congregation (through your solicitude and care) as Vicar Apostolic of Limerick, whilst I also find that it is your intention to exalt me, though unworthy, to a still higher dignity.†

"Most pleasing to all was the appointment of Dr. Plunket, and I doubt not but it will be agreeable to the Government, to the Secular Clergy, and to the Nobility; and all this we owe to your Excellency. We shall soon return to our country, when I shall give an account of the flock committed to my charge. . . .

"JAMES DOWLEY.

"Paris, 23rd August, 1669.

"To the Archbishop of Cæsarea, &c., &c., &c."

The ornament of the college of St. Sulpice, in Paris, was at this time Dr. John O'Molony, who a few years later was appointed Bishop of the ancient See of Killaloe. He too thus returned thanks to the Sacred Congregation for the favour now conferred on our Irish Church‡ :—

"New favours require the expression of new gratitude, and the renewal of benefits can only be requited by the renewal of thanksgiving. Not long ago, though unknown to your Excellency, yet, laying aside all fear of temerity, I addressed to you letters expressive of my sincere gratitude for the great watchfulness and solicitude which you displayed for the welfare of our Church, as though it alone occupied your attention, though on you rests the burden of so large a portion of the whole Catholic world. You had already laid the foundations of our edifice, erected the pillars, and given shepherds to feed the sheep and the lambs: but now, that the work should not remain imperfect, you have crowned the edifice, and provided a Pastor for the Pastors themselves, appointing the Archbishop of Armagh. For it is not of the Diocese of Armagh alone that he has the administration, to whom the primacy and guardianship of all Ireland is entrusted. One therefore in a thousand had to be chosen, suited to bear so great a burden. That one you have found: one than whom none other better or more pleasing could be found; with whom (that your wise solicitude for our distracted and afflicted country should be wanting in nothing) you have been

\* Vid. App. No. 15.

† Some years later he was appointed *Bishop* of that See.

‡ Vid. Append. No. 16. Dr. Molony in 1671 was appointed Bishop of Killaloe. During the exile of the royal family on the Continent he was attached to the Court; subsequently he was Dean of Cashel, Canon of Rouen, in France, &c.

pleased to associate his Suffragan of Ardagh, a most worthy and grave man.\* With what thanksgiving, then, or praises I should extol you, I know not, for your benefits exceed all thanks and all praise: I therefore supplicate you to return yourself due thanks, that thus those whom you have eternally bound to you by your benefits may be still more closely bound by your becoming minister of their thanksgiving: whilst I, the last of your disciples, who am not worthy to be called your disciple, shall never be unmindful of your benefits, but will ever be, your Excellency's

"Most obliged servant,

"J. O'MOLONY.

"Paris, 16th August, 1669."

More interesting still is the letter addressed to the Secretary of Propaganda, Monsignor Baldeschi, by the illustrious Archbishop of Dublin, who rejoices in like manner in the happy choice of the Holy Father, and assigns, as his motive for not having already proposed Dr. Plunket in the first place for the See of Armagh, the request of that Prelate, who had expressed a desire to prolong yet awhile his stay in the Eternal City:†

"MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND REV. LORD—Most agreeable to me were the Roman letters by which I learned the promotion of the most Illustrious and Reverend Oliver Plunket to the See of Armagh; nor less pleasing to all good men was the announcement of what had been done against the Remonstrants as well in Spain as in Ireland, although as yet we are ignorant of the particulars, for which I anxiously look forward; and all this must be attributed to the piety and zeal of your Excellency. Certainly no one could be appointed better suited than Dr. Oliver Plunket, whom I myself would have proposed in the first place, were it not that he had written to me, stating his desire not to enter for some years on the Irish mission, until he should have completed some works which he was preparing for the press.

"Peter Walsh talks of signing a submission to the Holy See, whether, however, with sincerity or not we shall learn very soon; for he knows that I leave London within a few days, and he promises to do more within that time than I can easily give him credit for. However, he can do but little harm, as he dares not return to Ireland; and his capital enemy, the Earl of Orrery, remains here in England, caressed by the King and Buckingham. Perhaps this may induce Walsh, though late, to think seriously of conversion to the Catholic faith and religious discipline.

"In the month of October the Parliament will assemble, and we are

\* Gherard Farrell, O.S.D., who for a short time had acted in the Roman Curia as agent of Dr. Edmund O'Reilly, was on the same day appointed Vicar Apostolic of Ardagh.

† Appendix, No. 17.

in dread of persecution if liberty of conscience be not granted. The King, however, has little to fear, for he has won over some of the heads of the different factions. I have hurriedly written those few lines, as the bearer is taking his departure; but I shall write at greater length from Dublin. In the mean time, &c., &c.

"PETER OF DUBLIN,

"Primate of Ireland.

"London, 11th August (styl. vet.), 1669."

The Bulls appointing Dr. Plunket Archbishop of Armagh were despatched to the Internunzio in Brussels, and the decree of the Sacred Congregation was conveyed to him, destining Belgium as the place of consecration. This had already been deemed the more prudent course in the case of the Bishops appointed in the preceding January; and in the life of Dr. Brennan, we shall see the same course pursued in his regard; for it was supposed that by being consecrated in Rome the prejudices of the Government would be more awakened, and the Bishops rendered more obnoxious in their future labours. But Dr. Plunket was too attached to Rome not to ardently desire to receive the sacred consecration within its hallowed walls, amidst its sanctuaries and shrines. He wished to go forth from Rome fully armed for the spiritual fight, as so many Apostles and martyrs had hitherto gone forth, to scatter the heavenly seed, to reap the good harvest; and perhaps, too, consummating his course like them, to receive the martyr's crown. Hence he addressed an energetic petition to the Sacred Congregation, to have its order reversed, and sacred consecration imparted to him in the eternal city. "No one," he thus concludes, "was ever known to be obliged to leave Rome and seek elsewhere the holy gift of consecration, save, perhaps, Dr. Burgatt; and, as if by fate, his brief strayed from place to place, and only after great delay, and beset by many dangers, could that Prelate receive consecration; let then, this crowning favour be added to the many others I have received, and I shall ever more and more be bound in union with the Holy See and the Sacred Congregation." There are many passages of this letter which disclose further particulars connected with the subject of these memoirs, and some facts relating to the history of our Irish Church, which are otherwise unrecorded:—

"The whole Irish nation," he says, "and especially the poor house of Plunket, have received so many favours and benefits from your Excellency, that neither heart, nor tongue, nor deeds, can ever render due thanks to so pious and beneficent a protector.

"Monsignor Plunket obtained the Episcopate of Meath, which is the most fruitful and largest in the whole kingdom, and where his nephews have the greatest part of their lands. Dr. James Phelan, actual chaplain of the Lady Mary Plunket, niece of Monsignor Plunket, has been promoted to Ossory; and lately we have had the appointment, as Vicar Apostolic of Ardagh, of D. Gherard Farrell, who was for many years chaplain to the sister of Monsignor Plunket, and in Rome to Sir Nicholas Plunket, ambassador of the Irish Catholics to that city, who received knighthood from Innocent X.

"The Primate, who died in France within the month of March last, belonged to the province of Dublin; his predecessor was Hugh O'Reilly, of the Diocese of Kilmore; his predecessor again was Peter Lombard, of Waterford, in the province of Cashel, who was highly esteemed by Clement VIII., and Paul V.; the predecessor of Lombard was Richard Creagh; he was of the house of Netterville, in the diocese of Meath, and the predecessor of Netterville was of the Dowdall family, also of the diocese of Meath: and if I mistake not, a certain Octavianus Palladius, a Florentine, was Archbishop of Armagh, and transferred the residence of the Primate from Armagh to Drogheda, which is the second city of the kingdom, half of which is in the diocese of Armagh, and the other half in Meath, being divided by the river Boyne. . . . . I have seen myself (for he died within my own time) Malachy O'Reilly, of the province of Cashel, Archbishop of Tuam; and at present, Dr. Lynch is Bishop of Kilfenora, although a native of the diocese of Tuam.

"The nephew of Monsignor Plunket, that is, Lord Fingall, whilst commanding the infantry in the Royal army, was made prisoner by the Cromwellians not far from Dublin, and put to death in the tower of Dublin. Monsignor Plunket then, with Sir Nicholas, his brother, and Lord Fingall, his grand-nephew, lived in exile in France and Flanders during the whole time of the Cromwellians. The king being restored, Dr. Plunket returned without delay, and being the only Bishop capable of performing the Pontifical functions, he ordained in the very capital during many years, *two hundred and fifty priests*, from all parts of the kingdom, administered the sacrament of confirmation to a large number, and faithfully carried out the commandments of the Sacred Congregation. . . .

"It is, indeed, true, that it is not a matter of prudence to promote those who took a prominent part in the various factions during the late war, and are held in positive abhorrence by the king; but I believe that it is not desirable to advance those who seek for promotion through the English Court, for such persons always adopt the doctrines of the Sorbonne, and should any question arise, they will adhere to the king and not to the Apostolic See; and, ordinarily speaking, they are restless, ambitious, and flatterers; seeking evil report, and listening not to truth; and pursuing their own interests, not those of Jesus Christ. . . . And, were the holy Father to appoint persons truly great and affectionate towards the Holy See, such as the late Primate and the Bishop of Ferns, and two other Bishops put to death in Ireland, even



though they should find little favour at court, I am sure that their death or exile would be of great glory and honour to the Apostolic Church, which 'sanguine et persecutione martyrum et confessorum crevit, crescit, et crescet (by the blood and sufferings of her confessors and martyrs ever increased, increases, and will yet increase).' Thrasamund, Arian King of the Vandals, in Africa, in order to root out the Catholics, published a decree, that no more Catholic Bishops should be consecrated. What then did the Catholic Bishops do? They consecrated in one day, seventy-two bishops; wherefore, the infuriated Thrasamund sent them and many others into exile, into Sardinia. And yet, Symmachus, writing to St. Fulgentius, and to the other exiled bishops, deemed the Church of Christ triumphant in these her glorious champions." He then adds, that, "on one occasion, Innocent appointed twelve Bishops for Ireland," and concludes as we have cited above.

Dr. Plunket, however, appealed in vain: the decision had been made, and the Sacred Congregation was inexorable. Thus frustrated in his holy design, he wished, at least, to be the bearer to his afflicted Church of a sacred treasure, of which, through the violence of the persecution, it had been long deprived. Its history is as follows\* :—

In 1648, the most Rev. John Bapt. Scanarola, a noble citizen of Modena and Bishop of Sidonia, admiring the generous spirit of self-sacrifice and religious zeal which the Irish nation then displayed, whilst combating around the altar and the throne, presented to the Primatial See of Armagh a cross of massive gold, containing relics of the holy wood of the Cross, of the Blessed Virgin, St. Joseph, and other Saints, and entrusted it to the care of the illustrious Bishop of Ferns, Dr. French, who was then in Rome on an embassy from the confederate Council to the Holy Father. It was a condition, however, of this gift that it should be ever preserved in the Cathedral Church, and with due solemnity exposed to the veneration of the faithful. But Dr. French knew too well the sad condition of the church of Armagh, at that period, to guarantee these conditions. He had seen the fury of the storm, which had lately swept unchecked over the Northern Province, and hence he recommended a delay of a little while till order should be again restored and religious liberty be achieved by the arms of the Confederates. In 1654, Monsignor Scanarola formally renewed his donation to the Cathedral of Armagh, and by act of public notary, declared Father Luke Wadding and other members of the Franciscan convent of St. Isidore, the depositaries and

\* See the Notary's Document, given at length Appendix, No. 18.

guardians of his gift, until the conditions referred to above could safely be carried out.

Dr. Plunket deemed that such a time had now arrived, and anxious to be himself the bearer of this precious relic to his afflicted flock, addressed the following petition to the Holy Father:—

“In the 10th year of the happy memory of Innocent X., when Cromwell drove the clergy of Ireland into exile, and destroyed our church, Monsignor Scanarola presented a cross of gold, with a relic of the most holy Cross, to the Church of Armagh, with the annexed condition, that it should be publicly exposed for veneration. Now that, through the mercy of God, the persecution of Cromwell has passed, and as, through the clemency of his present Majesty, we enjoy such liberty that the Catholics have public oratories, and even the Regular Clergy have opened their novitiates, your petitioner most humbly supplicates your Holiness to command the Friars of the Reformed Order at St. Isidore's, who hold that cross in their custody, to consign it to me for the consolation and devotion of the Catholics in the province of Armagh, who will ever pray for the welfare of your Holiness.”

As in his subsequent letters, Dr. Plunket never renews his solicitations for this holy relic, it seems probable that his petition had a favourable result, but I have been unable to find any certain record of its success.

Before we come to the consecration of this successor of our glorious Apostle, one other fact remains to be recorded. Dr. Plunket had acquired, during his residence in Rome, a small vineyard on the declivity of the hill whose summit is crowned by castle Gandolfo, and adjoining the farm then belonging to the Irish College, but known in after years as the *villeggiatura* of the novitiate of the Jesuits of St. Andrew's on the Quirinal. Before taking his departure from the eternal city, Dr. Plunket presented this vineyard to the Irish College, anxious to testify his affection for that nursery of his youth, in which he had been trained to the sacred ministry, and whose brightest glory he himself was soon to become by his apostolic labours and heroic martyrdom. It seems that he left several of his books to the same college, many of which were lost when its library was scattered during the French invasion of Rome. Some, however, still remain, and one in particular is carefully treasured in its archives, having the simple record written with his own hand; “Oliver Plunkette, Collegio Hibern. dedit.” We shall hereafter see that in his last letter addressed to his relative Michael Plunket, then student of the college, the only dying memorial which he bequeaths, is one to the same loved abode

of his early years.\* “The pictures which are there I leave to the place where you are, and where I got my first education; would there were *cornici* (frames) to them.” How dear these paintings would now be to every Irish Catholic, and how sad that they, as most of the other memorials of the old Irish College, should have been plundered at the period of the invasion of Rome by the French republicans towards the close of the last century.

---

## CHAPTER V.

### CONSECRATION OF DR. PLUNKET.

FROM a commendatory letter addressed by the General Superior of the Dominicans, Father Peter Mary Passerino, to the Provincial of the Order in Ireland, we learn that Dr. Plunket took his departure from the Eternal City towards the close of August, 1669. Father Passerino, in this letter, extols the virtues of the Archbishop elect, and speaks of his merits as known to the whole city†:—

“The bearer of this letter, the Most Rev. and Illustrious Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of the kingdom of Ireland, departs from Rome, and as we wish him a happy journey to his destination, so we desire that he may be greeted on his arrival with your reverential homage, and that of your subjects. In this matter to remind you of the obligation by which all are bound, it would suffice to recall to mind the exalted dignity of so great a Primate and Archbishop; but even this dignity is equalled by the many and great merits of the same most worthy Prelate, which are known to the whole city of Rome, so that on this account our devoted homage must be in every respect redoubled. Let, therefore, your Paternity be attentive, that on all occasions our Order may display peculiar devotion and reverence towards so excellent a Prelate, and that the most benign affection which he openly professes for the Dominican family may never be defrauded of the due return of gratitude and recognition. Thus may you prosper with those subject to your care, and be often mindful of us and our companions in your Masses and prayers.

“Rome, 24th August, 1669.

“FR. PETER MARY PASSERINO.”

The incidents of Dr. Plunket's journey from Rome to Brussels are unknown to us. It was not an age of railways and

\* See letter of Dr. Plunket, 22nd June, (styl. vet.,) 1681.

† Vid. Appendix No. 19.

steamboats, when that road may be run over in little more than two days and a-half. Two months at least were then required for that journey, and it was only in the beginning of November, 1669, that the Archbishop Elect entered the city of Brussels. A few months earlier in the same year Dr. Burgatt, the Archbishop Elect of Cashel, pursued the same homeward course, and from a letter of his, written at Milan, we may learn the difficulties which beset travellers in those days,\* from the want of conveyances, the impracticability of the roads, and the continual overflowing of the rivers:—

“It was not without difficulty that we arrived here on yesterday, the little mountain streams being swollen into torrents by the heavy rains, and consequently impeding our progress. We remained at Bologna eight days (all the vehicles being engaged by the local nobility, I know not for what feast or amusement): the overflowing of the Po detained us two days in Padua: yesterday our boat sailed for five entire miles over trees and corn-fields and vineyards, the whole country being inundated by the river. Neither is it possible for us to get away from this, the same cause preventing us. I hope in the divine mercy that all these obstacles will prepare at least a peaceful port for us at the close of our journey. We have learned nothing from Ireland or other parts, worth communicating. We shall leave as soon as possible. In the mean time your Excellency will be pleased to expedite everything connected with the progress and peace of our Church, which also Dr. Plunket will take care to suggest. . . . Milan, 5th May, 1669.”

It was in the beginning of November that the Internunzio in Brussels welcomed our Archbishop Elect to his hospitality,† and this worthy representative of the Holy See soon discovered that his guest was truly what his Roman friends had already proclaimed him to be, full of zeal for the propagation of the faith, and one who by his merits and wisdom would render still more illustrious the exalted dignity to which he had been destined.

“I was in Liege (he thus writes to the Sacred Congregation) when Monsignor Plunket arrived here. On my return to Brussels I welcomed him to my house, where he still remains. I have written to the Bishop of Ghent to arrange for his consecration, as from that city he can without delay continue his journey to Ireland. I am still awaiting his answer. I have found in Monsignor Plunket most excellent qualities,

\* Appendix No. 20. (styl. vet.)

† Dr. Plunket, writing on the 22nd September, (styl. vet.,) 1672 (that is, 2nd October), says, “three years all to one month have now elapsed since I had the honour of being caressed by your Excellency in your Palace at Brussels,”

and his zeal to labour for the glory of God gives grounds for the greatest hopes. I am consoled and rejoice that the favours of our holy Father are so well conferred."\*

The Bishop of Ghent was invited to consecrate the Elect of Armagh, and an illustrious member of the Irish Hierarchy, Dr. French, Bishop of Ferns, then living in exile in that city, was to be one of the assistants. This glorious Confessor hastened to Brussels to welcome one whom he had long admired, and who was now about to become the pillar of our ancient Church. On Saturday, the 22nd of November, he once more hastened from Brussels towards Ghent, accompanied by Dr. Plunket, and the following day was destined for the consecration. But a slight illness of the consecrating Prelate supervened, and it was only on the 30th of November, 1669,† in the private chapel of the Episcopal Palace, that Dr. Plunket at length received from the Bishop of Ghent the solemn imposition of hands, and was consecrated Archbishop of Armagh. But the Bishop of Ferns claims it as his special right to announce the consecration of his illustrious friend, and we must now allow him to speak for himself ‡:—

"I present a concise narrative of the consecration of the most illustrious Archbishop of Armagh. His Excellency the Internunzio wrote most kind letters to the Bishop of this Diocese, requesting him to perform it, and he most readily acquiesced. But I, on receiving this news, set out at once for Brussels to conduct hither His Grace of Armagh, bound by gratitude to render him this homage. A slight fever seized our excellent Bishop on the Saturday before the 24th Sunday after Pentecost, which had been fixed for Dr. Plunket's consecration; wherefore that ceremony was deferred till the first Sunday in Advent, on which day it was devoutly and happily performed in the Capella of the Palace without noise, and with closed doors, for such was the desire of the Archbishop of Armagh. Remaining here for eight days after his consecration, he passed his time in dispatching letters and examining my writings. These are two small works, viz., 'A Refutation of the wicked Remonstrance, or the Protestation of Walsh;' and 'A Bulwark for the House of God,' that is, a just defence of the Religious Congregations profanely lacerated with the greatest impiety, fury, and madness by those who envied the innocence, probity, and efforts of the

\* See Appendix No. 21.

† Airoidi writes on the 23rd November, 1669: "Parti ieri mattina verso Gante per ricevere ivi consecrazione Monsig. Plunket." He again writes on the 30th: "Doveva Domenica passata seguire in Gante la consecrazione di Monsignor Plunket, ma certa indisposizione di quel Monsignor Vescovo ha fatto differir la consecrazione sino al giorno d' oggi."

‡ Appendix No. 22.

Clergy of Ireland, who strenuously laboured to sustain the kingdom of Christ, and earnestly asserted the honour, and dignity, and power of the Holy See. In the foremost rank of these persecutors Peter Walsh led the way, not only amongst the orthodox, but also amongst the Protestants. His Grace of Armagh left with me in writing his testimony as to the irreproachable integrity of the aforesaid works in point of morality and faith.

"These things I deemed proper to state to you regarding the consecration, delay, and occupation in this city of his Grace of Armagh, to whom I pray all things most prosperous, as also to your Excellency, who by your influence caused him to be placed as a pillar in the Church of God. I most reverently kiss your sacred hands.

"NICHOLAS OF FERNS.

"Ghent, 19th December, 1669."

During the age of persecution the Bishops of Belgium displayed for the persecuted members of our Irish Church true Christian sympathy and charity; and we learn from some letters of those times, that they not only admitted to their hospitality the Irish Prelates who sought consecration at their hands, but, moreover, on the day of consecration, presented to them rich gifts, generally a precious ring, and other ornaments for the sacred functions of their ministry. Dr. Plunket continued in Ghent for eight days after his consecration, and thence setting out for England, arrived in London about the middle of December, 1669. Even during his short stay in Belgium, his attention was wholly engaged in the promotion of the interests of our holy faith. Through his solicitations, the learned Jesuit, Arsdekin, a native of Kilkenny, and at this time lecturing on divinity in the University of Louvain,\* composed his learned work, entitled "*Theologia Universa tripartita*," which acquired for the author a universal fame, in a few years passing through more than ten editions on the Continent. From the letter of Dr. French, we also see with what an interest he encouraged that exiled Prelate in his invaluable writings, which are all so replete with the love of our country and of our holy faith.

Before hastening to the scene of his future labours, Dr. Plunket delayed some time in London, and it was only about the month of March, 1670, that he arrived in Ireland. He had many relatives and acquaintances at Court, and as the opening of Parliament was fixed for the beginning of February, he awaited there,

\* "*Pergit igitur Apostolica auctoritate ac monitis instructus iter in Hiberniam per Belgium instituire, ubi cum et ego tunc Lovanii illius alloquis fratus essem, inter primos auctor fuit ut hoc opus in Missionariorum Apostolicorum subsidium elaborarem, quo etiam titulo singulari, illum sibi inter primos Patronos vindicare debet.*"—(Th. Tripart. pag. 227, tom. 3.)

anxious to use his influence in seeking to mitigate the rigour of those measures which many members had already vauntingly prepared for his suffering country. His letter, addressed from London, to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland, Cardinal Barberini, presents a most interesting narrative, and is the strict record of his stay in that city:—

“ I presented the letters of your Eminence to the Queen, who gave me a most gratifying audience, and passed a high eulogium on your Eminence for the affection which you have ever displayed towards her, as also towards the King, and the entire nation; and she added, that persons sent by your Eminence had always been excellent and well disposed towards his Majesty, and that she had like hopes for me. I spoke with some who are familiar with the King, and they told me that he often refers to your Eminence with affection and regard. I also consigned your Eminence's letter to the Rev. Father Howard, Grand Almoner, a truly worthy man. He secretly lodged me for ten days in his own apartments in the Royal Palace; with great kindness he often, too, conducted me in his carriage to see the principal curiosities of the city; he is truly hospitable and munificent, and the refuge of all foreign Catholics; and he enjoys great favour with the King and Queen, and is loved by all, even by the Protestants, for his great gentleness and courtesy. I request your Eminence to thank him in your next letters for the kindness which he showed me, through esteem for your Eminence. F. Fernandez also, in consequence of your Eminence's letters, made many professions of readiness to serve me, and showed great courtesy. In my opinion, he is not very influential, and has but little weight with the Queen: *est bonus vir*, he is a good simple man.

“ Walsh is here, hated by all; every one holds him to have been excommunicated by the Commissary-General of Flanders. He received a command to withdraw to that country, under pain of excommunication, but he appealed to the General, and should the General send him such an order, he will appeal to the Pope, and from the Pope he will appeal to a Council, and from the Council to the tribunal of God. He is a lost man. F. Taaffe will do well not to return any more to this quarter of the world, his very name is so abhorred by all. The Parliament will reassemble on the 14th of February, which was the day fixed in the prorogation; when the Parliament is prorogued, the preceding sessions are of little avail. The King asked for eight millions of scudi,\* in order to pay his debts; but the Parliament declared they would only grant one million of scudi, and two hundred thousand more should France declare war against the Dutch. As the Government has no money we shall continue neutral. The Parliament often engages the King in foreign wars, and then refuses to grant supplies, in order that in his need he may be dependent on them; and King James, (the First) in order that he should not be thus dependent on the Parliament, never

\* Two millions of pounds sterling.

consented to embark in war, though he was instigated to it by the Parliament, in favour of the heretics of France and Germany. General Monk died this morning, lamented by all; he was a man of moderation and courage. It is thought that Prince Rupert, or the Duke of Monmouth, (natural son of the King,) will be the future General. Here the cold is so intense that the wine of Spain was frozen in my chalice; for many years they have not experienced so rigid a season. A heavy fall of snow succeeded the ice, so that it is morally impossible to travel till this cold shall have passed. I have no desire, however, to remain in London, knowing the intention of the Court. The adherents of Walsh, or rather Walsh himself, sends to some of the Ministers of Court anonymous letters, full of falsehoods about my presence here; but their malignity is known, and they themselves are despised. A letter was written to the King stating, that F. Howard concealed three hundred priests in the Royal Palace, who made their rounds every night seeking to make proselytes for the Pope. These fabulous stories do this much good, that no credence is given to the writers even when they tell a little truth. The Duke of Ormond will do his utmost to excite some storm against the clergy, in order to molest Monsignor Talbot, Archbishop of Dublin, for whom he entertains a mortal hatred. Not to tire you further, I make a profound reverence.

"Your most devoted and obliged servant,

"OLIVER, Archbishop of Armagh,

"and Primate of Ireland.

"London, 30th December, 1669."

On the 18th of June, in the following year, Dr. Plunket again writes to the same Cardinal, and though his letter anticipates the matter of some of the subsequent chapters, yet it is all so interesting that we give it in full:—

"The continual favours which I received from your Eminence in Rome, encourage me to lay before you a brief narrative of what has occurred since my departure from that city. Having arrived in London, (as I already notified to your Eminence), I received that courtesy from the Queen which she professes to be her desire to show to all who come under the protection of your Eminence. I found that the gentlemen of this Court, who have been in Rome, proclaim to all the great kindness and generosity of your Eminence, and profess their obligations for the attention they received in that city, on account of which the Catholics in England receive many favours from them.

"I afterwards arrived in Ireland, in the month of March, and hastened immediately to my residence; and I held two Synods, and two Ordinations, and in a month and a half I administered Confirmation to more than ten thousand persons, though throughout my province I think there yet remain more than fifty thousand persons to be confirmed. I remarked throughout the country, wherever I went, that for every



heretic there are twenty Catholics. The new Viceroy is a man of great moderation ; he willingly receives the Catholics, and he treats privately with the Ecclesiastics, and promises them protection whilst they attend to their own functions, without intriguing in the political affairs of Government. I found that four of the principal persons in Court were secretly Catholics, and these maintain the Viceroy in his favourable sentiments and esteem for the Catholics, so much so, that not long since he wrote an entire letter to the King in favour of the Irish clergy, declaring that they were good subjects, and worthy of the favours of his Majesty. This is all that now occurs to me, in order not to fatigue your Eminence, to whom I pray every happiness, and make a profound reverence.

“ OLIVER OF ARMAGH,

“ Primate of Ireland.

“ Dublin, 18th June, 1670.”

Despite the intense cold which then prevailed, Dr. Plunket resolved to delay no longer in the English capital, anxious to avail himself of the approaching Lent to visit and console his flock, and administer to them the consolations of our holy religion. At Holyhead he was detained for twelve days by contrary winds, but at length, on Monday, about the middle of March, 1670, he was welcomed by his many friends who awaited his arrival on our Irish shores. Before the close of the week he thus announced to Monsignor Baldeschi the various incidents of his journey from London to our Irish capital:—

“ I at length arrived in this city on Monday last, and I may say that I suffered more from London to Holyhead (where I went on board of a vessel) than during the remainder of the journey from Rome to London—excessive cold, stormy winds, and a heavy fall of snow : and then when a thaw set in, the rivers became so swollen that three times I was up to my knees in water in the carriage : I was detained twelve days at Holyhead in consequence of contrary winds ; and then, after a sail of ten hours, I arrived in this port, where the many welcomes and caresses of my friends mitigated the grief with which I was oppressed on account of my departure from Rome.

“ Sir Nicholas Plunket at once invited me to his house, and gave me his carriage : the Earl of Fingall, who is my cousin, invited me to his country seat. The Baron of Louth will give me board and lodging in my own Diocese as long as I please, and I am resolved to accept the invitation of this gentleman, as he lives in the very centre of my mission : there are also three other knights who are married to three of my cousins, and who vie with each other in seeing which of them shall receive me into his house.

“ I was also consoled to find the Bishop of Meath, though sixty-eight years old, yet so robust, and so fresh, that he seemed to be no more than

fifty: he has scarcely a grey hair in his head, and he sends his sincere respects to your Excellency. I write about these matters to your Excellency, knowing that you will be pleased to learn the happy success of one who reveres and loves you.

"I set out upon my journey despite the severity of the weather, that during the Lent I might be able to discharge part of my duty in my Province; but I shall find it difficult to assemble five Priests when consecrating the Holy Oils, especially during Holy Week, when all are occupied in hearing confessions: so I pray your Excellency to obtain for me the privilege of consecrating the Holy Oils with the assistance of only two Priests."

Unfortunately this letter is without date; it seems to have been delayed for some time on the road, and it was only on the 7th of July that it was laid before the Sacred Congregation in Rome.

---

## CHAPTER VI.

### POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT IN IRELAND AT THE BEGINNING OF DR. PLUNKET'S EPISCOPACY.

AT this period the storm of persecution, which, from the first landing of Cromwell on the Irish shore, had desolated our country, commenced to subside. The Puritanical fanaticism of the Protestant faction required indeed the enactment from year to year of new penal and oppressive laws; but the administration of the Government being entrusted to wiser and less bigoted men, and better lovers of Ireland, these strokes fell more lightly upon the people, and at intervals Catholics were enabled to practise in peace the holy exercises of their religion. The Duke of Ormond, indeed, to the last, proved himself an insidious enemy of the Irish Catholics, and, ever intent on his own personal aggrandisement, had unceasingly laboured to root out Catholicity and lay desolate the sanctuary; and it was only when he saw the reins of power about to fall from his grasp, and rival statesmen gaining the favour of the King, that he began to deem it necessary to conciliate the Catholics, and hold out to them some hopes of a liberal administration.

We have seen in the third chapter how, on the dawn of peace upon our horizon, it was the first care of the Holy See—ever watchful of the interests of our afflicted Church—to appoint pastors to the widowed Sees of Ireland. Its hierarchy, indeed, had

remained unbroken despite the efforts of persecution, and when, on the 21st of January, 1669, the Archbishops of Dublin, Cashel, and Tuam, and the Bishop of Ossory, were appointed by Rome, there were yet five bishops who had survived the scenes of suffering and trial, and now handed down to new champions that precious inheritance of unsullied faith which they themselves had received from their fathers. It was the same temporary calm that enabled the Sacred Congregation of Cardinals, on the demise of Dr. O'Reilly, to deliberate without delay on the appointment of his successor in the person of Dr. Plunket to the Primatial See of Armagh.

On the 14th of February, 1669, Ormond was deprived of the Lord Lieutenancy, and this disgrace of their insidious enemy inspired with new hopes the great body of the Catholics. The appointment of John, Lord Roberts, of Truro, was hailed as the dawn of a more impartial administration: he is described by his biographers as a staunch Presbyterian, obstinate, jealous, and proud, but at the same time just in his government. Some acts, however, of his Viceroyalty—if they may not be attributed to the fanaticism of individuals rather than to the Government—would not be out of place in the bitterest days of persecution. One instance connected with the subject of these memoirs will suffice.

Amongst the Rawdon papers we find a letter from Lord Conway to his brother-in-law, Sir George Rawdon, then residing near Lisburn, County Antrim, which discloses to us the benign designs of the Government officials in regard to the newly appointed Primate:—

## LETTER CVI.

“From the Lord Conway to his brother-in-law, Sir George Rawdon.

“DEAR BROTHER,—I have been all this day with my Lord Lieutenant, or employed about his commands, and I am but newly come home from him. Though it be very late, yet I am to give you notice, by his command, that the King hath privately informed him of two persons sent from Rome, that lie lurking in this country to do mischief. One is Signore Agnetti, an Italian employed by the College de Propaganda Fide, the other is Plunket, a member of the same college, and designed titular Archbishop of Armagh. If you can dexterously find them out, and apprehend them, 'twill be an acceptable service. But I told him I did not think they kept their residence in our parts (about Lisburn); however, he thinks it is his duty to search everywhere.

“CONWAY.

“Dublin, 20th Nov., 1669.”

Such were the sentiments even of those who were esteemed the most just and impartial of our rulers! The person who, in the above document, is indicated by the name *Agnetti*, is the canon *Claudius Agretti*, who for many years was first secretary of the Papal Internunzio in Brussels, and for some time, too, discharged the office of pro-Internunzio. At the period of which we speak he had been sent on a mission to Ireland with instructions from the Holy See connected with the forgeries of Taafe and the Remonstrance of Peter Walsh. He was probably as yet in Ireland at the date of Lord Conway's letter, though on the eve of his departure from it; as we find that on the 14th of December following he writes to Rome announcing his return to Brussels, and transmitting a paper, which he styles 'a narrative of his pilgrimage to Ireland.' The Government, however, was misinformed as to the presence of Dr. Plunket in the country, and though they had received intelligence of his appointment to the Primatial See, yet they were wholly astray as to his movements; and at the date of Lord Conway's despatch he was living with the Internunzio in Brussels, awaiting in peace the day appointed for his consecration. Aware of the feelings that existed, Dr. Plunket, on his arrival in Ireland, some months later, considered it prudent to avoid appearing in public as long as this administration lasted, and only performed his sacred functions, and visited his flock, by night or in disguise.

The Government of Lord Roberts was of short duration, and on the 21st of May, 1670, Lord Berkeley, of Stratton, was sworn in as Viceroy of Ireland. The private instructions which he then received inculcated the necessity of showing special favour to those who had signed the Remonstrance of Peter Walsh, and of shielding them from their more orthodox opponents. The twentieth article of these instructions was as follows:—

"Several popish clergy, since the return of the Duke of Ormond hither, have exercised their jurisdiction, to the great grief of the Remonstrants. If so, execute the laws against the titular Archbishops, Bishops, and Vicars General that have threatened or excommunicated the Remonstrants; and see that you protect such Remonstrants as have not withdrawn their subscriptions."

The other articles recommend energy and zeal in the propagation of the Protestant religion; thus, in the 14th article we read, "Endeavour to bring all to a conformity in the religion by law established, and acquaint us with what difficulties you meet with therein." And in the very beginning of the Instructions: "Forasmuch as all good success doth rest upon the service of God above

all things, you are to settle good orders in the church, that God may be better served in the true established religion, and the people by that means be reduced from their errors in religion, wherein they have been too long most unhappily and perniciously seduced; and never more than since the late fatal rebellion, which hath produced too plentiful a seed-time of atheism, superstition, and schism.\*

A special subject of these Instructions is *the building and repairing of Protestant churches*. The wars of Cromwell not only laid in ruins the few places of Catholic worship which, despite the preceding persecutions, had remained in the hands of the Catholics, but involved in the same destruction those venerable structures, which, though raised by our Catholic forefathers, had, nevertheless, been appropriated to Protestant worship. Dean Swift, in a sermon on the martyrdom of King Charles I., whilst he delineates the evils of Puritanical zeal, presents a vivid description of the utter demolition of the Irish churches:—

"Another consequence," he says, "of this horrid rebellion and murder was the destroying or defacing of such vast numbers of God's houses. If a stranger should now travel in England, and observe the churches in his way, he could not otherwise conclude, than that some vast army of Turks or heathens had been sent on purpose to ruin and blot out all marks of Christianity. They spared neither the statues of saints, nor ancient prelates, nor kings, nor benefactors: broke down the tombs and monuments of men famous in their generations; seized the vessels of silver set apart for the holiest use; tore down the most innocent ornaments both within and without; made the houses of prayer dens of thieves or stables for cattle. These were the mildest effects of Puritan zeal and devotion for Christ; and this was what themselves affected to call a thorough reformation. In this kingdom (Ireland), those ravages were not so easily seen, for, the people here being too poor to raise such noble temples, *the mean ones we had were not defaced, but totally destroyed.*"

The spiritual decay of the Protestant church at the time of which we speak, was not less apparent than the ruin of its material edifices; and the Instructions given to Lord Berkeley justly speak of *the atheism, superstition, and schism* which had desolated their establishment since the era of the Revolution. Dr. Williams, Protestant Bishop of Ossory, who died in 1672, has left us a tract in which he details the sad condition both of the Protestant clergy and people in his diocese, and he adds that it was *not much better in all Ireland*. We shall give a few extracts which may serve to

\* Cox, *Charles II.*, page 9.

confirm the foregoing statement of Dean Swift, at the same time that they disclose to us the prevailing destitution.

"If you walk through Ireland, as I rode, from Carlingford to Dublin, and from Dublin to Kilkenny, and in my visitation thrice over the diocese of Ossory, I believe that throughout all your travel you shall find it as I found it in all ways that I went, scarce one church standing and sufficiently repaired, for seven (I speak within compass) that are ruined and have only walls without ornaments, and most of them without roofs, without doors, without windows, but the holes to receive the winds, to entertain the congregation. . . . . I do believe that out of about a hundred churches that our (Catholic) forefathers built and sufficiently endowed in the diocese of Ossory, there are not twenty standing, nor ten well repaired at this day . . . . . As God is without churches for his people to meet in—to serve him, so He is without servants enabled to do Him service, to praise His name, and to teach His people. But why have we not such churchmen as are able to instruct God's people?" &c. (pag. 2-6.)

The conclusion to which this learned bishop arrives is identical with what is oftentimes proclaimed in Protestant meetings in our times, namely, that "Popery can never be suppressed, and the true Protestant religion planted," without an augmentation of the means and livings of the Protestant clergy, without many new grants to the Protestant Establishment. Even the most thoroughly Protestant districts were no better circumstanced, and the Protestant Bishop of Derry, in a statement made to the King in council, on the 13th of May, 1670, gives a forcible description of the sad spiritual condition even of the city and county of Londonderry.\*

The documents, indeed, to which we have just referred seem to limit this spiritual decay in the Protestant Church of Ireland to the period of Cromwell's invasion, and ascribe it to the persecution of the Puritans, and to the want of means in the Protestant clergy. But impartial history testifies far otherwise. Thus in the Diocese of Meath in 1622, according to Usher's own report, though there were 243 livings, there were only half-a-dozen churches in good repair, ninety churches being in ruins, and sixty others in a ruinous condition; we learn also from Leland, that long before the Rebellion of 1640, "ignorance, negligence, and corruption of manners prevailed among the established clergy;"† and Stuart, in his *Historical Memoirs of Armagh*, is not less explicit. "The parishes," he says, "were either filled with careless and immoral pastors, or sequestered by avaricious bishops in commendam. Divine service was not performed except in great towns and cities." Even the

\* See Mant's *History of the Church of Ireland*, vol. i.

† *History of Ireland*, vol. iii.

Lord Deputy Wentworth styles "the clergy unlearned," and "the people untaught;" and assigns as its cause "the non-residence of the Protestant clergy, occasioned by unlimited shameful numbers of spiritual promotions, with care of souls which they hold by commendams, the rites and ceremonies of the church run over, without decency of habit, order, or gravity in the course of their service; the (Protestant) bishops alienating their very houses and demesnes to their children, and farming out their jurisdiction to mean and unworthy persons."\* As to the number of those who had embraced the Protestant doctrines, they must have been but few indeed. Stuart, in the work already referred to (page 266), informs us that forty years after the commencement of the Reformation an inquiry was made into the religious state of the country, from which it resulted "*that the people had not adopted the Protestant religion.*" Dr. Kelly, the late lamented Professor of Ecclesiastical History in the College of Maynooth, calculated the relative proportion of Catholics to Protestants in 1630 to have been eleven to two.† Dr. Plunket, however, more than once states, that with the exception of Dublin, the Catholics were in his time, as compared to Protestants, as twenty to one.‡ Such, then, were the fruits of Protestantism in Ireland, after a struggle of more than one hundred years, though it was ever supported by all the wealth and power which earth could command.§ And what were the effects of the boasted Reformation? The temples of the living God were destroyed; divine service interrupted; ignorance and immorality spread through the land, and the endowments of the Catholic Church, destined for the support of religion and the poor, handed over to foreign Protestant bishops and ministers, whose only object it was to aggrandize themselves, and to transmit large properties to their children, accumulated from the spoils of the Catholic Church.

Without attaching much importance to the instructions which he had received, Lord Berkeley seems to have devoted all his energies to the unbiassed administration of justice and the consolidation of public order in the kingdom. Dr. Plunket often speaks of him as a man nowise hostile to the Catholics, but, on the contrary, anxious to show them favour and protection. Thus, in his letter of the 18th of June, 1670, addressed to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland, he states:—

"The Viceroy of this kingdom shows himself favourable to the Catholics, not only in consequence of his natural mildness of disposition,

\* See State Letters, vol. i. page 187.

† O'Sullivan's Hist. Cath. page 343, in not. (Dublin, 1850).

‡ See letters, 18th June, 1670, and 20th June, 1670.

§ See further illustration of this matter in chapter vii.

bnt still more on account of his being acquainted with the benign intentions of his Majesty in reference to his Catholic subjects, so that ecclesiastics may freely appear in public without suffering any annoyance, even when they are recognized as such. The Viceroy himself privately treats some members of the clergy with great courtesy, exhorting them to live peaceably without tumult and without meddling in state matters, attending solely to their ecclesiastical functions, on which condition he promises them every protection, and indeed it seems that this protection will be afforded should that condition be fulfilled. I perceive that some of his court are secretly Catholics, as are also some of the principal members of the Government, who suggest to him kind measures for the Catholics. May God grant us a long enjoyment of this calm, and bestow many years on your Eminence for the public good of the Christian Church."

In another letter of the same date, addressed to Cardinal Barberini, which we have given in full in the last chapter (page 44), he repeats the same sentiment, and adds:—

"I found that four of the principal persons of Court were secretly Catholics, and these maintain the Viceroy in his favourable sentiments and esteem for the Catholics; so much so, that not long since he wrote an entire letter to the King in favour of the Irish Clergy, declaring them good subjects and worthy of the favours of his Majesty."

In another letter, dated from Dublin two days later (20th June, 1670), and addressed to the newly-appointed Pontiff Clement X., congratulating him on his accession to the Chair of St. Peter, he thus writes:—

"We experience in this kingdom, Holy Father, the benign influence of the King of England in favour of the Catholics, so that all enjoy great liberty and ease. Ecclesiastics may be publicly known, and are permitted to exercise their functions without any impediment. Our Viceroy is a man of great moderation and equity; he looks on the Catholics with benevolence, and treats privately with some of the Clergy, exhorting them to act with discretion; and for this purpose he secretly called me to his presence on many occasions, and promised me his assistance in correcting any members of the clergy of scandalous life. I discover in him some spark of religion, and I find that many even of the leading members of his Court are secretly Catholics. The nobles who are natives of this country are all Catholics, with the exception of three or four; and comparing the Catholics with the heretics throughout the kingdom, we find that there are twenty Catholics for one Protestant, if we except Dublin, which is the metropolis, and in which the Viceroy resides, where the heretics have a majority."

Writing on the 16th of April, 1671, he styles Lord Berkeley *a moderate and prudent man*, and adds, "should our Viceroy be



changed, God knows what will come to pass." At this time the leaders of the bigoted faction left no stone unturned to achieve the ruin of the Viceroy, and to substitute in his stead his avowed enemy,—who was at the same time the mortal enemy of the Catholics,—the Earl of Orrery. In a postscript to his letter of 27th September, 1671, Dr. Plunket states the following fact regarding Orrery:—"As I have already made known to you, the Earl of Orrery a few days since expelled from Limerick, by a public edict, all the Catholics: a nice gain we would have made, had this man been appointed our Viceroy, as some persons most anxiously desired."

This fact of the edict published against the Catholics by Lord Orrery is further explained by Dr. Plunket in a letter written on the following day, the 28th September, 1671:—

"I sent another parcel to Dr. Dowley, Bishop of Limerick. This poor man is yet in trouble, the Earl of Orrery having published a few days ago an edict commanding all Catholic ecclesiastics or laymen to depart from, and live no longer in, that city. Some desired that he, instead of Berkeley, should be our Viceroy: a good bargain we would have made. I do not know how our Viceroy Berkeley, who is now returned to the helm, will take these proceedings of Orrery. Orrery is no friend of the Viceroy, and some are of opinion that these edicts are published by Orrery on purpose. He is a cunning politician: should the Viceroy recall these decrees, then Orrery may assail him as being a patron of the Catholics, and already in various meetings he has styled Lord Berkeley a *Catholic*, that thus he might excite against him the enmity of the heretics, who hate the Catholics."

At length, by misrepresentation and calumny, the enemies of Lord Berkeley succeeded in obtaining an order for his removal, and though he did not actually abandon the reins of government till August, 1672, his removal was determined on and notified to him as early as the preceding May, as we learn from Dr. Plunket, who thus writes to the Internunzio on the 14th May, 1672:—

"Tandem aliquando (at length) we have a certainty of the removal of our good Viceroy, as he himself has communicated the intelligence to me on yesterday morning, when I was with him for two hours. His departure is a great loss to us: he would have promoted our interests, and carried out punctually in the cities the last proclamation of the King in our favour, for in some cities it meets with opposition, which, however, I hope, will be overcome. His successor, the Earl of Essex, is represented to us by Father Howard and Father Patrick\* as a

\* F. Howard was afterwards known as Cardinal Norfolk: F. Patrick was brother of Dr. Magin, Vicar Apostolic of Dromore, and was at this time Chaplain at the Spanish Embassy in London.

moderate and prudent man : *e fructibus ejus cognoscemus eum* (by his fruits we shall know him). The Lord Chancellor, who is also the Protestant Archbishop of Dublin, had great differences with the present Viceroy about the government of this city, which matter did but little service to the good Viceroy, and at length they have come to an open rupture. The other Viceroy will arrive about the middle of June. We shall never have one like to the present."

The character of Lord Berkeley is admirably drawn by Mr. O'Connor in his History of the Irish Catholics (page 104):—

"Lord Berkeley was a man of probity and moderate principles, who substituted a mild and merciful administration for the unrelenting tyranny of oppressors; the penal statutes of Elizabeth were relaxed, the public exercise of the Catholic religion allowed, its professors were admitted to all situations of trust and emolument, civil and military, to all franchises and corporations, to the rights and privileges of subjects, protected in their persons and properties, invested with political power, with shrievalties and magistracies, to secure them against oppression and injustice. Under this system Ireland began to flourish and prosper, to recover from the miseries of the late war, and the desolation of Cromwell; arts and manufactures revived."

We cannot be surprised that such a Viceroy should secretly encourage Dr. Plunket, not only in the correction of public abuses, but also in the establishment of schools, for which purpose he even seems to have placed various sums of money at the disposal of the Primate.\*

Arthur, Earl of Essex, assumed the Lord Lieutenancy, on the 5th of August, 1672. Howsoever desirous he may have been to pursue the conciliatory course of his predecessor, he was soon compelled by the jealous bigotry of the Protestant faction, and the Puritanical fanaticism of the English Parliament, to lay aside all semblance of toleration, and seek by stringent measures to compel the Bishops to fly for safety to the continent, and abandon the flocks entrusted to their care. Dr. Plunket exhorted his fellow pastors to remain in the country and conceal themselves till the storm should have passed, or, if necessity should be, to imitate the example of the Bishops of the first centuries, and fearlessly lay down their lives for their flocks.† In company with Dr. Brennan, his former companion in the Irish College in Rome, now Bishop of Waterford and Lismore, he chose a place of retreat in his own diocese; the only provision which he had made, was one of *books and candles*, as he states in one of his

\* Dr. Plunket occasionally speaks of this as a pension from the bounty of the King.

† See letter 12th November, 1673.

letters, and often did he and his companion run the risk of death from fatigue and the want of the necessary means of subsistence. This storm, too, subsided, and the latter days of Essex's administration were comparatively mild, or at least not marked by any special deeds of hostility towards the Catholics. This Viceroy seems to have entertained a high personal esteem for the Primate, and when Mac Moyer and his fellow-apostates had, by their perjured testimony, procured sentence of death against Dr. Plunket, Essex joined with Lord Berkeley in soliciting his pardon, and, as Echard inform us, "told his Majesty the witnesses must needs be perjured, for these things sworn against him could not possibly be true."

Even when the Duke of Ormond resumed the viceroyalty in August, 1677, he was compelled for a while to hold out the hand of friendship to the Catholics, and to assume the mask of moderation and impartial justice. Dr. Plunket often speaks of his government as being at this period peaceful and mild, but when in the following year circumstances had begun to change, and the court party in England proclaimed their hostility to the Catholic faith, this old betrayer of Ireland hesitated not to sacrifice his convictions to interest, and inaugurate and promote that persecution whose crowning deed was the glorious martyrdom of the Archbishop of Armagh.

---

## CHAPTER VII.

### APOSTOLIC LABOURS OF DR. PLUNKET.

WE have seen, towards the close of the fifth chapter, how the newly consecrated Primate, as soon as circumstances permitted, abandoned the British capital and hastened to his diocese, anxious to enter, without delay, on the field of his spiritual labours, and break to the flock intrusted to him the bread of eternal life. The first fruits of his pastoral zeal are thus briefly enumerated in the letter already cited of the 18th June, 1670 (p. 44):—"I held two Synods and two Ordinations, and in a month and a-half I administered Confirmation to more than ten thousand persons, though throughout my province I think there yet remain more than fifty thousand to be confirmed." Nor was this the fervour of a momentary impulse: it was the fruit of the ardent zeal and humble spirit of self-sacrifice which he had so long cherished at the tombs of the Apostles. His subsequent career reveals to us

at every step manifest traces of the same Apostolic spirit, and it seems difficult to conceive how one man could, in so short a career, effect so much good, overcome so many difficulties, and undergo so many trials.\* "How great his industry," cries out his learned contemporary and friend, Father Arsdekin, "in appointing fit pastors to guard the fold: how wondrous his labours throughout the vast districts of Ulster to strengthen the faith of its people, ever devoted children of the Roman Church; and what was still more arduous, how untiring his vigilance to preserve from the teeth of the wolves and from the errors of heresy the fold entrusted to his care."†

In like manner the Oratorian Father, to whose sketch of Dr. Plunket's life we have already referred, exclaims: "Who can worthily relate with what solicitude he laboured to restore piety and raise up religion, and with what care he sought to appoint worthy pastors to his flock, and to confirm in the faith the Catholics scattered through the vast province of Ulster."

Indeed the labours of Dr. Plunket, even in the first months of his Episcopate, would have sufficed to render his name illustrious in the Irish Church, and entitle him to the veneration of posterity. Before three months had passed from his arrival on our shores—that is, before the close of June, 1670—he had already solemnized two Synods of his Clergy, and, moreover, convened and presided at a general Synod of the Irish Bishops which was held in Dublin: and before the month of September in the same year we find him summoning a Provincial Council of Ulster, and enacting many salutary decrees for the correction of abuses and the advancement of ecclesiastical discipline in that province. This Synod, justly celebrated in the ecclesiastical annals of our country, was held at Clones, and the representatives of the respective dioceses soon after re-assembled privately in Armagh, and thence addressed a letter of thanksgiving to the authorities in Rome for having destined as their Primate a Prelate of such ability and piety. No words can better describe the untiring labours of Dr. Plunket, and the fruitful efforts of his zeal during the first months of his Episcopate than this letter of the assembled Clergy of the province of Armagh; it is dated the 8th of October, 1670, and is as follows:‡—

\* About the year 1740 a charge having been made in Rome regarding the administration of the Ludovician College against the Jesuit Fathers, the then Rector drew up a statement in reply. Referring to some distinguished students of the College, he thus concisely describes the merits of Dr. Plunket: "*fu martire glorioso per la santa fede e meriterebbe un libro intero di glorioso elogio.*"

† Theol. Tripart., tom. 3, page 227.

‡ See Appendix, No. 23.

“ MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND REVEREND LORD.

“ When we send letters to your Excellency we consider ourselves addressing the Apostolic See. We have not written sooner to your Excellency regarding our most illustrious Primate, for we waited till his merits should be known to us by experience. And now that we have had this experience we render exceeding thanks to the Apostolic See for having constituted over us such a pastor and teacher. Since his arrival in the province of Armagh he is unceasing in his labours : to the great utility of the province he convoked Diocesan Synods, and instructed the Clergy by word and by example, and in the Ordinations which he held he promoted none but such as were worthy, and only after they had passed a rigorous examination. He celebrated a Provincial Council in the town of Clones, in which many salutary decrees were made, and, to the great joy of the whole Clergy and of all the Catholics, the jurisdiction of Terence O’Kelly, Vicar of Derry, was suspended, what many hitherto had sought to accomplish, but always without success. He introduced the Fathers of the Society of Jesus into the diocese of Armagh to educate the youth and instruct the younger clergy, and built for them a house and schools at his own expense. In the dioceses of Armagh, Kilmore, Clogher, Derry, Down, Connor, and Dromore, although far separated from each other, he administered Confirmation to thousands in the woods and mountains, heedless of winds and rain. Lately, too, he achieved a work from which great advantage will be derived by the Catholic body, for there were many of the more noble families who had lost their properties, and, being proclaimed outlaws in public edicts, were subsequently guilty of many outrages ; these, by his admonitions, he brought back to a better course ; he, moreover, obtained pardon for their crimes, and not only procured this pardon for themselves, but also for all their receivers, and thus hundreds and hundreds of Catholic families have been freed from imminent danger to their body and soul, and properties. Truly, he is so assiduous in good works, his life and conduct are so exemplary, that he has won for himself and clergy the love and reverence even of the enemies of our faith : and since his arrival amongst us the clergy have not been subject to persecution.

“ We, therefore, return repeated thanks to the Apostolic See for having promoted him to this dignity, and we shall ever pray for the repose of the soul of that holy Pontiff who sent such a man amongst us, as likewise for all who concurred in his promotion, amongst whom we do not hesitate to reckon your Excellency, whose most obedient servants we shall ever remain,

“ PATRICK DALY, Vic. Gen. of Armagh.

“ PATRICK MOLDERIG, Vic. Gen. Down and Connor.

“ RONAN MAGIN, Dean and Vic. Gen. Dromore.

“ EUGENE CONNALL, Vic. Gen. Derry and Raphoe.

“ THOMAS FITZSYMONS, Archdeac. and Vic. Gen. Kilmore.

“ PATRICK COLLYN, Vic. Gen. Clogher.

“ To the Most Illustrious Monsig. Baldeschi, &c., &c., &c.

“ Armagh, 8th Oct., 1670.”

One of the crowning deeds of Dr. Plunket's Episcopate was another Provincial Synod, which, despite the fury of the persecution then let loose against the Catholics, was convened in Ardpatrick, in August, 1678. Once more the assembled Prelates and Clergy resolved to testify their affection for this worthy successor of St. Patrick, and their admiration of his untiring zeal. Their letter is addressed to the Cardinals of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda, and is dated 27th August, 1678.\*

"We, the undersigned, have assembled in Provincial Council, being convoked by the Most Illustrious and Rev. Oliver Plunket, Archbishop of Armagh, Primate of all Ireland, and our Metropolitan, for the purpose of correcting many and grave abuses.

"How great was the necessity for this Council, is proved by the Decrees which we have enacted, and which our Metropolitan will transmit to your Eminences to be examined, and should you so judge, approved, as calculated to remedy the abuses, and suited to the circumstances of the times.

"Moreover, to silence those who speak evil things, we have deemed it our duty to make known to your Eminences the manner of government of the same Most Illustrious and Rev. Metropolitan, who was sent amongst us about nine years since by his Holiness and your Eminences.

"We, therefore, declare that the aforesaid Most Illustrious Metropolitan has laboured much, exercising his sacred functions, not only in his own, but also in other dioceses; during the late persecution he abandoned not the flock entrusted to him, though he was exposed to extreme danger of losing his life: he erected schools, and provided masters and teachers, that the clergy and youth might be instructed in literature, piety, cases of conscience, and other matters relating to their office: he held two Provincial Councils, in which salutary decrees were enacted for the reformation of morals: he, moreover, rewarded the good and punished the bad, as far as circumstances, and the laws of this kingdom, allowed: he laboured much, and not without praise, in preaching the word of God: he instructed the people by word and example: he also exercised hospitality, so as to excite the admiration of all, although he scarcely received annually 200 crowns from his diocese: and he performed all other things which became an Archbishop and Metropolitan, as far as they could be done in this kingdom: in fine, to our great service and consolation he renewed, or rather established anew, at great expense, correspondence with the Holy See, which for many years before his arrival had been interrupted, or rather become extinct. For all which things we gratefully acknowledge ourselves indebted to his Holiness and to your Eminences, who, by your solicitude, provided for us so learned and vigilant a Metropolitan: and we shall ever pray the divine Majesty to preserve his Holiness and your Eminences.

"From the Provincial Council, held in Ardpatrick, the 27th day of

\* See Appendix, No. 24.

August, 1678, we, your most humble and obsequious children and servants,

"PATRICK, Bishop of Meath.

"FR. PATRICK, Bishop of Clogher, and Administrator of Kilmore.

"PATRICK O'MULDERG, Vicar General of Connor.

"LUKE PLUNKET, Vicar-General of Derry, and Procurator of the Diocese of Raphoe.

"JAMES CUSACK, Procurator of the Vicar Apostolic of Ardagh.

"PATRICK O'BRUIN, Vicar-General of Down, and Procurator of Rev. Henry Mackey, Vicar General of Dromore.

"CHRISTOPHER PLUNKET, Archdeacon of Meath.

"HENRY HUGO, Procurator of Chapter of Armagh.

"PATRICK PLUNKET, Vicar-General of County Louth.

"BERNARD MAGORKE, Dean of Armagh, and Consultor in the Council.

"ARNOLD MATTHEWS, Archdeacon of Clogher."

The date of this letter brings us within a few months of the imprisonment of Dr. Plunket, and during this interval he was engaged, as we learn from his subsequent correspondence, in a laborious visitation of the Suffragan Dioceses of Armagh, as had been determined on by the assembled Prelates at the Council to which we have just referred.\*

\* We have already referred to the testimony of his contemporary, Ardekin, as to his indefatigable zeal and labours: page 227 (Theol. Trip. tom. 3), he thus writes: "In Hiberniam ubi pervenit ibi demum campum animo suo et Apostolicis laboribus parem invenit. Quis valent dicendo complecti quantum illi insudandum fuit ut plurima in rebus ac moribus iniquitate temporum col-lapsa restauraret, ut pluribus locis idoneos animarum curatores præficeret, ut per latissima Ultoniæ plagas populum illum Romane fidei semper tenacissimum opportunis ubique documentis confirmaret. Sed quod omnium maxime arduum, quanta illi vigilantia tot annis incumbendum fuit ut gregem suum ubique lupis admixtam ab eorum dentibus et erroribus illesum conservaret. Cum alia non suppetere accusacionis capita, adornantur criminationes occultæ quibus hic tam validus Ecclesiæ Romane propugnator, vel opprimi possit vel extingui." The renowned oratorian Catalani writes still more forcibly. After adducing the example of St. Malachy, Archbishop of Armagh, to illustrate the proper manner of performing the Episcopal visitation, he adds: "Certe S. Malachiam Archiepum Armacanum, imitatus est Oliverius Plunket, ejusdem Ecclesiæ Armacane antistes atque totius Hiberniæ Primas a Clemente IX. Pont. Max. ob eximias ejus animi dotes constitutus anno 1670, (?) ætatis suæ 41. Quamquam enim missus esset ad lupos stetit in medio luporum Pastor intrepidus, totamque Provinciam circumiens, perquirebat anhelus, quem Christo acquireret; paratus et animam suam dare pro ovibus partes boni Pastoris explevit; quamobrem licet sub alio prætextu violatarum scilicet Regis Angliæ legum, in odium Catholice Religionis crudelissimam mortem oppetit die 1 Julii, 1681. Vitæ illius compendium Italico idiomate scripsit noster Joannes Marangoius una cum aliis vitis servorum Dei qui nostre Congn. Oratorii S. Hieronymi charitatis nomen dederant. Unus enim ex iis fuit Oliverius, inter nostros adscitus anno 1654,

In the letter addressed from the Synod of Clones, mention is made of the blessing he conferred on many Catholic families, by obtaining their pardon from the Government. They were the descendants of those whose lands and properties had been seized on in the Confiscation of Ulster. Vowing revenge on their oppressors, they had formed themselves into predatory bands, and sought subsistence by making incessant inroads on, and plundering the holders of their former possessions. They were known as *Tories*, and were proclaimed outlaws by the Government, whilst all who harboured them were subjected to fines and imprisonment, and sometimes even to death. Whosoever was guilty of any crime, and fled from justice—all who could escape from prison, or might prefer to peaceful labour a bandit career, were received with welcome by the *Tories*. Degenerating, too, from their original purpose, they often levied taxes on whole districts, and, plundering whithersoever they went, involved innocent and guilty in one common ruin. Those who escaped from the *Tories* were sure to feel the hand of the military sent in pursuit of them, and the guilt of being favourers or harbourers of bandits was often deemed sufficiently proved by the fact of being spared in their devastations. Thus many districts, especially of Annagh, were kept in continual terror, and none could devise means for establishing tranquillity and peace. Dr. Plunket, immediately after his arrival in his Diocese, went in person to seek out these bands of *Tories* in their hiding places: having found them, he made known to them the wickedness of their career, and exhorted them to desist from their guilty course. They listened to the voice of their Pastor, and promised obedience. He then hastened to the Viceroy, and did not cease his solicitations till he was himself the bearer of a Proclamation announcing pardon to all who should submit. Those who were most guilty, and were looked up to as the leaders of the bands, he himself conducted to Dublin, and placed on board of vessels bound for France: the names of many of these outlaws soon became illustrious in the military service of France or Spain.\*

extatque hodie ejus effigies inter alias illustrium Patrum in aula domus cum hac inscriptione : P. Oliverius e Comitibus Plunket, Hybern. in Coll. de Prop. Fid. S. Theol. et Controver. lector, Archiep. Armachanus ac tot. Hiberniæ Primas ob. Kal. Jul. 1681." There is still in the house of S. Girolamo an ancient portrait of Dr. Plunket. The inscription which it presents is somewhat different from that given by Catalani; it is as follows:—"P. Oliverius Plunket, hujus Orii Sæc. a Clemente IX. Armachan. Archiep. et Hibern. Primas renunciatus ob Ecclesiasticam Disciplinam propugnandam apud Regem a fidei desertoribus calumniatus Londini gladio aliisque cruciatibus transfossus hanc domum S. Hieronymi de caritate glorioso fine condecoravit. 1 Julii, 1681."

\* See the Narrative of the Archbishop of Cashel in Appendix, as also the Letter of the Internunzio, Airoliti, 22nd November, 1670.



This was not the only occasion in which Dr. Plunket procured the peace of the northern districts. In the Province of Ulster there was a numerous family which for years had received the opprobrious name of *Magonna*. They were descended from the ancient sept of the O'Reillys, and had received that surname on account of some members of the family who apostatized from the faith of their fathers in the time of Elizabeth. In vain did the descendants of these families, when they returned to the bosom of the Catholic Church, seek to resume their ancient name. The O'Reillys refused to acknowledge them as members of their illustrious sept, and continued to address them as a dishonoured branch by the epithet *Magonna*. Many quarrels and continual disputes and recriminations hence arose, and the whole Catholic body seemed split into two irreconcilable factions, some defending the apostate family, others continuing its reproach. The Primate long sought to reconcile the conflicting parties, and terminate this quarrel. At length in 1672 he published a decree, which he caused to be privately printed in Dublin, and afterwards communicated to all the contentious leaders, by which he prohibited, under pain of censure, the future application of the opprobrious epithet to the converted family, commanding that their original name of O'Reilly should be restored to them. All parties respected this solemn decision of the Primate, and thus this controversy disappears from our history. There were some indeed who deemed this decree imprudent, and even made it a subject of accusation against Dr. Plunket, as if he had usurped the King's prerogative, to whom was reserved by law the privilege of changing family names: but the decree of the Primate was dictated by zeal for the welfare of the flock intrusted to him, and whilst he thus healed their dissensions, and re-established charity and peace, he merely restored to prodigal but repentant children the inheritance of their true family name, which, *in the eyes of the law*, they had never lost.\*

Another occasion presented itself, about the same period, for displaying his pastoral love for his suffering people. We shall present it in the words of the Primate, from his letter of the 14th of May, 1672:—

“In part of my Diocese, and in all the Diocese of Clogher, the Chancellor of the Protestant Bishop frequently molested, and still molests, in a most tyrannical manner, the poor Catholic farmers, as also some of the Priests of that Diocese. It is the custom here that for the baptism of Catholic children two giulj† should be paid to the Priest,

\* Ex archiv. de Propag. Fid. in not. MS.

† A giulio was at this time equal to 6d.; a scudi to 5s.; four scudi to £1.

and four giulj to the Protestant Minister. This latter payment is commanded by law, and although a great grievance, yet it has been tolerated and paid. But in addition to this, the said Chancellor, whose name is John Linsy, cited to the tribunals and prosecuted the poor Catholics for bringing their children to the Priests to be baptized, and thus procured the ruin of many poor Catholic families in the Diocese referred to. I yesterday drew up a memorial to the Viceroy and Supreme Council against this extortion, and I showed it to the Protestant Primate and to the Protestant Bishop of Clogher. Both besought me not to present the memorial to the Viceroy, and promised that within fifteen days the Chancellor would be compelled to desist from this tyrannical manner of proceeding, by which he impoverished more than three hundred Catholic families."

Surely no people ever suffered more for their religion and, with more Christian patience, than the Irish. What was originally presented by Catholic parents, as a free and religious offering for the support of their devoted Clergy, was now insisted on as a legal right by the Ministers of the Protestant Establishment, and an action at law was granted to them for the recovery of such fees even from the Catholics. Curry, in his "Review of the Civil Wars in Ireland," states, in the words of Sir Edward Walker, that in the reign of Charles I. the Catholics of Ireland prayed "to be relieved from those exorbitant sums which they were obliged to pay for their christenings and marriages to the Protestant Clergy, and particularly to have the extravagant surplice fees, and the extraordinary warrants for levying them, abolished." The Irish Commons, too, in a Remonstrance in 1640, distinctly charge the Ecclesiastical Courts of that period as guilty of "*barbarous and unjust exactions*;" and give the following particulars of the extortions which were practised by the Protestant Clergy:—

"In Connaught and elsewhere, sixpence per annum of every couple is paid for holy-water clerk: of every man that dies, a *multus*, by the name of *anointing money*: from a poor man that has but one cow they take that cow for *mortuary*: from one that is better able, his best garment for *mortuary*. If a woman, her best garment for *mortuary*; and a gallon of drink for every brewing by the name of *Mary-gallons*: for every beef that is killed for the funeral of any man, the hide and tallow, and they challenge a quarter besides: fourpence or sixpence per annum from every parishioner for soul-money; a ridge of winter corn, and a ridge of oats, for every plough, by the name of *St. Patrick's ridges*: for *portion-cans* the tenth part of the goods, after debts paid, &c."

Thus, whilst they stigmatized the Catholic doctrines and practices as superstitious and idolatrous, they nevertheless insisted on receiving ten-fold the offerings which in Catholic times were

wont to accompany Catholic devotions.\* The Chancellor Linsy, however, was not content with these exactions; he wished that, besides paying the Parson, Catholics should become Protestants into the bargain, and because they resolved to listen to God rather than man, this so-called Minister of the Gospel of charity, till checked in his ruthless career by the true pastoral zeal of the Primate, succeeded in reducing to abject misery and ruin more than 300 poor families!

Dr. Plunket, writing to Rome on the 18th June, 1670, estimates the number of those to whom he had already administered the sacrament of Confirmation at about 10,000; and adds, that no fewer than fifty thousand persons yet remained to receive it. By frequent visitations he sought to place within the reach of all the consolations of that holy sacrament; and so untiring were his labours that on the 15th December, 1673, he announces to the Secretary of Propaganda:—"During the past four years I confirmed forty-eight thousand six hundred and fifty-five." And what renders this more surprising is, the many toils he had to undergo in order to administer this sacrament to them; for often, with no other food than a little oaten bread, he had to seek out their abodes in the mountains and in the woods,† and often, too, was this sacrament administered under the broad canopy of heaven, both flock and pastor being alike exposed to the winds and rain.

The Synodical letter which we have cited refers also to the zealous solicitude of the Primate in instructing the faithful, and announcing to them the saving doctrines of eternal life.‡ He allowed no motive to excuse him from this pastoral duty, and even when suffering from bodily sickness he continued to pursue the same career of love. Writing on the 2nd of August, 1678,

\* From the character of the Protestant clergy, given in the preceding chapter, these exactions cannot surprise us. In this same Remonstrance of the House of Commons (Common's Journal, vol. i. pp. 258-261), it is added that "*the exorbitant and barbarous exactions of the Protestant clergy were levied especially on the poorer sort.*" Indeed the Protestant mission was carried on as a mere money-making speculation. Burnet tells us that "the Chancellor bought his place, and hence thought he had a right to all the profits he could make out of it:" and this writer adds that "in the Bishop's Court bribes went about almost barefaced."—(Life of Bedel. See also Mason's Life of Bedel.) The statement of Usher confirms Burnet's testimony, for writing to Archbishop Laud he says, that "such is the venality of all things sacred here (in Ireland) that I fear to mention anything about them."

† See letter of the Vic.-General of Raphoe, giving an account of the administration of Confirmation by Dr. Plunket in his diocese, 1st November, 1671; and also the letter of the assembled Prelates, 8th October, 1670.

‡ The Internunzio Airoidi, on the 19th September, 1671, acknowledges the receipt of a Brief from the Holy See through the Sacred Congregation, congratulating Dr. Plunket on his zeal and labours.

under the assumed name of Thomas Cox, he thus makes known to the Sacred Congregation his labours during the preceding months :—

“ The past two months were spent in a fatiguing and most laborious visitation of my diocese, of which I shall shortly give a full account to your Excellency..... The distillation from my eyes, which was greatly increased by the laborious visitation in the mountains of the northern districts, scarcely allows me to write or read letters even as large as a snuff-box, but still it did not impede my tongue from preaching in both the English and Irish languages.”

We shall afterwards have occasion to commemorate his sacrifices, in order to maintain the schools which he opened for the education of the youthful portion of his flock, and which he intrusted to the Jesuit Fathers. It will suffice at present to quote a few words from his letter of the 22nd September, 1672, in which, speaking of these schools, he says : “ Oh ! what labours, what expenses did I sustain in order to support them : how many memorials were presented against me, and against the Viceroy, even to the Supreme Council. . . . I solemnly avow, Monsignore, that I expended for them, during the past two years and two months, more than 400 scudi : and, moreover, they and I are in debt 200 scudi. I dressed in cloth of two shillings a yard. I had but one servant, and a boy to look after the horses, and I kept a most sparing table, in order to aid them.”

Elsewhere Dr. Plunket (see letter of 7th of June, 1671) details the vast labour and expense which he incurred in corresponding with the Holy See ; whilst he also declares that in the commissions which he received from Rome it was his firm resolution to face all dangers, and to submit to calumny and persecution rather than betray the cause of justice which was entrusted to him. His letter is as follows :—

“ I have received your most welcome letter, and I can avow to your Excellency that I toil night and day in the affairs of my mission, and that *non dedi requiem temporibus meis aut palpebris meis dormitationem* (I give no rest to my brows, or repose to my eyes) and let all be for the greater glory of God, and the service of the Apostolic See—that is, the propagation and preservation of the Faith. For the future, however, I shall not have to labour so much, as I now live with the Fathers of the Society in the house which I built near Dundalk ; they assist me in resolving difficult cases, and in writing letters to different parts of the kingdom when necessary : hitherto, whilst living in the house of a friend, I was without any assistance. The schools, too, succeed so well that even Protestant gentlemen send their children to them, and defend them when some ministers seek to molest us.

"I shall decide throughout my whole province regarding the dispute between the Franciscans and Dominicans, according to the commands of your Excellency, and I shall rest rather on your authority than on my own. I must candidly declare that the decision is arduous and full of thorns : and after all my toils I shall gather no other fruit than the thorns of calumnies and lies. I shall summon only one procurator at a time from each of the three controverted convents, and having taken their depositions and proofs, I shall afterwards decide according to their testimonies and proofs : *pereat mundus et fiat justitia. Si fractus illabatur orbis impavidum ferient ruinas.*

"I already wrote beseeching you to procure from the Sacred Congregation some provision or missionary stipend for three Fathers of the Society who instruct the clergy and youth in this province : otherwise I cannot support them. I wrote also for some assistance for myself to enable me to visit the Scottish islands or the Hebrides, otherwise I can do nothing. It will be necessary to bring a priest and a servant with me, and to dress after the manner of these people, which is very different from that of every other part of the world. I moreover wrote to have some arrangement made for the expenses of the letters, otherwise it will be impossible to correspond for the future as I have hitherto done. I expended more than 100 scudi for letters this year. Generally the letters of the other Bishops and Archbishops come inclosed in mine, and I pay out the money without ever receiving anything in return. I shall spare no labour in corresponding, but it is too much to bear the whole burden. There is no one but myself that keeps up a regular and continual correspondence with London, Antwerp, Brussels, and Dublin.—And I shall ever be, your most affectionate and obliged servant,

" OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

" 7th June, 1671."

The spirit of religion—the desire to promote God's glory and propagate the blessings of our holy faith—which was the moving spring of all Dr. Plunket's labours, is here transparent in every line. In another letter addressed to the Internunzio, on the 28th September, 1671, he again avows these holy desires, and presents in detail the motives which spurred him on to toil incessantly in guarding the flock intrusted to him:—

"The despatches which I received (he says) were accompanied by a most kind letter from your Excellency, dated the 12th of August, and full of such courteous expressions, as would suffice to move the very travertine to activity and action. I will not fail to work with the pen, with the tongue, with all my slender energies, and this for three motives—1st, To serve the Divine Majesty; 2nd, Through gratitude and the reverence which I owe to the Apostolic See for the education and honours which it conferred on me; 3rd, Because God commands me to obey and serve the Holy See, and its service is inseparable from that of Christ."

During the years of persecution which preceded the arrival of Dr. Plunket in Ireland, the priesthood had been so thinned by exile and the sword that many districts were left wholly destitute of pastors. Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Meath, was, for a time, the only Bishop in the country able to confer ordination, and during his Episcopate of Ardagh alone, no fewer than 250 priests received at his hands the sacrament of Holy Orders.\* In the list of Irish priests registered in the year 1704, and published by the Government,† there were yet living more than ninety who represented themselves as ordained by this Prelate. It is certain, however, that some of these must be referred to the Primate, as the date of ordination is more than once subsequent to the demise of the Bishop of Meath; and about 120 others of the registered clergy refer their ordination to Dr. Plunket, Archbishop of Armagh. From the letters of the Primate, which we have already quoted, it is certain that, immediately on his arrival in Ireland in 1670, he held two ordinations; and from the synodical letters of Clones and Ardpatrik, it is sufficiently clear that he continued without intermission, during the subsequent years of his Episcopate, to promote worthy ministers to the holy order of priesthood.

In his letter of 25th September, 1671, addressed to the Internunzio in Brussels, Dr. Plunket gives the following estimate of the number of the regular clergy, not only in his own diocese, but also throughout the whole province of Ulster:—

“The regular clergy of the province of Armagh form a large body: in it they have many convents, and a yet greater number of friars. They are principally Dominicans and Franciscans, and the latter are more numerous than the former. I confess, however, that the Dominicans have the more able preachers. I have visited all the convents of the province excepting those of Ardagh, and shall now give some account of them.

“In the Diocese of Armagh there are two convents of Dominicans: one in Drogheda, consisting of three friars, of whom *F. Bathews* is grave, prudent, and learned: the other convent is in Carlingford, consisting of five friars; its prior, *Eugene Cogly*, is one of the best preachers of the kingdom. There are three convents of Franciscans in this diocese: one in Drogheda, of six friars, amongst whom there is a man of great prudence and modesty, and very learned, by name *John Brady*: he is definitor. The second convent is in Dundalk, consisting of four friars, two of whom preach pretty well; their names are *Patrick Cassidy* and *Anthony Gearnon*: the latter was a follower of Walsh, and I fear that he is yet inwardly such, though he professes the contrary.

\* Letter of Dr. Patrick Plunket to Sacred Congregation, 1669.

† See reprint in Battersby's Directory for 1838.

The third convent is in Armagh, of fourteen friars, amongst whom there is only one worth mentioning, named *Bonaventure O'Quin*, a learned and prudent man, though not expert in preaching.

"In my diocese there is a residence of discaled Carmelites, and there is one father, who preaches very well, called *F. Levin*. There is also in Drogheda a residence of Capuchins, in which there are four friars: all four are men of merit, and two of them are good preachers, *F. Dowdall* and *F. Verdon*. There is, moreover, in the same city, a convent of Augustinians, composed of three friars: they are pretty good.

"In the united Dioceses of Down and Connor there are two convents of Franciscans, one in Down of eight friars, two of whom are good preachers, *F. Paul O'Neil* and *F. Paul O'Bryn*; they have a novitiate there, as also in Carrickfergus, where they have a convent of six friars. Near Down, at 'Villa Nova,' the Dominicans have a convent of five friars, and the prior, *F. Clement Byrne*, is a learned preacher.

"In the Diocese of Derry the Dominicans have two convents, one in the city of Derry, of six friars; the prior, *F. Patrick O'Dyry*, is an exceedingly good man, and a great preacher. The other convent is in Culrahan, and consists of ten friars, the prior, *F. Dominick Loreman* is famous for preaching. The Franciscans have in this diocese a residence of four friars. In the two convents of the Dominicans there are novitiates.

"In the Diocese of Raphoe there are, in the convent of Donegal, eighteen friars, two of them distinguished, *F. Stephen Congall*, and *F. Anthony Dogherty*, who had been provincial. Here also they have a novitiate.

"In the Diocese of Clogher the Dominicans have a convent of eight friars, two of whom are good preachers, *F. Thomas MacMahon* and *F. Charles MacManus*. Here again they have a novitiate. There are two convents of Franciscans, one in Lisgaole, of six friars, two of whom are sufficiently good preachers, *F. Terenan* and *F. Macmalachin*. The other convent is in Monaghan, composed of seven friars, and one of them is a good preacher and learned man, his name is *F. Francis Maguire*.

"In the Diocese of Meath the Dominicans have a convent at Trim of five friars; they have also a novitiate there; amongst the friars there is one named, *F. John Byrne*, a great and learned preacher, but quarrelsome. In this Diocese there are two convents of Franciscans; there is one likewise at Trim of six friars; all were Valesians, but now pretend the contrary; the two most distinguished in this convent are of the *Tuite* family. The other convent is in Multifarnham, composed of ten friars; *F. Geanor* resides there. It has also a novitiate.

"In the Diocese of Kilmore there is only one convent of Franciscans."

Some of the dioceses are here passed over in silence, but we have sufficient data to supply them from a *Relatio* or report of the Province of Ulster, presented by Dr. Plunket in 1675, to the Sacred Congregation. From it we learn that in the Diocese of

Dromore there were no Regular Clergy. In the Diocese of Clonmacnoise there was one Convent of Franciscans, and in the Diocese of Ardagh there were two Convents of Franciscans, and one of Dominicans.

The same *Report* gives us the number of the Secular Clergy; there were forty Secular Priests in the Diocese of Armagh, and about two hundred and fifty others divided between the ten remaining Dioceses of the province.\*

The Holy Sacrifice seems to have been at this period for the most part celebrated in private houses, and such was the fury of the persecutors, that it was deemed a criminal offence in Catholics to seek to erect a public edifice for the service of God. Hence but few chapels were to be met with through the country, excepting those which, with the connivance of Ormond, had been erected by the Valesians. He wished by this privilege to win for Walsh and his fellow-Remonstrants special favour amongst the Catholics; but the people of Ireland were too devoted to the See of St. Peter to offer insult to his successor, no matter what might be the bribe held out to them. The Remonstrants soon ceased to hold any position among the Catholics, and the churches they erected passed into the hands of their orthodox opponents. We shall allow the Archbishop to describe the happy result as to his diocese in the following extract from his letter to the Internunzio, dated Dublin, 26th September, 1671:—

“To say the truth, our just and good God *qui mala permittit quia de malis novit benefacere* has drawn good from the evil deeds of Walsh. This man, about eight years ago, anxious to make a display of zeal, and thus more easily gain followers and attract the people, obtained from Ormond a toleration for chapels and convents in Dublin, and many other cities, but he wished that all the convents, and even all the provinces should be governed by his own adherents. Ormond being removed from the Viceroyalty, through the mercy of God, no other Viceroy molested or molests either the chapels or the convents. In the most wealthy and noble city of my diocese and of the whole province, there are three chapels, very beautiful and ornamented; the first belongs to the Capuchins, the second to the Reformed Franciscans, the third to the Jesuits. There is also one of the Augustinians, but it is rather poor. So that we may all repeat what is said of the sin of Adam—*oh felix culpa*, (oh happy fault); or again, *necessarium Adæ peccatum*. The above-

\* As we have already seen in chapter the 3rd, Dr. Plunket, when agent for the Irish Bishops in Rome, represented the total number of the Secular Clergy as 1,000, and the Regulars 600. This account differs but little from that of Peter Walsh in his History of the Remonstrants, who reckons the number of Secular Priests as more than 1,000, and the Regulars as about 800, being divided as follows:—Franciscans, 400; Dominicans, 200; Augustinians, 100; and nearly 100 Religious of the other various orders.



mentioned city is called Drogheda, at five hours distance from Dublin ; it is, next to Dublin, the best city in Ireland."

Before the close of 1673 the storm of persecution was once more let loose upon our country. The bishops and regular clergy were those against whom its fury was chiefly levelled. Incredible were the privations and sufferings which Dr. Plunket was compelled to endure; but what most afflicted the tender heart of the good shepherd was to see his fold laid waste by the devouring wolves, and all the institutions, the fruits of years of unceasing toil, reduced to ruin. He seems, indeed, in the zealous labours of his early Episcopate to have foreseen the coming persecution, and in his letter of 29th September, 1671, he thus expresses himself:—

"I pray your Excellency to expedite the matters which I wrote to you about. This is the time for doing good whilst the present Viceroy is with us. We must act as the mariners at sea who, when the wind is favourable, unfurl all their sail and *inflat's velis* sweep the ocean with great velocity, but when the wind becomes contrary, they lower the sails, and seek some little port for refuge. Whilst we have the present Viceroy, *we may sail*, and I will do all in my power to advance our spiritual interests, instruct the clergy, and educate them in science and theology."

His anticipations were too soon to be realised, and, writing on the 15th of December, 1673, he draws a vivid picture of the sufferings he had then to undergo, and the poverty to which he was reduced.

"Matters here have been very severe, the more so as the meeting of Parliament is at hand on the 7th of January next, so that I am in concealment, and Dr. Brennan is with me. The lay Catholics are so much afraid of losing their property that no one with anything to lose will give refuge to either ordinary or regulars, and although the regular clergy have some connivance to remain, yet the Catholics dread almost to admit them to say Mass in their houses. The priests give nothing to the bishops or ordinary; I sometimes find it difficult to procure even oaten bread, and the house where I and Dr. Brennan are is of straw, and covered or thatched in such a manner that from our bed we may see the stars, and at the head of our bed every slightest shower *refreshes* us, but we are resolved rather to die from hunger and cold than to abandon our flocks. It would be a shame for spiritual soldiers, educated in Rome, to become mercenaries. We shall take no step without the order of your Eminences.

"There is nothing that occasions me more inward grief than to see

the schools which were instituted by me, now destroyed after so many toils. Oh! what will the Catholic youth do, which is both numerous and full of talent? The schools continued till the close of November last, and commenced about the beginning of July, 1670: so that they lasted three years and five months, and indeed the Fathers of the Society behaved well, and toiled exceedingly in them, and they generally had about 150 Catholic boys: I procured also a Master to instruct the young Priests of the Province of Armagh in cases of conscience and in the manner of teaching the catechism, &c. The Sacred Congregation assigned 150 scudi per annum to the Fathers: I received this sum for two years, but I have already given 500 scudi to the Fathers, for which I have the receipt of their Superior, Father Rice, a copy of which I sent to the Internunzio and Dr. Creagh: the allowance for 1673 has not been paid, so that I am now creditor for the allowance of one year and five months. I expended more than 200 scudi in various journeys, and in arranging the differences of the Dominicans and Franciscans in their different Dioceses, by order of the Internunzio, Airolidi, and as Delegate of the Apostolic See: and I expended about 200 scudi in bringing Fathers Harold and Coppinger to submission to your Eminences, as I wrote in former letters: I expended 400 scudi in letters, whilst I only received 100 from your Eminences; for I am obliged to keep up a correspondence with all parts of the kingdom, and also in London, in order to be able to give accurate information to your Eminences. Modesty prevents me from speaking, but nevertheless, as it is the truth, I will say it, I gave more "Reports" to your Eminences, and corresponded better than all the Prelates of Ireland for the past 30 years, and Monsignors Baldeschi, Airolidi, and Falconieri can testify to the truth of this: I gave no rest *temporibus, calamo aut etiam equis* (to my brows and pen, or even to my horses), during the past four years, in a vast Province of eleven Dioceses, in all of which, besides myself, there was only one Bishop, and he old and half-decrepit, Dr. Plunket, Bishop of Meath, until the arrival, a short time ago, of the Bishop of Clogher, and of the Bishop of Down a little before him: and although the Bishop of Down has been a year in the country, how many letters has he written to your Eminences? I confirmed during the past four years forty-eight thousand six hundred and fifty-five, of whom I have kept the list, and there are some Dioceses here that have not seen a Bishop for forty years, though the Catholics are numerous in them. Now you see from all this, that the Sacred Congregation owes me 300 scudi for letters, and 150 for the schools of one year, and about 60 for five months; which make in all 410\* scudi, and, God knows, I stand in need of it, for, since the dread of the Parliament commenced in the month of February last, I did not receive 10 scudi from my Diocese, and at present, since the publication of the edict, not a coin is to be seen: with difficulty can a piece of oaten-bread be found, and a hut of

\* This should have been 510: but Dr. Plunket was only desirous to have some assistance given him in his present distress, and does not seem to have been very solicitous about the precise sum.

straw. May the Lord God be ever praised, and the most holy Mary.—I am, &c.,

“OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

“15th December, 1673.

“To Monsignor Cerri, Secretary of Propaganda.”

Dr. Plunket, in many of his letters, had already announced this coming storm, and he received in return a consolatory letter from the Sacred Congregation exhorting him to courage and fortitude of soul.\* The letter of Dr. Plunket, to which this consolatory brief was more immediately sent as a reply, is as follows:

“I have received your letter of the 3rd inst., and I am rejoiced that your Excellency was pleased with the “*Report*” which I sent regarding the state of Catholic affairs in this kingdom; but I am sorry that this is far worse now than heretofore. The Bishops are all now proscribed, as also the Vicars-General, and all the heads: the clergy are thus *achecephali*,† and are like to *scopæ dissolutæ*.‡ No Catholics can keep or carry firearms; and they would not have been allowed even to retain their swords, were it not that the Viceroy was resolute, and at the same time inclined to clemency. It is now expected that no Catholic will be allowed to live in the cities. You must have heard from London with what audacity the Parliament sought to prevent the marriage of the Duke of York with a Catholic, although the marriage contract had been made with the consent of the King. The House of Commons also wished that no Catholic lord—that is, no marquis, earl, or baron—should have a vote in Parliament; and, moreover, it refused supplies for carrying on the war against the Dutch. It also desired that no Catholic should reside within five miles of London, and that all Catholics should have some distinctive mark, as the Jews in Rome. Similar were the beginnings of the Parliament in 1640 and 1641, which, with unheard-of tyranny, beheaded the father of the present King, who is a wise and element sovereign. These unreasonable demands obliged the King to prorogue the Parliament till the 7th of January, and he would have dissolved it altogether were it not for two counsellors who dissuaded him. The Government here dare not moderate in any way our sentence of banishment, or give us a longer respite than the 1st of December, through dread of the Parliament, which is so severe against the Catholics. I exhort my brethren to constancy, and not to abandon their flocks, but imitating the pastors of the three first centuries, to retire to some corner of their districts till the storm shall have passed. I shall retire to some little hut in the woods or mountains of my diocese with a supply of candles and books. Nevertheless, you can continue to send your letters as usual, and I will try occasionally to send some account to you. You will be good enough not to send envelopes, as

\* So we find recorded amongst the Diaries of the Congregation, held 26th February, 1674.

† Without a head.

‡ Untied brooms.

they cost as much as the letters themselves : every letter with a cover costs 46 *bajocchi* ; \* without the cover it would only cost 23 *bajocchi*. Since May, I only received 80 *sendi* from my diocese, and were it not for Sir Nicholas Plunket, who gave me lodging and support, I would be rather a pilgrim than a Prelate. The poverty of the Catholics, occasioned by the many taxes, and by the last war with the Dutch, is inconceivable and indescribable, and hence the priests are poor, having nothing but the offerings of the faithful to support them : the poverty of the priests occasions the poverty of the bishops, for they have nothing but their proxies—that is, four or five *soudi* from each priest—but since the edict was published, the Bishops cannot make any visitations, or receive any proxies, and hence they are in a most miserable condition ; and as I spent all that I had in the service of their Eminences, I hope they will compensate my expenses, and labours, and faithfulness in serving them. I hope they will not reply, *Cum hæc omnia feceritis dicite quia servi inutiles sumus*. The Viceroy is very friendly towards me, as are also all the counsellors of State, because I never take any part in political or civil affairs. The schools which I erected gave them some annoyance ; but I satisfied the more moderate amongst them by explaining that they were erected for no other purpose than to instruct the youth in the Christian doctrine and in literature, that thus they might be useful for the State, and for the service of the King, and that otherwise they would become vagrants, and rogues, and highway robbers, and disturbers of the peace and of social order.

"I already wrote to you on the 5th of this month that all the convents were destroyed, and all the novices scattered about in the houses of the laity. This Edict was far more efficacious than any letter of the Father-General. During the past three years I wrote without ceasing against the excessive number of novitiates, and against the soliciting of alms at the parochial altars ; I also wrote a letter to the Provincial Chapter of the Franciscans, of which I sent a copy to your Excellency, though I cannot say whether it reached you or not ; but they deemed me their adversary, because I proposed these wise counsels to them—*exitus acta probat*. The sheet comes to a close,† but I shall never cease to be the most affectionate and devoted servant of your Excellency. I pray you to send this letter to Monsig. Cerri, whom I also pray to give a copy of all my letters to Dr. Cragh, that he may expedite my affairs.

"12th November, 1673.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH."

"P.S.—The Bishop of Waterford will come to my district to conceal himself, as his own city is full of fanatics and furious Presbyterians. The Bishop of Meath is old and attacked with the gout, and unable to move about. I think the Viceroy will have compassion on him, on account of the esteem he has for Sir Nicholas, brother of the Bishop."

\* The *bajocco* was a little more than our halfpenny, being one-tenth of sixpence.

† In the original letter these words terminate the last page ; what follows is written wherever a vacant space presented itself throughout the letter.

The alarm consequent on the renewal of the persecution lasted till the close of the following year. During this time Dr. Plunket, with the Bishop of Waterford, lay concealed in the woods and mountainous districts. He found opportunity, however, in the beginning of 1674, to undertake a journey to the Province of Tuam, having been commissioned by the Holy See to confer the Pallium on Dr. Lynch, the Archbishop of that Province: in this excursion he penetrated as far as Galway, and in his letter to the S. Congregation he extols the devotion and hospitality of that good people.

Calumny seems to have been added to the sufferings of our Irish Bishops, and some individuals represented to the Holy See that the Pastors of the Irish Church were abandoning their flocks, and that no fewer than 14 Bishops had left that island on their way to Rome.\* Nothing could be more false than this calumnious assertion. Dr. Plunket expresses his surprise how such a tale could be invented; and writing on the 15th September, 1674, whilst he repeats his solicitations for assistance, and details the sufferings to which he and Dr. Brennan were exposed, he declares, at the same time, their firm resolve to run all risks, and to endure imprisonment and torture sooner than abandon the flocks entrusted to their charge. The following is his letter in full: it is directed to the Internunzio in Brussels:—

“During the past month I received two of your letters, but the judges of assizes being on circuit in my district I retired to my usual place of concealment in the most remote parts of the province, and hence I was not able to correspond according as I desired, nor can I even at present do so, for during the past two months and a-half I ceased to correspond with my friends in Dublin and London, as also with those in the provinces of Cashel and Tuam; so that I can give no “Reports” except in regard of my own diocese, and the cause of all this is the lightness of my purse. I declare to your Excellency *coram Deo et non mentior*, as the Apostle said, that all I have in this world does not exceed 80 scudi, and the usual charities of the faithful have ceased since the edicts, nor is there any chance, as far as I see, that the sums which I expended in serving their Eminences will be repaid to me, so that I find myself in a deplorable condition; but let all be for the glory of God and the salvation of my soul. Had I served the Duke of Mirandola, in correspondence and otherwise, as I served the Sacred Congregation these five years past, the baker’s account would have been long since settled; had I the means I would spare no labour or industry in serving their Eminences, being obliged to it by every law of justice and gratitude; but what is out of my power I cannot do. There is no single letter which I send to your Excellency that does not

\* Ex notis Archiv. S. Cong.

cost me one giulio (6d.): for each letter that I receive from you I have to pay two giuli and a-half (15d.); there is no letter that I receive from Cashel or Tuam but costs me a carlino (4d.) in Dublin, and then 2½ hajocchi (1½d.) from Dublin to my residence. Then, too, I have to give some recompense to my agents in Dublin and London, who have the trouble of going to the post to receive the letters and transmit them to me; and in paying them for the post I was not very stinted; they would not have served me and wasted their time, and shoes, and paper and ink, were I not liberal with them. I may say the same of my correspondents in Tuam and Cashel, and, indeed, *digni erant mercedibus suis*. I doubt not but the purse of your Excellency must feel and experience the expense of letters: indeed, there has been no year that it did not cost me more than one hundred scudi (£25); and since the period of your coming to the Nunciature in Flanders it has cost me more than a hundred scudi: for, during the whole time of the persecution, which has now lasted a year all to one month, though I was concealed in the mountains, as was also the Bishop of Waterford, with the exception of the two past months, I always found some means, though not without difficulty, to procure letters from these quarters; but I may say (as experience sufficiently convinces me) that which our Saviour tells us—*cum hæc omnia feceritis dilecte quia servi inutiles sumus*.

I expended during the five years which are now passed all to one month, about 500 scudi (£125). I expended 200 scudi when commissioned as Delegate of the Apostolic See, in arranging the differences of the Dominicans and Franciscans, of Mr. Farrell and Gafney, and in reducing Coppinger and Harold to the obedience of the Holy See, and I received only 100 scudi, which Monsig. Airoidi, predecessor of your Excellency, procured for me. God knows that I gave no rest to my brows, to my pen, or to my horses, in serving their Eminences, and I should ever continue to do so with alacrity and joy were it possible for me, but, as I have no means, how can you expect that I should do so, *ad impossibile nemo tenetur*. I was in Rome for twenty-five years, and for twelve of these I served the Sacred Congregation in the Chairs of Theology and Conferences; I also served the Sacred Congregation of the Index, as you are aware, and in what a state I found the studies at Propaganda, and in what perfection I left them, the very Reverend Fathers Libelli, Laurea, Spinola, Sommaschi, and Bonvicini, the Rector will state; these Fathers were Prefects of Studies, and know it well. Then their Eminences destined me to the Principal Church in this kingdom, and God knows in what manner I laboured, and with what toils I promoted and preserved the cause of the Church. Modesty prevents me from speaking, but as it is the truth I may boldly declare it; I corresponded better and more frequently with their Eminences than all the Prelates of the kingdom. Let the archives of Propaganda be looked to and explored, and you will touch with your hands the truth of what I say. I moreover wrote to some of their Eminences, as to Cardinals Barberini, Azzolini, and others, concerning the persecution and the misery of this country, which was so great,

that in my diocese, more than 500 Catholics died from starvation,\* and the Bishop of Waterford and I were glad to get a morsel of oaten-bread. But enough of these matters.

"As to the subject of your letters, I sent a copy of the last edict through two different channels, that is, by the post, and by a friend who was going to London. As to the story you heard of our Prelates being on their way to Rome to take up their residence there, I cannot understand it; there is no Bishop here who knows the language of Rome or has friends there, excepting the Bishop of Waterford and myself, and we will not abandon our flocks till we are compelled to do so; we will first suffer imprisonment and other torments; we have already suffered so much on the mountains, in huts, and caverns, and we have acquired such a habit of it, that, for the future, suffering will be less severe and less troublesome. As to my diocese, all is peaceful, excepting two priests who are refractory. The Provincial of the Franciscans published a very imprudent order for all his friars to go to their residences, and live and seek alms as usual; it is feared that this will cause new rigour of persecution, the more so, as the Parliament will meet on the 11th of November. Father Saul, the apostate, makes a great noise with his writings against our faith. I pray you to send this letter to Monsignor Ravizza, and I shall ever be your Excellency's most affectionate and obliged servant,

"THOMAS COX,  
"(Oliver of Armagh).

"15th September, 1674.

"To the Internunzio."

From the facts incidentally mentioned in this letter, we may form some idea of the misery to which our country was now reduced by continued oppression and the renewal of the persecution. In one diocese alone more than five hundred persons fell victims to famine, choosing rather to suffer death itself than to barter for the mess of pottage which was held out to them the precious inheritance of their faith. Amidst all their sufferings, however, the good Shepherd clung to his sheep, their sorrows were his sorrows, their trials were his trials.

In the following years, though the Catholics at intervals enjoyed a partial calm, yet their sad condition and misery continued unabated. A little while before his arrest in 1679, Dr. Plunket wrote to the Secretary of Propaganda that all he possessed in this world did not exceed 50 scudi; and in another letter written at the same time, he attests the desolation of his flock, occasioned by famine and the sword, and declares his resolution to sell even the sacred vessels themselves in order to relieve their misery. The

\* "The Internunzio, writing in August, 1674, announces that a dreadful famine had set in in Ireland, and laid the whole country desolate.

continuance of persecution produced no change in his holy resolve to cling inseparably to his flock, and on the 15th of May, 1679, in his letter to the Internunzio, he calmly announces to him, "so many are the spies in search of me, that I am morally certain I shall be apprehended; but, nevertheless, I will remain with my own, nor will I abandon them till I be dragged to the sea-shore."

Many were the calumnies which, from time to time, were published against our Primate. However, they only caused his true merit to shine forth with renewed brilliancy and lustre. We shall more than once have occasion to refer to these accusations hereafter; for the present we shall merely cite a few testimonies of those best acquainted with our Irish Church as to his zeal and untiring labours. Thus the Internunzio, Falconieri, writes to the Sacred Congregation on 9th November, 1673: "*I cannot here omit to represent to the Sacred Congregation the zeal with which the Archbishop of Armagh labours for the propagation of the Catholic religion in his diocese, of which I have received most indubious proofs from various quarters*;"\* and again, on 24th February, 1674, transmitting to Rome a letter of the Primate, he adds: "Chiefly by his zeal are the affairs of the Catholic religion maintained in the kingdom of Ireland."† Peter Creagh, who is so distinguished towards the close of the 17th century, as Archbishop of Dublin, also expresses himself in the same strain in his letter of 24th January, 1671, to Dr. Brennan, the agent of the Irish clergy in Rome:—

"I was in Dublin (he writes) during the Assembly of our Bishops, and though there was some difference between the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin as to the order of signature to the decrees, nevertheless this controversy was carried on so peaceably that its echo was scarcely heard beyond the precincts of the place of assembly. We experience great benefit from the formula of allegiance which the Prelates presented to the Viceroy, and we are allowed to enjoy great liberty.

"All that has been written against the Archbishop of Armagh is mere calumny, proceeding from envy. He administers his province with great zeal and devotedness: he has put an end to many quarrels and scandals, and he has reduced to submission certain bands of outlaws, who were a perpetual annoyance to the Catholics of the province. Now, thanks to God, there is nothing to disturb our tranquillity and peace."

\* Non devo lasciare di rappresentarle il zelo col quale l'arcivescovo di Armagh procura la propagazione della Religione Cattolica nella sua diocesi avendo io ottimi riscontri da diverse parti. Archiv S.C.

† Al di lui zelo s'appoggiano principalmente gli affari della Religione Cattolica in quel Regno.



The Archbishop of Cashel, too, in his letter of 6th April, 1677, after rejecting several calumnies with which Dr. Plunket was assailed, thus briefly adds his own invaluable testimony as to the zealous labours of the Primate:—

In my opinion, the present Archbishop of Armagh has attended more to the spiritual administration of that province than any of his predecessors for many years; and I say this without wishing to lower in any way the merit of the preceding Primates."

And again, after the glorious martyrdom of Dr. Plunket, the same Archbishop, when transmitting to Rome a narrative of his imprisonment and execution, writes:—

"In truth, his holy life merited for him this glorious death; for during the twelve years of his residence here, he showed himself vigilant, zealous, and indefatigable above his predecessors, nor do we find within the memory of any of the present century that any Primate or Metropolitan visited his diocese and province with such solicitude and pastoral zeal as he did, reforming depraved morals amongst the people, and the scandalous lives of some of the clergy, chastising the guilty, rewarding the meritorious, consoling all, and benefiting, as far as was in his power, and succouring the needy: wherefore he was applauded and honoured by the clergy and people, with the exception of some wicked enomies of virtue and religious observance."

---

## CHAPTER VIII.

### DR. PLUNKET'S ZEAL IN CORRECTING ABUSES.

FROM the zeal displayed by Dr. Plunket in advancing the cause of God, and promoting the spiritual welfare of his flock, we may easily conclude with what ardour he would seek to root out any prevailing abuses, and bring back to the right path the straying sheep of his fold. Considering the circumstances of the times, these abuses were indeed but few; many of the Irish people in the fulness of their faith, and the simplicity of their hearts, receiving with submission the teaching of their pastors, realized in their lives, amidst the afflictions of this world, and the trials of persecution, all the sublime perfection of the Gospel. Nevertheless, there were those who neglected the practises of faith—prodigal children in the spiritual household, and these became the special objects of the good Prelate's care. Several instances of this kind have already presented themselves; and when attempts

were made to sow dissensions amongst the faithful, we have seen with what zealous ardour he laboured to reconcile his children and to preserve the blessings of peace.

In some districts of his province, Dr. Plunket found that drunkenness had cast deep root, and immediately all the energies of his soul were directed to eradicate it, and introduce holy temperance in its place. The better to effect that object, he resolved to propose in his own life a model of abstemiousness, which all might imitate, and not only did he avoid all excess, but, moreover, even at his meals he abstained altogether from the use of every exciting drink. All excesses in the clergy were, in like manner, most strictly prohibited, and he interdicted, under the severest censures, their frequenting drinking-houses; his exhortations, confirmed by his own example, and by that of his clergy, were happily successful in converting many of the people from their evil ways, and in winning them back to the observance of the precepts of the Gospel. No words can better attest his zeal in correcting this abuse than his own letter to the Sacred Congregation—from which, too, we learn how successfully he strove to present in his own life, and that of his clergy, a model of temperance to the faithful:—

“Whilst visiting six dioceses of this province,” he writes, “I applied myself especially to root out the cursed vice of drunkenness, which is the parent, and the nurse of all scandals and contentions. I commanded also, under penalty of privation of benefice, that no priest should frequent public houses, or drink whiskey, &c., &c. Indeed I have derived great fruit from this order, and, as it is of little use to teach without practising, I myself never drink at meals. Give me an Irish priest without this vice and he is assuredly a saint.”

More than all, however, Dr. Plunket, was untiring in introducing regularity and discipline into some houses of the Religious orders, where inobservance had crept in during the years of persecution. No spiritual labourers had cultivated with greater zeal this portion of the vineyard of the Lord than the children of St. Francis. Not only had many illustrious members of the Irish hierarchy come forth from their ranks, but in the days of Ireland's peril, when the sword was unsheathed to smite the shepherds of his fold, the convents of St. Francis, scattered through the continent, sent to our own shores, band after band of devoted champions of the cross who kept alive the flame of faith, and often, too, sealing their testimony with their blood, led on their faithful flocks to martyrdom. The glory of these heroic soldiers of Christ, is nowise obscured by the corrupt lives of some few who sought to make their holy habit a mask for their ambition,

and a means of gratifying the vilest passions. At the time of which we speak, it cannot at all surprise us that the seed sown by Peter Walsh and Taafe should bear its evil fruits; and, that, whilst the learning of Wadding, in Rome, and the evangelical labours of Dr. Tyrrell, in Ireland, added new glories to the pages of the Franciscan annals, some unworthy brethren bringing contumely upon that name, and, violating their most sacred vows, should, Judas-like, consummate their wickedness by renouncing their saving faith, and even hesitate not to persecute the most zealous of their fellow labourers in the ministry, and bring their saintly chief-pastor to the scaffold.

In many of the letters of Dr. Plunket, we may remark how particularly he dwells on the irregularities and abuses which had crept in amongst some houses of this order, and how he refers to such abuses as the main source of any scandals that then existed in our Irish Church. The superiors of the Franciscan order were, indeed, no less desirous than the Archbishop that these disorders should be checked; and when, in 1669, the first measures were taken by the Holy See to re-establish the purity of religious discipline in Ireland, we find that the then Guardian of the Franciscans in Louvain addressed a letter, in the name of his fellow-religious, to the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation, thanking him for his solicitude and watchfulness in promoting that holy work:—

“When the Irish Franciscan province,” he says, “weakened by so many assaults, tossed about by so many contrary winds, lacerated by the dissensions of the wicked, disturbed by the ambition of some, was hastening to its ruin, and seemed even on the brink of destruction, our good God, who rejects not nor despises those who hope in Him, but from on high looks on them with an eye of mercy, and protects and defends them with his omnipotence, at length was pleased to stretch forth to us His assisting hand; and you were the chief instrument he made use of, by whose energy and prudence the waves were calmed, the clouds scattered, and peace restored, our province was re-established, religion promoted, the lovers of observance comforted, the contumacious repressed and humbled. Wherefore, in most humble sentiments, prostrate before your Excellency, mindful of so great a blessing, whose remembrance shall be undying amongst us, we render to you all the thanks that are in our power; and we offer up our prayers to God that he who is omnipotent may deign to long preserve your Excellency for the propagation of his holy Church and the preserving of our province.”\*

This letter is signed by Father Francis Fegan, Guardian of the College of St. Anthony (of Padua) in Louvain.

\* See Appendix, No. 25.

In the Barberini Archives there is another letter from the Franciscans in Ireland, in which they lament, in like manner, the ruin which some disorderly members had well nigh brought upon that Province, and return thanks to the Holy See for its watchful solicitude in checking their disorderly career.

In the Synod of Prelates convened by Dr. Plunket in Dublin, in June, 1670, more than one decree was enacted, ordering various reforms, especially in regard of some of the Novitiates of the Franciscans. As we shall see when speaking of that Synod, the religious being exempt from episcopal jurisdiction, such decrees, when subjected to the decision of Rome, were declared null and void; but the Secretary of Propaganda, when replying to the letter of the Archbishop conveying these decrees, deemed it necessary to assure him that the Sacred Congregation wholly approved of their substance, and moreover authorized him in the name of the Holy Father, to reenact the same decrees, should the bishops deem it proper and expedient.

In his letter of the 25th September, 1671, Dr. Plunket goes to the very root of these evils, and exhorts the Holy See to strike a decisive blow which would at once remove them from our Church :

“Your Excellency,” he says, “sees how many novitiates there are; they receive novices promiscuously and without choice, nor are they educated in a proper manner; they neither attend to choir, nor observe the discipline of the order; they treat with seculars of every description, and, the novitiate being ended, they are sent to quest in the cities and towns, whence it arises that many of them become unworthy members. It would be necessary to prohibit the reception of novices in this kingdom, where they cannot be trained in a proper manner; let those who have a vocation be sent to Louvain, to Prague, to Rome, or to Capranica, to make their novitiate. But they will say they are poor and have not sufficient means for this journey. I reply, that, nevertheless, they have means to send them to those convents or colleges to pursue their studies; let them apply these funds to send them to the same convents to make their novitiate; and it would be well that one of the four convents or colleges which I mentioned should be destined for a novitiate, where their vocations might be examined, for here *introducunt cæcos at claudos eosque cogunt ac compellunt intrare*, and whilst thus gourds are sown, it cannot be expected that melons should be gathered. Neither the Capuchins, nor the Jesuits, nor the Carmelites, have a novitiate here, being aware that they could not give novices a proper training in this kingdom. There is also another great disorder; the guardians, for instance, of Louvain and Prague when they find a disorderly friar, to free themselves from his annoyance procure an order for him to go to Ireland; the remedy for this might be that the Nuncios should examine such as are to be sent to us. At present they keep the good men and send them to teach in the convents of Germany

and Flanders, and France, and Italy, &c., whence they never come to the mission, but grow old and die on the Continent. It would be necessary to send without delay to Ireland, all the (Irish) friars that are not actually in the convents which I mentioned of Prague, Louvain, &c.; and, moreover, all those friars, who live unoccupied in these convents, that is, not engaged in teaching, or as guardians or vicars, &c.

"I pray you to procure a remedy for these disorders, and I remain,  
"Your most obedient and devoted servant,

"OLIVER PLUNKET.

"Dublin, 25th Sept., 1671."

"P.S.—The Earl of Orrery published a proclamation against all Catholics, ecclesiastics, or laymen in Limerick; he wishes that all should depart from the city; he is president of Munster, and but little friendly to the Viceroy who will assist us."

In the following year a Chapter of the Franciscan Fathers was convened at Elphin, and in a letter\* whose every sentence breathes the pastoral solicitude of the writer and his affectionate regard for the great order of St. Francis, he lays open to them the abuses which prevailed, and suggests the means by which they might be remedied.

\* We insert, in the original text, the letter referred to; our readers will admire its classical simplicity, and at the same time the lessons of wisdom which it dictates.

"*REVERENDI PATRES*,—Appulsus in Hiberniam tanquam immeritus D. Patritii successor nihil habui antiquius, quam in cleri totius reformationem in Armac<sup>a</sup> provincia ita incumbere ut idem qua doctrina, qua ecclēica disciplina apprime imbueretur. Et quamvis non pauca in clero religioso animadverterim, quæ mihi videbantur non usq. adeo eorum instituto consona, quæq. idem institutum etiam hoc in Regno minus deceant, illa tamen divulganda non censi, donec opportunitatem nanciscerer eadem superioribus alicubi congregatis indicandi. Cum igitur non ita pridem acceperim Superiores, et præcipuos Ordinis vestri Patres coetum Elphina celebraturos, mearum esse partium duxi nonnulla pro meo in Ordinem vestrum amore, et in provinciam meam zelo eid. cætui proponere, quibus mature perpensis meo, et plurium aliorum judicio, remedia quantocujus adhibenda sunt; quibus fiet ut religiosi vestri optimo incolis exemplo, et summo toti provincię splendori, ac commodo sint deinceps futuri.

"In primis igitur quot in Armacanaprovincia conventus, totidem isthic prope modum tyrocinia reperire est; a meo in Hiberniam adventu tyrones admissi fuere Pontani, Dundalkiæ, Armachæ, Duni, Petrafargusiæ, Dunegalliæ, Cavanachiæ, Athloniæ, et alibi. In hisce et tot aliis conventibus apud tyrones nec chori sunt, nec regularis observantia viget; inter servos, ancillas, advenas, aliosq. promiscue versantur. Idem mensis inservientes, quibus sæculares tam viri quam fœminæ accumbunt, mundanis et futilibus intersunt colloquiis, quorum assuetudine fervor omnis, si quem hauserint, religiøsæ observantiæ et pietatis, nullo pæne negotio evanescit. Insuper difficillime in his conventibus reperiri poterunt novitiorum magistri, muneris tam eximii capaces, qui tyrones juxta Institutum, et vocationis suæ normam, quo par est, honore, et fructu instruant. Peractio tyrocinio huc illuc ruri vagantur, et conventuum negotiis occupantur. Meo quidem quantulocumq. judicio, satius foret unicum in provincia Armac<sup>a</sup> conventum assignare, in quo omnes istius provincię novitii

These exhortations of Dr. Plunket, were not fruitless, but the zealous Primate deemed all partial remedies insufficient unless the occasion and very root of every abuse were removed. Hence, in 1673, he renewed his solicitations to the Internuncio, to have the various Novitiates suppressed, writing as follows :—

“I have received your letter of the eighth of this month with the enclosure for the Father General of the Franciscans, and I shall have it safely delivered to him; but, in my opinion, the multiplicity of

tyrocinium ponerent sumptibus eorum conventuum, a quibus eo destinantur, ut procul a vulgi strepitu, et omnium illecebrarum immunes soli Deo vacent, et solidarum fundamenta virtutum jaciant; vel certe (quod optabilius) in ultramarinas partes mittantur, puta Lovanium, Romam, Pragam, vel Capranicam tyrocinii peragendi ergo; quemadmodum enim anno tyrocinii expleto ad prædictas urbes studiorum, quidni etiam tyrocinii causa proficiantur? Hinc duplex (ut alia taceam plurima) commodum in ssmum ordinem, quia in novitiis, redundabit. Inprimis aliorum ead. in domo commorantium fervor, et in virtutibus progressus tyronibus stimulo erit, ne sacri Instituti spiritum divinitus inditum remittant; deinde tyrones in transmarinis partibus aberunt ab omni periculo scandalii, quo hic nonnulli patrum vestrorum tam eos, quam sæculares, quos in novitiarum professione adhibent convivas, non possunt non offendere, dum liberius vino adusto (vulgo aquavite) indulgent. Deo certe gratissimum foret, si Patres omnes hoc genere potus omnino abstinerent, a quo ipsi etiam sacerdotes sæculares, quia ego illis severe interdixi, modo sibi temperant.

“Alterum est, quod vobis proponendum habui æquum esse, ut cum ssma. D. Francisci regula et Ordinis constitutiones mandent pedibus iter conficiendum, id omnes exequantur, quod non difficilius hoc in Regno, quam in Germania, Belgio, et alibi potest observari, quibus in Regnis religiosi viri incommoda anni tempestate pedibus, et quidem nudis iter conficiunt, et pleriq. vestrum alias iidem in locis fecerunt. Contra vero in variis hic conventibus vix reperitur ullus sacerdos, qui non equo ipse, et famulo equite, dum aliquo est proficiendum, utatur: quæ famulorum et equorum multiplicitas non potest non magno conventibus oneri esse, quodq. sacellis aut eorum altaribus exornandis, ac libris emendis seponi posset, inutili equorum famulorumq. gregi alendo impenditur; multos videre est nobiles, qui pedites incedunt, quidni id faciant ii, qui eo vi Regulæ adstringuntur, quod catholicis æque ac heterodoxis esset ædificationi, et nullo incommodo hoc in Regno fieri potest.

“Tertium hoc deniq. vos monitos velim, plerosq. seniorum put et juniorum Patrum multos pretiosorem pannum, pileos gallicos, et collaria (vulgo cravatts) limbis dentatim textis ornata, et id genus alia sumptibus non exiguis sibi comparare; quibus emendis abstinendum, potius, et pecunia in meliorem usum convertenda, tyronibus alendis, sacræ suppellectili, libris ac hujusmodi rebus emendis ordini vestro (quem multi vrum. dicunt gravi rerum fere omnium penuria laborare) proficiuis, vel deniq. tyronibus in ultramarinas partes transvehendis. Quam plurimi nobiles toto anno *Levidensa*, seu nostrate panno vestiuntur. Sed, iniques, hoc in Regno inter heterodoxos versamur; esto sane, ipsi tamen minime advertent, an tu eques vel pedes incedas, an panno et pileo gallico, vel hibernico utaris, an tyrocinium unum vel plura instituas. Hæc in ordinis vestri et provincie meæ commodum insinuanda duxi, et responsum vestrum propediem prestolabor.

“Dublini, 30 Oct. 1672.

“Vestrarum Paternitatum.

“Addictissimus,  
“OLIVER ARMACH.

“Patribus Ord. S. Franc. Congregatis Elphine.”

Novitiates can never be remedied until the Holy See will take the matter into its own hands, absolutely prohibiting the receiving of novices in this kingdom, or at least prescribing that, as there is only one Province, so also there shall be but one Novitiate, or at most two. It is certain that in my province there are twelve or thirteen Novitiates. There are also novitiates in the Provinces of Cashel, Tuam, and Dublin, in all about thirty; they gather a crowd, and make bundles of every sort of herbs; they sow gourds, and how can they gather melons? Unless the Holy See will apply some remedy to these Novitiates, as was done by Innocent the 10th in my time in Italy, the matter cannot be remedied. A brief to the effect, either that only one Novitiate should be kept in this kingdom, or that the novices should be sent beyond the seas, as is done by the Jesuits and Capuchins, would be of the greatest use to this kingdom.—(18th August, 1673)."

Another cause of annoyance to the Primate was found in the divisions and dissensions of some unworthy and ambitious members of this order. We have seen how the Guardian of Louvain referred to this same source of scandal, and, indeed, it was a natural consequence of those abuses which Dr. Plunket so laboured to check. A division of Ireland into two Franciscan Provinces had long been contemplated by the superiors of that order, and urged by the Primate; but those who fomented disorder, seeking to gratify their self-ambition, opposed this plan with all their might. On the 22nd of September, 1672, Dr. Plunket, thus writes on this subject, referring to some of those unworthy members:—

"It is ambition that prevents their consenting to a division of the Province, although they are sure, as many of them declared to myself, that it would be a source of perpetual peace to themselves and to others. The constant dissensions of the Franciscans, have for many years disturbed the spiritual tranquillity of this kingdom, and they still disturb it, and occasion great scandal to our flock, and to our adversaries. They sometimes even bring their trials before the secular tribunals. They make such a medley of novices, without education or virtue, and without any selection, that it is no wonder that scandals arise. They should send their novices to be trained at Louvain, or Prague, or Rome. They have here about seventy convents, which, in a kingdom with only one Provincial, cannot easily be governed. The Commissaries, Father James Darcy, and Father John Brady, get up factions here lest any division may be made. They have now the rod of government in their hands, and they hope to always have a finger in the management of matters, and they would sooner have it extend to the whole kingdom than to half. In three years it will fall to Armagh to have the Provincial, and this Brady is morally certain that he shall be elected, and hence, he now does all in his power to prevent a division, for he wishes to govern all and not a part. It was once commanded in their Provincial Chapter that the Province should be divided,



but the war and other events prevented the decree from being carried into effect. Monsignore, I pray you not to attend to the complaints or threats of difficulties which some will propose against this division. Let a brief be published ordering the division to be made, so that Ulster and Connaught form one Province, and Leinster and Munster another, and all difficulties will at once disappear."

The persecution which soon burst upon the country blasted at once all the hopes of the re-establishment of discipline, and in a postscript to his letter of the 15th December, 1673, Dr. Plunket briefly remarks:—

"All the convents and novitiates are destroyed, and the novices are scattered throughout the country; the last decree has also terminated the disputes of the Dominicans and Franciscans, both as to questing, and as to the convents. Dr. Plunket, Bishop of Meath, on account of his old age and the gout, has, though with difficulty, received permission to remain."

With the return of a momentary calm, returned also some of those disorders of which the Primate complained, and his zealous desire that his ecclesiastical Province should be a model of discipline and perfection to the Catholic world, was rekindled anew. Availing himself of the opportunity presented by his secretary and cousin, Michael Plunket, who was about to start for Rome to enter upon a course of studies at the Irish College in that City, the Archbishop wrote three letters, all dated 15th August, 1676, addressed to the chief authorities in Rome, recapitulating in them the various abuses then prevailing, and the remedies by which they might be removed. One of these letters is addressed to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland, and is as follows:—

"I deem myself in conscience obliged to represent and lay before your Eminence, with due submission, the measures which may conduce to the good of religion in this kingdom, and to point out the abuses which now retard its propagation and maintenance. And in the first place, the Novitiates of the Regulars do us much harm. They receive a number of Novices, and cannot give them proper instruction: they have no real convents, but live in a sort of huts, without choir and without due education. In the Province of Armagh alone the Franciscans had 13 Novitiates.

"2ndly. Various Colleges were instituted in Rome, Salamanca, St. Jago, Lisbon, &c., by some pious founders, to educate Secular Priests, who, on finishing their studies, might return to labour in this vineyard: nevertheless the best students become Religious, and never return to this country, and thus the intention of the founders is frustrated, and the parishes here are left abandoned: a Decree for the various Irish



Colleges, similar to that made in regard of the Pontifical Colleges, would remedy all this disorder.

"3rdly. You will be pleased to intimate to the Superiors of the Religious in Rome, that no Religious be sent hither with the title of Missionary Apostolic without having first received faculty from the Sacred Congregation, *et ab eadem mittatur*, which faculty they will be obliged to present to the Ordinary in whose Diocese they live. These points being arranged and decreed, great harmony and peace will be promoted here in ecclesiastical affairs. The bearer, Mr. Michael Plunket, my cousin, who was also my Secretary for three years, will give you a more minute detail of our affairs here, and of all we have to suffer. He will act as our Agent until the arrival in Rome of Dr. James Cusack,\* who was educated in Rome, and was afterwards a great preacher in English and Irish, a good Theologian and Canonist, but who cannot commence his journey before next spring. In the meantime I pray you to protect the bearer, and making you a profound reverence, and wishing you every felicity and a long life, I shall ever remain

"Your Eminence's

"Most humble and obliged, devoted servant,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"Dublin, 15th August, 1676."

In one of his last letters, transmitted together with the Decrees of the Synod of Ardpatrick, and dated 10th of September, 1678, Dr. Plunket, writing under his usual assumed name, *Thomas Cox*, renews his solicitations to have the number of Novitiates lessened, and recommends the appointment of Dr. Tyrrell, Bishop of Clogher, who was an illustrious ornament of the Franciscan Order, as Apostolic Visitor of the Irish Province:—

"I have spoken," he says, "with some of the Superiors of the Franciscans, who have four convents in my district: in one there are only two friars, in another four, in the others seven or five; and I besought them to put them all the members in one or two convents, but they do not like to do it. Nothing stands in such need of reformation. I tire your Excellency and their Eminences with so many letters, but '*the zeal of the house of God hath eaten me up*,' and I would wish that my Province, both as to the Secular and the Regular

\* He had at this time care of the Parish of Duleek, Diocese of Meath, and had been lately appointed Agent of the Irish Bishops in Rome. In a letter of the same date as that given above, but addressed to Monsig. Cerri, Secretary of Propaganda, and in which the same grievances are reported without important variation, the Primate adds concerning Dr. Cusack:—"He studied in Rome, and laboured here for thirteen years with great success: he is a gentleman by birth, and more so by his deportment, and you will find him nowise inferior to the Agents his predecessors: he is a great preacher in English and Irish, and besides the speculative sciences is well versed in Canon Law, and I think will fully satisfy their Eminences. But he cannot be ready or begin his journey till Spring."

Clergy, should be holy and good and observant. Monsignor Tyrrell was Secretary-General of the Order of St. Francis for twelve years; he was also Definitor General, Commissary, Visitor, &c., and no one knows their Rules better, and were he appointed by Brief Apostolic Visitor of the Franciscans in Ireland, I cannot say what good he would effect. Dear Monsignor, procure this favour from their Eminences, and you will render a great advantage to Religion: and I conclude with a profound reverence."

We have seen how Dr. Plunket, in his letter of the 15th of August, 1676, referred to the small number of students that returned from the colleges in Rome and other parts of the continent; in a preceding letter he had already indicated the same abuse, and together with it, a custom which introduced by some of the Catholic gentry, and at this time had grown beyond all due bounds: he thus writes on the 18th of August, 1673:—

"The Secular Clergy is too numerous: every gentleman desires a Chaplain, and is anxious to hear Mass in his room, under pretence of fear of the Government. They force the Bishops to ordain Priests, and afterwards they move the whole world in order to procure a Parish for this Priest, their dependant: the remedy for this would be to withdraw from me, and from all the Archbishops and Bishops of this kingdom, the faculty of ordaining *extra tempora*, and I beseech you to deprive us of this faculty. The Irish College in Rome only maintains seven, or at most eight students; that is, two for each province: and of these some die in Rome, and some become Religious, so that few remain for the Secular Clergy; and so also it happens with two or three Colleges in Spain. As to those of Flanders, if you except Louvain, which also maintains but few, the others are only for belles lettres. In a word, in the province of Armagh there are only three that were educated in Rome; that is, Dr. James Cusack, a man distinguished for his learning and prudence; Dr. Ronan Magin, also sufficiently learned, and now Vicar Apostolic of Dromore; and a certain Eugene Colgan, Archdeacon of Derry, a very learned man, and of exemplary life. These are the fruits of the Irish College as regards my province. There are three Dioceses of my province, that is to say, Raphoe, Derry, and Clogher, full of Protestants, Presbyterians, Anabaptists, Quakers, &c., if you could obtain two places for each of these three dioceses, it would be of great advantage for the maintenance and propagation of the faith in this province and in these dioceses.

"Armagh, 18th August, 1673.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"To the Internunzio in Brussels."

Whilst the good Archbishop thus zealously laboured to effect these reforms, the enemies of our Church deemed it a fit opportunity to bring reproach upon the Irish Catholics, and heap

calumny upon the Primate himself; and thus whilst on the one hand the evils were exaggerated, on the other, the manner of acting of the Archbishop was represented as most arbitrary and oppressive. To one of the accusations made against him Dr. Plunket thus alludes in his letter to Dr. Creagh, of 15th September, 1674:

"I received your two letters, one of the 1st of May, and the other of the 21st of July, to which I replied more diffusely through a friend who was going to London. I now say, as to the principal points, that I never preached or spoke either before or after Mass against friars or regulars *in genere, specie aut in individuo*: so that all this is a mere calumny and falsehood."

To another somewhat similar accusation he refers in his letter of 30th August, 1678:—

"They published against me," he says, "as I learned from his Eminence Cardinal Howard, that I made a decree in a Congregation or Synod of Armagh, to the effect that *the clerics with the four minor orders should take precedence of all the Regulars* of this kingdom. This information is manifestly false; and surely these insidious informers and forgers should be punished according to the ecclesiastical law and the canons."

In a letter of Dr. Brennan, under date 14th November, 1672, reference is made to these misrepresentations circulated by some discontented persons:—

"They consider the Archbishop of Armagh their adversary; they say that they have learned by letters from Rome, that he sent a report to the Sacred Congregation, full of lies and calumnies against them; forsooth, that they were of no use; that they became heretics; that they annoyed the bishops and priests. They even sent around a circular to be signed by the bishops in contradiction of the pretended accusations; this circular was sent to me for signature by the Father Commissary; I replied that I would attest the good affected by the order; that they do not become heretics, that they even convert many heretics; but that I would not attest that they maintain amicable relations with the bishops and priests, or that many of them do not exercise parochial functions *contradicente episcopo*, because I was aware of the contrary; in these terms I gave my attestations and not otherwise."

Another important letter on this subject is that addressed by Dr. Plunket to the Internunzio on the 26th of September, 1673, in which whilst he repudiates the calumnious accusations made against our Church in Rome, he at the same time plainly states the true evils that required the solicitude of the Holy See:—

"Your letter of the 8th inst. wisely admonishes me to avoid all occasions which might give pretext to the threatened persecution. I

will be sure to carry it out to the letter, and indeed during the whole past year, I took care that no synods or provincial councils or assemblies of the clergy should be held by the bishops, which indeed proved of great advantage to those who conformed to my council. The Archbishop of Dublin, however, held three or four assemblies in Dublin itself, and provoked the rage of the whole government, and gave great umbrage to the Earl of Essex, our Viceroy, a wise and prudent man, who does not willingly give annoyance to those who live in peace. The same Archbishop, during the past four years, waged an open war against the Duke of Ormond, who is the most powerful subject of his Majesty in this kingdom, and you know that it is not safe to assail the powerful, *nemo potentes aggredi tutus potest.*

As to what you command, that I should write to Monsignor Secretary, I shall obey; it will be necessary, however, for Monsignor Secretary to give a copy of my letters to Dr. Creagh, that thus he may refresh the memory of his Excellency, and expedite the transaction of our affairs; for Monsignor Secretary is often overwhelmed with business touching the affairs of so many kingdoms and missions, and I well know from my experience in Rome, that such an agent is necessary to call his attention to our business. I believe that Monsignor Ravizza is now returned from Portugal; if I write a separate letter to Dr. Creagh, the expense will be increased, and hence I pray you to write to the Monsignor, requesting him to show my letter to Dr. Creagh.

"As to the dissensions of the Catholics, of which you speak, I see here, on the contrary, great concord; nor do I see any divisions whatsoever amongst ecclesiastics, and did such exist I should know it, as I receive letters every week from all the Archbishops and Bishops, and when any discord arises, they write to me at once, and you may rest assured that, of all that happens, you shall have a sincere and perfect account, without any partiality or passion.

I wrote to you on former occasions that it is necessary to take away from the bishops the faculty of ordaining *extra tempora*, and to send a brief that no more novices be received amongst us. Such a brief would effect great good, or rather an exceeding great good in this kingdom. The Franciscans alone have twelve or thirteen Novitiates in the one province of Armagh; imagine, then, how many there are in the other provinces. The Dominicans are more moderate and circumspect, they have only five Novitiates in my province; but as there is only one provincial for each order, so also there should be only one Novitiate, or at most two; however, it will be better that they should have none for a few years. I write continually on this matter, because I see the great necessity there is for it, and I fear that a great tempest will one day arise, unless a remedy be soon applied to this root of many future disorders."

The zeal of Dr. Plunket was tempered by prudence and charity, and even those who were most irregular and disorderly in their conduct were, on their conversion, treated by him with special kindness and regard. We have an illustrious example of

this in his receiving back to the bosom of the Church a wretched apostate named Martin French. This man had been a member of the Augustinian order, but setting aside all laws, ecclesiastical and divine, had refused to listen to the voice of his legitimate superiors, and even summoned the Archbishop of Tuam before the secular courts, accusing him, under the statute, of *præmunire*—that is, of exercising foreign jurisdiction in the British dominions. In consequence of these accusations the Archbishop was detained for many months in prison, and even for some time was in great danger of being led to the scaffold. Dr. Plunket, on the 24th of April, 1671, thus refers to the sufferings of this Archbishop:—

“The good Archbishop of Tuam was imprisoned anew during the past Lent, on the accusations of Martin French, and was found guilty of *præmunire*—that is, of exercising foreign jurisdiction, but now, having given security, he is allowed to be at liberty till the next sessions of August; but Nicholas Plunket, who is the best lawyer in the kingdom, and the only defender that the poor ecclesiastics have in such circumstances, writes that he should appeal from the courts of Galway to the supreme jurisdiction of Dublin, in which there is greater equity.”

On the trial being sent to Dublin, French did not appear to prosecute, and soon afterwards, touched by repentance, he petitioned the Primate to pardon him his guilt and re-admit him to the bosom of the holy Church. The good prelate, moved by his prayers, and still more by the tears which testified his horror for the course of crime he had pursued, absolved him, in the name of the Holy See, from the censures he had incurred, and wrote most pressing letters to the Archbishop of Tuam, praying him to receive back the prodigal child, and reinstate him in the household of God. It was thus Dr. Lynch himself wrote, on the 17th of September, 1671, to the Internunzio in Brussels. After stating that French had repented of his crimes, he adds:—

“He had recourse to the most illustrious Lord Primate, who freed him from censures, and more than once notified the same to us by letters, praying also and beseeching us that we would admit to our communion this man, no longer subject to censures or irregularities, and that we would cast every fault, if there were any, upon his own shoulders; and to this testimony we have given every credence.”

Dr. Plunket received instructions from Rome, in 1671, to remove from the Irish province, two religious who had been connected with the proceedings of Peter Walsh, by name Coppinger and Harold, and to place them in some convent of the

Franciscan order on the Continent. In reply he writes, concerning Coppinger, that he will use all possible diligence, and that he has no doubt there would be no difficulty in inducing him to depart, especially as he had heard that "with the exception of these dissensions he was an excellent man."—(22nd Sept. 1672.)

In another letter Dr. Plunket gives further details regarding his success in executing this commission of the Holy See:—"As I wrote on a former occasion I intimated to Coppinger to meet me in the vicinity of Dublin. He obeyed, and undertook a long and toilsome journey. Many difficulties were made, but at length he submitted. If I am not deceived Father Coppinger is an exceedingly good man, and, moreover, a good religious; and were it not that he was led astray in these late disturbances, he would deserve every favour: even his adversaries never had anything to reproach him with as to his conduct and morals. I pray you to send this letter to Rome. May the Almighty be ever praised: I have undertaken nothing since my arrival in this kingdom that has not been prospered by God."—3rd November, 1672.

Three days later he wrote again to the Internunzio confirming the same intelligence, and stating, moreover, that "he had exhorted Coppinger to present himself to the Archbishop of Dublin, and place his retractation in his hands." A letter of the same Father was transmitted to Rome, written immediately after his first conference with the Primate, and in it, whilst he declares his submission to the superiors of his order, and to the Sacred Congregation, he adds: "The most illustrious Primate of this kingdom, some weeks ago, wrote to me to meet him at Dublin. I travelled one hundred Irish miles, and suffered a great deal in this unclement season to meet his wishes: he proposed many motives and strong reasons why I should obey the Very Rev. Father Geanor, and other superiors of my order in this kingdom."

As to Harold, Dr. Plunket was equally successful. His letter of the 20th of January, 1672, breathes his characteristic spirit of benevolence, and in it he particularly dwells on the good qualities of this religious, and on the happy fruits that might be expected from his zeal, should he obey the commands of the Sacred Congregation:—

"To my great grief, the rumour prevails here and in London, that the Viceroy will have to change his quarters and return to his own country, and that the new Viceroy will be the Earl of Essex, a man, they say, prudent and wise, but we do not know what his senti-

ments are towards the Catholics ; however, we must only sail according to the winds. I received the amount of 60 scudi from Monsignor Sarcefield, and Father Harold has been here for the last four weeks at his own expense. I have not given him anything as yet, and no ship can be found bound for those parts. I also have been here (Dublin) for eighteen days, at great expense, with four horses and three servants, for no other purpose than to see him embarked, and to give him a part of the money here ; he shall receive the remainder on his arrival at his destination *in termino ad quem*. I have at length come to the resolution to allow him to pass through England, for I do not see what injury can be done by it, especially as he himself is indifferent as to what journey he should make. Moreover, at this season of the year, it is somewhat dangerous to undertake a long sea voyage—and, as I said, I do not know what injury can come from his journey to England ; had he been desirous to go thither to make any arrangement with Peter Walsh, he might have gone there long since of his own accord, for he did not acknowledge the authority of Father Geanor, but only of Coppinger. Moreover, he promises to bring Walsh to a better sense of his duty, and to make known his sentiments to your Excellency, and to do all in his power to convince him of his folly. I shall give a third part of the money here, and send the remainder to Father Howard, who can give him the balance when embarking in London for Ostend or Dunkirk. I deem Father Harold a man of honour, and, with the exception of this late dissension, in which he set himself to sustain the part of Coppinger, a good man and an exemplary religious, well versed in theology, and should he obey (and I think he will submit to all the commands of your Excellency), he will be a very useful subject, being also a good preacher in the Irish language. Monsignore, this commission to bring him to submission has already cost me more than 40 scudi, but for the service of God and of the Holy See I would sell even the pectoral and the mitre.—(20th January, 1672.)”

One of those lovers of inobservance who had occasioned most annoyance to the Primate was a Franciscan father named Felix O'Neill. Amongst other things, in his over-zeal for the privileges of his order, he had broached many propositions which occasioned scandal to the laity and the clergy ; even after his being reconciled to the Primate, he seems to have retained a secret enmity against him, and many, too, imputed to him a share in that sacrilegious plot which a few years later led the holy Archbishop to Tyburn. But far, far, were all such sentiments of secret rancour from the bosom of Dr. Plunket. The following is the letter addressed to the Internuncio on receipt of the retraction of O'Neill, in which we shall easily recognize the sentiments of the good pastor on finding the strayed one of his fold:—

“ Father Felix O'Neill explains the propositions that he made use of,

that, forsooth, the flock is not bound to support the parish-priest; and that his Holiness could not suppress the order of St. Francis. He explains them, as you will see from the annexed letter, which he sent to the guardian of Dublin, and he is ready to submit himself to holy Church, and to the Holy See. He also came to me, and he promises submission for the future; and he will do the same with the Bishop of Clogher; and I am greatly rejoiced at it, because this reconciliation will give great peace to my districts; and as hitherto I deemed this Father Felix deserving of reprehension on account of his manner of teaching and acting, so now, is he worthy of praise for having overcome himself by performing these generous acts of peace and reconciliation for the common good. And as he is of noble family, both by the father's and the mother's side, he can do great good in the whole province, in which he is closely related to the highest nobility. I am desirous to animate him and console him, that he may be reconciled with the Bishop of Clogher and with me, in order to propagate and preserve our holy faith in this kingdom; and I beseech you to write a courteous and loving letter to the same father, praising him and consoling him, as also to send this letter to Monsignor Cerri (Secretary of Propaganda), and I pray him to make this known to their Eminences and to write likewise to the same father, for this will be of great advantage to the spiritual interests and tranquillity of my districts, &c.

"Dublin, 27, Sept. 1678.

"THOMAS COX.

"(Oliver of Armagh.)"

Thus the interests of religion and the glory of God were the sole springs of every action of the Primate, and a paternal affection was sure to greet the sinner on his repentance. This truly divine, not human, zeal, implied another feature, which is not less clearly recognized in the actions of Dr. Plunket, namely, that when he discovered himself in error he did not hesitate to avow it, and retract the injury which, perchance, he might have done. A noble instance of this is presented in his letter of 21st September, 1678:—

"Some time since you asked me for an account of Dr. Cornelius Daly, but as he was then in Paris, I besought you to write to that city, and soon after I received information that he was a Jansenist, factions, &c. I now find that the information thus conveyed to me was false, for as he at present is here in Ireland, I conversed with him; and I find him wholly opposed to the Jansenists, and he subscribed in my presence the formula condemnatory of Jansenism; and I find him well versed in theology, and in the canons, and in cases of conscience, and he is, in my opinion, a modest, exact, and exemplary ecclesiastic, of grave and good deportment, being about forty years of age; and to say the truth, I saw but few returning from Paris with better ecclesiastical qualities; and in my humble opinion he is



deserving of any dignity that he may be promoted to. I pray your excellency to write to Monsignor Cerri in his favour, that he may be appointed Vicar Apostolic of Ardferd and Aghadoe, which two small dioceses have been united for forty years, as also to send this letter to Monsignor Cerri. Dr. Daly can affect great good in these dioceses; he is a good preacher, and can labour well in propagating and preserving the faith; and I remain with profound veneration,

"21 Sept. 1678.

"To M. Pruisson, Brussels.

"THOMAS COX.

(Oliver of Armagh.)"

Though the zeal of Dr. Plunket was more than ever exercised in correcting the abuses and disorders of some houses, or some members of the Franciscan institute, yet, far was the good prelate from seeking to reflect discredit on that holy order, or from being animated with any sentiments in its regard save those of esteem and love. We have already seen how he extolled the zeal and learning of many of its members, and how well he was aware of the glory which was shed upon religion in Flanders, Germany, Italy, and Spain, by the Irish children of St. Francis. But it was his desire, as he himself avowed, that his province, "*both as to the secular and the regular clergy should be holy, good, and observant*," (10th Sept. 1678), and hence he laboured incessantly that every disorder should be rooted out, and every occasion of irregularity be removed; and even in his dying words upon the scaffold, he declared—

"By preaching, and teaching, and statutes, have I endeavoured to bring the clergy of which I had the care, to a due comportment, according to their calling; and though thereby I did but my duty, yet some who would not amend, had a prejudice for me; and especially my accusers, to whom I did endeavour to do good. . . . But you see how I am requited; and how, by false oaths, they brought me to this untimely death; which wicked act being a defect of persons, ought not to reflect upon the order of St. Francis, or upon the Roman Catholic clergy; it being well known that there was a Judas among the twelve apostles, and a wicked man called Nicholas among the seven deacons; and even, as one of the said deacons, to wit, holy Stephen, did pray for those who stoned him to death, so do I for those who, with perjuries spill my innocent blood, saying, as St. Stephen did, 'O Lord, lay not this sin to them.'"

There were some, however, who passing over the true bounds of Christian charity, and imputing to the whole body the irregularities and disorders of a few individual members, heaped calumnies upon the Order of St. Francis, and soon after the death of Dr. Plunket petitioned the Holy See, that it might be wholly

suppressed in Ireland, or, at least, that those Colleges which the order possessed upon the Continent, should be withdrawn from its guardianship. These calumnies gave occasion to many of the Irish Bishops to re-echo the sentiments of Dr. Plunket, and whilst they condemned the scandalous life of some individuals of that Order, to extol the zeal and fruitful labours of the others. We shall here present the letter written on this occasion, by the bosom friend of the deceased Primate, the Archbishop of Cashel, who, as he shared in the privations and persecutions of Dr. Plunket, so, too, we may rest assured, shared with him the noble sentiments which he now uttered in regard of the calumniated Order of St. Francis. This letter is dated 20th September, 1684, and is as follows:—

“The Franciscan Fathers of this Kingdom, finding themselves accused before their Eminences (as they assert), for the many and frequent disorders committed by certain individuals of that Order, and fearing that some stigma or chastisement might be inflicted to the detriment of the entire province, regarding the government of those Colleges which they possess in Catholic countries; lately summoned here a provincial Chapter, and wrote various letters to persons of authority in Rome, excusing themselves, and praying that the disorders of individuals should not prejudice the common good of the province and of the nation; on this occasion they pressed me to concur in their petition, and add my supplication in their favour. I confess there are some amongst them of scandalous life, but these are very few, compared with the great number of Fathers who are here of exemplary life, and of great zeal and learning, who labour incessantly, and with abundant fruit for the glory of God, and the salvation of souls. I may even add confidentially, that the Franciscan Fathers of strict observance in this Kingdom do more good than any other religious Order. I pray, therefore, your Excellency to grant your protection and favor to these Fathers, procuring that they may continue in the government of their Colleges, and that their agent may also exercise his office in the Roman Court as heretofore. I have no doubt that great advantage will accrue from this determination to our holy faith in these countries, and hence it is deserving of the benign protection of your zeal. May God grant to your Excellency many and happy years, for the benefit of holy Church, and I remain, with sincere reverence, &c.\*

“JOHN, ARCHBISHOP OF CASHEL.

“20th September, 1684.”

\* See Appendix, No. 26:

## CHAPTER IX.

### EFFORTS OF DR. PLUNKET TO EDUCATE HIS FLOCK.

IN the preceding pages we were more than once obliged to refer incidentally to the schools erected by Dr. Plunket; but he refers so frequently in his letters to education, and supplies such abundant information regarding the Collegiate Institutions on the continent for the instruction of the Irish Catholic youth, that we have deemed it expedient to devote a special chapter to the subject.

The thirst for knowledge ever displayed by the people of Ireland, from the first era of their enlightenment by Catholic faith, is proverbial. During her ages of peace and prosperity, Seminaries and other Institutions of learning were everywhere scattered throughout the land. Science, exiled from the continent, found a secure asylum on our shores; and from the Monasteries and Schools of Ireland went forth an innumerable host of holy and learned men to rekindle in the kingdoms of Europe the lamp of knowledge, and to confer on them the blessings of civilization and religion. St. Bernard writes that "from Ireland, as from an overflowing stream, crowds of holy men descended on foreign nations;" and a Saxon writer, Aldhelm,\* describes our country as "rich in the wealth of science," and "as thickly set with learned men as the poles are with stars." The German historian, Görres, contemplating the Irish Church at that period, cries out—"while the flames of war were blazing around her, the green Isle enjoyed the sweets of repose. When we look into the ecclesiastical life of this people, we are almost tempted to believe that some potent spirits transported over the sea the cells of the valley of the Nile, with all their hermits—its monasteries, with all their inmates, and had settled down in the Western Isle!—an Isle which in the lapse of three centuries gave eight hundred and fifty saints to the Church—won over to Christianity the north of Britain, and soon after a large portion of the yet pagan Germany; and while it devoted the utmost attention to the sciences, cultivated with special care mystical contemplation in her religious communities as well as in the saints whom they produced." Eric of Auxerre, and other writers, speak with rapture of the learned men who, in the reign of Charlemagne,

\* See letter preserved in Usher's *Sylloge*.

hastened "in swarms" to the shores of France; and even as late as the eleventh century we find that Sulgenus, the holy Bishop of St. David's, went to Ireland to cultivate the pursuits of literature; "for that country," adds his cotemporary biographer, "is renowned for its wondrous wisdom."

*"Ivit ad Hibernos sophia mirabili claros."*\*

Six centuries of devastation, of plunder, and of ruin ensued; religious persecution was added to national strife, and soon the garden became as a wilderness, and learning seemed exiled from the land. In the year 1581 it was enacted by Parliament that—

"Any person keeping a school-master who shall not repair to the Established Church shall forfeit £10 per month."

Other acts of Parliament followed in quick succession. We shall give but a brief epitome of them:—

"If a Catholic kept a school, or taught any person—Protestant or Catholic—any species of literature or science, such teacher was, for the crime of teaching, punishable by law by banishment; and if he returned from banishment, he was subject to be hanged as a felon."

"If a Catholic, whether a child or adult, attended, in Ireland, a school kept by a Catholic, or was privately instructed by a Catholic, such Catholic, although a child in its early infancy, incurred a forfeiture of all its property, present or future."

"If a Catholic child, however young, was sent to any foreign country for education, such infant child incurred a similar penalty—that is, a forfeiture of all right to property, present or prospective."

"If any person in Ireland made any remittance in money or goods for the maintenance of any Irish child educated in a foreign country, such person incurred a similar forfeiture."†

All this, however, did not suffice; and British legislation was said to have attained its perfection, and *pacified Ireland*, only when all our Catholic inhabitants were pent up in the various precincts of Connaught:—when emigration from the immediate districts assigned to them was made punishable with death, without trial or form of law;—when it was commanded that all priests should be hanged without mercy;—that all the inhabitants should take the oath of abjuration, if presented to them, under penalty of forfeiting two-thirds of their goods and chattels; and

\* See Montalembert, *Les Moines d'Occident*, vol. 2, book 7th, where he treats of St. Columbanus and other Irish Saints. See also Ozanam, *Etudes Germaniques*, t. 2, p. 99.

† *Memoirs of Ireland*, &c., by D. O'Connell.

when, in fine, its legislature enacted regarding education—"that all Catholic children attaining the age of twelve years were to be taken from their parents and educated in England in the principles of the Protestant religion."\* Thus nothing remained for our forefathers but to renounce the pursuit of learning, or to drink in, at poisoned sources, the waters of knowledge. The eager desire of some to penetrate the depths of science induced them to frequent Protestant schools, and imperil the precious treasure of their faith. Often, however, even during this period of persecution, did the Pastors raise their warning voices to make known to their flocks the snare which was laid for them; and often did they lament the dread evils which threatened our country, and which it seemed beyond their power to avert. Thus the bosom friend of our Primate, Dr. Brennan, then Bishop of Waterford, giving a "Report" of his Diocese to the Sacred Congregation, on the 20th September, 1675, writes:—

"A good education and instruction is much wanting for the Catholic youth of this country, for, in consequence of the penal laws, no Catholic is allowed to act as schoolmaster; so that our youth are obliged to seek instruction from Protestant teachers—a sad misfortune indeed, which will one day produce great evils."†

When he was transferred to Cashel his sentiments remained unchanged, and in another "Report" to the Holy See, dated from Kilcash, 9th November, 1687, he thus writes:—

"By the penal laws Catholics are prohibited to keep schools, in order that our students may be compelled to frequent the schools of Lutheran masters, to be there imbued with Protestant doctrine and morality. To repel this danger, some Catholic masters kept private schools, to instruct the Catholic children in letters and in the principles of faith—not without their own great risk; and many of them, on this account, have suffered imprisonment and pecuniary fines."‡

\* See O'Connor's "History of the Irish Catholics." The last ordinance of Parliament referred to was sanctioned in 1657. A very ancient MS. copy of this Act of Parliament is preserved in the Barberini Library.

† Alla gioventù Cattolica di questo paese manca di molto la buona erudizione ed ammaestramento, mentre in vigore delle leggi penali non è lecito a niun Cattolico di fare il maestro di scuola, talche i nostri giovani sono necessitati d'imparare da maestri Protestanti; cosa dolorosa che sarà di pregiudizio in tempo avvenire. Archiv. de Propag. Scritt. Rif. Irl. vol. 3.

‡ Per le leggi sudette (penali) vien anche inibito ai Cattolici di tener scuole acciò li nostri studenti si necessitassero di frequentare le scuole di maestri Luterani per ivi imbeverli della dottrina e costumi Protestanti. Per oviare a questo pericolo, parecchi maestri Cattolici tenevano scuole private per instruir la gioventù Cattolica nelle lettere e nei principii della fede; non senza pericolo di tali maestri, molti dei quali hanno patito le carceri e multe pecuniarie per tal esercizio. Archiv. Propagand.

Dr. Plunket lamented no less than the Archbishop of Cashel the dangers arising from irreligious instruction. In one of his letters he writes that "*Irish talent is excellent and acute, especially that of Ulster;*" and adds, "but what does this avail when it cannot be cultivated; the richest land without the plough-share or the spade can yield but little fruit; and here, in consequence of the penal laws, we can have no fixed Catholic schools;" and again, writing to the Internunzio on the 22nd September, 1672, he states that before the opening of his schools the Catholics were obliged "*to send their children to Protestant masters, and thus incur great danger as to their faith; for you can well understand how easily young shoots receive a wrong bent, unless they be properly trained from the commencement.*" In a preceding letter, too (26th April, 1671), when praying for some assistance to support Catholic teachers, he adds—"Let us aid the poor children, many of whom have been perverted by going to Protestant schools."

At every interval of peace and toleration, it was the first thought of the Catholic prelates to establish colleges and schools. Thus in 1641, before the threats of extermination compelled the Irish to rise in arms, and plunged once more our country into all the horrors of invasion and civil strife, the Jesuit Fathers had opened schools, *to the great joy*\* of all the Catholics in Dublin, Drogheda, Kilkenny, Ross, Wexford, Clonmel, Waterford, Cashel, Cork, Limerick, and Galway. From a paper written not long after by Father William Salinger, S.J. and entitled "An Answer to some Calumnies against the Jesuit Order," we learn that Dr. Hugh O'Reilly, Archbishop of Armagh, had resolved on the establishment of two colleges, one of which should be placed at Armagh. Dr. Thomas Walsh, Archbishop of Cashel, founded one college, and collected a large sum of money for the establishment of another, but the sums collected by him were appropriated to other objects in consequence of the war. Dr. John De Burgo was engaged preparing for a like foundation; the Bishop of Meath had already opened one such institution and contemplated the establishment of another. Dr. Francis Kirwan, Bishop of Killala, had promoted the foundation of another seminary.

A like spirit seems to have animated the lay leaders of the Catholic party, and the renowned General of Ulster, Owen Roe O'Neil, who for his martial spirit and continual life in the camp, and struggles in the field of battle, we should suppose would have little leisure for reflecting on the necessity of Catholic instruction, had resolved on the establishment in Ulster of four

\* Summo cum fructu et satisfactione.—MS. mox. citand.

colleges, "that from them, as he alleged, might proceed the reformation of morals, and the due and sufficient Christian education of the youth and people." He even had marked out the place and site for these colleges, and often publicly spoke of them.\*

We learn, moreover, from the "annual letters" of the Society of Jesus, that even when the country was laid waste by the Cromwellians, and the clergy were everywhere forced to seek refuge in the woods and mountains; they sought to keep alive the spark of learning as well as of religion, and in particular this is recorded of Father James Ford, who, in the middle of a vast bog, choosing out a spot of more than ordinary consistency, built a little house on it, whither a large number of youths soon flocked, who erected little huts all around, and then the good Father instructed them, at the same time, in science and in virtue (*litterarum studiis et virtutum*), and the writer adds "that the disciples vied with their master in enduring, not only with fortitude, but even with joy, all the inconveniences to which they were then exposed." (*Status Soo. J. an. 1654.*)

It cannot, then, surprise us that one of the first thoughts of Dr. Plunket on his arrival in Ireland should be to procure such Catholic schools, and to realise, at least in part, the project of the great Ulster leader of the Catholic interests. Before the month of July, 1670, he had completed a college for three Jesuit Fathers, and it soon numbered no fewer than 150 pupils within its walls. To maintain this college, the good Prelate, as we have seen in the last chapter, often deprived himself even of the necessities of life, and clothed himself in the plainest raiment. The Sacred Congregation of Propaganda soon came to his aid; and till the time when a new outburst of persecution scattered to the winds this noble work of the Primate, 150 scudi were allowed each year for the maintenance of his teachers. The Lord Lieutenant gave a tacit consent to the erection of this college, and many of the Protestant gentry, on witnessing its fruits, became its warm advocates, and even sought for their children the benefits of its instruction. But the happy results and success of these schools will be best learned from the letters of the Archbishop himself.

One of the most interesting of these letters† is addressed to

\* Sallinger, ut supra: Illmus Ultoniæ Generalis Eugenius O'Nellus statuit ac promisit, ut in Ultonia, fundarentur collegia quatuor, unde dicebat ille, juventutis ac populi Christiana proba et urbana educatio et morum procederet reformatio; collegiis illis apta loca et commoda designavit ac publice sæpius nominavit.

† I owe this letter to the kindness of my esteemed friend, Rev. C. P. Meehan, to whom all lovers of Ireland are so much indebted for his untiring zeal in promoting our national literature.

Father Oliva, General of the Society of Jesus, and in it he acquaints him with the establishment of the college, and the happy fruits which were there produced by the zealous members of his order. It is a curious incident that the original of this letter is now preserved in the library of Trinity College; it seems to have never reached its destination, having been, probably, intercepted by the government. It is as follows:—

“VERY REVEREND FATHER.—Dr. Creagh, the agent of the Prelates of this kingdom in the Roman court, has written to me declaring his many obligations to your Paternity for your affability, kindness, and patronage in his regard, which is of great assistance to him. By long experience in Rome, I learned how great a benefactor you were, and your kindness has been experienced in like manner by all my fellow-countrymen in Rome; each and every one of whom attest your anxiety in their regard, and as they cannot otherwise correspond with this kindness and prove their gratitude, than by loving and doing good to the members of your Order in this kingdom, I can assure you that in this they are not cold or negligent, and the Fathers, on the other hand, by the great good which they do, merit to be thus loved, praised, and caressed. I have three Fathers in the Diocese of Armagh, who by their virtue, learning, and labours, would suffice to enrich a kingdom.

The founder of the Armagh Residence is Father Stephen Rice, a learned man, successful in preaching, prudent in his labours, and of profound religious virtue, nor is he ever weary of teaching, instructing, and attending to the pupils and to the young priests, of whom he is the examiner and director. Oh! how much he had to suffer during the past two years and four months, in founding that residence, *sudavit et alsit*, and he is so modest, so reserved, that he seems as though he had come on yesterday from the novitiate of St. Andrew's. He was educated in Flanders, where, indeed, he was imbued with the true spirit of the society; he retains that spirit, and is a son worthy of such a Father as St. Ignatius; in a word, Father Rice is another Father Young.\*

The second is Father Ignatius Browne, a celebrated preacher in the English language, a learned man, and of exemplary life. He was educated in Spain, and preaches on every festival with great applause in the principal chapel.

“The third is Father Murphy, a good theologian, and good religious: he also preaches well in Irish, and is a young man of great talent.

“There is a lay-brother named Nicholas, who is like a real brother of Brother George of holy memory.

“In the schools there are 150 boys; for the greater part children of the Catholic nobility and gentry, and there are also about 40 children

\* A distinguished Irish Jesuit.



of the Protestant gentry. You may imagine what envy it excites in the Protestant masters and ministers to see the Protestant children coming to the schools of the society.

"In the city of my diocese, where their residence is, there are also houses of the Dominicans, Franciscans, Augustinians, and Capuchins; the city is called Drogheda, or *Dréat* in our English and Irish languages, and *Pontana* in Latin: it is distant from Dublin as far as Tivoli from Rome; it is a maritime port, situated on the noble river Boyne, or *Boina*, and from its bridge (*pons*) it derives its Latin name Pontana. It is well supplied with corn, with flesh of every description, and with fish. The country around is for the most part inhabited by orthodox noblemen and gentlemen, and in the city there are rich merchants and respectable artisans.

"When I introduced the Fathers to my diocese, and the schools commenced to flourish, Dr. Talbot reprehended the undertaking as rash, imprudent, precipitous, and vain, and said that it would be short-lived, especially in such a busy city. But he was only half acquainted with the matter. The Viceroy, my Lord Berkeley, was most friendly to me, and esteemed me much more than I deserved—*et in verbo ipsius laxavi rete*—and I founded the residence; and the present Viceroy, the Earl of Essex, a wise, prudent, and moderate man, is nowise inferior to his predecessor in his kindness towards me, as also to the schools. As they have lasted these *two* years and four months, so we may hope that God, through the intercession of St. Ignatius, will grant them a longer duration. But be this as it may, whilst the wind is favourable, we must raise the sails and pursue our course, and when it becomes contrary or tempestuous, we shall lower them and seek shelter in some small port beneath a mountain or rock.

"Very reverend and dearest Father, paper fails me, but I shall never fail to be

"Your most affectionate and obliged servant,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH,

"Primate of Ireland.

"Dublin, 22nd November, 1672."

At the date of this letter the schools had lasted two years and four months, and the fifth month was hastening to its close; for another year they continued to flourish and diffuse through the diocese of Armagh the blessings of Catholic education. But on the renewal of the persecution, towards the close of November, 1673, this work, the fruit of so much toil, was levelled to the ground, and the good Primate was forced to cry out in anguish of soul, "What shall the Catholic youth now do entrusted to my care?"\* So well had these schools succeeded, that even Protestant gentlemen sent their children to them, and defended them when they were assailed by the ministers of the Established

\* See letter of 15th December, 1673.

Church. (Letter of 7th June, 1671.) In his letter of the 12th November, 1673, as we have seen, Dr. Plunket mentions that when at first the Viceroy and those of the court took umbrage at the establishment of the schools, the more moderate amongst them were satisfied on his making known to them that the only object he had in view in instituting these schools "was to imbue the youth with a knowledge of the Christian doctrine, and to communicate instruction to them; and thus render them useful for the State and the service of the King, whilst otherwise they would become noxious members, rogues, highway robbers, and disturbers of the peace and social order."

A promise of an annual sum of 800 scudi had been held out to Dr. Plunket by the Court, probably as a sort of bait to win his favour for the doings of the Government; but finding that all the efforts of the Primate's zeal were directed to promote the glory of God and the interests of the Church, and that he was resolved to fearlessly denounce all obstacles to these holy ends, no matter whence they might proceed, this sum soon ceased to be paid to him. He had mainly relied on this Government aid for the maintenance of his schools; but when this hope was blasted, incredible were the privations that he endured and the efforts which he made to carry them on, till at length the sacred congregation of Propaganda granted to the Jesuit Fathers connected with the schools the annual sum of 150 scudi, to be dated from their first establishment in his diocese.

When soliciting this aid from the sacred congregation, by letter of 26th April, 1671, Dr. Plunket gives many interesting details as to the manner in which the schools were carried on:—

"The nobility and gentry of the whole province of Ulster, excepting three, are deprived of their lands, and from being proprietors, have become tenants; they have now no means to educate their children. The young priests who were ordained during the past seven years, in order to fill the places of those who were deceased, are very backward in learning, as they had no proper master to instruct them; in fact, Catholic teachers were not at all tolerated.

"I undertook an arduous work: I invited the Jesuit Fathers to my diocese; I built from the foundation a commodious house for them, as also two schools, where about 150 boys are educated and 25 ecclesiastics; and during the past nine months I supported two very learned and laborious Jesuits, with one lay-brother and one servant. One of the Fathers instructs, for an hour in the morning and another hour in the afternoon, the ecclesiastics in cases of morality, as also in the manner of preaching and catechising; the same Father teaches the rhetoricians for two hours in the morning and two hours in the evening: on the feast days and vacations he teaches the ceremonies and the manner of administering the sacraments, &c. The other Jesuit is occupied

in teaching syntax and grammar, &c. Both, moreover, are engaged in preaching. For nine months I have supported them, and I had to purchase even the smallest articles of furniture for them."

He then states that for the future it would be necessary for him to have three Jesuits, who would be thus occupied:—

"The first will attend solely to the ecclesiastics; the second to the rhetoricians; the third will teach syntax and grammar to the younger boys. Your Excellency has conferred great benefits on this poor country, but I assure you it will not be the least to procure a missionary stipend for these three Fathers. Let us aid the poor children, many of whom have been perverted by going to Protestant schools."

On this long-wished for aid being granted, Dr. Plunket thus commences his letter of thanksgiving, addressed to the Internunzio, on 22nd September, 1672:—

"I have received your most welcome letter of the 2nd September, and this whole kingdom is indebted to you for the stipend procured for the Jesuits. They do a great deal of good: they have in Drogheda, in my diocese, 160 students. Oh! what toils had I to undergo, what efforts had I to make, to sustain them! how many memorials were forwarded to the Viceroy and Supreme Council against me and against them; and they give the more annoyance to our adversaries because they are in Drogheda (*i.e.*, *Pontana*), only four hours journey from Dublin, where no Catholic school is allowed. And then to have there the Jesuits, whom they hate above all others, was the greatest eye-sore possible; but now the very adversaries caress both me and them, in order to have permission for their children to come to them; and in reality many Protestant boys come to them belonging to the principal families, who afterwards assist us in defending them. Mon-signore, I solemnly assure you that I expended on them during the two years and two months past more than 400 scudi, and that already both they and I are in debt for 200 scudi. I dressed in cloth of one-half scudo a yard, I kept only one servant, and a boy to look after my horses, and my table was most sparing in order to assist the Jesuits. The Viceroy gave me half a promise that he would not disturb them, but as the money promised by the King was not granted, I confess that I found myself in difficulty to carry them on, and I was overwhelmed with melancholy; but now your letter imparts great consolation.

"I thank you also for the aid in regard of the letters. It is now three years all to a month since I was welcomed to the palace of your Excellency in Brussels. Since then I wrote more letters than all the Bishops of this kingdom: I gave no rest *temporibus aut calamo*, and I will glory in my infirmities, as St. Paul says: I laboured more than all the others: but the withdrawal of the pension gave me a check. Now, however, my courage has returned, and I hope the Jesuits will do more good for this kingdom than has been done within our memory. The Catholic gentry lost their possessions and estates in this kingdom, and for the most part have become tenants: it would be impossible for them

to send their children to the Catholic kingdoms. Hence it is a great relief to them to have Catholic masters here. Before my arrival they were obliged to send their children to Protestant teachers, exposing their faith to great risk; for, as you will well understand, young plants easily receive a bad direction unless they be attended to from the beginning. . . . The money can be sent to Mr. Daniel Arthur, an Irish Catholic merchant in London, and it may be consigned to Father Perez in Brussels, or to the Provincial of the English Jesuits, to be sent to Mr. Daniel Arthur, and thus I shall receive it here with some advantage, to compensate the difference of exchange between Brussels and London."

Sometimes the aid promised by Propaganda was, for a little while, delayed, and hence, on more than one occasion, Dr. Plunket pours out his soul in pitiful laments, lest his poverty, and the absolute want of the necessary means, should compel him to close these schools.

On one occasion, too, the Superior of his school seems to have fallen into error when writing to some members of his order in Rome, as to the sum which he had hitherto received from the Archbishop. This gave occasion to complaints, and it was even asserted by some enemies of the Primate in Rome, that he had applied to other uses the sums granted to him for these schools. The Agent of the Irish Church in Rome, Dr. Creagh, made known this matter to the Primate, and received in reply the following letter, which shows the accuracy with which he attended even to affairs of minor concern, and at the same time discloses to us his desire, if his means allowed it, to extend still more the benefit of these schools:—

"DEAR MR. CREAGH,—About the 15th of the past month I wrote you a long letter; but now, in consequence of yours of the 27th of June, which was handed to me by Father Rice, I find it necessary to write to you again on the same subject; and when I read your letter for Father Rice, *erubuit* (he blushed): it must be that his memory failed him. I have his own receipt for every sum of money consigned to him—at one time £10, then £5 or £6, then £37: and I have also the bills in six different papers, each account being separate, of how the money was spent in butter, bread, coffee, repairs, &c., &c.; and all this in his own handwriting. I have also two copies, written by his own hand, of the entire sum, in accordance with the letter sent to you last year, in which he thus writes:—'*Illmus. Dnus. Primas sumptibus nusquam in nostris alendis pepercit, ideoque liquido mihi constat tam in varias aedes et suppellectilem quam in nostrorum sustentationem impensas fuisse primo biennio libras Anglicanas minimum centum viginti quinque*';\* that is, 500 seudi. Now, how can he say that he

\* We have not been able to find the receipt given in this year by Father Rice. The receipt given in 1671 will be seen attached to the letter of Dr. Plunket, 7th February, 1672.

only received 150 scudi? Is it not ridiculous to write things so contradictory? But I attribute all to a defect of memory. I confess, indeed, that if I had received the sums spent in correspondence and otherwise in serving their Eminences, I would have given the whole of it to the Fathers; and if I received the 800 which were promised to me, I would have given 150 scudi of my own per annum, in addition to the sum granted by the Sacred Congregation. But neither have I received the former sums, nor the pension, nor have I received 20 scudi from my Diocese during all this year. I leave it to yourself to think how I could be liberal, or look after the affairs of the Society, either in France or here. *I tell you\** most solemnly, that I have in this world no more than £20, that is 80 scudi; so that I find myself in a most deplorable state after spending all I had in correspondence within the kingdom and abroad, and in the schools erected in my Diocese; and hence, as I wrote to Monsignor Ravizza and Monsignor Falconieri, I can no longer give him the reports on ecclesiastical matters in this kingdom, having no more to spend in letters, unless the Sacred Congregation give me some assistance. Things being so, send 100 scudi of this money to Monsig. Falconieri to my account, and keep 50 for expediting letters, and other matters, and give 12 scudi to Mr. Fitzsimons, and leave the burden on me of rendering an account to their Eminences of the sums received in support of the schools. I expended 500 scudi, and as yet I have only received 450, so that I am yet creditor for 50 scudi, and I have all the different sums and items in the handwriting of Father Rice. I cannot understand how you can have anything to do in this matter, having received the money as my agent, and by an order in my name; leave the settling of the accounts to me; put that part of the burden on me, but do you dispose of the money according to my directions.

"I never write to any Secretary or Minister of the King, nor did it ever come into my head that the Franciscans had acquired so much property and money; for I know it is all false; nor did I ever speak against the Franciscans or other Regulars, either before or after Mass, so that all this is a calumny: *ego autem cum nil contumelia dignum agam, mendacia sperno*, nor do they affect me in the least, *contumeliæ, si irascere, agniti videntur; sprete obsolescunt*. And this will suffice in reply to yours of the 1st of September; and I remain

"Your most affectionate servant,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH, &c.

"Armagh, 1st October, 1674.

"P.S. The Parliament is prorogued for eight months. I am of opinion that all that has been written against the Archbishops of Cashel and Tuam, and the Bishop of Killaloe, is false."

This confusion seems to have arisen from an unintentional mistake in the account sent by Father Rice to Rome, which was

\* These words are in English in the original letter.

at once remedied by that good father, and in the subsequent correspondence no further reference whatsoever is made to this matter. Soon after the intelligence had been conveyed to the Primate that Propaganda would aid him in the maintenance of these schools, he thus wrote to the Internunzio (31st January, 1672), "I thank your excellency for the stipend granted to the three Jesuit teachers in my diocese. Oh, what expense, what annoyance did these schools cost me; yet the great advantage which redounded to fifty young priests, gave me more spiritual consolation than I could have received temporal comfort from a treasury well filled with gold."

We shall add one other extract regarding these schools, from Dr. Plunket's letter of 26th September, 1673, to the Internunzio, in which he thus writes:—

"I am very glad that you received the letter of Father Rice, Superior of the Society in this kingdom, and that you sent it to Dr. Cressgh, that thus he may be able to pay me the sums expended in the maintenance of the fathers who teach in my diocese. The schools commenced in the beginning of July, 1670, and they have continued to the present; there are as many as forty children of the Protestant gentry in them, and even the Protestants are attentive that no annoyance should be given them. I already gave to the schools £125 sterling, and every pound is equal to four Italian scudi. I have received only 300 scudi from the Sacred Congregation, so that 200 scudi yet remain, 150 scudi are due for this year, 1673, and there is no doubt as to the payment of these. I wrote to Monsignor Airoidi to procure for me my expenses in various matters which were executed by his orders. Then as to the expense of the letters, I declare to you, that I expended 400 scudi during the past four years, and yet the Sacred Congregation gave me only 100. In order to correspond well, I must have letters from all parts of the kingdom, and foreign letters, from London and elsewhere, every week, and to this end I have a special correspondent in London. Had I served in correspondence, either the king of France or Spain, as I served their Eminences these four years past, my baker's account would be long since settled. I beseech you to excuse the prolixity of this letter, for I had not time to make it shorter, and I remain, your most affectionate and obliged servant,

"26 Sept. 1673.

"OLIVER PLUNKET.

"P.S.—I pray you to send this letter to the Monsignor Secretary."

It was not the local schools alone that engaged the attention of the zealous Primate. When visiting his own diocese, and the other dioceses of his province, he found many of the clergy lamentably deficient in those higher studies which should render them fitted to discharge the office of chief pastors, and rule with wisdom the church of God. It was, indeed, impossible that our country, so

continually disturbed by wars and persecutions, could supply these studies, and, hence, Dr. Plunket, turned his eyes to the continent, and especially to Rome, earnestly soliciting the Sacred Congregation to have students sent to him from its colleges; and praying, at the same time, that the number of students and efficiency of our national college in that city might be increased. This esteem for education in Rome was not a mere abstract sentiment in our Primate; we have seen that he was imbued with the conviction, that his being educated at the fountain head of Catholic truth, was a special blessing which he had received from God, and which imposed many special obligations on him; and, hence, when overwhelmed by afflictions and persecutions, he was accustomed to re-awaken his courage by the reflection: "*it would be a shame for spiritual soldiers, educated in Rome, ever to become mercenaries.*" (Letter, 15 December, 1673.)

Writing on the 27th of September, 1671, Dr. Plunket thus declares to the Sacred Congregation the wants of his province and diocese, and his anxious desire to receive some priests from Rome:—

"I am now in this kingdom for one year and seven months, and I think I presented so many relations of the spiritual affairs of my province that their Eminences may almost touch with their hands, and see with their eyes the condition in which it is, and the state of matters here; it is in many parts infirm, and there is danger that the malady will go on spreading and increasing, if their Eminences, the chief physicians, do not give some healing and preservative remedies. The ignorance, in general, is great, although the Irish talent is excellent and acute; but what does this avail when it cannot be cultivated; the best soil without the spade or plough can produce but little fruit, and in consequence of the penal laws we cannot have fixed schools, and are in continual alarm; and although I undertook what others would not attempt, to maintain schools during all this year, and support the Jesuits in my province at my own expense, building houses and schools for them, that they might instruct the Priests in cases of conscience, and the youth in grammar and rhetoric, yet we were not able to have classes in philosophy, or theology, or controversy, and from this it must result, that our priests will be *infra mediocritatem*, and we shall have none able to administer dioceses or answer and dispute with Protestants.

Before the war, the Catholic gentry of Ulster held their properties and estates, but now only a few of them retain anything, such as the Marquis of Antrim, whose property extends for about thirty miles, and embraces vast estates and many castles, such as the Orsini and Savelli in Latium, but even as these, so is he also up to his eyes in debt: Sir Henry O'Neale re-acquired about 4,000 scudi per annum, and a certain Maginis about 2,000 scudi: these are the only three Irish Catholic gentlemen who re-acquired their property; all the others must seek as a favour to be allowed to hold by lease a small portion of

their former estates, and it is deemed a great favour when this is granted to them. The people, that is, those who cultivate the land, are well off, and it is from these that the priests and friars receive their maintainance, and the same persons give some relief to those upon whom they were once dependent: but as to the nobility and gentry, they are wholly ruined, so that they can no longer maintain the children in Catholic Universities, and, what is still more deplorable, they cannot give them even the necessary means to go to the Continental Colleges without great difficulty, and hence their condition merits compassion and assistance, for they lost all in order not to lose their faith in God, or their reverence in spiritual things for the Apostolic See.

In my humble opinion it would be a great charity to aid the children and grant them an education in Catholic countries, and especially in Rome. Those who are educated there are less suspected by government, Rome being a neutral country, neither attached to Spain nor to France, and, moreover, they are more faithful to the spiritual interests of the Holy See. It is seen by experience that no priest or friar educated in Rome ever became an adherent of Walsh or any other schismatic, but only such as received their education in France, Spain, or Louvain. Moreover, those educated in Rome are better acquainted with the desires of the Apostolic See, they know its principles, and are better able to correspond with it. The 'Piazza di Spagna,' the Propaganda, and in a word all Rome is a great book: how many nations with their various customs are seen. Poles, Germans, Spaniards, French, Indians, Turks, Ethiopians, Africans, Americans, are met with, and one learns in what manner and with what judgment the varying opinions and conflicting interests of so many contrary nations are harmonized. A great deal, too, is learned in the changes of government: thus one day it is seen with what modesty and wisdom and moderation those deport themselves who, the day before or under another Pontiff, ruled everything, and were honoured by every one: *quam sciunt servare fidem rebus in arduis, in prosperis et adversis*. I especially remarked the prelates, Cajetan Massimi and another from Modena who was governor of Rome in the time of Alexander the 7th, of happy memory, and many others in the time of Innocent and Urban. One treats with Cardinals and Prelates of great wisdom and prudence, well versed and experienced in spiritual matters, and in the temporal affairs of so many monarchs and princes; and it is impossible that a person of moderate talent would not derive great profit, as well in science as in experience. And, indeed, to educate a missionary priest, there is no college in the world better suited than the Propaganda, where they are instructed for two hours every morning in theology, and after dinner for one hour in controversy, and afterwards, for half an hour or an hour, in cases of conscience. They learn to preach, and become masters of the Hebrew and Greek; they officiate in the Church, and are also exercised in Gregorian Chant: they receive, in a word, an education better suited for missionaries than that of any other college. And hence I anxiously supplicate that I may be allowed



to send a *half dozen of the most talented young priests that I can find to be educated in that college*, that thus my miserable province of Ulster may have persons able to govern its churches, for if it pleased God to remove from us three who are in Ulster, Thomas Fitzsymons, Dr. Conwell, and Dr. Ronan Magin, there would be no others who, either by their learning or experience, would be adapted for, or capable of governing these churches. The other Vicars General, *non sunt mediocritatem prætergressi*. If you do not grant me this favour, we shall be without leaders, without pastors, and the wolves will devour our flocks. The Roman—that is one educated in Rome—is he that is able and knows how to govern, and hence well did the prince of poets sing—

‘Tu regere imperio populos, Romane, memento . . .  
Hæ tibi erunt artes, &c.’

I beseech you in the bowels of Christ, and by the affection which you bear to this miserable province, to procure this favour, and I shall ever be your Excellency’s

“Most affectionate and obliged servant,

“OLIVER PLUNKET.

“Dublin, 27th Sept., 1671.”

In a letter written in the preceding May (13th May, 1671), Dr. Plunket had passed a like eulogy on the students from Rome:—

“It is worthy of remark (he says) that no priest educated in Rome adhered to Peter Walsh or the Remonstrance, but only those from France and Belgium: and hence out of the 150 boys I have here at school, I would wish to select half-a-dozen of the best, and send them to Rome—*ut remaneat semen in Israel*. Here I am able to instruct them pretty well in literature and morality, but not in dogmatic theology or controversies; and thus we cannot prepare persons who will be fitted to be Bishops or Vicars General unless by sending them to the Continent—*oportet ut aliqui sint doctores qui possint reddere rationem eorum quæ credunt*. By degrees we shall be wholly destitute of such persons, unless we attend to their education; and, as I said, the education of Rome is the best.”

Not long after the Primate addressed another letter to the Internunzio, in which we find detailed many particulars connected with the various national colleges scattered through the continent:—

“In your last letter you commanded me to give you some account of the Irish colleges in the kingdoms governed by the orthodox princes, and I now obey in the best manner I can; but I must imitate the painters of scenery, who present some objects most vividly and clearly,

and others only in outline and obscurely; or the historians, such as Guicciardino and others, who described matters, and especially the wars in Tuscany, and, indeed, of all Italy, in a most vivid manner, but not in so prominent a manner, the victories of Spain and France. Had I now the notes which I left at home, I would be able to give a more precise narrative, but I believe I shall err in very few points of any importance.

"And to begin with the Irish College in Rome, it was founded by Cardinal Ludovisi, nephew of Gregory the Fifteenth: he bequeathed to it a thousand scudi a year, besides a good house and a good vineyard in Castle Gandolfo, and it maintains about seven or eight students, three Jesuits, and two servants. But in a separate letter, I shall write more particularly about the college. Its revenue is capable of supporting twelve students, who would be better prepared for this mission than they now are. In Spain there are four colleges, all directed by the Fathers of the Society; one of them is in St. Jago, which supports at one time six, at another seven students; another in Salamanca of the same kind, and another in Lisbon, which maintains eight or ten students. These three colleges were founded by Philip the Second, and in doing so he proved himself a zealous Catholic and a good politician; by this means he won the affection of the Irish, and when the students returned to Ireland, they won for the Spaniards the hearts and the esteem of all their friends; in a word, they could speak of nothing but Spain, *totam spirabant Hispaniam*, whence it happens that the Irish go more freely to serve the King of Spain than any other Prince.

"In France there is a college at Bourdeaux which maintains twenty-four students, as I have heard, founded by the Archbishops of Bourdeaux and others; but contrary to the institution and intention of the founders, this college does not admit any excepting from Cashel and Munster; and the colleges of Spain do not willingly receive students from Ulster, which is a serious injury and a manifest injustice. It truly moves one to compassion, I say, to see high families of the house of O'Neil, O'Donnel, Maguire, MacMahon, Maginnis, O'Cahan, O'Kelly, O'Ferrall, who were great Princes till the time of Elizabeth and King James, in the memory of my father and of many who are yet living; it moves one to compassion, I say, to see their children without property and without maintenance, and without means of education, and yet for the faith they suffered joyfully the loss of property, *cum gaudio susceperunt direptionem bonorum*; but it is intolerable that they should be excluded from college education, for the colleges were not founded for this or that province, but for the whole Kingdom. As to the college in Rome, I can propose a plan according to which it may be able to support sixteen students, and with more profit to religion, than it now supports six; but this must be kept as a secret much like that of the holy office till it be carried into execution. At present, as I said, it maintains eight students, and three Jesuits, and two servants, in all thirteen; it has a thousand scudi per annum, and a house and vineyard; let the house be sold, which is worth 6,000 scudi, as also the vineyard, which, with the house that is in it, is worth 2,000 more; let these

8,000 scudi be put in the 'Montes Pietatis,' and they will give 240 scudi per annum, which, with the 1,000 scudi above, will make in all 1,240 scudi per annum; and let the whole sum be given to the College of Propaganda *ut erigatur alumnatus Hibernicus*, which may also be called *alumnatus Hibernicus Ludovisianus*, for it was Cardinal Ludovisi that left the money, and instead of Jesuits and servants, it will maintain so many students. Of what use is it to keep a little college with so few students, whilst for the same funds a larger number can be maintained for the service of the Missions? But two difficulties have to be solved; the first is, how can the Testament of Cardinal Ludovisi be interfered with? I answer, that the Holy Father, by a brief, can arrange this, for it is nowise injurious to that Cardinal, or to his intentions: *supponetur enim interpretative Cardinalis quamvis defuncti consensus, ac fore ut idem Cardinalis consentiret si modo vixisset*; it being the intention of the Cardinal to propagate the faith, which is better realized by placing the students in Propaganda, where a larger number may be educated. It is certain that the Cardinal had the intention of erecting a larger and more numerous College, but, *morte præventus*, he could not carry his noble ideas into execution. The second difficulty is that the Jesuits will oppose the project,—but this is of little matter, when we are acting for the greater glory of God. The money was left to maintain Priests for the missions and not Jesuits; and indeed, many of the students become Jesuits, and never return to their country, which is contrary to the intention of the Cardinal. But some one will say that the Ludovisi family will give opposition; to this I reply that the greater glory of God is to be preferred to such opposition; for there is no reasonable ground of complaint, and it is a greater glory for the Ludovisi family to have an *alumnatus* in so renowned a College, which is frequented by so many Cardinals, than to have so small a College, which serves rather for the Jesuits than for carrying out the intention of the founder. But then, everyone knows how the Ludovisi family now stands, and that it will make little opposition when it is well informed about the matter; all that is wanted is determination and secrecy, and whilst our Holy Father is solicitous for the propagation of the faith, there will be but little difficulty in it.

"There is a College at Seville, which maintains sixteen students, and is supported by alms.

"The Bishop of Ferns can give better information about the Colleges in Spain, and perhaps, also, about that in France. The Canon Joyce can give it concerning those in Flanders. There is a College, as I hear, in Toulouse, but I do not know in what state it is; I believe it is of little importance.

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

"30th September, 1671."

In another letter written on the same day he adds a postscript, in which he says:—"I forgot a College founded in Alcalá, by George de Passe Silviéra, a Portuguese; he left 5,000 scudi a-year, but a great deal has been expended in building. The Bishop of Ferns can give you an account of it."

It was about this period that another college first sprung into existence, which was destined in after times to hold an important position in our Irish Church. I refer to the college of our nation in Paris. We mainly owe the foundation of this college to the Right Rev. John O'Molony, Bishop of Killaloe. Before his appointment to that See in May, 1671, he had been for many years a distinguished student and professor in the seminary of St. Sulpice. The schools of that seminary were frequented by many youths from Ireland anxious to prepare themselves for the ministry of the altar; and Dr. O'Molony had frequent occasion to lament the many distractions and dangers to which they were exposed, living scattered through that gay and populous city, which, too, at this period, numbered amongst its clergy many warm advocates of the condemned doctrines of Jansenius. After his consecration, he continued for many months to reside in Paris, anxious to organize a special college in that city, into which his countrymen might be received. The Sacred Congregation of Propaganda, however, repeatedly urged him to hasten to his flock, and share with them the threatened dangers of persecution, and dispense to them the bread of life. Positive orders to that effect were sent to him on the 2nd of August, 1672; and in reply, the good prelate expressed his readiness to obey, but petitioned at the same time to be allowed to remain some time longer, as his labours were all directed to obtain this college for the Irish Church. This petition was seconded by letters of Dr. Plunket of Armagh, and Dr. Brennan of Waterford, as we find commemorated in a minute of Propaganda. Nevertheless, the Sacred Congregation remained inexorable, and we find Dr. O'Molony before the close of this year zealously labouring in many parts of our island. The Irish bishops, however, had warmly entered into his views regarding the establishment of a National College in Paris, and early in the following year they deputed Dr. O'Molony to return as their deputy to that city, and urge the authorities there to patronize the institution of such a college.

No one was more zealous than Dr. Plunket in promoting this great work, and though the college was not opened till after his glorious martyrdom, yet our Irish Church owes in great part to his active co-operation and untiring zeal, that the chief obstacles were overcome, and that those difficulties were removed, which at first seemed to destroy all hopes of its future realization. His letter of the 14th of March, 1673, sufficiently evinces the interest which he took in the institution of this college, and how earnestly he co-operated with Dr. O'Molony in seeking to effect its establishment; he thus writes:—

"All the prelates of this kingdom have subscribed an authorization for the Bishop of Killaloe to proceed to Paris, and procure for us a college; and it is certain that no one could be selected better suited to treat this matter, for he is a great friend of the Archbishop of Paris, and of the Ambassador of the King of France in London, and there are strong reasons and just grounds for hoping that the college will be founded. If so, it will be a great seminary for the missions of this kingdom, being in a city so rich, so desirous of procuring the propagation and maintenance of the faith, as their charity sufficiently proved during the late persecution of Cromwell, when the Parisians supported hundreds and hundreds of the ecclesiastics and students exiled during that tempest. It is certain that the Bishop of Killaloe will do more good by procuring for us that college, than he would did he remain in his diocese during his whole life-time; and hence I pray your Excellency to treat this matter with the Holy Father and the Sacred Congregation in such a manner that they may not be displeased with the Bishop of Killaloe for this journey to Paris, since he travels at his own cost, and with the desire of procuring so great an advantage for us. He is a great friend of Colbert, the first Minister of state, and of the Archbishop of Paris, who will be the more favourable to him should he be allowed to exercise his functions (for the Archbishop will surely request him to do so on various occasions), and hence I pray you to procure from His Holiness and the Sacred Congregation permission for Dr. O'Molony, during his stay in Paris, on account of our ecclesiastical matters, to exercise the episcopal functions as often as he may be requested to do so by the Archbishop of that See. Monsignor, your Excellency has always favoured, in every emergency, this poor and afflicted Church, and we hope that there is no means conducive to the attainment of this great blessing, or tending to facilitate it, which you will not favour; and therefore I beseech you with the most urgent entreaties to lay this affair before the Sacred Congregation in such a manner, that it may allow the Bishop of Killaloe to remain in Paris whilst this matter is pending, and to exercise his episcopal functions when requested by the Archbishop, for it is on the aid of the Archbishop and the communications which he presents that the success of this undertaking must in a great measure depend. In conclusion I remain with a profound reverence,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"Dublin, 14th March, 1672, (styl. vet.)"

Early in Dr. Plunket's episcopate many complaints were presented to Rome, especially by the prelates of Ulster and Connaught, regarding the system of selecting students for the continental colleges. From a report of the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation on the 30th of May, 1672, we learn that the chief colleges\* for Irish students on the continent were:—In Flanders;

\* In the Acts of the Congregation held 31st of August, 1671, the Irish colleges are thus mentioned: "In Hispania; Salmaticense, Compostellanum,

Louvain, Antwerp, Tournay, and Lisle. In Spain: Madrid, Seville, Salamanca, and Compostella (both founded by Philip the Second), Alcalá, and Valence. In Portugal, that of Lisbon, founded by Philip the Second. In Italy, the College of Rome, founded by Cardinal Ludovisi.

From other documents we learn that there were similar colleges in Bourdeaux and Toulouse; and it was principally in regard of these seminaries that complaints were presented to Rome. Writing on the 13th of May, 1671, Dr. Plunket laments that in many of the continental colleges, and in particular in *Bourdeaux and Toulouse*, no students were admitted excepting from Munster or Cashel; and, he continues:—

“ Were we to consider the extent of the provinces, and their relative necessities, students should, above all, be received from Armagh; for in extent it is larger than Tuam and Dublin united together: and as to its necessities, God knows that they are great. I say it with tears in my eyes, that in all Ulster there are scarcely three gentlemen who re-acquired their lands seized by Cromwell, and thus the Catholics are unable to defray the expenses of the education of their children.”

In the month of August of the same year he wrote again, praying the Sacred Congregation to apply some remedy to this evil:—

“ I already wrote to your Excellency,” he says, “ to procure a brief enacting that students should be received alike from all the provinces, into the colleges of Spain, France, and other places. But as I have been informed that such a brief would be of no avail in France or Spain, as the colleges of these countries were not founded by the Holy See, it might be better for the Sacred Congregation to address letters on the subject to the Nuncios in these countries, and to the bishops in whose dioceses the colleges are situated. As to Spain, since its colleges are in the hands of the Jesuits it would suffice to intimate to the General of the order, in the name of the Sacred Congregation, that an equal number of students should be admitted from each province, according to the institution of the colleges themselves. Great injury has been done to my province in this matter during the past twenty years. It is the superior of the Jesuits in this country that sends the students to these colleges, hence, the Father General could intimate to this superior to send none excepting *juxta æqualitatem Provinciarum et æqualiter ex Provinciis*.” These colleges were founded for the spiritual assistance of all Ireland, that secular priests might be educated there in virtue and learning, who afterwards might administer the parishes, &c. Now I pray your

Hispalense, Complutense, Valentianum, Madritense. In Lusitania, Uliissiponnense. In Gallia; Parisiense, Atrebatense, Burdigalense, Tolosanum, Agense. In Belgio: Lovaniense, Antwerpiense, Tornacense, Insulense. In Italia: Ludovisianum Romæ.”

Excellency to consider that the nomination being thus in the hands of a religious, he will surely be anxious to send such only as he sees disposed to become religious, and, hence, I would judge it better that the presentation or nomination of these students should be placed in the hands of the Archbishops. The German College, Rome, is under the care of the Jesuits; nevertheless, the students are selected for it by the prelates of Germany, and not by the principals of the order. By this, however, I do not intend to prejudice that order, for the Jesuits are my friends, and do a great deal of good in my province."

The wished-for letter was addressed by the Sacred Congregation to the General of the Jesuit Order, and in reply Father Oliva, a bright name in the roll of the most distinguished superiors of that illustrious Society, not only promised to comply with this just desire of the Irish bishops, "who are so zealous, and have deserved so well of the Catholic faith," but, moreover, assigns the true cause of some disorders which had sprung up in these colleges, and especially in the Irish college of Rome, that, namely, some of the students were not selected at all in Ireland, but though wholly unfit for sacred studies, were forced upon the superiors of these colleges by the influence and solicitations of some high relatives on the continent.—(Ap. No. 52.)

---

## CHAPTER X.

### NATIONAL COUNCIL HELD IN DUBLIN IN 1670.

ON the 21st of May, 1670, Lord Berkely, of Stratton, was sworn in as Viceroy of Ireland. This appointment filled the Irish Catholics with joy, and all were animated with the hope of a peaceful administration. One of its first fruits was the convocation of a general Synod of the Irish bishops, to be held in Dublin on the 17th of June, 1670. This Synod was convened by Dr. Plunket for a twofold purpose: first, to correct some abuses which had crept in during the preceding persecutions; and, secondly, to draw up an amended formula, or address, of allegiance to the king. At this period the Irish Church numbered but six bishops in its hierarchy, and all hastened to take part in the deliberations of the Synod. The six bishops then in Ireland were Dr. Plunket, Archbishop of Armagh; Dr. Peter Talbot, Archbishop of Dublin; Dr. Burgatt, Archbishop of Cashel; Dr. James Lynch, Archbishop of Tuam; Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Meath; and Dr. Phelan, Bishop of Ossory. The Vicars General of the other Sees

were also present, and, since the days of Rinuccini, this was the first assembly of clergy that merited the name of a national council.

On the 17th of June, 1670, these prelates assembled in Dublin, under the presidency of Dr. Oliver Plunket. Their deliberations were protracted for three days; and a note of the Archives of Propaganda describes the Synod as having been held "in Bridge-street, in the house of Mr. Reynolds, at the foot of the bridge." We shall see in the next chapter how the decrees of this council were adopted in the Provincial Synod of Clones, and republished amongst its statutes; but we may now present a translation of them, together with a transcript from the original paper transmitted to the Holy See.

#### TRANSLATION.

Some statutes, decreed in Dublin on the 17th, 18th, and 20th of June, 1670, by the Archbishops and Bishops of Ireland, together assembled.\*

\* The following is the original text of the decrees :—

*Statuta quædam acta, Dublini, diebus 17, 18, et 20 Junii, 1670, ab Archiepis. et Epis. Hiberniæ simul congregatis.*

1. Cum nobis relatum sit nonnulla laicorum defunctorum corpora in diversis regni partibus in habitu Regularium palam et supra mensam exponi, statuimus et ordinamus ut nullum corpus in posterum taliter exponatur.

2. Cum toti Clero Hiberniæ necessarium sit ut agentem et procuratorem in urbe habeant ad sua negotia sollicitanda et expedienda, decernimus ut agenti nostro pro tempore existenti 50 libræ Anglicanæ annuatim solvantur, æqualiter dividende per quatuor provincias per metropolitanos. Cum autem nostra negotia nunc agat R. D. Joan. Brennan ab uno jam anno, dictam summam ei solvendam decernimus.

3. Nulli ritus publici aut quæstus inusitati in posterum inducantur inconsultis locorum ordinariis ac sine ipsorum licentia.

4. Præcipimus abstinentiâ a carnibus in die S. Marci (sicut in triduo ante Ascensionem), nisi venerit in Dominica aut hebdomada Paschæ.

5. Decernimus ut omnes Parochi toto conatu procurent ut clamores, et vociferationes fœminarum comitantium corpora defunctorum impediuntur.

6. Statuimus ut nullus ordo Regularium occupet aut detineat conventus aut monasteria aliorum ordinum Regularium vel Clericalium absque authentica auctoritate aplice, et ordinariorum consensu.

7. Statuimus nullos Regulares in posterum publice ad altare mendicare nisi sint ex conventu in quo quatuor sunt, ex quibus duo ad minus sint Sacerdotes, et facile non admittatur Regularis ad quæstus publice faciendos nisi fuerit Sacerdos ad celebrandum populo propter levamen et solamen parochorum.

8. Statuimus ut nulli fratrum Franciscanorum qui non obederit intra 30 dies a die horum actuum suo superiori, scilicet Rev. P. Petro Geanor quem nobis constat esse legitimum Franciscanorum Provinciale, ullus Catholicus confiteatur vel ejus sacrum audiat aut elemosynas ei publice petenti elargiatur post illos 30 dies.

9. Decernimus insuper ut ordinarii omnes in suis respective diocesisbus injungant Parochis ac prædicatoribus serio ac sub interminatione divinæ vindictæ



1. "As we have been informed that the bodies of some deceased laymen, in various parts of this kingdom, have been laid out in public, on a bier, clothed in religious habits, we decree, and command, that for the future no corpse shall be thus laid out.

2. "Since it is necessary for all the clergy of Ireland that an agent and procurator should be in Rome, to carry on and expedite their business, we decree that £50 sterling, of English money, be annually given to our agent in Rome, which sum shall be equally divided by the Metropolitans between the Provinces; and, as the Rev. Dr. John Brennan, during the past year, has acted as our agent in that city, we decree that the said sum be paid to him.

3. "No public rites or unusual questing shall hereafter be introduced without having first consulted the ordinary of the diocese and obtained his permission.

4. "We command abstinence from flesh-meat on the feast of St. Mark (as also on the three days preceding the Ascension), except when it falls on Sunday, or in Easter week.

5. "We command all the Parish Priests to use every endeavour to prevent the clamour and vociferations of the women who accompany the funerals of the deceased.

6. "We decree that no religious order shall occupy or retain any convents or monasteries of other religious or clerical orders without an authentic authorization of the Holy See and the consent of the ordinary.

7. "We decree that no religious shall hereafter ask alms at the altar, unless they belong to a convent in which there are four religious, of whom two, at least, are priests; and, in general, no religious is to be allowed to quest publicly unless he be a priest, who may offer the Holy Sacrifice for the people, and thus relieve and assist the Parish Priest.

8. "We command, that should any member of the Franciscan order, within thirty days, refuse to acknowledge as his superior the Rev. Father Peter Gcanor, whom we certify to be the legitimate Franciscan Provincial, no Catholic, after the said thirty days, shall confess to him or assist at his Mass, or give him alms when publicly questing for them.

*monere populos sibi subditos nullum favorem, operam aut auxilium præbere latronibus aut viarum grassatoribus aliisque publicæ quietis perturbatoribus (vulgo Tories dictis.)*

10. *Quoniam Apus. præcipit ut fiant obsecrationes et orationes pro omnibus hominibus, pro Regibus et omnibus qui in sublimitate constituti sunt, parochi atque etiam regulares in suis conventibus diebus Dominicis moncant populum ut singuli Deum orent pro Serenissimis Carlo II<sup>o</sup> et Catharina, Rege et Regina nostris, ut Deus eis omnem felicitatem et insuper prolem largiri dignetur; item pro Exc<sup>mo</sup> D. Pro-Rege Hiberniæ; necnon pro felici Angliæ, Hiberniæ et Scotiæ regimine, et eadem intentione dicantur iisdem diebus Litanie B. V. M. ante vel post Missam.*

11. *Denique decernimus et volumus ut nullum ex ordine subscribendi hisce actibus aliisque Scripturis, et Instrumentis hujus Congviæ. præjudicium juri cuiuspiam fiat.*

Die, 20 Junii, 1670.

9. "We, moreover, decree that all the ordinaries shall command, in their respective dioceses, the Parish Priests and preachers to admonish and warn, under threat of the divine vengeance, the people subject to their charge, to give no favour, aid, or assistance to robbers, highwaymen, and other disturbers of the public peace, who are known as *Tories*.

10. "Since the Apostle commands supplications and prayers to be offered up for all men, for kings, and for all who are placed in authority, let the parish clergy, and also the regulars in their convents, admonish the people on Sundays, that all should pray to God for the most serene Charles the Second and Catherine, our king and queen, that God may deign to grant to them prosperity and offspring, as also for our most excellent lord, the Viceroy of Ireland; and, moreover, for the good government of England, Ireland, and Scotland, and with the same intention let the litanies of the Blessed Virgin be recited on the said days either before or after Mass.

11. "In fine, we decree and intend that the rights of no one shall be prejudiced by the order of subscribing to these acts, and to the other documents and instruments of this Synod."

Another matter which engaged the attention of the Bishops in the Synod, was the drawing up of an address to be presented to the Viceroy. Precisely four years had now elapsed since the Irish Prelates, convened in Dublin, at the desire of Ormond, had presented to the Crown a declaration of loyalty and allegiance. On that occasion they rejected, it is true, the Valesian Remonstrance, but, then, they appended to their address three propositions,\* which one would suppose any government hostile to the Holy See would be glad to find presented to them. Yet that address was rejected with disdain, and the Viceroy ordered, without delay, the imprisonment of the assembled Bishops; and renewed hostility against the Catholic Priesthood seemed to be the only result of their well-intentioned deliberations. But what could not be obtained by petition, was won by the unflinching spirit of the Irish Hierarchy, which, encouraged by the Holy See, refused to sacrifice to court-favour one jot of Catholic principle; and though the Primate died in exile, and the other Prelates were compelled to endure sufferings and persecutions, yet the cause for which they combatted was sure to triumph, and the English ministers were glad in 1670, to receive from the Irish Bishops that very address, which, even with its un-Irish appendix, they had disdainfully rejected four years before. We shall here present to the reader in parallel columns the Address of the Bishops in June, 1670, and that rejected by the government in June, 1666:—

\* We may here remark that it was only by a deceptive explanation of these propositions that the Primate, Dr. Edmund O'Reilly, and the other members of the Synod, were induced to subscribe to them. Perhaps on some future occasion we may give further particulars regarding this assembly.

*Address presented by the Bishops of Ireland to the Lord Viceroy of the Kingdom.\**

"To our most gracious King, Charles II., Monarch of Great Britain, France, and Ireland.

"We, your Majesty's subjects, the Clergy of the Roman Catholic Church, do hereby declare and solemnly protest before God and his angels, that we own and acknowledge your Majesty to be our lawful King and the undoubted Monarch, as well of this your realm of Ireland, as of all other your Majesty's dominions; and consequently we confess ourselves bound in conscience to be obedient to your Majesty in all civil and temporal affairs as far as any other subjects can ought to be to their Princes, as the laws of God and nature require from us; and therefore we promise that we, during life, will inviolably bear true allegiance to your Majesty, your lawful heirs and successors, and that no power on earth shall be able to withdraw us from our duty herein; and that we will, even to the loss of life and property, if occasion requires, assert and defend your Majesty's rights against any that shall invade the same, or attempt to deprive your Majesty, your lawful heirs and successors, of any part thereof. And, in order that this our sincere protestation may more clearly appear, we further declare the doctrine to be false and intolerable which teaches that any private subject may lawfully kill his Prince, the anointed of God. Wherefore, deeply persuaded of the abominable and sad consequences which ensue from its practice, we oblige

*Address presented in 1666.  
The Catholic Clergy's Remonstrance of Loyalty:—*

"We, your Majesty's subjects, the Roman Catholic clergy of the Kingdom of Ireland, together assembled, do hereby declare and solemnly protest before God and his holy angels, that we own and acknowledge your Majesty to be our true and lawful King, supreme lord, and undoubted sovereign as well of this realm of Ireland, as of all other your Majesty's dominions; consequently, we confess ourselves bound in conscience to be obedient to your Majesty in all civil and temporal affairs, as any subject ought to be to his prince, and as the laws of God and nature require at our hands. Therefore, we promise that we will inviolably bear true allegiance to your Majesty, your lawful heirs, and successors, and that no power on earth shall be able to withdraw us from our duty herein; and that we will, even to the loss of our blood, if occasion requires, assert your Majesty's rights against any that shall invade the same, or attempt to deprive yourself or your lawful heirs and successors of any part thereof. And to the end, this our sincere protestation may more clearly appear, we further declare that it is not our doctrine that subjects may be discharged, absolved, or freed from the obligation of performing their duty of true obedience and allegiance to their prince; much less may we allow of or pass as tolerable any doctrine that perniciously, and against the word of God, maintains that any private subject may lawfully kill or murder the anointed of God, his

\* See Appendix No. 30.

ourselves to discover unto your Majesty or some of your ministers any attempts of that kind, or rebellions against your Majesty's person, crown, or royal authority, which may come to our knowledge, that thus such horrid evils may be prevented.

"In fine, as we hold the afore-said things to be just and agreeable to good consciences, so we will preach them, and seek to inculcate them on our respective flocks, ready to confirm them with our oaths. In witness whereof we do hereunto subscribe, the 18th day of June, 1670."

prince; wherefore, pursuant to the deep apprehension we have of the abomination and sad consequences of its practice, we do engage ourselves to discover to your Majesty, or some of your ministers, any attempt of that kind, rebellion or conspiracy, against your Majesty's person, crown, or royal authority, that comes to our knowledge, whereby such horrid evils may be prevented. Finally, as we hold the premises to be agreeable to good conscience, so we religiously swear the due observance thereof to our utmost, and we will preach and teach the same to our respective flocks.

"In witness whereof, we do hereunto subscribe, the 15th day of June, 1666."—(See O'Connor's History, &c., App. xvi.)

Thus, the address of 1670 was identical, if not in every word, at least in substance, with that rejected in 1666, and still more, it was free from the three propositions adopted and subscribed to by the former assembly—propositions borrowed from the declarations of the Parisian clergy, which, howsoever they were explained to the Irish Prelates, had originally been drawn up in a spirit of hostility and insult to Rome.\*

Some writers, confiding too much on the authority of historians who were like the enemies of Ireland and of our Catholic faith, have broached assertions regarding this Synod which are wholly repugnant to truth, and are alike discreditable to the Archbishop of Dublin and to the subject of these memoirs. Thus, it is gravely asserted that Dr. Talbot, on arriving in Ireland, found the Prelates assembled in Dublin (and this, too, in

\* These propositions were as follows:—

"1st. We do hereby declare that it is not our doctrine that the Pope hath any authority in temporal affairs over our sovereign lord King Charles the Second: yea, we promise that we will oppose them that will assert any power direct or indirect over him in civil and temporal affairs.

"2nd. That it is our doctrine that our gracious King Charles the Second is so absolute and independent, that he acknowledgeth not, nor hath in civil and temporal affairs, any power above him under God; and that to be our constant doctrine, from which we shall never decline.

"3rd. That it is our doctrine that we subjects owe such natural and just obedience unto our King, that no power, under any pretext soever, can either dispense with us or free us thereof."—(See Butler's Memoirs, &c., vol. 3, p. 434.)

1669)—that he at once introduced himself amongst them, announcing that the King had appointed him to oversee them all: that Dr. Plunket, “considering this an unwarranted assumption, desired to see the authority on which it was advanced, alleging that if there was in fact such an authority, he would submit to it. The other answered that he had not it under the great seal. To which Dr. Plunket replied, that the little seal would serve his turn, but until one or other was produced, he would take care to oversee Talbot, and expected to be obeyed.”\*

All these assertions are most unfounded, and are as little consonant to truth as is the date 1669, which some of these writers assign to the National Synod. It was Dr. Plunket, indeed, that convoked this Synod, but Dr. Talbot, who was long in Ireland before the Synod, was the chief Bishop with whom he made arrangements for its convocation. The question of the Primacy being as yet undecided, and the presidency of the Synod depending on who was to be considered the Primate, Dr. Plunket proposed that the decision of the question should be left to the assembled prelates; but Dr. Talbot chose rather to refer it to the decision of the Holy See; to which the Archbishop of Armagh readily assented; and in the meantime, with the protest which is usually made in such cases, that the rights of the respective parties should receive no prejudice from the order of subscribing to the decrees, &c. (as may be seen in the eleventh canon), the presidency was ceded without any opposition to Dr. Plunket; and the Bishops proceeded with their deliberations in a most perfect spirit of unity and peace.† It was at the close of the proceedings that a dispute arose, which, though of no importance in itself, yet gave some colouring to the fabrications of the above monstrous tale. In the letters of Dr. Plunket no reference is made to this dispute, but in a note of Propaganda it is recorded that on the Synod being convened by Dr. Plunket, principally for the purpose of drawing up a declaration of allegiance to his Majesty, and when all the assembled Prelates had signed the proposed declaration, a question arose as to who should present it to his Majesty. Some proposed Sir Nicholas Plunket, who had been long distinguished for his services in the Catholic cause, and, as well in the deliberations of the Confederation of Kilkenny, as in various embassies of which he formed a part, had

\* These assertions have been repeated, almost in the very words of the text, by most of the modern writers on our Irish history.

† See letter of Dr. Creagh, at page 76, where he states that the affairs of this Synod were conducted with the greatest harmony. See also page 88, about the middle, where Dr. Plunket speaks of the harmony prevailing in the land.

given clear proofs of his ability and prudence. He was a near relative of the Primate, and brother of the Bishop of Meath: and in attestation of his services he had received the order of knighthood from Pope Innocent the Tenth.\* Dr. Talbot, however, opposed his appointment to present their address, alleging that he himself had long been intimate with the Court and the Royal family, and that he had received an authorization from the King to superintend the clergy in civil matters of this kind. Dr. Plunket demanded that this commission from the King should be presented in writing and under the King's seal, adding that then, without hesitation, all would leave in his hands the presentation of the address. Indeed, there can be but little doubt as to Dr. Talbot's having received such a commission from the court, especially as we find a letter addressed to him from London, in September, 1672, by Dr. Patrick Magin, brother of the Vicar-General of Dromore, conveying to him a similar commission, and requesting him, in the name of Lord Arlington, to superintend the manner of acting of the Irish Clergy, and to check the violence of some of the Prelates. But on the present occasion either Dr. Talbot had not received this commission in writing or was unwilling to present it to the Synod, and hence the assembled Bishops deputed Sir Nicholas to be the bearer of their declaration to the King.

On the second day of the Synod, a petition† was drawn up and despatched to the Holy See, soliciting the appointment of some new bishops to the vacant dioceses, and presenting at the same time the names of the clergymen whom they deemed most deserving of the episcopal dignity. Favours and dispensations are also solicited from the Holy Father, regarding the practice of abstinence as it then prevailed in Ireland, and various questions affecting the interests of our church.

\* See Latin letter of Sir Nicholas Plunket, addressed to the Secretary of Propaganda, 28th Sept., 1671. Appendix, No. 31.

† We insert the original petition, which is as follows:—

“ Illme. ac Rme. Dne.

“ Cum Clemens Papa VIII. fel. Rec. die 13 Martii anno 1598, indultum quod incipit, Venerabiles fratres, concesserit Epis, per Hiberniam constitutis, commutandi abstinentiam a carnibus feria 4<sup>a</sup> et ab ovis feria 6<sup>a</sup> in Hibernia consuetam in alia pia opera, quod indultum aliqui Episcopi acceptarunt, alii non, quibus non obstantibus progressu temporis ubique observata fuit donec nonnulli in externis regnis (ubi consuetudo non abstinendi illis diebus viget) educati non absque scandalo et multorum dissensione, aliis applaudentibus, aliis contradicentibus, morem antiquum abstinendi transgrediuntur, quod sane multis est peccandi occasio. Quapropter nos infrascripti ut hujusmodi scandalis et dissensionibus opportunum adhibeatur remedium enixe rogamus Illmam. Dom Vestram ut auctoritate Aplica, Indulto Clementis nobis uti liceat, atque ut prædicta abstinentia unius cujusque devotioni relinquantur, maneantque fideles liberi ab

The discipline of the Church regarding matrimonial dispensations within the prohibited degrees was, at the time of which

ipsius observatione, quod in magnum animarum solatium cessurum non dubitamus.

"Cum insuper nonnulli Regulares pretextu privilegiorum, in 2<sup>o</sup> et 2<sup>o</sup> consanguinitatis et affinitatis gradu necnon inter levantem et levatum dispensent, ac præterea in omni irregularitate etiam ex defectu vel delicto publico proveniente, rogamus Illmam. Dom. Vestram ut Sacra Congregatio hujusmodi privilegio vel nobis concedi procuret vel a Regularibus auferri. Non enim consentaneum videtur ut quilibet Regularis in nostris Diocesisibus ad Episcopalis dignitatis vilipendium majora quam nos ipsi privilegia exercere valeat.

"Cum rursus tempore longi belli et sævæ persecutionis Cromwellianæ capitula in diversis Diocesisibus fuerint destructa, dignitariis et canonicis vel mortuis vel peremptis; ex quo magnæ dissensiones et defectus etiam legitimæ electionis Vicariorum Generalium exortæ sunt, ut in posterum in omni eventu hujusmodi incommodis obex ponatur, supplicamur, Illm. Dni. Væ. ut nobis a S. S<sup>c</sup> et a S. Cong<sup>re</sup> facultatem impetret instituendi dignitarios et formale capitulum in illis Ecclesiis Cathedralibus in quibus hactenus extiterunt.

"Cum denique pro majori animarum consolatione et spirituali lucro multum conducant indulgentiæ plenariæ in diebus patronorum Ecclesiarum Cathedralium et Parochialium, rogamus Illmam. Dom. Vestram ut uno indulto seu brevi generali hujusmodi indulgentias concedi procuret omnibus rite dispositis qui in dictis diebus a primis Vesperis usque ad 2<sup>as</sup> locum in quo talium patronorum solemnitas celebrabitur, visitaverint.

"Quandoquidem in Hibernia messis sit multa, operarii vero et pastores pauci, sunt etenim triginta quatuor Dioceses et sex tantum Epi. qui administrandis sacramentis et instruendis populis non sufficerent, etiamsi nihil aliud toto vitæ tempore agerent præterquam soli confirmationis sacramento attendere; quibus accedit magnam clero existimationem et venerationem etiam apud adversarios ex congruo Eporum. numero (sicut experti sumus) oriri, rogamus Illmam. Dom. Vestram ut in unaquaque Hib<sup>ie</sup> provincia nonnullos novos Ep<sup>os</sup>. instituendos S. Sanctitati et s. Congregationi proponat.

"Subjicimus autem hic nomina illorum Eccl<sup>or</sup>um. quos tantæ dignitati ac muneris aptos et idoneos in Dno. judicamus.

#### "PRO PROVINCIA ARDMACANA.

"R. D. Patricius Daly, I.U.D., qui a 30 annis Vic. Generalem agit in Diocesi Ardmacana, vir magnæ charitatis et integerrimæ vitæ pro Diocesi Clougherensi.

"R. D. Oliverius Dease, vir doctus et in rebus Ecclesiasticis bene versatus qui etiam a 30 annis Vic. fuit Gen. Midensis, pro Diocesi Kilmorensi.

"R. D. Thomas Fitzsymons, Vic. Gen. Kilmorensis, S. T. Licentiatus pro Diocesi Derrensi.

#### "PRO PROVINCIA DUBLINEN.

"R. D. Lucas Wadding, Vic. Gen. Fernensis, vir doctus et pius quem Epis. Fernen. pro suo Coadjutore desiderat, cum ipse ob senectutem ac adversam valetudinem aliasque causas gregi adesse non possit.

"R. D. Gulielmus Phelan, S.T.D. et Cancellarius Ossorien, ac Prot. Aplicus. vir egregie doctus, pro Diocesi Leghlinensi.

"R. Pater Nicholaus Netterville, Soc. Jesu, vir doctrina et verbi Dei prædicatione celebris pro Dioc. Kildarensi.

#### "PRO PROVINCIA CASSELLENSI.

"R. D. Joannes Brennan, S.T.D. et Professor, vir doctrina, prudentia, et vite integritate conspicuus, ut V<sup>re</sup> Illmæ. D<sup>ni</sup> satis notum est, pro Diocesisibus Waterforden. et Lismoren, canonicè unitis.

"R. D. Jacobus Dulæus, Vic. Aplicus. Limericen. cujus etiam doctrina et vitæ integritas Illmæ. Dni. V<sup>re</sup>. probe nota est, pro Diocesi Limericen.

we speak, rigorously maintained by the Holy See; and hence, not only was the general faculty of dispensing in them denied to the bishops, but repeated letters were also addressed by the Sacred Congregation to the superiors of the religious orders, withdrawing their faculties, and insisting on their conforming themselves to the established disciplinary laws.

The dispensation as to the abstinence from meat on Wednesdays and from eggs on Fridays, which long usage had established in our Irish Church, was readily granted by the Sacred Congregation; and on the 3rd of August, 1671, we find a brief addressed to the Irish bishops, absolving those who had violated the law for the past, and empowering the respective ordinaries, at any future time (*perpetuis futuris temporibus*), to dispense with such abstinence.

The indulgence for the feasts of Patron Saints was also granted; and, in compliance with the desire of the Council to have new members added to the Irish hierarchy, we find, in the following year, no fewer than six bishops and five vicars-apostolic appointed to the Irish sees, viz. :—

Dr. John Brennan, to the united dioceses of Waterford and Lismore.

Dr. John O'Molony, to Killaloe.

Dr. Patrick Duffy, to Clogher.

Dr. Thaddeus Keogh, to Clonfert.

Dr. Dominick de Burgo, to Elphin.

Dr. Daniel Mackey, to Down and Connor.

Ronan Magin, vicar-apostolic of Dromore.

Eugene Conwell, vicar-apostolic of Derry.

Patrick Dempsey, vicar-apostolic of Kildare.

John de Burgo, vicar-apostolic of Killala.

Michael Lynch, vicar-apostolic of Kilmaeduaugh.

“R. D. Dermitius Hederman, S.T. Licentiatu, vir magnæ doctrinæ et pietatis pro Diœcesibus Ardferdensi et Aghadocensi canonice unitis.

“R. D. Thadaeus O'Brien, S.T.D., vel R. D. Joannes Swiny, S.T.D., vel R. D. Gulielmus Goid, S.T.D., pro Diœcesibus Corcagiensi et Cloynensi unitis.

“R. D. Joannes Molony, vir doctus et prudens S.T.D., vel R. D. Thomas Kennedy, vel R. D. Thomas Gripha, pro Diœcesi Laonensi.

“PRO PROV. TUAMENSI.

“R. D. Georgius Fallon, S.T.D. et Professor Bononiæ, vel R. Pater Patricius Kerovan, Augustinianus, vel R. D. Thomas Bourke, S.T.D., pro Diœcesi Elphinensi.

“R. Pater Thadaeus Keogh, Dominicanus et S.T. Magister, vel R. D. Michael Linch, S.T.D., pro Diœcesi Clonfertensi.

“Quibus omnibus simul congregati subscribimus die 18 Junii, 1670, Dublini.

“OLIVERUS ARDMACANUS, ETC.

“JACOBUS TUAMENSIS, ETC.

“JACOBUS OSSORIEN.

“GUIL. CASSELEN, ETC.

“PETRUS DUBLINENSIS, ETC.

“PATRITIUS MIDENSIS.

“Illmo. et Rmo. D<sup>no</sup> Baldeschi, S<sup>e</sup> C<sup>nis</sup> de Prop. Fide,  
Secretario Romam.”



## CHAPTER XI.

### PROVINCIAL SYNOD OF ARMAGH, HELD IN CLONES, 1670.

THE first Provincial Synod of Armagh, in the seventeenth century, was held in the year 1614; and having been convened during the intolerant administration of Chichester, it sufficiently proves the anxious desire of the prelates of that province to maintain Catholic morality and discipline in their primitive purity and integrity. As some of the bishops were absent, and several sees were vacant, all the dioceses of the Province of Armagh were then administered by vicars-general; and hence we find the name of no bishop appended to the synodical decrees enacted in that year. More than once these decrees received the sanction of succeeding synods; but with the new era which seemed to open for our Irish Church during the peaceful administration of Berkeley, Dr. Plunket deemed it expedient to make further efforts to root out all abuses, and to reduce to perfect order the disciplinary regulations of the districts intrusted to his care.

For this purpose, immediately on landing in Ireland, he set about visiting the different dioceses of his province, convening their respective clergy, and deliberating on the reforms which it might be necessary to introduce; and afterwards, before the close of 1670, he summoned, in the town of Clones, of the diocese of Clogher, a general Synod of the whole province. Many of the vicars-general who assisted at this Synod assembled soon after in the town of Armagh, and testified by letter their gratitude to the Holy See for having appointed so indefatigable a prelate to the primate's see, and briefly state the principal works which he had performed since his arrival in Ireland, and especially refer to the suspension of Terence O'Kelly, Vicar of Derry.\* The Terence O'Kelly to whom reference is here made (his name is erroneously printed Terence O'Reilly in some recent publications) had many years before been appointed Vicar Apostolic of Derry; and when attempts had been made to remove him for some public crimes of which he had rendered himself guilty, he had had more than once recourse to the civil authorities to maintain himself in his dignity. The Father Taaffe already mentioned, (p. 3) on coming to Ireland with a pretended authority to visit the whole island,

\* See chapter vi., page 57, where their letter is quoted.

sought to give a colour to his usurpation by promulgating sentence of deposition against the Vicar of Derry. So just was this sentence that many were induced to admit as genuine the authority from which it proceeded; and Ronan Magin, Vicar-General of Dromore, accepted a commission to carry it into execution. He accordingly set out, in company with some others, to be bearer to the guilty vicar of the decree of Taafe, suspending him from the exercise of all his spiritual functions. However, the object of this journey became known to O'Kelly; and Ronan Magin, on his arrival in Derry, found the military with a warrant for his arrest on a charge of *præmunire*, that is, of exercising foreign jurisdiction in the British dominions. He was accordingly seized and brought to Dublin for trial; and it was only by the intercession of many high members of the Court in London that he was soon after conducted to that city, and having been subjected to a nominal trial, was restored to liberty.\*

Dr. Plunket had better success with the unfortunate Vicar; and having first received from him a promise that he would submit to the decision of the Provincial Council, invited him to be present at its deliberations. A decree of deposition was consequently enacted in the Synod; and, as we shall see in the twelfth chapter, the Archbishop himself carried it into effect, and appointed Eugene Conwell† his successor in the office of Vicar General of the diocese of Derry.

The Synod of Clones was held on the 23rd of August, 1670; and, in addition to the Archbishop and Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Meath, there were present at it—Patrick Daly, Vicar-General of Armagh; Oliver Dease, Vicar-General of Meath; Terence O'Kelly, Vicar Apostolic of Derry; Cornelius Gaffney, Vicar-General of Ardagh; Patrick O'Mulderig, Vicar-General of Down and Connor; Ronan Magin, Dean and Vicar-General of Dromore; Thomas Fitzsimons, Archdeacon and Vicar-General of Kilmore; Patrick Cullen, Vicar-General of Clogher; Edmund Jange, Vicar-General of Clonmacnoise; Eugene Conwell, Vicar-General of Raphoe, and then elected Coadjutor Vicar of Derry. There were also present Father John Byrne, Superior of the Dominicans, and Father John Brady, Superior of the Franciscans.

Dr. Oliver Dease was appointed Procurator of the Synod, and Dr. Thomas Fitzsimons its Secretary; and after the celebration

\* Letter of the Internunzio, June, 1669.

† The letter of Dr. Conwell, from which many of the preceding particulars have been taken, refers this appointment to the end of August, which well agrees with the date which the decrees of Clones present.

of the Holy Sacrifice and the invocation of the Holy Ghost to guide them in their deliberations, the assembled prelates formally protested that their only object in this Council was to promote the glory of the Almighty, the interests of the Catholic Church, and the tranquillity and peace of the kingdom; that thus, whilst they rendered to Cæsar the things which were Cæsar's, they might render to God the things which were of God.

The decrees which were enacted are twenty-eight in number, and are all directed to the removal of every scandal from the Sanctuary, the sanctification of the faithful, and the celebration of the Holy Mysteries and Rites of the Church with due solemnity and decorum. The parish priests were commanded to have a fixed place of residence; the vicars-general were prohibited to be absent from their dioceses, without special leave from their Metropolitan, for more than two months; and to all the clergy, it was interdicted to frequent public taverns and market-places, and after the third admonition, such as refused to obey, should be deprived of all ecclesiastical benefices. Whatsoever ecclesiastic should have recourse to the lay tribunals, to obtain a benefice or sacred office, was, by the very fact, declared incapable of such benefice or office, and the laity who should take a part in such interference, were subjected to excommunication. It was further commanded, that in each diocese, there should be destined synodal examiners, and two masters of ceremonies, the approbation of one of whom, at least, should be required, before any priest should be permitted to celebrate the Holy Sacrifice. No priest was allowed to celebrate twice on Sundays or holidays, excepting in case of necessity, without an express permission from his Diocesan Superior. No questing of the religious should be allowed in Churches, without a written permission from the ordinary; and the parish priest was permitted to make collections at the altar only four times each year. One *solidus*, or shilling, was fixed as the alms for the Holy Sacrifice; and two *solidi* were the annual stipend which each family should contribute to the support of the parish priest; and should any parish priest fix a smaller sum, he was to be fined by the ordinary. For the support of the bishops, it was fixed that *five solidi* should be given once a-year by each of the parish priests. All drinking at wakes, and all night-wakes were prohibited. The decrees of the Council of Trent were declared to be received as they had been hitherto received in all the dioceses, and, in fine, the assembled prelates gave their sanction to the various statutes which had been enacted in the Episcopal Synod of Dublin, in the month of June of the same year, con-

cerning which latter Synod we shall speak more at length in a subsequent chapter.\*

\* We insert here in full the Decrees of the Synod of Clones, so justly celebrated in the modern jurisprudence of our church. These decrees will be found to present many important variations from the text recently printed, especially in the title and twenty-first decree, which we have taken from an extract presented to the Sacred Congregation on 15th November, 1677; also in the Decrees of the National Synod, which are corrected from the original copy transmitted by the Synod itself to Rome.

"Acta, Statuta et Decreta facta et ordinata in Concilio Provinciali Ardmacano celebrato in oppido de Cluncs, Diocesis Clogherensis ejusdem Provinciae die 23 Augusti, 1670, praesidente Illmo. ac Revmo. Domino D. Oliverio Archiepiscopo Ardmacano totius Hib. Primate et praesente Illmo. et Revmo. Do. D. Patritio Epi. Midensi cum suis respectivè Vicariis Generalibus, viz.:—Reverendis admodum DD. Patricio Daly et Oliverio Daise; item Reverendis DD. Terentio O'Kelly, Vic. Apostolico Derriensi; Cornelio Gaffuey, Vic. Gen. Ardaghaden; Patricio O'Mulderig, Vic. Gen. Dunen. et Connoren.; Ronano Magin, Vic. Gen. Dromoren; Thoma Fitzsimons, Vic. Gen. Kilmoren; Patricio Cullen, Vic. Gen. Clogheren; Oliverio Daise, Procuratore; adm. Rev. D. Edmundo Jange, Vic. Gen. Clonmacnoisensi; Doctore Eugenio Conwell, Vic. Gen. Rapotensi, tum instituto Derriensi; et Revv. PP. Joanne Byrne, Ord. Praed. et Joanne Brady, min. obs. provincialibus, etc.

Post Sacrum et invocato Spiritu Sancto, dicto hymno Veni Creator, etc., declarandum esse duximus et per praesentes declaramus, protestamur, ac notum facimus, Nos nihil aliud in hac nostra conventione ac Synodo meditari velle aut intendere, praeter quam Dei gloriam omnipotentis, Religionis Catholicae exaltationem, Regis ac Reginae salutem et conservationem, et totius Regni tranquillitatem et pacem, reddentes Caesari quae sunt Caesaris, et quae Dei Deo: itaque sancimus et ordinamus ut sequitur.

1. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut in exequiis nullae fiant computationes nec vigiliae nocturnae ab occasu ad ortum solis, nec admittantur nisi consanguinei et proximi amici.

2. "Ut Sacerdotes et Clerici tabernas et nundinas non frequentent, quod si tertio admoniti non obediunt, beneficiis priventur.

3. "Ut omnes Sacerdotes non admittant ancillas nisi bonae famae et de quibus nulla prorsus sit suspicio; admittantur cognatae usque ad tertium vel quartum gradum; et nullae prorsus in cubiculo sacerdotis dormiant.

4. "Ut omnes Parochi domicilium fixum habeant sub pena arbitraria.

5. "Ut nullus sacerdos seu clericus quaecumque foeminam equitando retro se gerat.

6. "Nullus Vicarius Generalis ultra duos menses a sua diocesi, absque speciali licentia Metropolitanis, absit.

7. "Ut clericus quicumque qui pro beneficio aut officio obtinendo, aut pro se vindicando de suo superiore aut competitorè recurrerit ad brachium seculare, praeter infamiae notam eo ipso incurrendae, sit ipso facto inhabilis et incapax beneficii aut officii pro quo taliter recurrit, et laicus se immiscens huic casui sit excommunicatus.

8. "Ut nullus sacerdos seu secularis seu regularis substituatur in parocciis sine approbatione ordinarii loci.

9. "Ut in qualibet diocesi sint examinatores Synodales et duo magistri caeremoniarum, sine quorum aut saltem unius de ipsis approbatione nullus admittatur ad celebrandum.

10. "Ut nullus sacerdos quæstus ad sua altaria fieri permittat sine expressa ordinarii licentia in scriptis accepta.

11. "Ut nullius ordinis aut religionis fratres qui in diocesi aliqua a memoria nostra aut parentum, conventus aut residentias non habuerunt, ad inibi residendum de novo admittantur; et parochi talibus religiosis mendicare aut praedi-

care ad altare non permittant sine licentia ordinarii in scriptis sub pena excommunicationis.

12. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut quicumque defunctum, qui vivus specialem sibi non elegit sepulturam, extra parociam propriam ad aliam sepeliendum transulerint, a divinis arceantur donec eorum ordinario se sistant et pœna arbitraria mulctentur.

13. "Ut nullus sacerdos sive regularis sive sæcularis sub pœna suspensionis ipso facto incurrendæ, diebus Dominicis vel festivis, bis celebret nisi gravi necessitate urgente et præsertim in eodem altari absque expressa ordinarii in scriptis licentia.

14. "Ut nullus Parochus quæstus pecuniarios exigit ad altare nisi quater in anno.

15. "Ut designetur locus aliquis conveniens Parocho et Parochianis in quo Missa habeatur, sive sacerdos duo habeat altaria, sive unum tantum; quod si parochiani dissenserint, electio et designatio loci sit penes sacerdotem ipsum; quod si parochus in hoc partialis fuerit sit penes Ordinarium.

16. Parochus a conjugalibus æqualiter pro salarii annuali stipendio duos solidos Anglicanos exigit; qui de hac summa aliorum Parochorum præjudicio aliquid remiserit ad decem solidos Anglicanos mulctetur.

17. "Taxa ordinaria pro celebrantis Missam labore, sit unus solidus, et qui plus vel minus exigit ab ordinario puniatur.

18. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut nullus audeat in impedimentis matrimonii dispensare virtute indulti alicujus aut privilegii nisi ejus auctoritas sit ab ordinario approbata et in hoc etiam actu includimus Regulares sub pœna suspensionis ad arbitrium ordinarii. Deinde præcipimus et stricte mandamus sub eadem pœna ut nullus parochus accipiat hujusmodi dispensationes, etiam a Regularibus Societatis Jesu, absque ordinariorum respective licentia.

19. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut nullus ordinarius pro dimissorialibus aliquid recipiat alioquin tamquam simoniacus a Metropolitano puniatur.

20. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut nullus ordinarius pecunias pro visitatione accipiat nisi actu visitet, quod si contrarium fecerit, puniatur, juxta arbitrium Metropolitanum.

21. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut omnes sacerdotes juvenes qui a sexennio ordinati sunt, studia prosequi cogantur etiam privatione beneficiorum; qui si Parochias habeant concedimus eis licentiam substituendi alios sacerdotes ab ordinario approbatos, et ad quinquennium emolumentum quod cum substituto paciscantur annue accipendi; qui nullas vero parochias habent ab aliis Parochis adjuvantur.

22. "Recipimus et admittimus SS. Concilium Tridentinum in omnibus Diœcesibus sicut hactenus receptum est.

23. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut nullus fiat de novo sacerdos, nisi attestetur ordinarius se habere necessitatem dandi illi demissoriales et illum habere ad curam animarum sufficientem doctrinam.

24. "Ut quicumque sacerdos assistet matrimonio sine tribus præviis denuntiationibus suspendatur et mulctetur ad arbitrium ordinarii et ipse ordinarius dispensans sine causa mulctetur ad arbitrium Metropolitanum.

25. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut quarta pars funeralium cedat ordinario sicuti in concilio Provinciali sub Illustrissimo Primate Edmundo O'Reilly numero 8 statutum est: quoad legata vero pia, cedant ei cui determinate legata sunt, salvo jure Parochorum et ordinarii quoad quartem partem parochialem et episcopalem juxta mentem concilii Tridentini sess. 25 de Reform cap. 13.

26. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut omnes Parochi ante finem duorum mensium habeant exemplar horum actuum et aliorum actuum conciliorum Provincialium, eosque quolibet mense legant et in synodis Diœcesanis publice perlegantur, ac insuper inter Missarum solemnias, statuta populum concernentia publicentur.

27. "Statuimus et ordinamus ut quilibet Parochus in quacunque diœcesi totius Provinciæ det Metropolitano pro una vice tantum quinque solidos monetas Anglicanas.

28. "Tandem accipimus omnia statuta acta Dublinii diebus 17, 18, et 20. Junii, 1670, ab Archiepiscopis et Episcopis Hiberniæ simul congregatis quorum primum est

[Here follow the decrees of the synod of Dublin, already given in chap. x. page 116 of these Memoirs.]

## CHAPTER XII.

### PROVINCIAL SYNOD OF ARDPATRICK, NEAR LOUTH, IN 1678.

SUCCEEDING years bring with them new exigencies regarding the disciplinary laws of the Church; former decrees may be neglected, or perhaps have fallen into oblivion; new social circumstances may have arisen to require new arrangements in the outward discipline of the Church; and perhaps, too, abuses may have crept in to call for the pruning hand of the pastor, or demand the enactment of canonical punishments to chastise the guilty and check the vicious in their criminal career. Hence, wisely has the Church decreed that her Provincial Synods should be renewed at stated intervals, and that her pastors, assembled in the spirit of God, should deliberate together on the spiritual necessities of their flocks.

Though the year 1678 already presented forebodings of the approaching tempest which was soon to render desolate so many of our Irish sees, yet Dr. Plunket resolved not to defer any longer the celebration of his second provincial synod, and he accordingly convened it for the month of August, 1678. One bishop had been added to the province of Armagh since its pastors had last assembled in 1670, at Clones, viz., Dr. Patrick Tyrrell, Bishop of Clogher and Administrator of Kilmore, a man renowned, even on the continent, for his knowledge of ecclesiastical jurisprudence, and at the same time a zealous co-operator with the primate in carrying into execution the disciplinary laws of the Church. Dr. Conwell had in the interval passed to a happy eternity, and his successor, Luke Plunket, bore in the present synod not only the title of Vicar-General of Derry, but also of Procurator of the diocese of Raphoe. Dr. James Cusack, who took part in this synod as Procurator of the Vicar-Apostolic of Ardagh, had already been appointed Coadjutor of the Bishop of Meath, but was not as yet consecrated; and we find three others also present, Edward Dromgole, Henry Hugo, and Bernard Magork, whose names were afterwards transmitted to Rome as worthy to be successors of the martyred primate, and the first of whom governed the Church of Armagh for three years, having been constituted by the Holy Father Vicar-Apostolic of that See.

This synod was opened with all solemnity; first, the Litanies of the Saints were chanted; then an exhortation was addressed to the assembled prelates, and with the hymn of the Holy Ghost,

Veni Creator Spiritus, they invoked the Father of heavenly counsels to guide them in their deliberations. Afterwards was renewed the protest of the former council, that their only object in thus assembling was "to promote the glory of God, and promote the advancement of the Catholic faith, the salvation of souls, the tranquillity of king and kingdom, rendering to Cæsar the things which are of Cæsar, and to God the things which are of God."

It was then decreed by the assembled prelates:—

1. That the clergy should warn the faithful against aiding or countenancing the bodies of lawless bandits, who were called *Tories*, and who, under the pretence of defending the national rights, then infested the country; and that they (the clergy), should likewise make known to their flocks what dishonour the deeds of these wicked men brought upon their religion and country.

2. That the doctrine which declared that the appointments of the Holy See to particular dioceses, required for their validity the acceptance of the clergy and laity of these dioceses, was erroneous, and that the oaths taken not to acknowledge any who would not be thus accepted were damnable and not binding before God.

3. That, whilst they lament the ignorance of some who would fain affirm that the *postulation* of the clergy or laity, or of both, or the *presentation* of the lay nobility, is binding on the Holy Father when appointing bishops to the vacant Sees, they at the same time declare "such practice and doctrine to be schismatical and contrary to the canons," and decree that "such as, in consequence of similar presentations or postulations, impede the appointments made by the Holy See, are subjected to censures reserved to the Supreme Pontiff."

4. The fourth decree condemns "as perverse and erroneous, the ravings of those who affirm that it belongs to the people to choose their own pastors, and to fix for them, independently of the ordinary, the stipend to be given them.

5. That teaching is declared erroneous and contrary to the Scripture, which would affirm that no stipend is due by the faithful to their lawful pastors.

6. The doctrine is likewise condemned which declared it lawful to take the goods of Protestants, or of any others whosoever, the owners not being cognizant or willing; and, it is added, that such persons acting unlawfully are obliged to restitution.

7. The clergy are prohibited to admit those pupils who are called *Dallas*; and the violators of this decree incurred the penalty of deprivation of benefice or office.

8. It was prohibited to priests to drink whiskey in any public house or public assembly, and any one guilty of this crime should

be subjected to a fine of ten *solidi*,\* to be given to the Vicars-General, and Vicars-Forane of the diocese.

9. No priest should frequent the public markets without the permission of the ordinary, and the transgressors of this law were also subjected to a fine of ten *solidi*.

10. It was enacted that every month, with the exception of the three winter months, conferences should be held in each of the districts of the Vicars-Forane, and any priest who absented himself from these conferences incurred a penalty of five *solidi*.

11. That those who publicly defame their superiors should not be admitted to the sacraments, till they either establish their charges before the proper tribunals, or give satisfaction to the injured party.

12. None of the laity should receive the aspersory, but only be sprinkled with holy water, according to the rite of the Church.

13. Neither the Ordinary nor the Metropolitan has power to dispense in the irregularity arising from the public violation of censures, and any such dispensations hitherto accorded are declared to have been invalid.

14. Every priest who refuses due obedience to his ecclesiastical superior incurs suspension from his benefice or office for three months, and if after three months he should continue contumacious, he is declared *ipso facto* incapable of any ecclesiastical benefice in that Province.

15. Penalties are then enacted against any of the clergy who should attempt to offer the Holy Sacrifice, without having observed strict fast from the preceding midnight.

16. Each parish priest was commanded to have within three years, at least, one silver chalice of the value of fifty *solidi*, with decent vestments, all which should be left to the parish on the demise or departure of the parish priest.

17. The seventeenth decree regards clergymen dying intestate, and each diocese is directed to adopt the regulation of the Metropolitan Church in regard of the disposal of their property.

18. It is decreed that the four *testilia*, which were due to the parochial clergy four times in the year, were part of the stipend due by the faithful to their pastors.

19. The rights and customs of the Archdeacons when conferring benefices should remain unaltered.

20. It was declared that Dr. Patrick Tyrrell, Bishop of Clogher, having received a full and legitimate appointment to the administration of Kilmore, all Catholics, whether clergy or laity, were obliged to show every reverence and obedience to

\* The *solidus* was equal to one shilling.



him, and all who should contumaciously persist in opposing him, were subjected to ecclesiastical censures reserved to his Holiness.

21. Those who should contract marriage within the prohibited degrees, without having received a dispensation, were to be excluded from the Holy Sacrifice, and the Sacrament of the altar.

22. When controversies should arise between different dioceses, or between adjoining parishes of the same diocese, as to their respective limits, the *Ancient Register* should be followed.

23. The decree of the Council of Trent regarding clandestine marriages is enacted, that is, all marriages are declared null which should be celebrated without the presence of the parish priest, and of at least two witnesses.

24. The most illustrious Primate was requested to form part of a commission, for which the Bishop of Clogher, and the Rev. Bernard Magork, Dean and Vicar-General of Armagh, as well as Dr. Edward Dromgole, were especially deputed, and which should propose to the various religious orders some regulations to be observed. These regulations especially regarded the manner of questing for alms, and they moreover commanded that no religious should celebrate the holy Sacrifice except once each day, or exercise parochial duties without a written approbation from the ordinary. No member, too, of a religious order should be permitted to seek for alms in any parish, unless some member of the same order administered spiritual assistance there by preaching and catechising the people when requested to do so by the parish priest.

25. The Primate was requested to undertake a general visitation of the whole Province.

26. In fine, it was decreed that all the clergy, whether present or absent, should unceasingly offer up their prayers to the divine Majesty, for the safety of the most serene king Charles II., of the queen and royal family, and especially of the then Viceroy, for the tranquillity of the nation, and for peace amongst Christian princes, and that they should command their flocks to offer similar prayers; and all these decrees are declared to be directed to the greater glory of God, of the Blessed Virgin, and of St. Patrick, (the patron of Ireland), and St. Augustine, on whose feast, and under whose invocation, this holy synod was concluded.\*

\* We add here in full the original decrees enacted in the Provincial Council of Ardpatrick, as sent by Dr. Plunket to the Internunzio in Brussels.

In Nomine Dni. Amen.

Acta, Statuta, et Decreta facta et ordinata in conc. provinciali in loco de Ardpatrick prope Louth in Dicec. Armachana die 28 mensis Augusti, 1678, presidente Illmo. et Rmo. D.D., Oliverio Armachano Metropolitano ac totius Hiberniæ Primate, presentibus Illmis et Revmis D.D., *Patritio Midensi*, et

When transmitting the decrees of Ardpatrick to the Internunzio in Brussels Dr. Plunket requested him to forward them to Rome,

*Patritio Clogheren.* administratore Kilmoren.; Rev. admodum, D.D., *Jacobo Cusaco*, S.T.D., procuratore Vic. Aplici Ardaghaden; *Patritio Mulderig*, Vic. Connoren; *Edwardo Drumgole*, S.T.D., procuratore Vic. Glis Clonmacnoisen; *Luca Plunket*, Abbate S. Thomæ Vic. Gn. Derren. et procuratore Rapoten; *Patritio Bruin*, Vic. Gn. Dunen. procre Vic. Glis. Dromoren; *Henrico Hugonio*, S.T.D., procre Capituli Armachani; *Christophoro Plunketo archidiac. Clogheren.*; *Bernardo Magork* Decano Armachano; *Patritio Plunketo*, Vic. Louthen. Post litanias omnium SS., concionem, ac invocationem Spiritus Scti. lecto hymno Veni Creator Spiritus, declarandum esse duximus, sicut per præsentés declaramus, nos in hacce nra. Cngue nihil meditari aut intendere, quam Dei omnipotentis gloriam, Cath. Religionis exaltationem, reddentes ea, quæ sunt Cæsaris, Cæsari, et quæ sunt Dei, Deo.

1. Statuimus ergo et ordinamus, ut omnes Parochi et curam animarum habentes prædicent contra latrones, vulgo *Tories*, et contra fautores eorum ac receptores, et exponant, in quanto periculo animarum suarum, et corporum versantur, et quam nigram notam inurant et Religioni, ac Nationi, ac quantam jacturam patriotis suis inferant impediendo commercium, ac ipsos reddendo obnoxios multis aliis gravaminibus.

2. Decernimus contra spargentes illam doctrinam, quod provisiones Ecclesiarum factæ a summo Pontifice dependeant ab acceptatione cleri, et populi, et juramentum præstitum ab Ecclesiasticis et laicis de non acceptandis superioribus absq. mutuo consensu esse damnabilem, ac perinde doctrinam illam damnamus velut erroneam, et declaramus unumquemq. obligari ad revocationem talis juramenti, et ad illud non servandum.

3. Cum proh dolor, tam crassa sit ignorantia aliquorum ut existiment postulationem aut cleri aut populi, aut utriusq. aut presentationem nobilium sæcularium ligare manus summo Pontifici, declaramus hujusmodi praxim et doctrinam esse schismaticam, et contra canones. Declaramus insuper impediētes vi istarum postulationum, aut presentationum, executionem provisionum a summo Pontifice factarum, aut fiendarum incurrere censuras ipsi summo Pontifici reservatas.

4. Damnamus tanquam doctrinam perversam ac erroneam quorundam deliria, qui dicunt penes populum esse, assumere sibi, quos volunt, pastores, et taxare quantum stipendii sit ipsis debitum, invitis ordinariis.

5. Damnamus doctrinam illorum qui dicunt, aut prædicant non deberi stipendium exercentibus curam animarum, tanquam erroneam et contrariam S. Scripturæ.

6. Damnamus doctrinam illorum qui tenent aut docent licere accipere bona protestantium, aut aliorum quorumcunq. ipsis insciis et invitis, velut erroneam, et nullatenus excusantem a restitutione.

7. Decernimus, ut nullus Sacerdos adoptet, aut acceptet alumnos, vulgo *Dallas*, directe vel indirecte, per se vel per alium, et qui hoc decretum transgressus fuerit, careat beneficio et officio ad arbitrium ordinarii.

8. Decernimus et statuimus, ut nullus Sacerdos bibat aquam stillatam in ulla popina, aut congressu publico sub pœna decem solidor. applicandorum Vic. generalibus et Foraneis.

9. Decernimus, ut nullus Sacerdos profiscatur ad nundinas, vel fora absq. licentia ordinarii in scriptis, et qui hoc decretum violaverit, solvat decem solidos Vic. suo Foraneo.

10. Decernimus et statuimus, ut quolibet mense exceptis tribus mensibus hyemalibus, fiant conferentiæ casuum conscientie in districtibus singulor. vicariorum Foraneor, et qui aberit, solvat quinque solidos presentibus.

11. Decernimus, et statuimus, ut qui suos superiores diffamant, tamdiu arceantur ab administratione sacramentor., donec probaverint crimen superiori imputatum coram Judice competente, aut satisfaciant parti læsæ.

12. Decernimus, ac statuimus, ut nullus sacerdos sive sæcularis, sive reglis. ulli sæculari porrigat aspersorium, sed aqua tantum aspergatur juxta ritum Ecclesiæ.

13. Declaramus nec metropolitanum, nec ordinarium dispensare posse in

promising an explanation of some of them in a future letter. On the 10th of September he, consequently, addressed the follow-

irregularitate proveniente ex publica violatione censurarum, et dispensationes hactenus super his concessas invalidas fuisse.

14. "Decernimus et statuimus, ut quicumq. Sacerdos, cujuscumq. gradus aut auctoritatis, fuerit inobediens suo superiori, privetur per tres menses officio et beneficio, et si post tres menses non resipuerit, sit ipso facto incapax beneficii in illa provincia.

15. "Decernimus et statuimus, ut Sacerdotes computantes a media nocte, et postea mane celebrantes pro prima vice arceantur a celebratione missae, et ab administratione sacramentorum per mensem, pro secunda vice per bimestre, si tertia vice per annum.

16. "Decernimus et statuimus, ut singuli parochi habeant intra triennium calicem argenteum valoris 50 ad minus solidorum cum paramentis decentibus, quae teneantur relinquere parochiae, quandocumq. ex parochia discedant.

17. "Decernimus et statuimus quantum ad spolia Sacerdotum curam animarum habentium, ut unaquaeq. diocesis observet consuetudinem ecclesiae Metropolitanae.

18. "Declaramus quatuor testilia, quae debentur Sacerdotibus curatis quater in anno esse partem stipendii pastoribus debiti.

19. "Decernimus ut illae permaneant jura et consuetudines Archidiaconorum quoad possessiones dandas illis, quibus conferuntur beneficia.

20. "Cum nobis constat Illmum. ac Rmum. D. Patritium Clogheren. plenum ac legitimum habere jus ad administrandam Diocesim Kilmoren. declaramus omnes Ecclesiae et seculares Catholicos ipsi omnem obedientiam ac reverentiam praestare debere tanquam suo superiori, et omnes ei contumaciter contradicentes incurrere censuras reservatas suae Sanctitati.

21. "Decernimus, ut qui nubunt in gradibus prohibitis sine dispensatione arceantur a missa et sacris.

22. "Decernimus et statuimus, ut quando est controversia inter diversas dioceses de limitibus, et inter parochias intra eand. diocesim, procedatur secundum antiquum Registrum.

23. "Declaramus, quod nullum matrimonium in posterum contrahendum inter Ecclesiae Romanae Catholicos sit validum absque praesentia parochi, et duorum testium.

24. "Rogamus Illmum. et Rmum. Nostrum Metropolitanum, et deputamus Illmum. ac Rmum. Patricium Clogheren. ac Rdos. admodum Dnos. Bernardum Magork Decanum, ac Vic. Gen. Armachanum, Edwardum Drumgole, S.T.D., vel eorum tres aliquos, ut tractent cum superioribus Regularium in Provincia Armachana, ut faciant sequentia puncta observari a suis, nisi vel leges, vel privilegia ostenderint in contrarium: quod si non ostenderint, statuimus, et ordinamus, ut singuli Ordinarii in suis respective diocesibus illa faciant promulgari et observari tanquam statuta dioecessana.

I. "Ut non admittantur ad mendicandum Regulares Conventuum, in quibus non est competens numerus fratrum juxta ipsorum constitutiones ad observandam regularem disciplinam.

II. "Ut Regulares, per se et non per procuratores seculares mendicent.

III. "Ut Regulares in villis privatis non erigant altaria ad convocandum populum illius villae ad mendicandum.

IV. "Cum varii Regulares diversis conventibus assignati a suis Superioribus vivant toto fere anno domibus secularium, cogantur a suis Superioribus vivere conventibus sub regulari observantia.

V. "Ut non binent sacra sine licentia Ordinarii in scriptis.

VI. "Ut non praedicent, instruant, aut catechizent populum sine approbatione Ordinarii in scriptis.

VII. "Ut nullus Regularis admittatur ad mendicandum in parochia, nisi aliquis illius Ordinis praedicet, aut catechizet in ead. parochia, si requiratur a parochio.

25. "Ob necessarias ac graves momenti rationes rogamus Illmum. ac Rmum.

ing letter to the Internunzio, and the explanations which he presents, though few in number, must, nevertheless, serve to illustrate many customs of our Church in the seventeenth century. In the first place he explains who were the *dallas* spoken of in the seventh decree:—

“Some wicked priests,” he says, “becoming *nutritors* (fosterers), took to their care the children of Protestants, that thus they themselves might be defended against their ecclesiastical superiors: these children were called *dallas*.”

He then presents the true meaning of the 16th and 17th decrees: these, at first sight would seem to imply that the Irish churches were not at this time provided with proper vestments and sacred vessels; however, we learn from Dr. Plunket that such a conclusion would be wholly erroneous:—

“As to the 16th and 17th decrees, it is true that all the pastors, with few exceptions, have chalices of silver and decent vestments, but that the terms might be general, and that the few exceptional priests might repair their neglect, and that when the priests leave the parish or die, these things might not be lost, but left to the parishes in which they lived, the above decrees were made.

In the 18th the word *testile* is used to designate the coin, four of which were given four times each year to the parochial clergy; and Dr. Plunket explains this term as follows:—

“Each ‘*testile*’ is equal to about *four bajocchi*, 2½d., which each family gives four times in the year to the pastors; and as some doubted whether this formed part of the parochial stipend, the decree declared that they were a part of the stipend.”

D. Num. Metropolitanum, ut visitet singulas dioceses totius provincie quamprimum ac convenienter fieri poterit.

26. “Demum statuimus, ut omnes tam hic presentes, quam absentes Sacerdotes incessantib. precibus divinam majestatem orent pro incolumitate Serenissimi Regis. Nri. Caroli II., Regine nrae, ac Regine familie, ac presentis Proregis, pro tranquillitate patrie, ac pace inter Xnos. principes, idque commendent orationibus suorum respective gregum; atque hæc ad majorem Dei, Deipare, ac sanctorum Patritii nri. Patroni ac Augustini.

The following words are added in Italian:

“Questi sono li atti del Conc. Provinle. ultimamente celebrato. Farà grazia di mandarli a Mr. Cerri e di tener una copia appresso di se. Con una altra lettera ne do più esatto ragguaglio.

“Di V. S. Illma.

“Dimo. Serv.

“THOMAS COX.”

(Oliver Plunket.)

A Mr. Internunzio in Brussels.

\* The *bajocci* is an Italian coin a little more than a *halfpenny*, ten *bajocchi* being sixpence.

His next remark is of great importance as serving to place in its true light the history of the promulgation in Ireland of the Tridentine decree regarding clandestine marriages.

"As to the 23rd decree," he writes, "the Council of Trent was received here at the time of Rinucinni, and also in this Province at the time of Elizabeth, but whereas doubts were raised in some parts whether this promulgation regarded the decree on matrimony, and as, moreover, we do not here dare to speak openly of the Council of Trent, it being contrary to the laws, we enacted the decree in an equivalent manner; we do not here dare to excommunicate or announce censures in express and formal words but in equivalent terms, for otherwise we should incur *præmunire*."

It may not be uninteresting to the reader if we here commemorate some facts connected with the promulgation of the Council of Trent in our Irish Church. Dr. Plunket refers its publication in the Province of Armagh to the Reign of Queen Elizabeth, and we learn from the 'Appendix Consultationis Provincialis' of Armagh (held in 1614), that some of our Irish Bishops, that is, "Redmundus Derriensis, Donaldus Rapotensis, Cornelius Dunen. et Conorensis, Edmundus Ardaghadensis, Ricardus Kilmorensis, Cornelius Clogherensis, et Eugenius Aghadensis," assembled in the diocese of Clogher, before the year 1589,\* and addressed an order to the clergy that the Council of Trent should be received by all, and they especially insisted on the reception in each parish of the decree *de reformatione matrimonii*. In many of the parishes, however, the subsequent promulgation of the decree regarding matrimony is extremely doubtful; and it is certain that in some parishes it never was promulgated. Even in many of the districts in which it was received, the irruptions of the invaders, and the frequent devastation of the country, and the influx of foreign settlers, soon obliterated every vestige of its publication, and hence we find, early in the seventeenth century some of the Irish clergy petitioning the Holy See to declare to them what course they should pursue. We have not been able to discover what answer was given by Rome,† but Dr. Plunket informs us, that at the

\* One of the above-mentioned bishops, Donald or Donagh M'Congal, Bishop of Raphoe, died in 1589, as we learn from the Four Masters. Another of the bishops, Cornelius O'Dulhana, of Down and Connor, was not appointed bishop before 1584.

† A paper presented to Propaganda by Dr. Edmund Dwyer (as agent of the Irish clergy) in 1636, in the name of the Archbishop of Armagh, complains of some Religions who presumed to exercise their faculties without the permission of the ordinary, and adds:—"Advertendum est receptum et publicatum in Provincia Ardmachana, observarique ad unguem (decretum Trid.)."

time of Rinuccini the solemn promulgation of the decrees of Trent was proposed for the whole island ; the manifold afflictions, however, which desolated the Irish Church, and in particular the Province of Ulster, during the devastations of Cromwell, occasioned in some districts an inobservance, especially of the decree regarding clandestine marriages, which fully justified the Synod of Ardpatrik in enacting its 23rd decree.

The concluding portion of Dr. Plunket's letter has already been presented to the reader in the eighth chapter. He dwells on the necessity of restricting the number of the religious houses, and declares that his dwelling so continually on this subject proceeded only from his zeal to promote the glory of God, and his desire to see all the clergy of his Province, whether regular or secular, holy, good, and perfect ; in fine, he prays the Holy See to appoint Dr. Tyrrell (Bishop of Clogher) Apostolic visitor of the Franciscan order in Ireland, and declares that great good would redound from such a measure in the maintenance of the Catholic faith in its integrity and purity.

#### APPENDIX.

##### *Letter of the Bishop of Ferns on the promulgation of the Council of Trent in Ireland.*

As the following letter is connected with the matter treated of in the preceding chapter, we judge it opportune to present it to the reader in its original form. It was, however, written without preparation, as the illustrious Prelate himself informs us, and this sufficiently accounts for some historical inaccuracies which are to be met with in it.

##### "ILLME. ET REVM. DNE.

"Binis gravibusque postulationibus Gratia Vra, an in Hybernia vigeat observantia Constitutionum S. Concilii Tridentini, et an Hyberni agnoscant easdem Constitutiones, tanquam obligatorias, apposite respondere, agnosco negotium esse supra vires ingenii mei ; quod imperium vestrum ad me perlatum fuit tantum heri vespere ad medium decimæ. Nilominus ad ambas questiones sic repono cum humilitate ; Constitutionum S. Tridentini Concilii ad fidem ac Religionem spectantium, quales esse censeo de peccato originali, justificatione, canone ac usu librorum divinarum, de institutione, excellentia, usu, effectu et essentialibus sacramentorum in genere, et de quovis in particulari, de Sacrificio Missæ etc., viget observantia in Hybernia sicut in aliis provinciis Catholicis et constanter viguit. Hoc unum circa Sacramentum Matrimonii advertendum quod etsi celebraretur apud nos coram Parocho et testibus quemadmodum S. Concilium Tridentinum ordinavit ; tamen etiam num validum est ac ratum Matrimonium clandestinum si non subsint impedimenta dirimentia ; puniuntur tamen sic Matrimonium contrahentes ; etiam publicantur denunciationes ante Matrimonium ut in his regionibus, et Parochi eas omittentes severe plectuntur.

"Reformationibus interim S. Tridentini Concilii et Constitutionibus circa mores ac disciplinam non idem habetur honor ut in aliis Catholicis Regnis ; earum non viget observantia in Hybernia ; loquor de decretis e.g. circa seminaria, hospitalia, reparationem Ecclesiarum, beneficiorum dispositionem, Clericorum tam secularium quam regularium correctionem, brachii secularis invocationem etc. Ex hoc responso ad questionem primam, sequitur secundum.

"Nimirum Hybernos non agnoscere tanquam obligatorias constitutiones morum

et disciplinæ passim in sessionibus de Reformatione contentas; ratio est quia sunt observatu difficiles et moraliter impossibiles; unde non possunt nos obligare: non dico hoc provenire e defectu promulgationis; scimus enim legem Pontificiam in curia Romana publicatam, obligandi vim habere in toto orbe terrarum, quatenus est ex sua parte, sed nos non obligant quia non erant ab Hybernis receptæ et approbate; nec vere poterant esse loco et tempori et nationi convenientes, predominante et atrociter furente in regno illo heresi, quæ Angliam totam, et Hyberniam pro magnâ parte inquinavit: gladium gessit, et etiam nunc gerit magistratus hæreticus: ideo parum poterant ordinarii in materia correctionis: non habuerunt Episcopi carceres, non poterant requirere brachium sæculare, gladium magistratus heretici qui spernit anathemata et excommunicationes Ecclesiæ Catholicæ; et si forte ordinarii attentaverint interdicare, suspendere a divinis, aut excommunicare subditos suos, imminabat illis periculum carcerum atque exilii; aliquando capite plexi sunt propter exercitium jurisdictionis Catholicæ quam heretici vocant potestatem foraneam seu papalem. Ob hoc factum, ut nulla Congregatio Ecclesiastica Nationalis in quantum scire potui in unum coetum coalnerit ad recipiendum S. Trid. Concilium. Hoc enim erat capitale crimen et perduellio. Poterat fateor tempore belli Catholici recipi S. Trid. Concilium sed hactenus in nulla Ecclesiastica Congregatione, cui interfui, fuit propositum: quidam dicunt a multis annis fuisse receptum in quodam Concilio provinciali Provinciæ Ardmachanæ, quod non credo; super hoc poterit Illmus. D. Ardmachanus Primas totius Hybernæ consuli et interrogari. Malui questionibus propositis hoc responsum raptim elaboratum præbere, quam mandato vestro non parere. Hac occasione utor subscribendi me hoc 2<sup>o</sup> Junii, Gandavi, 1671.

“ Illmæ. D. Vrm. addictum. et humum. famulum,

“ NICOLAUM FERNENSEM.”

“ Illmæ. Dno. Internuntio Aplico.”

Dr. French was mistaken in regard to the promulgation of the decree of the Council of Trent on clandestine marriages in Armagh. It does not, however, appear that this decree was published in Dublin until the year 1827, when it was solemnly promulgated in all the parishes on the 2nd December.

(See Carrière De Matr. 2 p. 406, on the promulgation of the decree of the Council of Trent).

## CHAPTER XIII.

### VISITATION OF DIOCESES BY DR. PLUNKET.

THE labours of Dr. Plunket were not confined to his own immediate diocese. According to the canonical legislation of those times, it was a privilege formerly attached to the dignity of Archbishop and Primate that he who enjoyed it might perform a visitation in the dioceses subject to his Primacy; and Dr. Plunket, partly resting on this privilege—partly through a special commission received from the Holy See, and often, too, at the request of the local diocesan authorities—more than once undertook a visitation of the dioceses of the province of Ulster. Indeed, this seems to have been one of the earliest cares of our Primate; and in his letter of the 16th of April, 1671, he speaks of the visitation which he had already made of six of his suffragan dioceses; in

which visitation, as he in the same place informs us, he had principally directed his efforts to the rooting out of the cursed vice of drunkenness. The items of his *Relatio*, or report, regarding the united dioceses of Down and Connor, are the only record of this visitation which we have been able to discover amongst the letters of Dr. Plunket. This *Relatio* is dated the 1st November, 1670, and is directed to Monsignor Baldeschi, Secretary of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda:—

*“Relation concerning the canonically united dioceses of Down and Connor.*

“These united dioceses are about 50 miles in length and 15 in breadth: they are rather mountainous than level, and abound in milk, oats, and barley. Great peace is enjoyed there.

“There are about two thousand five hundred Catholic families. The Marquis of Antrim, a good Catholic, is very powerful and very zealous; there is no other Catholic that has property there. Thanks to God, the Catholics enjoy great toleration.

“There is no Bishop, but a Vicar-General, by name Patrick O'Mulderig, an old man, 60 years of age, a good and practical priest, though not distinguished for literature; he lives with his brother in a private house, and has converted many to the faith.

“The cathedral churches of Down and Connor are now roofless, but that of Down is very celebrated as being the burial-place of Saint Patrick, Columba, and Bridget, according to the old distich—

*‘Hi tres in Duno, tumulo tumulantur in uno  
Brigida, Patritius atque Columba pius.’\**

In Down, also, was born the celebrated Doctor Scotus.

“In the diocese of Down there is a convent of Dominicans, but the friars live at lodgings. There are five Dominicans, but only one is of great fame, viz., Clement O'Byrne, who is a good preacher, and produces much fruit.

“There is also a convent of Franciscans, who are twelve in number, and amongst them Paul O'Bryn, Paul O'Neill, and James O'Hiny are the most distinguished in point of preaching and producing fruit.

“In the convent of Carrickfergus, in the diocese of Connor, there are ten Franciscans, of whom only five are priests; amongst these Hugh O'Dornan and Daniel O'Mellon are distinguished in preaching. There is also a certain Paul O'Haran, who is well versed in literature.

“The Dominicans have a convent in Culrahan, in which there are only four friars, and of these only two are priests, one of whom, James Crolly, is a good preacher.

\* In Down three saints are buried in one grave, Patrick, Bridget, and Collumkill.



"The parish priests are supported by a stipend which the Catholics give them—namely, every family, in addition to the uncertain sums, contributes *four Julii* (2s.) every year. At baptism *two Julii* (1s.) are given, at marriage *four*, and at extreme unction *two*, and also at every burial each family, according to its own pleasure, gives some alms.

"There are many boys well suited for study, but there is a great want of Catholic schools, as the Protestants do not allow Catholic masters. There is, nevertheless, a certain William Flaherty, a priest, a good rhetorician, who keeps a school in Down.

"There are no nuns, excepting four of the Franciscan order.

"At the time of Cromwell there was a violent persecution, and whosoever brought in the head of a priest received 20 scudi (£5), but under the present King there is great toleration and sufficient connivance."

The names of the clergy of the diocese are then added, and may be seen in the appendix.

It seems to have been during this first visitation that the Primate appointed a Vicar-General to administer the diocese of Raphoe, and also delivered to Eugene Conwell a Brief from the Holy See, constituting him Vicar-Apostolic of Derry. The latter wrote as follows to the Secretary of Propaganda on the 1st of November, 1671:—

"A few years since, having completed my studies in Brabant, and read the course of philosophy and theology, his Eminence, Cardinal Rospigliosi, then Internunzio in Brussels, sent me to this kingdom, granting me the privilege of missionary Apostolic, and I, obeying his commands, laboured in this vineyard, instructing and preaching, not impelled indeed by any desire of promotion or impulse of ambition. Yet it pleased our most illustrious Primate to appoint me to the government of this Diocese, about the end of August last year, after he had deprived, of the use of all jurisdiction, Terence Kelly, the Vicar Apostolic, on account of his grievous crimes: the Provincial Council, assembled in Clones, sanctioned his deposition, and Terence Kelly himself previously consented to whatever judgment the Provincial Synod should decree: than which step, indeed, nothing more useful ever happened to this Province, as the deposition of this Terence-struck terror into all those who imitated his depraved example. I confess that I laboured much in this Diocese, with the sole hope of an eternal reward; but see how, even in this world, the few labours which I sustained are rewarded beyond their merit by the Brief of the Holy Father. And not only the Brief, but also the letters of Cardinal Anthony (Barberini), signed also by your Excellency, were handed to me by our Primate, who indeed undertook a long and difficult journey to this northern district of this country, to be himself the bearer of this Brief and Letters, and the whole Clergy of the Diocese being assembled, he read the Apostolic Brief and Letters together. But the Archdeacon of Derry, with all his

might, protested against the Brief, affirming that it was null on account of Terence Kelly being yet alive at the time of its being expedited, and, as he was Vicar-Apostolic, he could not be deposed either by Metropolitan or Synod. Then, too, as the formula *tametsi de Vicario Generali seu Capitulari fuerit prorsus* was inserted in the Brief, he argued that it was surreptitious, on account of the additional words *seu de Apostolico* not having been added. And in fine, he contended that the Brief was given under a false impression, and as I was not a native of that diocese, but a stranger, I could not govern it : for they thus style me a stranger, though I am an Irishman and a native of the adjoining diocese. But the most Illustrious Primate replied that every Vicar was movable at the will of him who appointed him : and as Terence Kelly was Vicar-Apostolic according to the pleasure of the Holy See, so did his authority cease by the very fact of a new appointment being made. He then also clearly established that a Vicar-General should rather be a stranger than a native ; and all peaceably agreed to his decision. Before the promulgation of this Brief in Synod, the Primate performed a work most useful to this Diocese, suspending Patrick Colgan, who was guilty of much wickedness and crimes. He nowhere spares any Priest who is habitually bad, and thus he has acquired great fame amongst both Catholics and heretics : nor can it be said or imagined how great a benefit this Province has received from his continual labours,—the erection of schools,—the correction of the Clergy, whom he instructs both by word and example,—his many journeys,—and the decision of so many controversies, so that all this province enjoys peace and tranquillity. May I also mention that he is so esteemed by the Protestants, that even the Protestant nobility vie with each other in receiving him as their guest, and enjoying his society : whence it happens that for his sake they do not molest our Clergy. The Lord Primate had also a conference with the Protestant Bishop of Derry,\* who is eminent amongst his own for learning : they discussed several points of controversy, and the Primate so solved his doubts, that this Protestant Bishop afterwards declared that he had never received such satisfaction from any one ; nor did he afterwards cease to extol him, and in my own hearing he declared that as *he was first in dignity, so too was he first in learning amongst the Papists*. I, as far as is in my power, will work and labour to walk in his footsteps, and I will strive to fulfil the duty imposed upon me. In the mean time I return to your Eminence all the thanks in my power, for whose safety and welfare all Ireland is bound to pray to the Most High, and above all others,

“ Your most humble and devoted servant,

“ EUGENE CONWELL,

“ Vic. Ap. of Derry.†

“ Derry, 1st November, 1671.”

\* Dr. Roger Boyle, who in the following year (1672) was transferred to Clogher.

† See App. No. 27.

The letter of the Vicar-General of Raphoe, bearing the same date, is not less important, and details many interesting particulars regarding that ancient diocese:—

“For nineteen years I cultivated in France and Italy the studies of speculative and moral divinity, as also those appertaining to ecclesiastical Jurisprudence; and whilst I was in Rome, Alexander VII., of happy memory, granted to me, *in commendam*, the Abbey of St. Thomas, in Dublin. Six years since, I received the care of souls in the diocese of Meath, but I was not long allowed to remain in that diocese. For our most Illustrious Primate called me to his diocese, and conferred on me the Priory of Rath, which had annexed to it the care of souls. How I would have wished that the Primate had allowed me thus to live in private, and attached to the service of one particular church, and not place me on the eminence of an entire diocese. I was obliged to obey his Grace and accept the Vicar-Generalship of the diocese of Raphoe; and in order that I might obtain peaceable possession, the Primate himself accompanied me through these rugged paths, truly like to those of the Alps or Apennines. Here the harvest is great, and these districts, though otherwise abounding in sterile mountains, yet are ripe for the sickle, but the labourers are few, and even these are but little acquainted with the art of arts—that is, the guidance of souls. There are about fourteen priests, of whom one alone passed the boundaries of this country, and he, though he completed his studies at Louvain, is not altogether of a sound mind. His name is Lewis Gallagher. The others learned superficially grammar and poetry—and, after the manner of the country, some cases of conscience. The diocese itself is, for the most part, sterile, and produces only barley and oats, and its riches consist in oxen, horses, and swine. The whole diocese does not annually yield more than £15 of English money—that is, sixty scudi Italian. But the Primate promises me a better support, and has already given me in advance 20 scudi. Impelled solely by spiritual motives have I embraced this province in a region so sterile, rough, and rugged. I confess, too, that the exhortations of the most illustrious Primate, confirmed by his own example, moved me very much: for often has he confirmed the children in these mountains and woods, and often, too, has he had no other food than oaten bread, salt butter, and stir-about, and no drink but milk. We are all amazed how a man of such a delicate constitution, and so delicately (as I myself have known) reared in Rome, should be able to undergo so many labours, so many journeys, so many rugged and difficult things. Assuredly, unless he adopt another manner of living and acting, he will lose his health, and will become useless to himself and to others. Stimulated, therefore, by his example I will reside on these mountains, that thus I may merit our Redeemer’s grace, and the esteem of the Holy See and the Sacred Congregation. I will make your Excellency acquainted with whatever occurs. One thing I forgot to mention, that the Presbyterians possess the better and more fertile part of this diocese, whilst the poor Catho-

lies hold the mountains and woods, and have no other possessions than their flocks. According to the civil nomenclature, this district is called Tyreonnell, and its chieftain was a famous prince, the Earl of Tyreonnell, of the illustrious family of O'Donnell, who, about the beginning of James the First's reign, after a long war, fled to Rome, where, if I mistake not, he died a youth of seventeen years of age. A scion of that so illustrious family yet remains, and is supported by his kinsmen and friends, all his possessions having been confiscated to the crown, and thus he is reduced to great straits. This being my first letter, I shall not any longer detain your Excellency, and I ask your blessing, (\*) &c."

On the 28th of September, 1671, Dr. Plunket despatched a long letter to the Internunzio acknowledging the receipt of the nominations for Derry, Dromore, and Ardagh, and also of the order from the Holy See prohibiting to the Irish Bishops the exercise of the Pontifical functions in foreign kingdoms, even though they had the consent of the respective ordinaries. The most important portion of the letter is, however, that which regards the diocese of Meath and its venerable Bishop, Dr. Patrick Plunket. A complaint had been lodged against this Prelate that he resided at a distance from his diocese, and neglected the spiritual wants of his children; and as his actual residence in Dublin gave some coloring to this accusation, the Sacred Congregation had sent an order, to be communicated by the Primate, that he should choose some place of residence within the limits of his own diocese. The reply, however, of the Primate seems to have fully satisfied the authorities in Rome, and we find no further mention made of this matter in the subsequent letters. We give this important letter at full length:—

"The despatches consigned to Father Howard all reached me, and though they have been somewhat tossed and tattered, yet they can be read. I received the despatches for Eugene Conwell and Ronan Magin, (†) and their execution, I am morally certain, will have no difficulty. I have also received that for Gerald Ferrall, (‡) but its execution will be difficult, for he is opposed by a man well versed in canon law, especially *quoad partem practitiā*, who, though an old man, is lively and energetic, and having served his flock for thirty years, without ever abandoning it, even during the persecution of Cromwell, has with him the hearts of the clergy and laity, and, to say the truth, without some risk it is difficult to compel him by censures to yield through dread of the statute *præmunire*, made in the 2nd year of Queen Elizabeth, about which statute I shall send you a sepa-

\* See Appendix, No. 28.

(†) Appointed Vicar-Apostolic of Dromore.

(‡) Vicar-Apostolic of Ardagh.

rate paper, for it is a curious thing to see how it began, and what has been its progress. The penalty of him who transgresses this statute, or recognizes, *facto, verbo, vel opere*, a foreign jurisdiction, is imprisonment for one year, and the confiscation of all his moveable property. Father Nugent, as I already wrote, is in prison in Wexford for violating this law, and as your Excellency well said, this fact will be of great prejudice to the Catholics: as by this example and precedent other evil designing persons will take courage to accuse other ecclesiastics of *præmunire*: this word means *præmunire auctoritatem Regis adversus extrinsecam jurisdictionem quamcumque spirituales aut temporales*, and this law was in force in *beneficialibus* even in the time of Edward the III., King of England, although he was a Catholic; and in this they imitated the French, and in part, too, our Flemish friends. For the present I deem it better to abstain from the execution of the brief of Ferrall, 'till I receive the decree of the Sacred Congregation, which, as your Excellency informed me in yours of the 11th of this month, will come in due time, and when it arrives, I shall carry it out, though this should cost me my life *doce quod jubes, et jube quod vis, faciam quod jubes*. I received also the printed order that the bishops of this kingdom should not exercise the *pontificalia* in foreign kingdoms, *etiam cum consensu ordinariorum*, and I intimated it to the Bishop of Meath: I also sent a parcel of them to the Archbishop of Dublin, and another parcel to the Archbishop of Cashel, and another to the Archbishop of Tuam, who, through the mercy of God, is now out of his trials, as Friar Martin (French) did not appear at the last sessions against him or the others, so that all are now liberated. There was a beautiful document prepared by Sir Nicholas Plunket, in favour of the Archbishop, expressing the illegality and errors of the process; and it was presented to the judges before their departure from Dublin to proceed to the sessions of Galway. I sent another parcel of them to Dr. Dowley of Limerick; this poor man is yet in troubles, the Earl of Orrery having, a few days since, published an edict commanding all Catholics, both ecclesiastics and laity to depart from, and not live in, the said city. Some were desirous that this man, in preference to Berkeley, should be our Viceroy: a nice bargain we should have made. I do not know how our Viceroy Berkeley will take these proceedings of Orrery. Orrery is no friend of the Viceroy, and some suppose that he published these edicts on purpose; he is an astute politician; should the Viceroy recall these edicts, then he will proclaim him a favourer of the Catholics, and, indeed, he already, more than once, announced at different meetings that Berkeley was a Catholic, that thus he might excite against him the hatred of the heretics, who are all filled with hatred against the Catholics.

"I do not deem it prudent to show the order that I received, or the letter of Cardinal Anthony to the Bishop of Meath; he is in a tertian fever for the last twenty days, which is dangerous in an old man of seventy years of age, the more so as the information given to the Sacred Congregation is so false that nothing can be more so. There is no year that he does not consecrate the holy oils in his diocese and ordain eccle-

siastics and priests, and visit his entire diocese; and there is no corner of his diocese in which he has not administered the sacrament of confirmation, so that I do not know what countenance those can have who write lies so manifest and so palpable; and he being within a distance of five, or at most six, miles from his diocese, is in a convenient spot for hearing his subjects; and it is certain that were there a bishopric at the 'Torre de Mezzavia,' near Frascati, or at the 'Torre Nuova,' of Princess Aldobrandini, no one would find fault with the bishop for residing, for the most part, in Rome. I saw many who wrote lies under some semblance of truth, but those that wrote such barefaced ones to so venerable a Congregation must assuredly be *perfrictæ frontis*. How many things, too, have they not written about me, which, nevertheless, were found to be false. There is one consolation, that lies are short-lived, and that their authors so lose esteem and confidence, that afterwards their testimony is not received even when they state the truth, as Aristotle well said:—*hoc unum mendacii acquirit mendax ut veritatem dicenti fides non adhibeatur*; and, as St. Gregory writes, some deem it a great policy—*cor machinationibus tegere, mentem verbis velare, et quæ vera falsa ostendere et quæ falsa sunt vera demonstrare*; and he adds: *hæc mentis duplicitas prudentia ac urbanitas appellatur*, but, nevertheless, they are deceived, and instead of being esteemed prudent, they lose the confidence of all, and are considered unworthy of enjoying human intercourse, of which lies are most destructive. I assure you there is not, in the whole kingdom of Ireland, and scarcely even on the Continent, a diocese better governed or administered than Meath. Nevertheless I shall carry out whatever you write to me, and give to the Bishop of Meath the letter to that effect, should you so command, and should the reasons which I have adduced seem insufficient; but I would not deem it advisable to communicate it to him in the present circumstances of his serious illness. I may also add that he is old, and often subject to attacks of the gout and other infirmities, whilst, in the country parts, there are no physicians or apothecaries; and it is certain that he has lost his health and grown old in the service of the Sacred Congregation during the past twenty-four years, during seven of which he alone exercised the Pontifical functions in the whole kingdom, all the other bishops having fled. Considering these things, I leave it to your Excellency to consider whether he should be obliged to a physical or mathematical residence, whilst he is morally present and resident in the diocese, and omitting no duty of a most vigilant prelate, as I can, in conscience, attest. Would to God, that those who physically reside in their dioceses would discharge their duty as the Bishop of Meath does, and has done for the past. But enough of this.

"I also received the decree against the Jesuit Fathers on the point of matrimonial dispensations, and I shall intimate it to the three Fathers who are in my diocese, but I must say that they behave with great prudence and submission, and never interfere in such matters. I also received a decree of Cardinal Anthony, of happy memory, by which he approves of the deposition of Terence O'Kelly, Vicar-Apostolic of Derry. This miserable and unhappy man died during the summer.

May God preserve us, *sicut vixit ita morixit*, as the people say. I received at the same time two letters from Monsignor Baldeschi, on the 27th of June, in which he replies to the six heads of my letter to his Excellency, of the 24th of April, and in it he speaks of Father Duffy; but this will require a special answer from me: the other also is dated the 27th, and in it he holds out hopes that the three Jesuit Fathers who teach the young priests in my diocese shall receive the provision of missionary priests, which will enrich my province, both as to morality and learning, and God will remunerate his Excellency for this, and so many other benefits which he has conferred on this poor kingdom.

"In fine, I received a letter of his Eminence Cardinal Anthony, and I do not understand it. The Archbishop of Dublin and I read it over together; it is said in it, that the Bishops who assembled in Dublin in June last year, made a decree good, indeed, and just, but as they had no jurisdiction over the exempt regulars, such a decree was informal and null. The decree, however, is not specified in the letter of his Eminence, and hence, we do not know what answer to make. I hope that in your next letter you will give us some information about that decree or statute, that we may be able to carry out the intentions of their Eminences.

"All these despatches and letters were accompanied by a most courteous one of your Excellency, of the 12th of August, so full of kindness, and of such affectionate expressions, that they should excite the very travertine to activity and motion. I will not cease to work with the pen, with the tongue, and with all my slender faculties, and this for three motives:—1st. To serve the Divine Majesty. 2nd. Through gratitude, and the duty which I owe to the Apostolic See for the education and honours which I received from it. 3rd. Because God commands that I should obey and serve the Holy See, its service being inseparable from that of Christ. I cannot complain of slowness in the despatch of business in Rome, knowing, as I do, by certain and attested experience, that more resolutions have been made since Monsignor Baldeschi was appointed Secretary and your Excellency Intermuncio, than during the twelve preceding years, *quod scimus loquimur, et quod vidimus testamur*.

"If Father Duffy and Mr. Daniel Mackey have been made bishops I will do all in my power to defend them against the attacks of their enemies, and to assist them when they come into the kingdom. Now that they are made, there is no remedy.\* Aristotle expressed it well when praising the poet Agatho—*hoc unum diis negamus ut quod factum est infectum fieri nequeat*. With great labour I examined in three dioceses, in the month of July last, the dispute between the Dominicans and Franciscans, and I ran great risk even of losing my health. Before delivering judgment I took three consultors with me, *ut non inniterer prudentiæ meæ*, that is the Bishop of Meath, Oliver Dease, a man of sound judgment and prudence, and Thomas Fitzsymons, and I gave judgment in favour of the Dominicans, and I will send a

\* We shall see just now that Dr. Plunket wrote repeatedly against the appointment of any more bishops, for some time, in Ireland.

copy it to your Excellency. I left in my house near Dundalk the list or catalogue of the colleges, and of those who have charge of them, and in a few days I will send it to your Excellency, and I make you a profound reverence.

“Dublin, 28th September, 1671.”

During the months of February and March of 1674, Dr. Plunket left his place of concealment in the remote parts of his diocese, and, despite the storm of persecution which then raged through the country, wished to be himself the bearer of the *Pallium* to the illustrious Archbishop of Tuam. During this visit the most distinguished ecclesiastics of that province hastened to welcome him amongst them, but he does not seem to have penetrated far into the country, probably not beyond the city of Galway, the religion and hospitality of whose inhabitants he especially extols. On the 10th of March, 1674, he sent the following narrative of this visitation to Monsignor Baldeschi, Secretary of Propaganda:—

“From the beginning of February to the 10th of March, I have been travelling in the Province of Tuam, to which I went in order to give the *Pallium* to the Archbishop of Tuam, who is a prelate most prudent and ecclesiastical. I spoke also with the Bishop of Clonfert, who is a grave and prudent man, and beloved by all. I saw Dr. Michael Lynch, Vicar-Apostolic of Kilmacduagh, a learned and grave man, and a famous preacher. I had also in my company for ten days, Maurice Durcan, the Vicar-General of Achonry, who is doctor in theology, and a grave man. I enjoyed the society, too, for fifteen days, of Dr. J. Dowley, who was Vicar-General of Tuam for thirty-five years, during the whole time of the persecution, and suffered very much, and as the Catholics of the diocese inform me, *saviente persecuzione* he kept alive the spark of religion which remained in the diocese, and in the whole province, and he is the best casuist of the entire province, as I learned from the Archbishop. The city of Galway, although small, is very beautiful, and two-thirds of the inhabitants are Catholic, but they are poor, having lost all their properties. Oh! what a devout and hospitable people. They support no less than three convents, one of the Dominicans, another of the Augustinians, and a third of the Franciscans. The Dominicans have the best and most ornamented church that is in the entire kingdom. All three convents live with the greatest regularity and decorum. The city is exceedingly strong, and is a maritime port. It was the last place in the kingdom attacked by Cromwell, and it resisted a long time. The Superior, or, as they call him, the Warden of the Secular Clergy in the city of Galway, and in nine or ten adjoining parishes, pretends to exemption from the jurisdiction of the Archbishop, and on this head disorders frequently arise; but as far as I could see, the Warden is in the wrong, and is not exempt from the jurisdiction of the



ordinary, but regarding this matter I leave all to the Archbishop, as it is his business.

"The parliament gave liberty of conscience to the Presbyterians, Protestants, Anabaptists, &c., but would not grant it to the Catholics; nay more, it seeks to induce the king to retract the declaration made in favour of the Catholics. The king, however, is firm, and does not wish to consent to the desires of parliament on that head, and we hope that he may continue in this good resolution, although some are of opinion, that the want of money will oblige him to do what he would not otherwise consent to. I pray you to excuse this besmeared letter,\* as the servant, when making my bed, upset the ink-bottle, and as the post leaves in two hours, and is at a distance from me, I have no time to re-write the letter. In the meantime I pray you, &c.

"10th Marob, 1673, (styl. vet.)

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH."

The only other '*Relatio*' of the visitations performed by the Primate is dated 6th March, 1675. It regards the entire province of Armagh, and is as follows:—

"The first diocese is Armagh, seventy miles in length, and about twenty in width. In it there are about forty parish priests, three convents of Franciscans, and two of Dominicans, one Augustinian, and one Carmelite convent, and a residence of the Capuchins. It is divided into three counties, Louth, Tyrone, and Armagh, and there are two Vicars-General, Patrick Plunket, and Pbelim O'Connogan.

"2. The diocese of Meath, which is the first suffragan diocese, is sixty miles in length and thirty in width; it has seventy parish priests; two convents of Dominicans; two of Franciscans; one of Augustians; one of the discalced Carmelites, and two residences of the Capuchins. The Bishop is Dr. Patrick Plunket—an excellent and ecclesiastical prelate. The Catholics possess more property in this diocese than in all the other dioceses of the province.

"3. The diocese of Clogher is about fifty miles long, and sixteen wide; it has thirty-five parish priests; two convents of Franciscans, and one of the Dominicans. The Bishop is Dr. Patrick Duffy, formerly a Franciscan friar. No Catholic has any property in the diocese, and all are tenants under Protestant or Presbyterian landlords.

4. The diocese of Derry is about fifty-five miles in length, and twenty in breadth; it has thirty parish priests; it has for Vicar-General, Luke Plunket, a man of learning, and who governs it admirably. There are two convents of Dominicans. All the Catholics in it are tenants.

"5. The diocese of Kilmore is fifty miles in length, and twenty in breadth; there are in it about twenty-six parish priests, and two convents of Franciscans. All the Catholics, with the exception of two,

\* The latter sheet of the original letter is all besmeared with ink, hence the remark in the text. The ink seems to have been wiped off whilst it was yet fresh, and thus the text is perfectly legible.

are only tenants. The Vicar-General is Thomas Symons, a very learned and eloquent man. He was professor of theology in Belgium.

" 6. The diocese of Raphoe is about forty miles long, and sixteen wide; it has eighteen parish priests, and there is in it one convent of Franciscans. The Vicar-General is Bernard Magorek, a learned and exemplary man.

" 7. The diocese of Connor is about thirty miles long, and fifteen wide; it has about twelve parish priests, and a convent of Franciscans; all its Catholics, with the exception of three, are tenants. The Vicar-General is Terence O'Mulderig, of fair learning, and of exemplary life.

" 8. The diocese of Dromore is twenty miles long, and twelve in breadth; there are sixteen parish priests, but no regulars. All the Catholics, with the exception of one, are tenants. The Vicar-General was appointed by Apostolic Brief, and is sufficiently learned; he studied theology and received the doctorate in Rome. His name is Ronan Magin.

" 9. The diocese of Down is about thirty miles long, and fourteen wide; it has a convent of Franciscans, and one of Dominicans. All the Catholics, excepting one, are tenants; there are fourteen parish priests, and on account of its great vicinity, and of its having no one sufficiently qualified, it is administered by the aforesaid Ronan Magin.

" 10. The diocese of Ardagh is about forty miles long, and sixteen wide; there are twenty-four parish priests; two convents of Franciscans, and one of the Dominicans. There are only four Catholic gentlemen of property; all the others are tenants. The Vicar is Gerard Ferrall, who was appointed by Apostolic Brief.

" 11. The diocese of Clonmacnoise is about twenty miles in length, and sixteen in width; it has seven parish priests, and one convent of Franciscans; all the Catholics there are tenants, with the exception of four. The Vicar-General is Dionysius Coffey, and although he does not possess great learning, he is, nevertheless, a man of saintly life.

" The Protestant Bishops and Ministers possess all the churches and ecclesiastical revenues: the Catholic Priests and Bishops have only the alms and the offerings which are made by the poor Catholics; they are, indeed, like those of the early Church. All the above-mentioned dioceses, with the exception of Meath and Clonmacnoise, are in the Northern division of Ireland, called Ulster. There are various sects in it, Protestants, Presbyterians (who reject episcopal government), Anabaptists and Quakers. The Presbyterians prevail both in numbers and influence over the other three; they do not frequent the Protestant churches; they have their ministers chosen by the elders, or senior laymen of their sect, and they do not admit ordination from bishops; they hate the sign of the cross—they do not allow any fixed prayer, but only that which is dictated at the moment by the Holy Ghost—they do not even allow the 'Our Father'—they have churches of their own, but use no bells."

Before the close of 1678 Dr. Plunket seems to have again performed a visitation of his suffragan dioceses, and on this occasion

the establishment of peace in the dioceses of Clogher and Kilmore principally engaged his attention.

On more than one occasion we have referred to the eulogies passed by Dr. Plunket on the zeal and merits of Dr. Patrick Tyrrell, the learned Bishop of Clogher. He was a member of the order of St. Francis, and had held some of the highest offices in various houses of that order on the continent. On being destined by the Holy See to the government of the ancient diocese of Clogher, he zealously co-operated with the Primate in reforming abuses and building up the spiritual sanctuary laid waste for so many years. Such, however, was the extreme poverty of that see that in 1677 he petitioned the Sacred Congregation to have annexed to it the administration of the neighbouring diocese of Kilmore. The Primate supported his petition, stating that only by adding such administrations could the poverty of the Irish dioceses be provided for. On this petition being granted by the Holy See, the existing Vicar of Kilmore, whose learning had often been commended by the Primate, but whose pride seems to have been commensurate with his learning, refused to obey the authority of Dr. Tyrrell, and endeavoured to support this insubordination, not only by pretending some informalities in the Brief of the Holy See, but also by broaching principles which, at this period, had become fashionable in the French schools. Dr. Plunket in consequence set out in person in company with Dr. Tyrrell, and, visiting the disturbed parishes of this diocese, refuted the erroneous principles of Fitzsymons, or Symons, and brought its clergy to a sense of due submission to their legitimate pastor.

The happy results of this visitation, especially as to the peace established in the diocese of Clogher, are thus described by Dr. Tyrrell in a letter to the Internunzio, dated the 19th of March, 1678:—

“The Archbishop of Armagh communicated to me that portion of the most kind letter of your Excellency of the 4th inst. (directed to him), which regarded me, and from it I perceive how sincere, cordial, and efficacious, were the most benign representations of your Excellency to their Eminences in my favour in regard of the administration of Kilmore, and it cuts off all controversy about the deposition of Symons, at the same time that it succours my necessities; and hence I thank your Excellency with the most humble and the warmest thanks which a truly grateful heart can express, and I shall ever remain most obliged to your incomparable piety and zeal, and excessive kindness.

“The letters which Father Felix O’Neil, at the instance of your Excellency, wrote to the clergy of Clogher, exhorting them to due obedience to me, their Bishop, arrived two months after the said clergy

had asked pardon for their fault, ably and learnedly convinced by the Archbishop of Armagh, and by myself, as far as my weak ability could contribute to it; and hence, these letters not being necessary for the desired effect, were not published by me, though they were most gratifying to me, and most esteemed as a proof of the great zeal of your Excellency, which caused them to be written."

He adds, however, a complaint, that, despite this apparent submission of Father Felix, he had been secretly instigating the clergy to persist in their disobedience, and in disturbing "that peace which was so fully established by the writings of the Primate and myself, which so clearly refuted the erroneous principle which had led them astray, as to the *jus postulandi*, that they had nothing to reply, except *peccavimus, injuste egimus, iniquitatem fecimus*."\*

In his letter of 27th October, 1678, Dr. Plunket informs us that, at the request of the assembled prelates of Ardpatrick, he had undertaken a visitation of his province; that he commenced with the Diocese of Meath; that thence he proceeded to Clonmacnoise, and, whilst engaged in the visitation of this diocese, the news first reached him of the persecution having burst forth anew, and of the arrest of the Archbishop of Dublin. The months of June and July of the same year were spent in the visitation of his own immediate diocese, though at this time the Archbishop was suffering from a painful malady in the eyes. In his letter to the Internunzio, announcing this visitation, he details many further particulars regarding the dioceses of Ardagh, Meath, Derry, Clogher, and Kilmore. It is dated the 2nd of August, 1678, and is especially interesting, as disclosing to us how little Dr. Plunket allowed himself to be biassed by family interests, when the cause of our holy religion was at stake.

"During the past two months I was engaged in a fatiguing and most laborious visitation of my diocese, of which I shall soon send a further narrative to your Excellency. After my return I received two letters of your Excellency of the 11th of June, and of the 16th of July, from which I learn that the Bishops of Meath and Kildare, and Dr. Cusack, have received your favours, and these favours have been conferred on truly worthy persons, and hence our whole nation is obliged for them to their Eminences in Rome and to your Excellency. To his Eminence Cardinal Altieri and to Monsignor Cerri I shall reply in a few days; but the inflammation of my eyes, which was much increased by the laborious visitation of the northern mountains, scarcely allows me to write, or to read even letters as large as a snuff-box. It did not, however, prevent my tongue from preaching, in both the English and Irish languages.

\* See Appendix No. 29.

" Christopher Ferrall, a Dominican,\* is a relative of mine; but, nevertheless, *caro et sanguis nihil mihi revelabit* contrary to the good of religion, and of the mission. He is not distinguished either by his learning or personal qualities. Moreover, the diocese of Ardagh has not a revenue of eighty scudi a year, and I think the resolution of their Eminences is wise, not to multiply our bishops without necessity. With every submission I state my humble opinion on this subject. The diocese of Ardagh is contiguous, and as if intermixed with the diocese of Meath, and has been for many years without a bishop, without confirmation, &c. Their Eminences might grant the administration of that diocese to Dr. Cusack, the coadjutor of the Bishop of Meath; thus the two difficulties prudently referred to by your Excellency would be removed, and that diocese would be provided with an active and learned superior. I do not think that any further bishops are required for the province of Armagh, excepting in the diocese of Derry, which is very far to the North, and has been without a bishop for eighty years. Luke Plunket, the Vicar of Derry, during the past spring, when coming to me for the consecration of the chalices and altars, during the difficult journey, fell from his horse and broke his right arm in four places; now, however, thanks be to God, he is better. He is renowned for his labours, and by his good administration in six years he brought that uncouth people to great discipline and order.

" Dr. Tyrrell, by his last visitation restored the diocese of Clogher to such peace, concord, and ecclesiastical discipline, that he is deserving of every praise. He laboured very much, and also took possession of the diocese of Kilmore, and, after performing a visitation of it, he will give a relation to your Excellency. Thomas Fitzsymons fought against him, and said in my presence (Monsignor Tyrrell and Dr. Edward Dromgole, a learned and exemplary man were present), that the Bulls of the Holy Father depend *ab acceptatione cleri et populi*, and he stated in writing that the Bishop of Clogher *non debet de jure admitti* as administrator of the diocese of Kilmore. Dr. Dromgole, however, refuted him, and in truth, it is a doctrine well worthy of a blacksmith."

In many of his letters Dr. Plunket refers to the great poverty which prevailed in many of the dioceses of Ireland, and this was the chief motive which impelled him, at different intervals during his episcopate, to urge on the Holy See the necessity of not adding new members to our hierarchy. As early as the 16th of March, 1672, he addressed a letter to the Internunzio most earnestly commending this matter, and suggesting, at the same time, an easy remedy for the administration of the vacant churches:—

" I have heard from different quarters (he says) that Bishops are to be appointed for this province. I deem myself obliged in conscience

\* He had lately been petitioned for as Bishop by the Vicar-Apostolic and the clergy of Ardagh.

to express my sentiment on this matter. There are in this province about ten dioceses, and it is as large as the provinces of Tuam and Dublin together. This province can afford competent support to five Bishops if they be distributed in a proper manner, and agreeably to the wants of the province. The Bishop of Meath can easily govern his diocese, and hold, at the same time, the administration of Clonmacnoise: the Bishop of Kilmore can, in the same way, administer the diocese of Ardagh: the Archbishop of Armagh that of Clogher; the Bishop of Derry that of Raphoe; and the Bishop of Down and Connor can administer Dromore; and thus five Bishops will suffice. The five bishoprics which are given in administration are small and poor, and have but few priests. Now, as to the subject, I think the most eligible are the following three:—Thomas Fitzsymons, a learned and exemplary man, a good theologian and canonist: Oliver Dease is also a learned man, and of great experience, having been for thirty years Vicar-General of Meath: Dr. James Cusack, who was educated in Rome, is also a learned and exemplary man. These three are the most distinguished subjects of the province, and are secular priests.

“OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

“Armagh, 16th March, 1672.”

Succeeding years did not produce any change in this sentiment of Dr. Plunket. In his letter of the 15th of September, 1677, he not only insists with greater earnestness on this measure, but more fully also declares to us the poverty to which the Bishops of Ireland were subject:—

“Neither in Munster nor in any other province is there a Bishopric now vacant that has an annual revenue of 100 scudi, with the exception of Derry. In Munster, that is in the province of Cashel, two Bishoprics are vacant, namely, Ardferd and Emly. The richer of these does not annually yield 80 scudi; now you yourself will judge, how could a Bishop (why do I say a Bishop, how could his servant) support and clothe himself with 80 scudi a year? They are obliged to support themselves here with shame and ignominy to the mitre and pastoral. You will be good enough to pay particular attention to what I am now going to say. No Bishop in Ireland has two servants, and it is one and the same that acts as his servant and stable-boy, and it is the stable-boy that serves the Bishop's mass. Moreover, none of them have their own house: to procure food they go to-day to the house of one gentleman, and to-morrow to the house of another, not without their shame; and indeed the gentry are now tired of these visits. Whether or not this be a humiliation of the pastoral, I will leave it to be decided by the prudence of your Excellency. From this it also arises that the poor Prelates are the servants of the gentry, and if they do not give the parishes according to the wishes of the gentry to persons often undeserving, they will incur their displeasure: *tandem est turpis egestas, ac homines ridiculos facit*, poverty compels the Bishops to perform things unbecoming their dignity.

"These things being so, I beseech you, through the love of Christ, to represent to their Eminences what I write, with all reverence, as being well acquainted with all the different parts of this kingdom. I have three servants, but my friends support them and give hay and oats to my horses: however, were it not for their charity, the stable-boy would also be the server of my mass. I have never had 200 scudi of revenue, and the third part of that revenue (and even more) goes in the expense of correspondence within the kingdom and with foreign parts. Letters are sent to me from all parts, because they know that I alone keep a fixed and regular correspondence in Dublin, &c., and that my diocese is only half a day's journey from Dublin. I charge the Canon Jones with many letters to be sent to Rome, and I do not pay him anything in return; I only apply all my masses during the year for his intention. Were their Eminences thoroughly acquainted with the state of the kingdom and the poverty of the Catholics, they would make no Bishops here excepting such as are absolutely necessary. In the province of Cashel there are at present living, besides the Archbishop, four suffragan Bishops, that is, in the sees of Killaloe, Kilfenora, Cork, and Limerick: they are sufficient, and more than sufficient.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"15th September, 1677."

Even when detained in prison, and awaiting his trial on a charge of high treason, Dr. Plunket had his thoughts fixed on the churches entrusted to him; and fearful lest new dangers might beset his spiritual charge, more than once addressed to the Sacred Congregation his ardent request that no new Bishops should be created for some time. The following extracts from his letters of the 19th and 24th of June, 1680, not only express this sentiment of the Primate, but, moreover, present some particulars connected with other Prelates of our Irish Church, and the most distinguished of the clergy of Ulster at this period:—

"I declare and solemnly consider that nothing more injurious to the spiritual interest of the kingdom could be done, than to appoint new Bishops in these disastrous times; for this would provoke the government to enact more rigorous decrees, and would give further pretext to a renewal of the persecution, and all, as well the laity as the clergy, would exclaim that their Eminences were the cause of their tribulations; and it would seem that it was done on purpose to defy and goad on the Protestants . . . . Seek for further information on this subject from the Archbishop of Cashel and Dr. Forstall of Kildare, who are prelates remarkable for their learning, prudence, gravity, and sanctity of life, and who would be not only fit, but would even deserve to be appointed to the sees of Toledo or Paris, and you will surely find that they share in my sentiments."

He next assigns the subjects whom he deems most worthy to be chosen, should the Sacred Congregation deem it expedient to make any appointment to the vacant sees:—

"We have here Dr. Edward Dromgole, a preacher and famous theologian and canonist; Bernard Magorke, Vicar of Armagh, a grave, learned, and exemplary man; Dr. Henry Hugo, my Vicar-General, who taught philosophy here, and also was educated at Rome; Luke Plunket, a renowned canonist and moral theologian, who suffered imprisonment and exile, and being full of zeal returned to this country about six months since. All these *ferunt pondus diei et æstus*; they defend the flock and feed it, *patiuntur in executione*; *laborant ac sudant in vinea Domini*."

---

## CHAPTER XIV.

### VISITATION OF VARIOUS DIOCESES, CONTINUED.

WE have reserved for this chapter some particular information connected with a few of the suffragan and other sees, which we shall distribute under their respective heads.

#### § 1.—DIOCESE OF CLOGHER.

On the 12th of May, 1671, Dr. Patrick Duffy was appointed by the Holy See Bishop of Clogher. Few of the newly nominated Prelates seem to have so incurred the hostility of the Government as this learned Bishop.

"I sent to you (thus writes Dr. Plunket to the Internunzio on 29th September, 1671), through Mgr. Howard, a cipher, that thus I may be able to communicate more freely with their Eminences concerning the spiritual affairs of this nation. I sent also, through the same channel, the decision come to, after great fatigue and labour, in the matter of the Dominicans and Franciscans: my decree is based on justice and *si fractus illabatur orbis, impavidum ferient ruinae*."

"Some time since the Viceroy sent for me, and, after many compliments and affectionate expressions, began to speak of matters of which, he said, he had been informed in London. He said that about ten new Bishops had been made; but I replied that he must be misinformed, as there were not so many: no matter, he added, I will not permit Father Duffy to stop in this kingdom. In reply, I besought him not to give credence to false reports; that Father Duffy was in high repute upon the Continent, and that these reports proceeded from enmity, or from envy of the abilities of Dr. Duffy. He replied, he must employ his talents in other parts, and he commanded me to write this to the Internunzio, to whom he gave great praise. The Viceroy added, that as regarded the other Bishops, he would close his eyes; and he also said



that he was nowise hostile to the Holy See or to its defenders, and that his consort shared his sentiments, so that he even sent his sons to school to the Jesuits in France."

A few days later Dr. Plunket was summoned to another audience with the Viceroy. Well might the Archbishop be surprised at the unusual honours thus lavished on him: "*Seu fortuna mea est*," he writes, adopting the words of the Roman orator, "*seu sors, seu principis error, maximus exiguo tempore crevit honor*." The subject of conversation in this interview was the late appointment to the See of Clogher:—

"He spoke to me at great length (writes Dr. Plunket, on 2nd Oct., 1671), about the new Bishops and against Dr. Duffy, saying that he had heard strange things, and received the worst accounts about him from one of the ministers of the English Government, who knew him well. I said that Dr. Duffy was calumniated by evil designing persons: oh no, he replied, that minister was acquainted with him in Spain ten years ago. I added that I never heard it even whispered that Dr. Duffy had done anything prejudicial to the King or to the interests of the country. The Viceroy then entered into a long discourse, to the effect that Duffy and my predecessor sought to make the people of Ulster submit to Cromwell, and that he himself had heard many things about this matter in Flanders; that Colonel Philip O'Reilly had taken part in this matter, and suffered the due penalty in Flanders: at length he concluded by saying, write to Monsignor Airoidi and Baldeschi not to allow Duffy to come to this kingdom; but as to the other Bishops, he would close his eyes, as it was not the intention of the King that any should be molested who had not sided against him."

How it must have pained the noble-hearted Primate to hear from the lips of the minister these calumnies against his venerated predecessor, and against his friend of Clogher. The English spies on the Continent were ever busy inventing tales to gratify the anxious bigots at home, and these were treasured up till the occasion might present itself of making the pastors of the Church their victims, or at least of debarring them from the exercise of their sacred ministry. Despite these threats of the Viceroy, Dr. Duffy fearlessly hastened to his spiritual flock, and for four years, till his death, in season and out of season, continued to break to them the bread of life.

His successor, Dr. Patrick Tyrrell, had no sooner arrived in his diocese than he found a still worse storm excited against him. A few unworthy members of the Clergy broached principles which were at this time widely circulated in the schools of France, and sought to maintain that the people and clergy enjoyed an inherent right to elect their own pastors. As Dr. Plunket shared with the former Bishop the perils of persecution, so did he share

with Dr. Tyrrell the labour of combating these schismatical principles. We shall, however, allow Dr. Tyrrell to detail the history of this controversy.

Soon after his arrival in Ireland he thus writes, on 17th December, 1676, to the Internunzio in Brussels:—

“Being one of the four Bishops lately consecrated for Ireland, I deem it my duty to acquaint your Excellency with my movements, as I should have done before this, had an occasion presented itself.

“I arrived safely, thanks to God, in Limerick, a maritime city of this kingdom, and although, through the indiscretion of a certain priest, a severe perquisition was made to discover me, nevertheless Providence, through the instrumentality of some good Catholics, freed me from this danger: I was obliged, however, to conceal myself for awhile. This storm being over, I went to visit the Primate, my Metropolitan, and having presented to him my Briefs, he placed me in peaceful possession of my Diocese of Clogher, *uemine prorsus reclamante*.”

He then details the scandalous manner in which two of the priests went around exciting the others against him; to remedy the consequent disorders, Dr. Tyrrell convoked a Synod of the clergy of the diocese:—

“From my discourse,” he thus continues, “a diversity of opinion arose amongst them, so that some returned to their due obedience, whilst others asked for the term of one month to deliberate. Although I replied that I could allow no such terms when treating of the submission due to the Holy Apostolic See and to their legitimate Pastor, nevertheless, as it is dangerous here to unsheathe the sword of ecclesiastical censure, except as a last remedy, I deemed it better to avail myself of some excuse and absent myself for some time, the more so as the Primate wished to employ me in confirming the faithful of some other dioceses (as I had already done in my own); the term which they asked for being expired, I again returned and cited the refractory to appear; the Primate cited them also, yet they refused to obey, and hence we were obliged to proceed against them as contumacious, and to act as best we could in these parts, and as prudence dictated to us in the circumstances. I suspended from their office and from the administration of the sacraments the two ring-leaders, hoping that their chastisement would suffice to strike terror into the rest.

“The others are all submissive, and I hope that in a short time all will return to obedience. The clergy are fifty in number, of whom forty-two have the care of souls. As yet I have not been able to make the visitation of my diocese, partly on account of these disorders, partly on account of the weather being so rigid in these parts; but as soon as possible I shall visit it with God’s aid, and shall then give more minute details.

“Should you wish to honour me with your esteemed commands, be pleased to direct them as follows, for *Mr. John Warin, in Dublin*.

“Louth, 17 Dec., 1676.

“FR. PATRICK OF CLOGHER.”

In another letter of the 31st December, he again writes:

"About thirteen days ago, I sent some account to your Excellency of the disturbances which a few priests of the diocese of Clogher, excited against me, their lawful Pastor . . . I do nothing without consulting the Archbishop of Armagh, who has great experience, courage, and zeal. I have no doubt but that in a little while everything will go right; however, I foresee that some annoyances await me; if our blessed God wish so, His will be done. I do not shun this labour now, since His Divine Majesty has imposed this burden on my shoulders, although I foresaw, indeed, that it was too weighty for me."

On the 14th of the following February, (1677), he details some particulars of the first origin of the opposition thus made to him:—

"The opposition," he says, "is not a personal one, that is, directed personally against me; on the contrary, they had resolved not to admit not only as Bishop, but even as Vicar-General, any one unless he were a native of the diocese of Clogher, even though he should belong to the province of Armagh, as I do, being a native of the first suffragan diocese, that is to say, of Meath.

"Before the arrival of my predecessor all this was planned, and was occasioned by the appointment of a Vicar-General, who, though a native of the province, belonged to another diocese, and who was nominated by our Metropolitan, at the request of the greater part of the clergy, and resided at the distance of only two or three miles from that diocese. This Vicar\* was a man of virtue, and learning, and zeal, and being anxious to reform some abuses among the clergy, provoked the displeasure of a few, who made an agreement amongst themselves not to admit, for the future, any superior for the diocese excepting one from the place itself, *de gremio loci*.

"Nothing was heard of this agreement during the lifetime of my predecessor, Dr. Duffy, for it so happened that he was a native of the diocese. But immediately on his death, the clergy renewed that agreement. . . .

"In a few days, I start on the visitation of my diocese, that thus I may be able to detail more minutely its present state. Up to the present it was impossible to do so, partly on account of the disorders, and partly because the winter was exceedingly severe in these parts. I understand, however, that the diocese is very extensive and very poor. I have not received, as yet, the value of one pin from it; and though I

\* The Vicar-General, thus nominated, was Dr. Edward Dromgole, often extolled in the letters of the Primate, and who, on the martyrdom of Dr. Plunket, governed the diocese of Armagh, as Vicar-Apostolic. As early as 22nd August, 1673, Dr. Plunket thus wrote concerning him:—"Mr. Edward Dromgole is one of the best priests I have; he is well versed in speculative theology and in morality; he has great talent for preaching, and is of an exemplary life; he was for a short time Vicar-General of Clogher, and he governed that diocese well."

should receive all that is usual granted to the bishop, it would not suffice for the maintenance of one priest, and much less for the support of a bishop who is obliged to keep, at least, one servant and a chaplain, no matter how poor he may be. I hear all the prelates lamenting the misery that they endure; may God compensate it by the abundance of His heavenly graces.

"The Parliament of England keeps us in apprehension of new persecutions, but the mercy of God comforts us with the firm resolution to either suffer or die *aut pati aut mori* for our spiritual flocks.

"Clogher, 14 February, 1677.

"FR. PATRICK OF CLOGHER."

Through the exertions of Dr. Tyrrell, seconded by the untiring labours of the Primate, peace was soon restored throughout his diocese, and we find, during the subsequent years, that, in the rebuilding up of the Church of God, the restoring of discipline, the correcting of abuses, the celebration of synods, Dr. Plunket had no more devoted assistant, no more zealous co-operator than the Bishop of Clogher.

See the chapter on 'Jansenism in Ireland,' for some further particulars regarding the exertions of Dr. Plunket in this controversy.

#### § 2.—MEATH.

The name of Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Ardagh, and afterwards of Meath, has more than once been introduced in these pages. He it was that trained the opening intellect of his relative, the subject of these memoirs; for many years he was almost the only Bishop in Ireland exercising episcopal functions, and if, at the time of his consecration, sunshine seemed to smile upon our island, the close of his eventful Episcopate was darkened by a gathering storm which, whilst he hastened to his eternal rest, held men's minds in suspense, and burst forth in all its fury. The Primate thus communicates to the Holy See the intelligence of the demise of this Holy Prelate:—

"To your most kind letter of the 10th of October I did not send an answer, not having any news of importance to communicate. But now I must give you the sad intelligence of the death of Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Meath, a prelate distinguished by his birth, sincerity, integrity of life, his skill and experience in ecclesiastical matters, and his great watchfulness over his pastoral charge during the long space of thirty-three years; and although he was son of one of the first nobility of the kingdom, yet he never pursued any of the vain pleasures of this world. He was at first Abbot of St. Mary's, near Dublin. About thirty-three years ago Innocent the Tenth honoured him with the mitre of

Ardagh, and Clement the Tenth transferred him to the diocese of Meath. For many years there was no other Bishop in Ireland, all having fled in consequence of the fiery persecution of Cromwell. He continually enjoyed the protection, or, at least, the connivance, of the state on account of his birth and moderation; he was an enemy to all temporal and political intrigues, and his nephew being married to the niece of the Duke of Ormond, our Viceroy, and *vice versa*, one of his nieces having for husband the nephew of the Viceroy, he had a written protection during the two late persecutions. He died poor because he lived rich, and devoted to alms-deeds; his right hand knew not what his left hand performed; he never denied an alms to a poor man, and he gave many secret charities to the bashful poor, respectable men and widows, of whom we have now a large number since the massacre of Cromwell. He had no more than 1,000 scudi when dying. All the ornaments of his chapel, and his books and pontificals, he bequeathed to me during my life, and on my death to the diocese of Meath. He died on the 18th of this month, the day dedicated to the consecration of the Basilicas of St. Peter and Paul (for whom he entertained a most ardent devotion), and in the seventy-sixth year of his age; and I recommend the soul of this great prelate to your Excellency when offering the most holy Sacrifice.

"The death of Monsignor Cerri afflicted me very much; he was my fellow student in Rome, and his father, M. Francis Cerri, was my most warm friend; I will get all the clergy of the province of Armagh to offer up the holy Sacrifice and prayers for the repose of his soul, and they have an obligation to do so on account of his labours for them whilst Secretary to the Sacred Congregation.

"We are here like sick persons, who, when they commence to become convalescent, rise prematurely from bed, to fall with greater impetus, *quo lapsu graviore cadant*. As the persecution commences, or appears to commence to decline, the regulars begin to frequent the cities and their convents with too much publicity and imprudence, which makes us fear another proclamation, and although the prudent prelates, and Catholic nobility *arguant, obsecrent, increpent*, they obstinately refuse to listen. Would you believe that the Franciscans of my diocese opened a novitiate during the past summer. The Capuchins educate their novices in Charleville, and do not send them to this country till they have acquired virtue and experience. If the Dominicans and Franciscans would also prepare their novices in some Catholic country where they have convents, we should not have here so many refractory persons and apostates. I, for my part, having so often admonished their Eminences, have done all that I could do.

"Dr. Brennan of Cashel obtained the administration of the diocese of Waterford and Lismore, and every one readily obeyed. To Monsignor Forstall the favour was also granted of the administration of Leighlin; and the clergy did not say one word in opposition, though they only received authentic copies of the Brief precisely such as those of Dr. Tyrrell for Kilmore."

He thence condemns the obstinacy of some of the clergy in the

last-named diocese, who still refused to acknowledge Dr. Tyrrell for their Bishop, and adds:—

“ It is said that the Parliament will be dissolved, and that the King, by his own authority, nominates and constitutes the Sheriffs, that is, his Lieutenants in the Counties or Provinces, which was hitherto the privilege of the Lord High Chancellor and of the Judges. The fanatics and sectarians of those kingdoms are but little pleased with the return of the Duke of York: *ut ut sit, non debemus desperare meliora lapsis* (no matter what he may have been, we can hope better things from him after his conversion). The Government here both was and is very moderate, considering the disastrous circumstances of the times. It is gradually becoming manifest that all this conspiracy, the cause of the shedding of so much Catholic blood, was got up by the Presbyterians, who are hostile both to the monarchy and to the hierarchy. By the next post I shall write to Monsignor Cybo. His uncle acquired great fame for skill and goodness, and integrity, which makes us hope that his nephew, in an office so important for the Propagation of the Faith, shall be imitator of the heroic virtues of his uncle.

“ I heard by a letter from Paris, that a Nuncio had been appointed for that Court; you will be kind enough to honour me with a few lines, mentioning his name, surname, whence he comes, and what offices he has held; in the mean time I deem it more expedient to await the arrival of that Prelate in Paris, to put in order and harmony some matters connected with that city—a subaltern cannot arrange things so well. I will ever pray to God for the repose of Monsignor Dandoni, who was an intimate friend of mine. His brother was my professor of rhetoric.

“ The exile Knight who visited you, was here accused of high treason, *crimen læsæ majestatis*, that is, of procuring by foreign troops to destroy the present government and the Protestant religion. But the villains who accused him gave conflicting evidence at the trial, and so I think the prosecution will cease. I recommend my own pecuniary interests to you, as from the month of May to the present I have not received ten scudi from the diocese. You will be good enough to send this letter to Monsignor Cybo, and keep a minute of anything that you may deem necessary.

“ I remain, &c.

“ 30th Nov. 1679.”

For some years Dr. Patrick Plunket had been subject to grievous infirmities; and hence, on the 9th of March, 1678, being in his 74th year, he petitioned the Holy See to grant him a Coadjutor in the person of Dr. James Cusack, who was at this time Vicar-General of Meath. On the following day the primate wrote to Rome soliciting the same favour, and the appointment of Dr. Cusack on 5th October, 1678, as Bishop of Casensis and Coadjutor of Meath, was the result of these

solicitations. The letter of the primate which details many interesting facts connected with the diocese, and which, as we have said, bears the date 10th of March, 1678, is as follows:—

“ Dr. Patrick Plunket, Bishop of Meath, now in his 74th year, and so reduced by the gout and other infirmities, that he cannot, without imminent danger, attend to his diocese, has petitioned the Sacred Congregation to have a Coadjutor appointed to him in the person of Dr. James Cusack, who studied philosophy and theology in Rome, and, during the past sixteen years, laboured with great fruit on this mission, preaching, teaching, and administering the sacraments. He is also so skilled in canon law, that from all parts of the kingdom they recur to him for the decision of their legal disputes. During the past six years he discharged the office of Vicar-General of Meath to the satisfaction of all; even the Protestant magistrates respect him for his many good qualities. The good old Bishop of Meath, who toiled here for fifty-three years, being part of the time missionary, and part of the time Bishop, and yet never claimed any assistance from the Sacred Congregation, merits this favour and consolation. Dr. Cusack, too, after studying in Rome and completing his course with éclat, and labouring here so faithfully and untiringly for many years, will, I hope be honoured by their Eminences, thus giving a stimulus to others to labour in like manner.”

The merits of Dr. James Cusack had already been more than once appreciated in our Irish Church; and in 1676, the Bishops destined him as their representative to the Holy See. Different occupations, however, delayed his departure from Ireland, and his preparations were not yet completed, when he received from Rome his appointment as Coadjutor Bishop of Meath. In many of his previous letters, Dr. Plunket had repeated the same eulogies which we have just seen in his letter of 10th March, 1678.

Thus, on the 15th August, 1676, he styles him a good canonist and theologian, and a distinguished preacher in both languages, *i.e.*, English and Irish. “ He studied in Rome,” he adds, “ and laboured for thirteen years with great fruit and zeal.” In another letter of 18th August, 1673, he styles him a man renowned for his learning and prudence; and as early as 1671 he earnestly recommended his appointment as Vicar-Apostolic of the then vacant see of Clogher.

Yet this affectionate esteem for Dr. Cusack could produce no bias in the mind of Dr. Plunket, when it was his duty to act as judge of controversies in which this distinguished ecclesiastic was a contending party.

In 1670 and 1671 the parish priests of the diocese of Meath entered into a resolution to tolerate no longer the system then

pursued by the Irish members of the order of St. Francis of soliciting the alms of the faithful at the parochial mass. Indeed, many abuses had been witnessed, and some unworthy children of that holy order had often made the faithful blush at their excesses whilst thus questing at the altar. Immemorial custom, however, had given its sanction to their soliciting alms at the parochial mass, and the parish priests seemed to have overstepped their limits when they assumed to themselves the power of abrogating this usage. Dr. Cusack was chosen agent by the clergy to carry out their resolution, and when the Bishop of Meath decided in favour of the Franciscan order, he appealed first to the Archbishop of Armagh, and then to Rome, that the decree of his ordinary might be reversed,

Dr. Oliver Plunket appointed as his delegates to examine this cause Oliver Dease, Vicar-General of Meath; Philip Draycott, Parish Priest of St. Peter's, Drogheda, and Vicar-General of Armagh; and Patrick Everard, a distinguished theologian. These summoned a meeting of the contending parties, but three days before the appointed time some adherents of Peter Walsh, fearing the result of the conference, informed the government, and, at their solicitation, an order was sent to the primate prohibiting this assembly. Dr. Plunket, in consequence, published a temporary decree, by which he commanded the parish priests to allow *pendente lite* the religious of the order of St. Francis to quest, as heretofore, at the parochial mass. It was in consequence of this decree that Dr. Cusack, in the name of the parish priests, appealed to the judgment of the Holy See; though he soon afterwards withdrew this appeal, and, in a letter to the Sacred Congregation, declared the readiness of the clergy to abide by the decision of the Archbishop of Armagh. No one more than Dr. Plunket deprecated the abuses which gave such scandal to the faithful people of Meath and other dioceses, but he was desirous that these abuses should be checked by the legitimate authority, whilst the just rights of the religious orders should be, at the same time, maintained. Thus, he more than once declared in his letters to the Sacred Congregation that this custom ought to be abrogated, and that, if the Sacred Congregation would not make a special decree on the subject, the bishops of his province at the next provincial council were resolved to submit to their Eminences some remedy for these abuses. Till such time, however, as the proper remedy might be thus applied by the legitimate authority, he decided in the present controversy that the immemorial usage of the Franciscan order should be confirmed, whilst, at the same time, the members of that order were admonished to avoid the abuses which had, heretofore, afforded such just



grounds of complaint. This decision of the primate was confirmed by the Sacred Congregation on 11th of January, 1672.\*

\* We shall add two letters of Dr. Cusack which throw considerable light on the entire controversy, whilst another letter on the same subject, from the pen of Father Netterville, of the Society of Jesus, will be found in the Appendix, No. 47.

“Dusæ Epistolæ Jacobi Cusack ad Illmum. et Rmum. D. Archiepum. Cæsareæ Romanæ.

“ Illme. et Revme. Dne.

“Viget in hoc regno a multis annis consuetudo, seu, ut verius dicam, corruptela admittendi regulares aliquos, Carmelitas scilicet, Augustinianos, Dominicanos, et Franciscanos diebus dñicis, et festis ad mendicandum publice ante sacrum parochiale. Et quia solent quandoq. prædicti regulares ante mendicationem concionari, quo potius scandalizatur, quam edificatur populus, cui finis harum concionum videtur mendicatio, unde emanavit proverbium illud *istas conciones habere caudas*, quia nimirum post conciones aut oves aut agnos aut aliquid emendicant; statuerunt regni Præsules, ne quo die concionaturus accederet regularis, permitteretur mendicare. Hac de causa repulsus quidam Pater Dominicanus a parochia, quia nimirum contra statuta nostræ diœcesis post concionem vellet etiam invito pastore mendicare, adjunctis sibi Franciscanis aliquot, qui cum pastoribus aliquibus antea contendeabant, accedit ad Illm. et R. Patritium Midensem, conqueriturq. se et Franciscanos a tribus parochiis Midensis diœcesis impeditos, quominus elemosynas suas secundum consuetudinem antiquam diœcesis corrogare possent. Fulminat Midensis, inaudita parte, suspensionem latæ sententiæ S. Pontifici reservatam in quemcumq. parochum suum, regulares secundum antiquam diœcesis consuetudinem emendicantes impedire tentantem. Exultant et insultant parochi regulares, jactant nobis invitis consuetudinis suæ beneficio sese fructuros, minas et pericula obstrepentibus intendunt. Graviter commovet res hæc parochos et pungit, lædi hæc subjectione dignitatem suam ægerrime ferunt, præcisamq. censuram illa libertatem, qua regulares aut pro sua benevolentia admittebant, aut pro arbitrio rejiciebant, indignabantur. Quid multis? Primi parochi, quibus ostensa est censura, quosq. frustra terriant regulares, nomine suo et totius cleri Midensis Ardmachanum appellant, apostolos a Midensi obtinent: Ardmachanus autem totam causam delegat admodum Rdis. DD. Oliverio Dease, Vico. Gli. Miden. Philippo Draycott nuper Vico. Gli. Ardmcno. et Pastori St. Petri Pontanæ, et Patritio Everardo Theologo emerito. Hi locum et diem citatis partibus constituunt; sed triduo ante præfixam diem interdicat Ardmachanus, ne conveniamus, significans, hoc pro-regis jussu factum, cui regulares aliqui delegati citationem eo consilio ostenderunt, ut conventio nra. ipsius auctoritate disturbaretur. Verum regulares nunc palam Ardmcnum. incusant, et conventum nrum. ejus opera in gratiam Midensis interdictum ajunt. Quidquid sit, cum pro-rex vir alioquin humanissimus, et cui nos omnes quamplurimum debemus, paulo ante synodum provinciale permisit, et quotidie longe frequentiores conventus etiam in urbibus et oppidis permittat, certum videtur, quod nec nostrum, qui ruri habendus erat, et ad quem soli ordinum superiores quatuor, et ego cleri procurator citatus eram, interpellasset, nisi ut aliquibus nostris gratificaretur.

Jam præcipit Ardmachanus appellantis fratres ut antea ad mendicandum admitti pendente lite, quam data opportunitate decidendam spondet. Sed mihi consultius visum est Ssum. in Christo Patrem, et D. N. Clementem X. P. O. M. appellare; nec cui appellationem meam potius quam Illmæ. et Rmæ. Dni. Vræ. exhibendam transmitterem, attentius considerando inveni: qui oculus es S. Cngnis. de Propda Fide a longe prospiciens quæ in locis omnibus hæresi infectis geruntur. Nec aliud rogo, quam (cum non suppetat, unde personaliter causam prosequar) ut res delegatis ab Ardmacno iterum auctoritate Aplica committatur, aut aliis quibusvis, et *onerentur* eorum conscientie, ut consideratis etiam circum-

## § 3.—THE DIOCESE OF DERRY.

The letters of Dr. Conwell and of the Vicar-General of Raphoe, which were given in the chapter on the zeal and apostolic labours of Dr. Plunket, anticipate much of what should be said in the present article. It was not without great risk and toil that the primate succeeded in deposing Terence Kelly, the Vicar-General

stantiis loci et temporis, quod justum videbitur, exequantur, ut ad omnipotentis Dei honorem et populi ædificationem tam barbarus mendicandi modus ad altaria, cujus fusiorem descriptionem brevi transmittam, e medio tollatur. Quod si forte non convcnit ab Illma. et Rma. Dne. Vra. hanc appellationem exhiberi, dignabitur saltem cleri nostri agentem instruere, quo pacto causam nrām. administrare et curare debeat.

"Interim Dnem. V. I. et R. Omnipotens ad propagatnem suæ fidei diu sospitet, quod ex animo apprecatur,

"Dnis. Vræ, I. et R.

"Dublinii, 26 Februi., 1671.

"Obsqms.

"JACOBUS CUSACUS."

## SECOND LETTER.

"Illme. et Revme. Dne.

"Postquam transmissi appellationem ad Illm. et R. Dnem. Vram. Ssmo. Patrij Nro. exhibendam, rogarunt regulares quidam controversiam iterum committi eisdem delegatis decidendam. Arrisit mihi, quod optarunt, et utramq. manum dedi, ut liti finis hic imponeretur, renuntiavi appellationi factæ, et cum ex adversa valetudine abfuisset delegati duo, acquievimus communi consensu judicio et sententiæ Illmi. et Rmi. Oliveri Ardmacni. et Rdi. admodum D. Dni. Oliveri Dease Vicarii Glis. Miden. eorumq. quos ipsis in consilium adhibere viderentur. Audierunt utriusq. partis rationes, et argumenta, sed cum mihi persuadeam Illmum. et Rmum. Ardmcnum. in causis gravioribus nihil agere inconsulata Illm. et R. Dne. Vra. in rebus gerendis experientissima, visum est meas rationes, et adversorum argumentorum solutionem eo transmittere, ut collatis eisd. cum argumentis regularium, quid in Dno. faciendum sit, ex consilio et sententia sua significet. Addidit mihi ad hoc animum, quod referat Ardmacnus Illm. et Rvm. D. Vram. strenue laborare, ne quid ullibi de hierarchiæ dignitate detrahatur, cujus veritatis evidentissimum habemus in hoc regno testimonium, ubi collapsam pene hierarchiam tot præsulum creatione in pristinum quasi statum vestra præcipue industria restituit, cum Neoterici nostri extremam pastoribus ipsis ruinam palam minabantur, quasi vero ipsi convenientiorem modum fidei propagandæ missionarios suos (qui a pinguioribus divelli sese non facile patiuntur) excogitare possent, quam Christus ipse Dnus omnipotens, omnibonus, omnisapiens per Epos. et parochos. Hi sunt qui per ostium intrarunt in ovile, et non ascenderunt aliunde: Christus ostium est juxta illud; ego sum ostium. His Christus committit ovile. De istis videtur prophetice dictum Hier. 22. Non mittebam prophetas, et ipsi currebant, non loquebar ad eos, et ipsi prophetabant. Et certe ausim dicere, si Ecclesiastica Hierarchia in Anglia et Scotia nondum concidisset, nunquam in eis sic fides defecisset. Sed hæc nunc missafacio Deus O.M. Illman. et Rmam. Dnem. vram. ad decus et tutelam Ecclesiæ nræ. et ad majorem sui nominis gloriam ubique propagandam diu sospitet, idq. ex intimo corde apprecatur

"Dnis. Vræ. &c.,

"Obsqms.

"JACOBUS CUSACK."

of Derry, whom his predecessors had sought in vain to correct. Dr. Conwell, whom he substituted in the administration of the diocese, is spoken of in the contemporary records as a man of distinguished zeal and learning, and the Holy See soon sanctioned his appointment, constituting him Vicar-Apostolic of Derry: his delicate constitution, however, did not long sustain the incessant labours of that vast and important diocese.

In the beginning of 1673 Dr. Plunket transferred the Vicar-General of Raphoe, Dr. Luke Plunket, to the diocese of Derry, and when, in 1677, a momentary calm seemed to smile upon our Irish Church, we find a petition presented by the primate, that a Bishop should be granted, and this Vicar-General be consecrated for that ancient see.

A letter of the Nunzio, of 21st August, 1677, besides referring to this desire of the Archbishop of Armagh, presents many interesting particulars connected with this diocese:—

“The Vicar of Derry informs me, that, through the mercy of God, great peace is enjoyed in that diocese, and that order is maintained there, not only by his own exertions, but by the union of the clergy, who, moreover, are not called on to contribute much for his support, as he possesses a sufficient private patrimony. For two years he exercised the function of Vicar-General of Raphoe, and is for five years in his present position; he was twice cast into prison by the Protestants, and accused of acting with authority delegated by the Holy See, yet he was each time set at liberty, and declared innocent through the want of evidence against him. He laments his being at the distance of one hundred miles from the residence of the nearest Bishop, and this in a mountainous country, so that not being visited by other Bishops, and Derry itself being without a Bishop more than a hundred years, almost the whole mass of the people is without the sacrament of confirmation, and deprived of those advantages which the presence of a Bishop would confer on it. He requested me to grant him the faculty of consecrating altars and chalices, but as I had no such power, I answered that I would petition your Excellency, as I now do, to obtain it for him.

“All the preceding statements are confirmed by the Archbishop of Armagh, who, moreover, writes that he administered confirmation in that diocese to old men sixty years of age; and after passing a high eulogy on the learning and merits of the Vicar-General, he declares that if the Sacred Congregation is desirous of appointing a Bishop to the see of Derry, he would be the best qualified both in regard of his own talents, and on account of his having sufficient private means: he adds that he has very few equals in Ireland, and that the only defect is his delicate constitution.”

We have a letter of Dr. Plunket, written on 4th of August, 1677, to the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation, which dwells at length on the same subject:—

"It is my duty to represent to their Excellencies whatever may tend to promote the propagation of the faith, and ecclesiastical discipline in this kingdom, and especially in the province of Armagh. Now it is certain that great advantage would accrue to our holy religion by granting a Bishop to the diocese of Derry. This diocese is the most remote in this kingdom, and has about thirty parish priests and about six thousand Catholic families. These are at a distance of more than a hundred miles from me and from every other Bishop; and hence, for the consecration of chalices and altar-stones, as well as for the ordinations, they are obliged to make a long and difficult journey; and as there was no Bishop there for more than a hundred years, many persons are met with at a very advanced age who never received the sacrament of confirmation. It was with difficulty that I found a proper Vicar who would undertake the mission in these remote districts, till about five years ago God moved Luke Plunket, a learned and exemplary man, to accept the burden of the government of that diocese: he passed nineteen years in Italy and France; he is rich in earthly wealth, but still more so in zeal for the glory of God: he suffered much in the exercise of his ministry: he was imprisoned and prosecuted by the Protestants, but his defence was so prudent that he was liberated. On one occasion, though sick, he was dragged, at midnight, two miles away to prison; yet such was his zeal, that no persecution could force him to abandon his flock. *Vere tulit pondus dei et æstus*. Your Excellency will be good enough to propose the matter to their Eminences, that thus Mr. Luke may be appointed to the see of Derry: this will be a great favour to the Catholics of these districts: if it be not granted, let an Apostolic Brief at least be sent, giving him faculty to consecrate chalices and portable altars. As he has a rich patrimony he could support his dignity with decorum, besides the blessings that would accrue to the Catholics of that diocese.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"Dublin, 4th August, 1677."

A few days later in the same month, the Internunzio transmitted from Brussels some information which had been asked for by the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation as to the means of support of the clergy of this diocese:—

"By the Archbishop of Armagh," he says, "as well as by Dr. Luke Plunket, I have been informed that there are no fixed boundaries for the parishes, nor a fixed revenue for the parish priests in the diocese of Derry; it is customary to assign to the care of each priest a sufficient number of Catholic families, who by their offerings and alms contribute to his support."

The plan proposed to the Holy See by the Primate could not be realised, for scarce were these letters received in Rome when intelligence was brought that the long-threatening storm of persecution had burst, with all its fury, upon our afflicted island;

and from subsequent records we learn that the Vicar of Derry was one of those who enjoyed the happy honour of sharing with the primate the sufferings and chains of his imprisonment.

#### § 4.—KILDARE.

In the letter of the Primate, 2nd August, 1678, mention is made of the requested favours having been granted, not only to the Bishop of Meath and Dr. Cusack, but also to the Bishop of Kildare. The favour solicited by Dr. Plunket for this prelate was the administration of the adjoining diocese of Leighlin. Dr. Forstall was a prelate of great virtue and learning, and before his appointment to the see of Kildare, he had held high ecclesiastical offices in Vienna, in which he won for himself even the esteem and favour of the Imperial Court. He was, however, a member of the order of St. Augustine, all whose convents had been destroyed or impoverished throughout the kingdom; and, as the diocese of Kildare presented at this period no means of subsistence, yielding to the Bishop a revenue of only 56 scudi per annum, that is to say, little more than £1 per month, he was obliged to have recourse to Rome, *the common mother of all*, soliciting aid in his distress. Dr. Plunket was mainly instrumental in procuring for him the administration of the diocese of Leighlin, and in his letter of the 20th of August, 1677, whilst he suggests the means by which this worthy prelate (whom he elsewhere declares fit to govern the most important dioceses of the world) might be relieved, he especially commends this provision for the diocese of Leighlin, as whilst it succoured the indigence of the Bishop, it would, at the same time, be a source of great spiritual blessings to that venerable see. The following is the letter of the primate:—

“The great affection which your Eminence has ever displayed for me and for this nation, is the cause of my so often inconveniencing you both for myself and for my friends, amongst whom is Dr. Forstall, a grave and learned prelate, and here esteemed by all; he is Bishop of Kildare, which diocese is amongst the poorest of this kingdom, having only fifteen priests, and yielding no more than £15, that is about 56 scudi of Roman money. It is certain that many of the chaplains of the ‘*Madonna dei Monti*’\* receive a great deal more, and this poverty of the bishops renders them the servants of the laity, and makes them ridiculous and contemptible. The manner of succouring this worthy prelate is either to destine an annual sum for him from the Sacred Congregation, such as is granted to the bishops of the East, or if not, to grant to him the administration of the diocese of Leighlin, adjoining

\* A church not far from the old Irish College in Rome; it is also at present the parochial church of our national college in that city.

that of Kildare, which, although it has no more than fifteen or sixteen priests, and gives a revenue of only fifty or sixty scudi, nevertheless will be a great relief to Dr. Forstall: this measure would be of great spiritual advantage to the Leighlin diocese, since the said prelate could administer there the sacraments of confirmation and orders, and consecrate chalices or altars, &c.; and it is certain that it would be a source of greater profit and spiritual consolation to this diocese to be administered by a Bishop (since it cannot support a bishop for itself) than by a Vicar-General who, ut plurimum, is not a person of such learning, and does not enjoy so great authority.

"I, therefore, pray your Eminence to propose to his Holiness and to the Sacred Congregation either to assign an annual sum to Dr. Forstall, or otherwise to grant him the administration of Leighlin diocese, which is contiguous to and adjoining the diocese of Kildare: this is a matter worthy of your charity and great zeal, and I remain, &c.,

"OLIVER OF ARMAH.

"Dublin, 20th of August, 1677.

"To his Eminence the Cardinal  
Colonna, &c., &c., &c."

During the next month this same petition was renewed, and the sentiment of the primate was confirmed by the suffrage of Dr. Plunket, of Meath, and Dr. Tyrrell, of Clogher.\*

As we have seen in the letter above referred to (30th Nov., 1679), this favour was accorded by the Holy See. The diocese of Leighlin, however, was not allowed to enjoy long this administration. Before the close of the year 1679 Dr. Forstall was cast into prison; and even after his liberation the fury of persecution compelled him to seek for safety in the woods and mountains, till, in 1683, he closed his earthly career an exile in the diocese of Cashel.

\* The following is the joint memorial of these three Bishops to the Sacred Congregation:—

"Nos infrascripti habentes optimam notitiam et informationem status Diocesanos Kildarensis, attestamur ejus districtum esse unum ex pauperioribus totius Hiberniæ: in ea Epum. non habere domum, hortum, agrum aut paramenta ulla ecclesiastica nec moris esse ut laici aut seculares Catholici viritim contributionem ullam aut subsidium pendant Episcopo, et quod caput est fidem facimus in ea non esse nisi 15 Pastores aut Curatos quorum singuli singulas libras æris Anglicani annuatim Episcopo solvunt; et consequenter proventus et emolumenta annua Episcopi se tantum extendere ad 15 libras Anglicanas, seu ad quinquaginta sex circiter scutata monetæ Romanæ: ac proinde affirmamus impossibile prorsus esse ut Epus. spectatis emolumentis e diocesi provenientibus nisi aliunde ei succurratur, possit residere, se sustentare, aut eas functiones et fructus facere qui residentiam requirunt.

"Datum in diversis respective refugii nostri locis mense Septembri, 1677.

"OLIVERIUS ARMACHANUS, T.H.P.

"Patritius Midie Epus.

"Patritius Epus. Clogheren."

## § 5.—KILFENORA.

On the 22nd of January, 1647, Dr. Andrew Lynch was elected by the Sacred Congregation Bishop of Kilfenora. His episcopate embraced one of the saddest epochs in the history of our church. During the persecution consequent on the invasion of Cromwell he fled to France, and acted for many years as suffragan or assistant-Bishop to the Archbishop of Rouen.

In 1671 the Sacred Congregation deliberated on the propriety of transferring this prelate to the then vacant see of Cork, and of uniting his small diocese with the adjoining see of Killaloe. The opinion of Dr. Plunket was solicited through the Nunzio as to the propriety of this union; and the following fragment of a letter, written by him in reply, was transmitted to the Sacred Congregation before the close of 1672:—

“31st Jan. 1672.—As regards the union of the Diocese of Kilfenora with Killaloe, I think it would be well; for Kilfenora has no more than four or five priests.”

In the preceding months the Internunzio had presented a similar recommendation to Rome. His letter of 17th October, 1671, is as follows:—

“The Bishop of Kilfenora, who is now in France, and, though sixty-five years of age, is nevertheless robust and strong, was obliged to abandon his diocese on account of its being so reduced and impoverished, and to retire to France to acquire subsistence, acting as suffragan of the Archbishop of Ronen. Moreover he had but little duty in his own diocese, as it is only ten Italian miles in extent, and even in the most flourishing times of the Church had only nine priests, that number being now reduced to four. It forms as if a corner of the diocese of Killaloe, and adjoins the diocese of Kilmacduagh, so that it would be well to have it incorporated with either of these dioceses, not to have a Bishop confined to so small a district, which is wholly insufficient for his support; for in Ireland the Bishops subsist by the charitable offerings of the people and the voluntary contributions of the poor Priests. Should the Sacred Congregation adopt this plan, the present Bishop of Kilfenora might be transferred to the see of Cork, which is in the same province of Cashel, and is very extensive and rich, whilst it is, at the same time, very remote from the residence of the other Bishops who are now in Ireland. This sentiment has been approved by Dr. O'Molony (Bishop of Killaloe), the Bishop of Ferns, and Dr. Dempsey, who also requested me to supplicate your Excellency for this favour, as the Bishop of Kilfenora is most anxious to return to labour in Ireland, whilst it would be impossible for him to return to his former residence. The account I have received of this Bishop

represent him as a man very learned and virtuous. The Archbishop of Armagh writes that the Bishop of Meath is seriously ill, and on account of his being so old there is great danger of his passing to a better world."

The good bishop of Kilfenora, however, declined the proffered translation to the see of Cork, and, continuing to reside in France, some few years later, in the diocese which had yielded him a refuge, found also a tomb.

## CHAPTER XV.

### DR. PLUNKET'S MISSION TO THE SCOTO-IRISH OF THE HIGHLANDS AND HEBRIDES.

FOR some years before the departure of Dr. Plunket from the Eternal City, the missions in the Hebrides and Highlands of Scotland had engaged the attention of the Sacred Congregation; and he had not as yet reached Belgium, when, by order of the Holy Father, he was deputed, on the 17th of September, 1669, the superior of these missions, with an injunction to procure spiritual pastors for that desolate flock.\*

At an early period of the persecution against the Catholics of Great Britain, all priests were compelled to fly from the Scottish islands, and as these were too poor to attract the attention of the reformed ministers, their inhabitants were left almost wholly immersed in the grossest ignorance. We find at intervals, however, some heroic priests, especially from the neighbouring shores of Ireland, fearlessly risking their lives in order to administer to these poor islanders the sacraments of the Church, and break to them the bread of life. The records of the Jesuit missions, as well as those of the Orders of St. Francis and St. Vincent,† present illustrious examples of such true Christian heroism. We shall take one from the Annual Letters of the

\* Atti, &c., 17th Sept., 1669: "Summa. mandavit deputari in superiorem illius missionis modernum Archiepiscopum. Armacnum qui ad illas insulas operarios mittat."

† In the Life of S. Vincent, l. ii. c. 1, sect. xi., there is an account of the missionary labours of the Lazarists in the Hebrides, and of the success which they obtained. St. Vincent, it is there stated, selected two Irish priests for the mission of the Hebrides, to whom a third was added, Scotch by birth. There is an interesting letter inserted in the same chapter, written by one of those missionaries, the Rev. Mr. Duignin, or Duggan, which gives an accurate account of his labours in the Western Islands.



**Jesuit Fathers.** About the year 1650 Father David Galvins was renowned throughout the Irish province for his piety and zeal; three times did he set out for the missions of Scotland. On the first occasion he travelled as a merchant, yet could convert none of the islanders to the profession of the Catholic faith, such was their terror of the Duke of Argyle, a bitter enemy of the Catholics, and lord of that territory. When returning to Ireland, all sad for the bad success of his journey, the Scotch sailors, who, themselves, were imbued with Calvinism, surprised that though he styled himself a merchant, yet he had purchased no goods, asked him for what object he had undertaken so long a journey? The good father replied that he was, indeed, a merchant, but of merchandize far more precious than all earthly goods, and that he sought for souls redeemed by the most precious blood of Jesus Christ. The sailors, reasoning amongst themselves, declared with one accord that that religion should be true which could inspire such a desire for the salvation of souls; and before the vessel reached the Irish coast, he had the consolation of receiving these straying children into the fold of Christ. On his second and third mission his labours were crowned with abundant fruit: in some districts, whole towns, parents as well as children, received the sacrament of Baptism: and on one occasion, so incessant was his toil in instructing the poor mountaineers, that for five months he never changed his garments, though often compelled to rest at night exposed to the rain and the inclemency of the weather. Such was the hatred conceived against him by the heretics that they publicly sent around his likeness in order to secure his arrest; but the good father safely passed through their hands, though not without a manifest interposition of Providence; and sometimes, too, employing the artifice of declaring himself a merchant, and bringing around some sacks of corn as if they were samples, the better to disguise his true mission.\*

In 1662 Alexander Winster was appointed prefect of all the Scottish missions, and in his "Report" to the Sacred Congregation he states that there were six thousand Catholics in Scotland, and that the Highlanders used the Irish language: the clergy consisted of eleven Jesuits, three Dominicans, two Franciscans, and six secular priests, all being maintained by the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda. These priests, however, were, for the most part, confined to the Lowlands, and but few could be found

\* See "*Relatio rerum quarundam mirabilium quæ contigerunt in missione Hibernica Soc. Jesu ab anno 1641. usque ad an. 1650*" compiled from the "*Litteræ annuæ Soc. Jes.*" in Archiv. Col. Hib. de Urbe.

who would embrace the mission of the Scoto-Irish, as they called the inhabitants of the Hebrides and Highlands. We have seen in the Second Chapter how the Irish Bishops urged, as a motive for the establishment of the Irish College in Rome, that thus they might be the better able to supply missions for the Scotch districts. Dr. Burgatt, too, when agent of the Irish Clergy in Rome, petitioning the Holy Father, in 1668, that bishops might be destined to the vacant sees in Ireland, assigns this, amongst other reasons, that thus the Scottish Church might be succoured, which was almost wholly destitute of pastors. "The Scotch (he says) have but few ecclesiastics of their own nation; fruitful missions, however, were often given there from Ireland: for they freely receive instruction from the Irish priests on account of their having the same language as well as the same origin. All, but especially the Scottish islanders, so hate the English that they even seem to abhor all who speak the English language.\*

As soon as Dr. Plunket had expedited matters more immediately connected with his own diocese, he resolved to visit and procure pastors for this scattered portion of Christ's fold:—

"The visitation of the Hebrides yet remains," he thus writes on the 23rd February, 1671, "but if the Sacred Congregation does not write a letter to the Marquis of Antrim, we shall be able to effect nothing. This nobleman has great influence in these islands, but he is in every respect not unlike Mgr. Albrici, good and prudent, but slow and scrupulous in everything. I remember that Mgr. Albrici could not find in all Italy a servant to suit him: the Florentine was too talky; the Milanese was giddy; the Romagnese was stupid; the Neapolitan was quick with the fingers; the Roman was too sad. And so it is very difficult to find people to suit the Marquis of Antrim. I proposed to him no fewer than twenty priests, but he had something to say against every one of them; and in regard of Ronan Magin—a man truly suited for the task—he remarked that he seemed too hasty and presumptuous, and proud. The chief cause of the delay, however, is the treaty of union between Scotland and England, as I mentioned in a former letter.† The Marquis sent three priests to these islands to administer the sacraments of Penance and the Eucharist during the Lent; after Easter they returned, and they would not consent to remain in them for the whole year, as they have good parishes in the county Antrim. Moreover, they are very old, and but ill-suited for the labour of these islands. A courteous letter to this nobleman, commending his piety and his zeal

\* "*Scoti vix ullos habent suæ gentis ecclesiasticos: ex Hibernia illuc fieri solebunt fructuose missiones: Hibernos enim libenter audiunt, propter communionem lingue necnon et originis. Odio ita prosequuntur Anglos (præsertim, insulares Scoti) ut etiam ipsorum linguam loquentes vulgo aversari videantur.*" Relat. Ec. Hib. a Gul. Burgatt, &c.

† We have not been able to find any trace of this letter.

for the spiritual profit of these souls, and commemorating also the piety of his ancestors, will be very efficacious in promoting this matter. I was with him for three days at his house in Dunluce; it is a noble building; the palace is perched on a high rock, which is lashed on every side by the sea; it is only twelve miles distant from the largest of the Hebrides. Mgr. this letter is necessary, as the Marquis is the only Catholic nobleman who can assist me in this mission, and without his aid I shall have to run many risks."

This letter of the primate was read in the Congregation of 13th of July, 1671, and at the same time that the wished-for letter was directed to the Marquis of Antrim, a missionary stipend was decreed to three priests whom the Archbishop of Armagh should destine for these missions.

Whatever may have been the judgment of the Marquis of Antrim in regard of the subjects proposed by Dr. Plunket for the Scottish mission, it is manifest from the letters of the primate, that he omitted no diligence in choosing missionaries well suited for that holy work. Thus, he writes in 1670, immediately after his arrival in Ireland:

"When I assemble the vicars of the province, I shall send to your Excellency the names of the missionaries for the Scottish islands; three have already offered themselves for that mission, but before I accept them, I will examine them as to learning, and I will go to their own district, in order to see what is the tenor of their lives. You may rest assured that those that I will send shall be men of sufficient learning and of holy life. Their stipend might be the same as is given to the other missionaries of Scotland, especially as these islands are even poorer than Scotland itself. When I transmit to you their names and the attestation of their merits, I am sure that your Excellency, in the fulness of your zeal, will do all that is necessary for the advancement of this holy work. There is one missionary in these islands named *White*, who is supported there by Daniel Arthur, an Irish merchant of London."

Before the above-mentioned decree was enacted by the Sacred Congregation, Dr. Plunket had addressed another letter respecting his former demands, and soliciting pecuniary aid to enable him to execute the commission entrusted to him by the Holy See.

"I need some assistance," he says, in his letter of 7th June, 1671, "to enable me to visit the Scottish islands, that is, the Hebrides; without your assistance I can do nothing. It will be necessary for me to bring a priest and a servant with me, and to dress after the manner of these people, which is very different from that of every other part of the globe."

In his subsequent letters, Dr. Plunket makes no further petition for any aid; nevertheless, reference is made at a later period

to a "Relatio" of these islands presented about this time by the Archbishop of Armagh; and hence we may conclude that before the close of 1671 he performed this visitation, and consoled the devoted children of that abandoned portion of Christ's flock, by appointing pastors to attend to their spiritual care. Indeed this mission seems to have been most dear to him; and writing to the secretary of the Sacred Congregation on the 29th September, 1671, he thus earnestly recommends it to their care:

"I recommend to you the Scottish islands. The poor creatures are dying from spiritual hunger, having none to break to them the bread of Christ; *ecce jam regiones albe sunt ad messem*; let us reap the harvest whilst it is ripe, and let us gather in the vintage before it is destroyed by the hail and the tempest."

In the absence of any fragments of the "Relatio" of Dr. Plunket, we may present some extracts from two other narratives of these missions, presented to the Sacred Congregation in the year 1669. One of these was written by Dr. Winster, who, as we have seen, was for many years prefect of the mission in the Scottish islands. He states that:\*

"The mountainous districts are barren, and during five or six months of the year, scarcely yield to the inhabitants sufficient oaten or barley-bread; towards the sea there is an abundance of fish, and everywhere there are large flocks of sheep and cattle; the people live on cheese, milk, and butter; the lower classes, however, are often without bread.

"The Highlands have no commerce with foreign nations, but sell their cattle to the inhabitants of the Lowlands, and are thus enabled to purchase flour; this is the reason why the missionaries who visit these districts are obliged not only to bring with them bread and wine for the Holy Sacrifice, but also food and every other necessary, not without very great inconvenience.

"There are no post-offices, and no means of sending letters unless a person sends them by hand to the chief city of the kingdom.

"The language of the inhabitants is the Irish, wherefore only natives of Ireland are suited for these missions, till such time as priests from the districts themselves be educated in the colleges on the Continent.

"The Catholics live in peace in the district of Glengarry, under Earl Mac Donnell; also in those mountain districts which belong to the Marquis of Huntley, and in the islands of Uist, Barra, and Morar, which are the most remote from the government residences.

"Such is the severity of the laws, that the practice of the Catholic religion is not allowed; in the Highlands, however, and remote islands, these laws are not carried into execution.

"The present missionaries are two Franciscan friars, viz., Father

\* Appendix, No. 45 and 46.

Mark and Father Francis MacDonnell, sent thither by the Sacred Congregation; there is also one secular priest (a missionary of the Sacred Congregation), whose name is Francis White,\* and a schoolmaster in the Glengarry district named Eugene MacAlaster. The Father White, whom I have mentioned, often visits the islands and the lands of Glengarry and all the mountain districts, as far as he is able, and in doing so he endures great fatigue and suffering, willingly, however, on account of his great zeal for the salvation of souls; hence all this country is greatly indebted to him, and he is a native of Ireland.

"The schoolmaster is scarcely tolerated in Glengarry, despite the protection of the lord of that territory; and there is but little hope of another master being found to succeed the present one in that toilsome position.

"There was also another Irish missionary in the Highlands, named Duigen; he, however, has left that mission, and now Father White alone remains.

"The few missionaries who are in the mountainous districts, are wholly insufficient for the wants of the Catholics, especially in winter, when the roads are almost wholly impracticable; wherefore we pray that other Irish priests may be sent thither, and Father White undertakes to find such priests through his brother,† who is Vicar in the diocese of Limerick in Ireland; this is the more necessary as the Franciscans, on account of their bad health, cannot long continue on that mission."

The second Report was made by a Scotch priest after visiting all the districts of this mission. He writes:—

"The Highland families are, for the most part, Catholic, or prepared to be so, if they had priests to instruct them; those, however, of the Lowlands are most fierce heretics, and hate the Highlanders on account of their religion.

"The Highlanders are of an excellent disposition, quick of intellect, and taking a special delight in the pursuit of knowledge; they are desirous of novelties, and have an unbounded passion for ingenious inventions, so that no greater favour can be conferred on them than to educate their children, and render them suited to become priests or ecclesiastics.

"Their untiring constancy in all matters is truly surprising, and is admitted and extolled even by their enemies, particularly in regard of religion, which they continue to profess, as much as the severity of the persecution, and the total want of priests permit.

"Their arms are, two-edged swords, large shields, bows and arrows, which they still continue to use, adding to them, however, fire-arms, which they manage with admirable dexterity.

\* In a letter written 25th September, 1679, Dr. Winster announces the death of this missionary, and adds, that "he might justly be styled the Apostle of the Hebrides and neighbouring districts," *a gran ragione si può chiamare Apostolo degli Elridi e paesi vicini*.

† This was Dr. Gaspar White, Vicar Capit. of Limerick, till the appointment of Dr. Dowley as Vicar-Apostolic in 1669.

" They still retain the language and costume of their earliest forefathers, so that their dress is not very dissimilar from that of the ancient statues in Rome, loosely covered from the waist to the knee, and a *bonnet* on the head.

" Almost all the families are Catholic, or disposed to receive the Catholic faith, if, for no other reason, at least to imitate their ancestors, who were so zealous in the cause of religion. Nay more, many of these families have suffered, and actually suffer for this sole reason, not only in Parliament, where the nobility of the Lowlands have a large majority, but also in the courts of justice, where they are oppressed by the greater number and authority of their enemies; and the heretic Judges give sentence against them, even though their cause be most just, deeming them rebels for not conforming to the established Religion.

" The remaining Scoto-Irish are heretics, more through ignorance than malice; they cease not, however, to cherish a great esteem for the Catholics, as appears in many things.

" If a priest visits them they show him more respect, and honour him more than their own ministers.\* In fact, the heretics amongst the Highlanders surpass in reverence for our priests the very Catholics of the Lowlands.

" They, moreover, retain many Catholic usages, such as making the sign of the Cross, the Invocation of Saints, and sprinkling themselves with holy water, which they anxiously ask from their Catholic neighbours.

" In sickness they make pilgrimages to the ruins of the old churches and chapels which yet remain, as of the most noble monastery of Iona, where St. Columba was Abbot, also of the chapels of Ghierlock, and Appecrosse, and Glengarry, which were once dedicated to the saints. They also visit the holy springs, which yet retain the names of the saints to whom they were dedicated; and it has often pleased the most High to restore to their health those who visited these ruins or drank at these springs, invoking the aid of the Saints.

" The enmity of the Lowlanders has been a source of great injury to the Scoto-Irish, especially since heresy began to domineer in Scotland; for the inhabitants of the Lowlands being most furious heretics (with the exception of some few whom the Catholic missionaries restored to the bosom of the Church), and seeing the Highlanders most constant in the faith, and that there is no hope of alienating them from the Catholic Church, seek, by all possible means, to excite odium against them, designating them barbarians, impious, enemies of the reformed creed, &c.; and they hesitate not to affirm of them everything that can be suggested by detraction and their own excessive hatred; and they even deem it a glorious deed to show contempt for, or cast ridicule on a Highlander."†

\* In an addition to this "Report," made, I presume, by the agent of the Scottish Church in Rome, it is said, "the priest is styled by the islanders, and known by the name *coronatus*; they venerate and caress him much more than their own preachers."

† Lord Macaulay has manifested this same spirit in our own times, never omitting any opportunity to blacken the character and religion of the Scoto-Irish.

## CHAPTER XVI.

### THE REVENUE OF THE IRISH SEES.

ONE of the first acts of the *reformed* Church in Ireland was to appropriate to itself everything that the piety of our forefathers had offered to God for the support of the ministers of the altar. Nor did this suffice: taxes were levied, new appropriations made, new government grants sanctioned in order to advance the interests of the Protestant church; however, all was fruitless; the ministers of that church could never say *enough*; when they were upbraided with the failure of their mission and preaching, they always imputed it to the want of sufficient means; but despite every additional increase, the curse of barrenness ever weighed upon their ministry.

As early as 1576, Sir Henry Sydney, who had six times been at the head of the Irish government, in a report to the Queen, declares, "your Majesty may believe it, that, upon the face of the earth where Christ is professed, there is not a church in so miserable a case, the misery of which consisteth in these three particulars—the ruin of the very temples themselves, the want of good ministers to serve in them, competent living for the ministers." Thirty years later we find another *report* from Sir John Davies to Robert, Earl of Salisbury. Speaking of the dioceses of Kilmore and Ardagh, he says—"The vicarages are so poorly endowed, that ten of them being united, will scarce suffice to maintain an honest minister. . . . But the incumbents, both parsons and vicars, did appear to be such poor, ragged, ignorant creatures, as we could not esteem any of them worthy of the meanest of these livings." Nevertheless, in the same page he informs us that "the bishop, Robert Draper, is a man of this country birth, worth well nigh £400 a-year. He doth live now in these parts, where he hath two bishoprics; but there is no divine service or sermon to be heard within either of his dioceses." (Davies, *Tracts*, page 266.)

Again, when Bishop Bedell complained to the Lord Deputy that "in this kingdom of his Majesty, the Pope hath another kingdom far greater in number" (Strafford *letters*, i. 147), in other words, that Protestantism had been as yet unable to make any progress in Ireland, the Parliament, without delay, "passed

several acts for improving the temporal estates of the church,"\* so much so, that the prelates and clergy assembled at Dublin presented an address to the King (Charles I.), in which, after commemorating how they had lately been "dejected and depressed to the lowest degree of misery† and contempt by the wars and confusion of former times, having their churches ruined, their habitations left desolate, their possessions alienated, their persons scorned, their very lives hourly subject to the bloody attempts of rebellious traitors," they declare "that the bounty and piety of his sacred Majesty, and of his blessed father, had not only made restitution of that which the iniquity of former ages had robbed them of, but also enriched them with new and princely endowments, which favours did become more sweet whilst entertained by them as pledges of his future unexhausted goodness." The benevolence of the crown did not even stop here, and a little before Dr. Plunket's appointment to the see of Armagh, we find a large portion of the forfeited property of those who had lost their lives in defence of the royal cause, and who nevertheless were designated with an unheard-of ingratitude, *Irish Papists, rebels, and enemies*, allotted to increase the revenues of the ministers of the Protestant church. (14 & 15 Chas. II. chap. 2.)

In one of his letters, Dr. Plunket values the revenues of the Protestant Archbishop of Armagh at 20,000 scudi, or £5,000. In 1539 the valuation of the see amounted to only £183 17s. 5½d.; in 1618 it had increased to £400; in 1635, as we learn from Sir James Ware, the rents amounted to £735 4s. 4d. per annum, and thus they gradually swelled, until, at the present day, we find the gross amount of the yearly revenues of the see, as stated in the Report of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, to be £17,669 16s. 7d.

Whilst, despite all human efforts, and this ever-increasing revenue, the Protestant church in Ireland was seen subject to a gradual decay, we are supplied by Dr. Plunket with accurate intelligence as to the earthly means with which the Catholic Priests and Bishops were supported whilst they cheerfully led on their flocks to martyrdom, and overcame, in an unceasing victory, all the powers of this world leagued against them. In many of his letters the Primate will be found to dwell upon this subject; but we shall select two as sufficient for our present purpose; in one of these, whilst he petitions the Holy See to succour the Bishop of Kildare, he draws a general picture of the poverty of the Irish

\* See Mant, i. 482.

† Thomas Moygne, Protestant Bishop of Kilmore and Ardagh, in 1625, when giving a general prospect of the Irish establishment, declares that "in consequence of the poverty of the clergy, the church will soon be brought to decay." (See Parr's Collection, page 322.)



church, and in the other he presents in detail the individual revenues of our various sees.

The first letter is dated from Dublin, the 13th of August, 1677:—

“Since the beginning of the heresy and schism in this kingdom, no parish priest or prelate of the orthodox creed had any possessions, lands, or fixed revenue, the churches, with all their emoluments, being seized on by the Protestant ministers, who continue to enjoy them to the present day; so that our ecclesiastics are obliged to depend for their support on the oblations of the poor Catholic families, who, according to their means, make certain offerings to their parish priests, that is, about two shillings in the year; and, generally speaking, the bishops have no other revenue than the offering of £1, which is annually made by each of the parish priests, so that the greater the number of the parish priests, the larger will be the revenue of the bishops. Some dioceses have sixty priests; others forty; others thirty; others fifteen; others only seven, as Clonmacnoise, Kilfenora, &c. Hence it arises that in some dioceses it is impossible for a bishop to have sufficient revenue to support a clerk of S. Girolamo della Carità.\* It is certain that Dr. Forstall, of Kildare, whose little diocese is only five or six miles from Dublin, and having only fifteen priests, yields him no more than £15 per annum, has not sufficient revenue to maintain a servant, even of a low grade. I don’t know how poor religious subsist when they are appointed bishops, for such revenue cannot suffice to support a bishop’s servant: and this extreme poverty renders their dignity despicable with Catholics as well as Protestants. The Viceroy on one occasion said to me that he was not, and never would be, a persecutor of ecclesiastics; but that he was surprised how bishops were sent to this kingdom without their having sufficient means for their support. I told his Excellency, in reply, that our prelates imitated those of the primitive Church. Yes, he said, but they are far different from those of France and Spain. But, I replied, the bishops of France and Spain, when it may be necessary, are ready to act in the same manner. I must say, however, that at the present day it does not suit the episcopal dignity to be held by mendicants; and the poverty of the bishops prevents their conversing with the Protestants, from which great good might be derived. Now, few of the bishops have a better opportunity of communicating with the Protestants than the Bishop of Kildare, who is a learned, prudent, and grave prelate, and esteemed by all who know him. As his church does not yield him more than £15 per annum, he might receive the administration of the adjoining diocese of Leighlin, which has likewise about fifteen or sixteen priests, and thus he might be able to live *juxta miseras patrie*. The diocese of Leighlin, of itself, would not be able to maintain a bishop, and it would be better that it should be held in administration by a learned bishop, than be

\* The Church to which Dr. Plunket was attached whilst in Rome.

governed by a vicar-capitular. A bishop could administer confirmation there, consecrate chalices and altars; and he would also be a greater consolation to the Catholics than a vicar-general. I beseech you, therefore, to see that this worthy prelate, Dr. Forstall, may, in addition to his own diocese, receive the administration of Leighlin, which measure will redound to the greater glory of God and the consolation of the Leighlin Catholics, and is deserving of the charity and zeal of your Excellency."

Besides this general picture of the extreme poverty of our Irish sees, we have another letter of Dr. Plunket, in which he presents in detail the precise revenues of nearly all the various dioceses. It was written about the close of the year 1673, and is as follows:—

"On the Vigil of Christmas Mgr. Daniel Makey, Bishop of Down and Connor, most perfectly obeyed the last edict, and departed not only from Ireland, but also from the world, to enjoy now, as we hope, a country and a kingdom, where he will be free from the Parliament of England and its edicts. He was a good theologian, educated in Spain, and chaplain for many years of D. Pedro, of Aragon. At his death he had no more than thirty-five bajocchi (eighteen pence), so that to have even a private funeral it was necessary to sell a part of his goods.

"I take the present opportunity of sending to the Sacred Congregation an account of a matter of some importance, and the effect of this report will be, I hope, to prevent, for some time, the appointment of any more bishops for this kingdom, and my opinion is based on the poverty of the various dioceses, which is, indeed, astounding. The following is the annual revenue of all my suffragan sees:—

		£	s.
The Primatial See of Armagh,	..	62	0
The diocese of Meath,	..	70	0
" " of Clogher,	..	45	0
" " of Derry,	..	40	0
" United dioceses of Down and Connor,		25	0
" Diocese of Raphoe,	..	20	0
" " of Kilmore,	..	35	0
" " of Ardagh,	..	30	0
" " of Dromore,	..	17	10
" " Clonmacnoise,	..	7	10

These are all the sees, with their revenues, in the province of Armagh. You may hence reflect and ponder how little it becomes the dignity of the episcopal character to be bishops in dioceses which cannot yield a sufficient support.

"Moreover, I know for certain, that the Metropolitan sees of Dublin, and Cashel, and Tuam, do not yield £40 each per annum.

It is true, that the diocese of Elphin, which is a suffragan see of the Archbishop of Tuam, yields about £50, and the diocese of Killaloe, in the province of Cashel, yields about £55; but of the other dioceses not one exceeds £25.

The churches of Ireland, however, as they are in the hands of the Protestants, are very rich; for instance, the Protestant Primate derives from the lands and possessions of the church of Armagh £5,000 per annum, and the Protestant Archbishop of Dublin has about £3,000. But the Catholic Primate and Archbishop have only the revenues which I mentioned above; whence you may conclude how inexpedient it is to appoint any more bishops in this kingdom: and should any such be appointed, it will be necessary for the Sacred Congregation to supply them with revenues, as it does for the bishops in the Indies, and *ad orientales infidelium plagas*. I have two suffragans, Dr. Plunket, Bishop of Meath, brother of the Earl of Fingall, who for the past twenty-six years has served the Sacred Congregation with the greatest integrity, even at a time when there was no other bishop to act in Ireland. The other is Dr. Patrick Duffy, Bishop of Clogher, who even ventured to take possession of his see at the moment when the persecution was about to burst forth.

“The Archbishop of Tuam has two suffragans, that is, the Bishops of Clonfert and Elphin.

“The Archbishop of Cashel has two also in his province, the Bishops of Waterford and Killaloe; there is also a third, but he lives in France, viz., the Bishop of Kilfenora.

“The Archbishop of Dublin has one suffragan, the Bishop of Ossory, who is in Ireland; and another, the Bishop of Ferns, a worthy prelate, but who, for many years past, has fixed his domicile in France. In my humble judgment the Metropolitan, with one suffragan Bishop, would be quite sufficient in each province.

“From this report a question of curiosity will, perhaps, suggest itself to your Excellency, how, forsooth, I and the other prelates succeed in making out these few shillings? Each parish priest gives us per annum for *proxy* one pound sterling, which is equal to twenty shillings, or four scudi. But you will ask how is the parish priest maintained? I answer, that each family or each head of a family gives four juli, that is, two shillings per annum to the parish priest; then for his trouble in baptism he receives one shilling; for every matrimony, 1s. 6d., or three juli. From which it follows, that where there are most Catholic families, there the parish priest is richest; I should rather say, less poor and miserable. In the diocese of Down and Connor, as also in many other dioceses, there is a large number of Presbyterians (who are especially numerous in Ulster), of Anabaptists, and Quakers, and hence these dioceses are exceedingly poor. And it must here be remarked, that the Presbyterians, who are an offshoot of Protestantism, are more numerous than Catholics and Protestants together.

“You thus see the state of the ecclesiastical riches of the Catholic Bishops of this kingdom, and I assure you that during the past four

years I would have been reduced to beggary were it not for a few pence that I had set aside, but which are now wholly exhausted.

"I pray you to send this letter to Mgr. Ravizza, who is the present Secretary of Propaganda, as I have been informed. I already requested you to direct your letters to me thus—*For Mr. Thomas Coz, Dublin*, and they will surely reach me without being intercepted. I now make my reverence to you from my hiding-place, on the Feast of the Holy Innocents, 1673. I wish you a most happy new year, replete with every felicity."

The revenue of £62 for the diocese of Armagh was the normal sum which the Archbishop should receive, considering the number of clergy in the diocese; but when the persecution was let loose with redoubled fury against our Church, many of the priests were scattered, and all were reduced to such poverty that few could contribute anything to the support of their chief Pastor; and hence we more than once find Dr. Plunket, in his subsequent letters, declaring that at intervals he did not receive twenty scudi, that is, £5, per annum from his diocese, and, whilst a small thatched hut was his only residence, he eat oaten bread with avidity, which, with a little milk, was often his only sustenance.

---

## CHAPTER XVII.

### SOME SPECIAL EVENTS OF DR. PLUNKET'S EPISCOPATE.

---

1670.

THE accusations which, from time to time, were made against the primate by those whose enmity he had awakened by his spirit of religion, and his zeal in the correction of abuses, gave him more than once occasion to refer in his correspondence with the Holy See to some facts of the early years of his episcopate, which, perhaps, would otherwise have remained wholly unknown to us. Thus, in 1672, he was accused of having deported himself during his episcopate in a manner unbecoming of his sacred character. In reply Dr. Plunket thus writes on the 2nd April, 1672:—

"Monsignor, I predicted some time ago that should I publish the decree in favour of the Dominicans, endless calumnies would be circulated against me. I assure your Excellency that since the present Viceroy came to this kingdom (that is to say, during the past two years), there is no living being that can accuse me of having performed an action unbecoming of my sacred character. I pray you to mark well this assertion. Yes; *distingue tempora et conciliabis Scripturas*. During the time of Roberts, the preceding Viceroy, who was my enemy and persecuted me, I was obliged, in order to conceal myself, to go under the name of *Captain Bruno* (Brown), with my sword, and a wig, and pistols, &c.; this lasted for two or three months.

Thus we learn that during the first months of his episcopate, whilst the Primate was visiting his diocese, and administering Confirmation and convening diocesan synods, the sword of persecution was all the while suspended over his head, and that he was obliged to disguise himself under the dress and manner of a layman.

A little later, in consequence of some representations made in Rome, unfavourable to the Primate, the secretary of the Sacred Congregation deemed it his duty to convey to him a strong, yet friendly admonition. This elicited the beautiful answer of Dr. Plunket, dated 23rd February, 1671:—

"I received your most welcome letter of the 20th of December, and I assure you that though it was conceived in strong terms, yet when I reflected on all the circumstances, it afforded me much more comfort than affliction; for I knew that the correction was truly fraternal, given by one who loved me with sincere affection, and I am sure that that *amor erat mutuus*, for I ever loved and revered your Excellency as my benefactor, and as the promoter of the spiritual good of my country, as is well known to all in this northern world. Moreover, I was well aware that all that was said against me was false, and that it proceeded merely from envy; everything that I undertook as to the removal of abuses and the promotion of the spiritual good of my country being blessed with success by God; and this, although many of the things that I undertook were arduous, such as the opening of public schools, the holding of a Provincial Synod in the celebrated town of Clones, and especially the obtaining pardon for a large number of outlawed gentlemen, and for hundreds of Catholic families who were prosecuted by government for having intercourse with them. The most difficult task, however, was the removal of Terence Kelly, Vicar of Derry. He had such influence with the Protestants that he made my two immediate predecessors tremble, and procured the imprisonment of more than one visitor. I went in person to the diocese of Derry, convoked the clergy, suspended his jurisdiction, and appointed in his stead Dr. Conwell, a learned and holy man. I was accused before the lay tribunal, but the unfortunate man found that he was anticipated even in the court of the Viceroy, and in that of the Governor of Ulster, the Earl of

Charlemont, and then he cried out in a loud voice, "*the Italian Primate, the Roman Primate has unhorsed me.*" The Earl of Charlemont has not molested even one ecclesiastic since my arrival here; he is also so friendly with me, that on one occasion, seeing me somewhat afraid, he said to me, 'Have no fear, no one shall dare touch you; and when you want to administer confirmation, don't go any more to the mountains, but come to the court-yard of my palace. He made me a present during my life of a garden and excellent orchard, with two fields, and a fine house. It is in an excellent position. As to the Viceroy, it is notorious that he has such an esteem for me, as even to conciliate in my behalf the favour of the King. Dr. Brennan, who has my cipher, will tell more to your Excellency. Suffice it to say that he granted me the lives of three Catholics who had been prosecuted and condemned in the city of Enniskillen. The Earl of Drogheda allows me to have a public church, with bells, &c., in my diocese, within his district, which are exempt from the royal jurisdiction. No fewer than nine times have I been accused before the Viceroy, on account of the schools, and for exercising foreign jurisdiction. This nobleman, however, always brought the charges to his own court, and thus they were quashed.

"In the province of Munster the Earl of Orrery has prohibited assemblages for Mass: the Earl of Kingstown, too, has expelled the clergy from the city of Galway, the capital of the province of Tuam; and the accusations of Martin French against the Archbishop of Tuam have been admitted. In Dublin, the schools which were commenced at Saggart have been upset, and the Remonstrants give annoyance to the Archbishop and others, summoning them before the Court of the Viceroy. Yet in my province I have had no annoyance, nor has any accusation been admitted either against me or against any of my clergy, although I drove away all the Remonstrants, so that not one of them remains."

Another letter of Dr. Plunket, written on the 30th December, 1670, and addressed to Dr. Brennan, then agent for the Irish clergy in the Eternal City, gives many particulars as to the events of this year:—

"It was most consoling to me to receive intelligence that Mgr. Baldeschi was pleased with the course that I have pursued in my province, and you may assure him that the best relations exist between me and Dr. Talbot, and that on various occasions I most earnestly exerted myself with the Viceroy in his behalf, and that were it not for my interference he would have been expelled long since from the kingdom.

"The agent of the Duke of Ormond is a certain Mr. Matthews, with whom I never spoke one syllable. Mr. John Patrick is as much an agent of Ormond as you are: on the other hand he is a good Catholic, and of great influence with the Viceroy, and he is a mortal enemy of Wulsh, and on these accounts I have held communication with him.

There is, however, a coolness between him and Dr. Talbot, on account of some family matters.

"The Bishop of Meath visited his diocese twice this year, and this is as certain as that you made the visitation of the Seven Churches.

"I more than once wrote to you, that the Viceroy is nowise a friend of Ormond, and much less of Peter Walsh, whom he regards as a great schemer : the Viceroy, however, does not always make known his mind on this matter. John Everard, a Franciscan, agent of Walsh in this kingdom, presented a memorial to the Council of State in Dublin against those Franciscan friars who went from this province to the General Chapter held last year, saying that they went thither to procure the condemnation of Walsh ; I hope, however, it will be unsuccessful, on account of the aversion of the Viceroy for Walsh.

"The Earl of Orrery, President of the province of Cashel, published a proclamation against the assembling together of Catholics for Mass ; he afterwards started for England ; he is a mortal enemy of the Viceroy. The President of Tuam has also begun to give annoyance to the Catholics in the city of Galway.

"In the province of Armagh the clergy and Catholics enjoy a perfect peace. The Earl of Charlemont, being friendly with me, defends me in every emergency. Being once in the town of Dungannon to administer confirmation, and the Governor of the place having prevented me from doing so, the Earl not only severely reproved the Governor, but told me to go to his own palace, when I pleased, to give confirmation, or to say Mass there if I wished.

"The magistrate of the city of Armagh having made an order to the effect that all the Catholics should accompany him to the heretical service every Sunday, under penalty of a half crown per head, for each time they would absent themselves, I appealed to the President of the province against this decree, and he cancelled it, and commanded that neither clergy nor Catholic laity should be molested.

"Dr. James Cusack has been put in nomination for the Vicariate Apostolic of Clogher in this province. I can attest that he is a man of great merit for his learning, and prudence and earnestness in opposing Walsh.

"Mr. Thomas Fitzsymons deports himself so well in this province, that I know no one more deserving than he is. There is another named Patrick Mulderig, Vicar-General of Down, who labours with great fruit and zeal. Both one and the other deserve the patronage of Mgr. Baldeschi, should it be intended to nominate pastors for the vacant dioceses of this province."

On the 10th of the same month (10th December, 1670) Dr. Plunket pointed out some disorders which he had met with in his visitations, and which may serve to convey a more complete idea of the state of the province of Armagh at this period :—

"Having journeyed a good deal during the past summer, and made the visitation of six dioceses, it becomes my duty to mention some

abuses that I remarked, that thus the Sacred Congregation may apply due remedies. The first is, a warm dispute between the Dominicans and Franciscans, especially in the County Fermanagh, where they dispute not only about the limits of their questing, but also about the convent itself. A remedy would have been applied to this in our Council of Clones, were it not that their Procurators said that they would arrange the matter amongst themselves, and prevent all scandals for the future. In the month of October I wished again to terminate the matter, but they again told me that their Procurators in Rome had brought the matter before the Sacred Congregation; the question being thus before a higher tribunal, I did not think it proper to put my hands in it.

"Another dispute exists between the secular and regular clergy about questing at the Altar during the Parochial Mass.

"But there is another still greater disorder, viz., that in not one of the ten dioceses of this province is there a canonical and legitimate Chapter nor are there any Canons or Dignitaries legitimately appointed. The Priests and Vicars *auctoritate propria* nominate the Archdeacons, Deacons, Treasurers, Canons, &c. In all the dioceses that I visited, I declared the Chapters null, as the forming of Chapters and the nomination of Dignitaries belonged to the Holy See, and not to the clergy of the diocese. I, consequently, have written requesting authority from the Holy See to institute Chapters in these dioceses, that thus the election of the Vicars Capitular may be valid; or should it be more pleasing, the names of five or six subjects of each diocese can be transmitted to Rome, that thus the Bulls of nomination may be expedited in form. I will be happy to do whatever the Sacred Congregation may command to reform these abuses.

In the month of July of this year Dr. Brennan, agent of the Irish Bishops, presented to the Sacred Congregation a petition in the names of "Oliver Plunket, Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of Ireland, Peter Talbot, Archbishop of Dublin, William Burgatt, Archbishop of Cashel, and James Lynch, Archbishop of Tuam," that the Archiepiscopal Pallium might be granted to them. Before the close of the year two were transmitted to Dr. Plunket for himself and the Archbishop of Dublin. It was only at a later period that it was granted to the other Archbishops.

---

1671.

On the 26th September, 1671, the Internunzio in Brussels thus writes to the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation:—

\* Afterward Cardinal Norfolk.



"Father Howard\* has written, informing me that the Viceroy, Berkeley, has left London for Ireland, and that he had held many pleasing conversations with him about the affairs of that kingdom. He adds, that the King, at his solicitation and that of Berkeley, had granted to the Archbishop of Armagh an annal sum of £200, and that the Viceroy took on himself the charge of having that sum paid from the Irish revenue of the King.

"Howard also mentions his having baptized a child in the Queen's Chapel, she acting as God-Mother, and the Duke of York as God-Father, in the presence of the King, who read in the book used by the Priest the ceremonial of the Baptism. The Duke of York, not being Catholic, another Catholic gentleman answered the interrogatories which are prescribed.

"The King has placed the Great Chapel of St. James at the disposal of the Portuguese Ambassador. Their Majesties, with the Duke and the remainder of the Court, were preparing to go to the country to the house of the Duke of Norfolk, brother of Father Howard.

"I wrote on yesterday a strong letter to the Archbishop of Dublin, exhorting him to keep up friendly relations with the Archbishop of Armagh, and I wrote to the latter in the same strain in regard to the Archbishop of Dublin. I sent to the Archbishop of Dublin the Brief for Dr. Luke Wadding, &c."

The letters of the primate commemorate many interesting facts connected with this period. Thus he writes on the 20th May, 1671:—

"The Earl of Inohiquin, a Catholic and influential nobleman, has a relative, named Thady O'Brien, who is a good and learned man. All the Bishops of Ireland have prayed that this man might be promoted to the See of Cork, a favour for which the Earl is most desirous.

"This morning a serious accident occurred here (Dublin). The house within the Castle, in which the armour was deposited, took fire, and about 24,000 scudi worth of arms was consumed. Our Viceroy did not merit this misfortune. Even his own palace ran great risk. I wrote to you already praying you to allow a missionary stipend to three Jesuits who now teach, and will continue to teach in my diocese; if this be not granted, I shall have no means to support them, and the glorious work that I have undertaken will fall to the ground, to the great detriment of religion.

"The adherents of Walsh are now prostrate, nor is there any danger of their raising their heads during the present Government, as the Lord Chancellor is but little favourable to them.

"Dublin, 20th May, 1671."

The letter of Dr. Plunket, without date, but transmitted to Rome, in October, 1672, refers to a striking occurrence of the preceding year:—

"You place reliance," he writes, "on the moderation of our future Viceroy, Essex. Up to the present we have enjoyed great peace, and

I sailed along with the favourable wind with sails unfurled; but for the future, till I find what winds will blow, I will steer very cautiously. As to the expense of letters. . . . how many have I written to your Excellency and to the Sacred Congregation, and how many letters have I received! You have my letters, *but in a certain emergency, when an outburst of persecution was feared in Armagh, I had to burn all my foreign letters, even the Brief of my Consecration. This happened last June twelve months on the Vigil of St. John's, when it was circulated by the Presbyterians that the Catholics had conspired to murder on that night all the Protestants.* The Viceroy was then in London."

Writing on the 2nd August, 1671, after referring to the disturbances that were occasioned in Galway by the recriminations of French and his opponents, he adds:—

"They are anxious that I should go to extinguish this fire, by which the whole province is consumed, and which occasions great scandal. I, however, have no money to undertake such a journey, for it is in the most distant part of the kingdom. I solemnly assure, that when my debts are paid, 100 scudi do not remain to me in this world: by my visitations of the province, the building of schools, and the maintaining of the Jesuit Fathers, my own support, and the expense of letters, homo and foreign, *totaliter exhaustus sum*. It is now two years since I left Rome, and I think I maintained this correspondence better than any of my brethren—*plus omnibus laboravi*—and never did I give repose to my mind or hands—let all be to the greater glory of God.

"The good Archbishop of Tuam has suffered, and yet suffers more than can be conceived; and it grieves the poor man more that he is not able to attend to the government of his province on account of so many summonses before the Courts, than all his other sufferings.

"We are here awaiting from day to day the arrival of our Viceroy, Berkeley, which is wished for by all: those whom he left at the head of the Government acted with great moderation. The poor Catholic gentry laboured hard with their load of taxes this year; every one had to pay to the King an entire year's income; this reduced them to the straitest circumstances, and also impoverished our Clergy, which has no other revenue than the voluntary offerings of the faithful: we are here as in the primitive church. It is said that a similar tax will not be levied for the future. God grant it may be so; and with a profound reverence I remain, &c."

Again, on the 9th of August, he writes to the Internunzio:—

"The Earl of Orrery, President of the province of Cashel, has published a most annoying edict against the clergy, in the cities of Cork and Limerick, prohibiting their saying Mass in these cities, and hence they have to go out to the country to celebrate Mass.

"In many of the dioceses of my province there is only one member of the chapter, viz., a Dean or Archdeacon: when the see becomes

vacant, this member, *intra octo dies, eligit Vicarium Generalem; est ne electio valida?*

"As the Roman climate is not agreeing with Dr. Brennan, and he is, consequently, obliged to return to Ireland, the bishops of this kingdom send as their agent to the Roman Court Dr. Peter Creagh: he studied in Rome, and knows the Italian language; he is a gentlemanly man, and I am sure that he will be esteemed by your Excellency, and that he will well discharge the office entrusted to him."

On the 17th of the same month he again writes:—

"A most painful event happened in the town of Ross, in the diocese of Ferns. A certain Nicholas Nugent, of the Society of Jesus, challenged the Protestant minister to a dispute. Amongst other things the Protestant minister asked him did he admit that the King was the head of the church in this kingdom. Father Nicholas replied that the King was master in temporal or civil things, but that the Pope was head in spiritual matters. During the dispute Father Nicholas also called the Bible used by the Protestants a false Bible, full of errors, and hence not to be styled the word of God. The polite minister cited the good father in the month of July at the assizes of Ross. He was there tried for having used the above language, as also for having said Mass publicly, and was condemned to pay 130 scudi, as well as to one year's imprisonment and the confiscation of all his goods. I must confess that the Father might have kept out of these questions and avoided these odious disputes, from which little good ever results, as experience has convinced us. When a person is found guilty of *præsumptio*, the King only can pardon him.

"I wrote to your Excellency how the Earl of Orrery published an edict in Limerick and Cork, prohibiting Mass in these cities. A nice exchange we would have made, did we get him for our Viceroy, as it was desired by some.

"Thanks to God, this province *alta fruitur pace*: God grant that it may continue. Now that the great heat is over I shall make a visitation of the diocese of Raphoe, which is the most remote one of my province, and distant 120 miles. Nevertheless you can direct your letters as before, for I shall have an agent in Dublin to receive them, and there is little danger of their being intercepted. I suppose you will have received before this the Briefs for those of my province." (17th Aug., 1671.)

On the 2nd of October Dr. Plunket informs the Internunzio that he had had a long interview with the Viceroy, who remarked, amongst other things,

"That many letters of Airoidi† and Baldeschi‡ had been intercepted, but that they gave great satisfaction to the government: and

\* The Internunzio in Brussels.

† The Secretary of Propaganda.

raising his voice, he said, if you follow their wise counsels and do not interfere in political or civil matters, you shall have no annoyance from the King. He also said that he had seen the printed instructions which are given to missionaries, and he praised them, especially the last, which exhorts all not to write about any temporal or political matters. He also said that some of my letters to Airoidi and Baldeschi had been intercepted, and that he always found great praises lavished on himself in them, for which he thanked me. I replied that this was only an act of justice on my part: he then said that he caused all these letters to be diligently sealed and replaced in the post, and that he had given orders to have no further letters of mine intercepted or brought to him. I gave him infinite thanks for this, and after many ceremonies, I took my leave, in order to set out for the extreme north, that is, for the diocese of Derry. Oh, how opportune was the arrival of the Brief for Dr. Conwell; for, after the death of Terence Kelly, he and the Archdeacon of Derry disputed about jurisdiction: however, the Brief will decide all. You will receive but few letters from me during this month, as I shall be in the extreme north; but you can send your letters, with the direction, Mr. Oliver Plunket, Dublin: I will always direct mine for the future, a Mons. de Pruissou, Brussels. I pray you to send all my letters to Monsignor Baldeschi, and to keep copies of them, that thus you may not forget the matters of which they treat, and may be able to refresh the memory of Monsignor Baldeschi about them: though, indeed, he has such a disposition to do good to this country, that one might suppose he thought of it alone: God will remunerate him and your Excellency in this world and in the next.

"Dr. Brennan wrote to me more than once, that Monsignor Baldeschi would receive in Propaganda two youths of noble birth from my diocese: one is of the family of O'Neal, the noblest of this kingdom; the other is nephew of the Earl of Fingall and of Sir Nicholas Plunket, a most zealous Catholic, as all allow: and as our agent, Dr. Creagh, is about to start for Rome, and in two days will embark for Bourdeaux, and as he knows the French and Italian well, and is of most gentlemanly deportment, they will travel in his company, and I hope that they will become learned and prudent and good labourers and prelates, in this kingdom, at their own good time.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"2nd October, 1671."

---

1672.

Early in February, 1672, the Papal Bull, granting a Jubilee to the faithful, in order to conciliate the aid of heaven in favour of the kingdom of Poland, was received by the Archbishops of Ireland. And though our records merely commemorate its having been received and published by them, yet we may rest assured that the heroic constancy which that nation displayed, and the

severe persecutions which it endured, awakened a lively interest, and enkindled an ardent devotion in the faithful people of Ireland.

On the 2nd of April, 1672, Dr. Plunket thus wrote to the Internunzio:—

“I have received your most agreeable note of the 22nd of March, and I am delighted that my letters were pleasing to you.

“In the Diocesan Synod, after Easter, I will make a decree, prohibiting the questing of religious at the parochial Mass; for now, after the late decrees of the king, there is greater toleration, and they can solicit alms *ostiatim*.

“During the past two years I expended in letters £58 sterling, and less than £25 per annum cannot suffice for them. I sent a receipt of Father Superior of the Jesuit Residence for the money which he received from me, and a few days ago I gave him 20 scudi more, as he alleged he had no money for their sustenance. Moreover, as one of the Fathers, called Father Browne, was engaged in preaching, I employed another priest to instruct the ecclesiastics; he is a secular priest, and a very worthy man, and is named Edward Dromgole: I gave him his diet and 50 scudi during the past winter, and there were 56 priests in his class.”

“The diocese of Ardagh is very far from me, and the journey would be difficult in winter; and hence I did not go in person to arrange matters there, but next May I will visit it.

“The affair of Harold costs me more than 50 scudi, but although I should have to pawn the mitre and the ring, I was resolved not to leave him till I saw him embarked.

“All the orders of the King, in favour of the Catholics, have been punctually executed by the Viceroy in every part of the kingdom, without any actual opposition: the enemies of our religion murmur, however, and say most extravagant things about the King and the Viceroy: the Catholics can now be sheriffs, and some have already been installed; they also can be incorporated in the cities as they were before the last war of 1641.

“The pension that was allowed me by the King has vanished. The Earl of Ranelagh prevented it, as well as other expenses, under pretext of the poverty of the treasury: hence, if I do not receive some assistance from their Eminences, I shall be reduced to great misery.”

Before the close of the year the Internunzio Airoidi was promoted from Brussels to Florence. Dr. Plunket, whilst he rejoiced at his promotion, laments the loss of his services to the Irish Church, which had been productive of so much good:—

“I have received your letter,” he says, “of the 6th of December, and it occasions consolation at the same time and affliction,—joy and sadness; and it gives occasion of congratulating your Excellency, and of condoling with this nation, which you found disturbed and agitated in spiritual matters, whilst you leave it in tranquillity and peace; and

now that Coppinger has submitted, and that the Archbishop of Dublin and I are reconciled, I do not see what there is to disturb the kingdom. Even the Dominicans and Franciscans are now at peace, of which matters I shall give a detailed account to Cardinals Altieri, Rospigliosi, and my other masters in the Eternal City.

"So then your Excellency goes to Florence, where Galileo and the Crusca are all the fashion; thence to Venice; afterwards to Madrid, and then to the Purple, which brings with it many other consequences. As hitherto I have been one of your most annoying correspondents from this kingdom, with my long and tedious letters, so I do not know if you will entirely get rid of me in Florence, and I will continue my correspondence, together with my affectionate devotedness to your Excellency.

"(22) 12th December, 1672.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH."

Writing on the 16th of the same month, he acquaints the Sacred Congregation with the result of the Provincial Chapter of the Franciscan Fathers:—

"The Chapter of the Friars is over: it was a serious business to elect a Provincial and guardians that will last three years: one would imagine that it was the King of Poland was to be elected. At length a certain Father Kelly was made Provincial. In reality, however, this Chapter appointed four Provincials for the four provinces of Ireland: the Arch-Provincial will reside in Tuam: he has three Deputies, with the title of Commissaries, in the other provinces—Father John Brady, a great defender of the *mare magnum*, in my province: Father James Darcy in Dublin; and another, whose name I forget, in the province of Cashel."

---

1673.

On the 8th of October, 1673, the Archbishop of Armagh thus wrote to the Internunzio in Brussels:—

"Before my arrival in these northern kingdoms, there were but few Irish prelates that kept up correspondence with your predecessors; and I do not hesitate to say that I wrote more letters during the last four years than the Irish Bishops during the preceding thirty years. I moreover stimulated the other prelates to write and to correspond with the Holy See, and I expended about 400 scudi (£100) in this correspondence.

"I found that the Catholic children were frequenting Protestant schools, and hence I brought the Jesuits hither, who, for three years and three months, have held schools, to the great advantage of religion. In addition to the 500 scudi which I gave them in the first two years,

God alone knows all that I expended, in frequent journeys to Dublin, to reply to the memorials which the Protestant ministers and teachers presented to the Viceroy against the Jesuit schools.

The few pence that I put together are now all gone, and my diocese gives me only 240 scudi a year. The Protestant primate has all the revenue, which amounts to £20,000 per annum, so that we are precisely in the same condition as the bishops of the primitive Church.

"The whole kingdom, as far as regards ecclesiastical matters, enjoys at present the greatest peace. God grant that the next Parliament may give us no annoyance. Should anything of that nature happen you shall be informed of it, and in conclusion I make a profound reverence."

---

#### 1674.

The long-threatened persecution against the Catholics was in this year let loose in all its fury. The clergy were everywhere obliged to fly to the woods and mountains to seek a refuge: still, as good shepherds, they did not neglect to visit and console their flocks, and often did they sacrifice their lives in this ministry of charity. The details of this persecution, as regards our primate, will be found in another chapter. Early in the year letters were addressed to him by the Sacred Congregation extolling his zeal and courage in braving the threatening storm, and on the 8th of May letters were again addressed to him renewing these commendations, and exhorting him to constancy and firmness of soul.\* Other afflictions were added to the sword, and the Internunzio writes on the 11th of August, 1674: "I received intelligence from various quarters that a great famine prevails in Ireland, and that the greater part of its prelates are reduced to a most miserable condition, as they endure not only persecution, but also the privation of those emoluments which they usually received from their dioceses."\*

Dr. Plunket, writing on the 18th October, 1674, details many particular events of this afflicting year:—

"I am now in greater want than ever, and only sixty scudi now remain to me in this world, nor is there any hope of receiving aid from my diocese, the people are so poor. I think none of my colleagues are so badly off, with the exception of the Archbishop of

\* Vide Notam Archiv. S. Congnis.

† "Da più parti vengo informato della gran carestia ch'è in Ibernica e dello stato miserabile nel quale si trovano la maggior parte di quei Vescovi, aggiungendosi alla persecuzione la mancanza degli emolumenti che per il loro mantenimento erano soliti di cavare dalle loro diocesi."

Cashel (Dr. Burgatt) and the Bishop of Waterford (Dr. Brennan). The Archbishop of Cashel, compelled by necessity, sent around to all the gentlemen of the diocese to quest for alms, and he got only eighty scudi (£20), and the Bishop of Waterford receives from his diocese only eighty scudi per annum. The Archbishop of Tuam, too, is reduced to great poverty; but he, after being imprisoned in Galway, by order of the Viceroy, was exiled to Spain a few days ago: he is an exemplary prelate and a true ecclesiastic. The Vicar-General of Raphoe, a learned and exemplary man, Bernard Magorke, is also reduced to great misery, and has suffered great annoyance from the assize judges: his diocese does not yield sixty scudi per annum; he can scarcely keep clothes on his back. The Vicar-General of Meath, Oliver Dease, after his liberation from prison, having given security that he would leave the kingdom, died a few days ago: he was Vicar-General for thirty-seven years, and a man of great prudence and virtue.

"The Parliament having been prorogued till the month of April we have some hopes that during the winter the assize judges and other ministers will not be so rigorous in executing the decrees.

"Egan, the apostate Franciscan, is come to Dublin from London, in order to preach against the Catholic doctrines; and the apostate Jesuit Sall has gone to Cashel on a like errand."

## 1675.

On the departure of the Internunzio, Falconieri, from Brussels, in the commencement of this year, Signor Agretti remained there as acting agent, with the title of Pro-Internunzio. Writing to the Sacred Congregation on 20th of April, 1675, he says:—

"Father Howard has written to state that the King of England made his excuses with him in regard of the late edict against the Catholics, saying that his intention in publishing this edict was to favour the Catholics; as, had he not published it, the parliament would assuredly have enacted a similar decree, which would be an irrevocable law; whilst, on the contrary, when published by the king, it was in his power to connive at the Catholics, and recall the edict when an occasion presented itself."

Whatever may have been the king's intentions, the storm of persecution continued to rage against the Irish Catholics, and in consequence of the imminent risks to which our bishops were exposed, the visiting of the sacred *Limina* was dispensed with in their regard for twenty-five years, and faculty was granted them



of making this visit through their resident Procurator in the Roman court.\*

A paper presented to the Sacred Congregation in the following year by Father Mollon, of the order of St. Francis, records one of those arts by which the clergy, whilst they continued their usual functions, succeeded in eluding the vigilance of their persecutors:—

“In Ireland,” he says, “we are often obliged to celebrate our chapters in *montibus et sylvis*, when forsooth there is danger in other parts. The last time, however, it was held in a city and on a fair day, for whilst the world was engaged in trafficking, the religious, with a holy cunning, feigned a similar occupation, but far different was their business, viz., the holding of the chapter; this happened in the month of August, 1675.”†

## 1676

In a note of the archives of Propaganda for the Congregation of 10th February, 1676, we find the following reference to a letter of the Archbishop of Armagh, of which we have been unable to discover any further trace:—

“The Archbishop of Armagh writes for the renewal of faculties for himself and the Bishop of Meath, as these faculties have almost expired. He writes, moreover, that De Burgo, formerly Vicar-Apostolic, has been imprisoned in the province of Tuam, and condemned to perpetual imprisonment and the confiscation of all his property; as he has no means of subsistence, Dr. Plunket supposes that he shall receive assistance from the Sacred Congregation.”‡

In the preceding year the general Jubilee had been celebrated with great solemnity in Rome, but so violent were the persecutions, so many the afflictions to which the Irish Catholics were subjected, that few could visit the sacred shrines of the Eternal

\* Note of Congregation, 14th January, 1675.

† In Iberniam multe volte si fanuo li Capitoli in montibus et sylvis, quando vi è pericolo in habitato. Questa ultima volta si celebrò in habitato, ed in tempo di fiera, dove essendo il secolo occupato nei suoi traffichi, li Religiosi con santa astuzia fingevano fare il medesimo, ma *aliud egerunt*, cioè il Capitolo; e questo successe l'Agosto passato cioè del 1675.

‡ “L'Arcivescovo d'Armagh scrive per la rinnovazione delle sue facoltà e di quelle del Vescovo Midense che stanno per spirare. Scrive inoltre che il De Burgo già Vicario Apostolico è stato carcerato nella Provincia Tuamense e condannato ad perpetuos carceres cum confiscatione omnium bonorum onde non avendo da vivere, stima che la S. Congne. lo sovverrà con qualche sussidio.”

City, and perform the works prescribed for gaining its Indulgences. The Archdeacon of Dublin, Isidore Bertach, had come to Rome, in the year of which we are now speaking (1676), to perform the visit *ad limina*, in the name of his venerable bishop; and the four archbishops of Ireland availed themselves of this opportunity to solicit through him that a special Jubilee should be granted to Ireland, so that all the indulgences of that holy time might be gained by the faithful without their being obliged to leave the shores of our island.\*

It was in this year, too, that Dr. John Brennan, Bishop of Waterford, and the bosom friend of our archbishop, was transferred to the see of Cashel. A little before this translation, Dr. Plunket went on a visit to some relatives in these dioceses, and he availed himself of the opportunity thus presented to him to examine the state of ecclesiastical discipline in these parts. "The Archbishop of Armagh, our Primate," thus writes Dr. Brennan, on 16th September, 1676, "is at present in this quarter of the world. He inspected the diocese of Cashel and my diocese to his great satisfaction, seeing that ecclesiastical matters were in as great order as the condition of the times will allow." The primate himself, writing on the 1st of October, 1676, gives a detailed account of this visit. This letter is as follows:—

"Before receiving yours of the 18th of last month, being already aware, through another channel, of the death of our most glorious common Father and Pastor, I wrote to the suffragan dioceses, inviting both clergy and people, in a pressing manner, to implore the divine mercy for the eternal welfare of the deceased parent of Christendom; and for the immediate election of a holy successor of St. Peter; but now, at the request of your Excellency, and in obedience to their Eminences, I shall write again to the different dioceses, nor will I be wanting on my own part to offer my feeble prayers in a matter of such importance.

"During the past four weeks I was on a visit with some relatives and friends in Cashel and Waterford, where, through the goodness of God, and the kindness of the Bishop of Waterford, I saw all the clergy; they are very orderly, and devoted to the service of God; and the Catholics, although poor, are rich in spiritual consolations. Had I not myself witnessed the poverty of the Catholics, both ecclesiastics and laity, in the districts in Cashel, I could, with difficulty, have believed it. In the city of Cashel there is not a single Catholic that could give lodging for one night; there is but one parish priest in the whole city; in the surrounding districts the soldiers and officers of Cromwell hold nearly all the lands, having expelled the Catholic tenants; so that in these districts, and in the whole diocese, there are only about twenty priests, who subsist with difficulty, so that the episcopal revenue

\* See Appendix, No. 48.

is no more than eighty scudi per annum; the late Archbishop had at his death only twenty scudi, whilst his debts exceeded 100 scudi. Hence, I see how justly the Bishop of Waterford refuses to be promoted to this archdiocese; for, how could a bishop support himself, and also a servant, with eighty scudi a year? To say the truth, there is no one better qualified, either by learning or prudence, or the esteem of the people, for the Pallium of Cashel than Dr. Brennan, and perhaps he would accept it without difficulty were he allowed to retain the administration of his present diocese, which, indeed, is not half a quarter of an hour's drive; what do I say? it is not even the distance of a Miserere from the archdiocese of Cashel. The diocese which he now governs has no more than thirty priests, so that both dioceses together have about fifty priests, and thus his revenue will reach about 200 scudi per annum. Now the Bishop of Cork has about eighty priests in his diocese; the Bishop of Killaloe seventy; the Bishop of Meath seventy; and the Bishop of Elphin fifty, with more extensive districts than the Bishop of Waterford would thus have with his fifty priests. The Archbishop of Cashel had at other times the diocese of Waterford in administration, or *in commendam*, and considering the vicinity of the two dioceses, it would be more advantageous for Waterford to be subject to his administration than to be governed by a Vicar Capitular. The Bishop of Waterford could administer confirmation, perform the visitations, &c., in both dioceses without any difficulty; and I know that the Catholics of Cashel and Waterford most anxiously desire this. Considering, therefore, the poverty of both dioceses, and their contiguity, I beseech you to obtain from their Eminences that the Bishop of Waterford, when advanced to the sacred Pallium, may retain the administration of his present diocese. Certainly if the bishops of Killaloe and Cork, and others, can govern seventy or eighty priests, and if (to take an example from a diocese nearer to your Excellency\*) if, I say, the Bishop of Holland can govern greater districts, and almost more Catholics than are to be found in all Ireland, Dr. Brennan must be able to govern fifty priests; nor is it becoming that one who taught in Propaganda philosophy for nine years, and theology for five; and who, as his Eminence Cardinal Colonna well knows, worked and toiled in other matters in the service of the Sacred Congregation, should now be left to subsist on eighty scudi a year. I again beseech you, therefore, to procure that Dr. Brennan, when transferred to the Pallium of Cashel, may be allowed by their Eminences to retain the administration of his present diocese, and making you a reverence, I shall ever remain,

“Your Excellency's most devoted servant,

“OLIVER OF ARMAGH, &c.

“Dublin, October, 1676.

“P.S.—The bishops of Cork and Clogher have arrived in Nantes, and we expect their arrival here in a few days. I pray you to send this letter to Monsignor Cerri.”

\* The letter is addressed to the Internuncio in Brussels.

Amongst the Irish prelates who were most exposed to persecution during this eventful period, must be numbered Dr. John de Burgo, Vicar-Apostolic of Killala. In his youth he had served for some years as officer in the Austrian army of North Italy, but, renouncing the world, he dedicated himself to the service of the altar; and was appointed abbot of Clare, in the West of Ireland. From 1647 till the bishop's death in 1650 he acted as Vicar-General of Killaloe, and we find him three years later arrested by Cromwell, and sent in company with eighteen other priests into banishment. For some years he dedicated himself to the sacred ministry in France and Italy till 1671, when he received a brief from Rome, appointing him Vicar-Apostolic of the ancient see of Killala. In this brief there was a clause, usual, indeed, in the appointments of Vicars-Apostolic in those times, that, *ipso facto*, his appointment was annulled if, within four months, he did not visit his diocese. The appointment was made in the special Congregation of 30th June, 1671, but as De Burgo was then travelling through Italy, it was only on the 22nd of November that the brief reached him in Milan. At the solicitation of Cardinal Litta, a second brief, dated 30th of May, 1672, was granted, without the restrictive clause; and before the close of that year Dr. De Burgo reached the shores of Ireland. Difficulties, however, had been for some time gathering around the path of the newly appointed pastor. During the vacancy of the see, the Archbishop of Tuam, as Metropolitan, appointed Rev. John Dowley, a venerable ecclesiastic, Vicar-General of that diocese; and the informality of De Burgo's first brief having become generally known, the Archbishop of Tuam, his Metropolitan, refused to acknowledge his appointment, or instal him as Vicar-Apostolic till the second brief was submitted to him. This, however, Dr. De Burgo absolutely refused. He had imbibed the opinion that Vicars-Apostolic were subject *only* to the Holy See, and hence refused to acknowledge any authority in the Archbishop, in his regard, as we learn from a letter of the Internuncio of the 25th November, 1673.

Matters were in this state when De Burgo visited the Archbishop of Armagh, and presented to him the brief of the Holy See. Dr. Plunket recognized at once the genuineness of his appointment, and not being aware of his refusal to acknowledge the authority of the Metropolitan, hesitated not to address the following letter to the Archbishop of Tuam, in which he expresses his surprise how any doubt could be entertained as to the fact of De Burgo's lawful appointment, and corroborates this sentiment by the authority of his friend, the Bishop of Waterford.

We present the original letter of Dr. Plunket, retaining, moreover, its characteristic orthography:—

“DR. PLUNKET TO THE ARCHBISHOP OF TUAM.

“23rd September, 1674.

MY LORD,—I have perused the Breve Apostolicum that Dr. John Burk got for the Vicarship Apostolick of Killally, dated the 22nd of June, 1672, and I know it to be an Authentick Breve, and, whereas, it is not as the first breve with limitation of four months, I think there can be nothing said against it, or giving the said Dr. Burk any hindering in his possession. I know alsoe Cardinale Antony Barberini's letter, and the late letter of Cardinal Litta, who doth admire he should be hindered in his possession, and so doe I alsoe, he having gott this second breve. And the Bishope of Waterford, Dr. Brennan, was with me all the last winter, who tould me that this diocess was given to Jo. Burk without that he ever did demand it, but this was forced, as I may say, on him. And what Doctor Creagh writs to Father Gregory Joice, that there was sentence given by the S. Congregation against the said Dr., if any such sentence was given, it must have beene by misinformation, and on the account of the first breve, which had a limitation of four months; and my lord who can ever believe that any should be cast or condemned not being heard: non est mos apud Romanos quemquam inauditum condemnare, qui statuit aliquid inauditâ alterâ æquum licet statuerit haud æquus fuit. Father Burk was not cited or summoned; how then could sentence be given against him in Rome, or dato non concessio, that it had beene, how can he be hindered or troubled in possession untill he had seen the very sentence under the Secretary's hand; but as I tould, if any such sentence was given, it was in condemnation of the first breve and not of the second breve (which is auth)enticall\* as your Lordship's breve or unqua, and therefore neither in justice (hones)ty, or conscience, can Dr. Burk be troubled, nai, from the day he (came to) possession, he hath jus to recover all the proxis, and it cannot (be) kept from in conscience, and whoever tooke it he is bound to (make rest)itution, which is the undoubted opinion of

“Your Lordship's most affectionate friend and servant,

“OLIVER PLUNKET.

(On the back) “These

“For Mr. James Lynch,

“at Galway.”†

\* The words in this, and the following parentheses, are illegible in the original, but the context allows of no doubt as to how they should be supplied.

† This letter of Dr. Plunket received the following approbations, which we give in the original:—

“Opinionem antedictam approbo, ut conformem æquitati et justitiæ: Ita attestamur

“PAT. MIDDLE, Epus.

“Idem attestor PAT. CLOGHEREN Epus.

“Idem etiam attestor PAT. DUFFLUS Abbas Benchoren. S. Th. Mag.

What may have been the reply of the Archbishop of Tuam we have no means of determining. Certain it is that he convinced the primate of the justness of his motives in refusing to admit Dr. De Burgo. Nor was he content with this; he referred the matter to the judgment of Rome, and the Sacred Congregation soon sanctioned the course he had pursued, and appointed the Rev. John Dowley Vicar-Apostolic of Killala.

We cannot conclude without stating, in a few words, the remaining history of Dr. De Burgo. Before the close of 1674 he was arrested by order of the Crown, accused of "bringing Protestants to the Catholic faith, contrary to the statutes of the kingdom, exercising foreign jurisdiction, preaching perverse doctrines, and remaining in the kingdom despite the act of parliament of 28th March, 1674, &c. For two years he was detained in prison, with irons on his hands and feet;" at the assizes he publicly declared that the Pope, as Vicar of Christ, was Head of the Catholic Church; he rejected with scorn a private offer that was made to him of being promoted to a Protestant Bishoprick, should he conform to the established Church. Conducted from Ballinrobe to Dublin, he there displayed the same firmness, and was at length sentenced to the confiscation of his goods and perpetual imprisonment. The Earl of Clanrickard, who was his relative, soon after obtained his release, which was accorded, on condition that he should pay the sum of £80 sterling within one month, and retire to the continent.

During his imprisonment De Burgo had made a vow to visit the Holy Places should he re-attain his liberty. In 1679 he fulfilled this vow; but on his return from Jerusalem was captured by pirates in the Mediterranean, stripped of all he possessed, and sold as a slave. He, however, found means to escape to Constantinople, where he took refuge with the Austrian ambassador. He thence proceeded to Venice and Rome, and receiving frequent aid from the Sacred Congregation, seems to have passed in peace the closing years of his eventful life.

"Idem infrascripti Presules et ordinarii Provincie Connacie seu Tuamensis attestamur et censemus dictum Doctorem De Burgo injuste in sua possessione esse molestatum et Diocesis predictæ esse legitimum Vicarium Apostolicum.

"D. FR. DOMINICUS ELFINENSIS, Epus.

"FR. THADÆUS CLONFERT, Epus.

"MICHAEL LYNCH, Vic. Ap. Duacensis.

"MAURITIUS DORKANUS, Vic. Gen. Acaden.

"Idem attestor FR. JOANNES REGINALD, Notarius Apost.

\* "Con ferri alle mani e piedi."—(His own narrative in 1683. Archiv. de Prop.)

1677.

The Internunzio, Tanari, on the 4th December, 1677, writes, that he had received intelligence from the Archbishop of Armagh, of numerous bodies of banditti having organized themselves throughout the kingdom, whilst, on the other hand, troops of soldiery roamed through the country to exterminate them, "so that great prejudice resulted thence to those who profess our holy religion."\* All that was vile, and worthless, and immoral, joined these bandit corps, and from them the government of the nation chose its perjured witnesses to lead to the scaffold the Primate of our Church, and to cast opprobrium on our holy religion.

1678.

In this year was decided in favour of the Archbishop of Armagh the controversy which had been long carried on against him by Dr. Fitzsymons, the deposed Vicar-General of Kilmore. The Sacred Congregation not only sanctioned the decision of Dr. Plunket, but commanded that the calumnies which were circulated against him by some over-zealous partizans of the opposite party should no longer be received by any officials of the Holy See, or brought under the deliberations of the Propaganda.

Dr. Fitzsymons, in the commencement of his ecclesiastical career, had given proofs of great abilities and prudence, and conciliated the esteem of our primate, as well as of his glorious predecessor, Dr. Edmund O'Reilly. For fifteen years he taught theology in Brussels, and bore the reputation of being "learned, unostentatious, and prudent." † On the 25th of June, 1666, the primate, Edmund O'Reilly, appointed him Vicar-General of Kilmore, and as the Bishop, Dr. Eugene M'Swiney, was wholly incapacitated by his past sufferings, as well as his present infirmities and age, from attending to the spiritual wants of that diocese, its administration was committed to the newly-appointed Vicar. His government of the diocese was not, however, acceptable to all, and we find that, after many dissensions, the

\* "Riferisce Mgr. Armacano essersi ammassati in quel Regno gran numeri di Banditi, e spingendosi molte soldatesche per esterminarli, risultarne gran pregiudizio a quelli che professano la nostra religione."

† Fu riconosciuto sempre per savio, modesto e discreto. Letter of Tanari, Archiv. della Sac. Congre.

Provincial Council of Armagh, which was held in Owengelly on the 25th of May, 1669, passed sentence of deposition against Fitzsymons, and subjected him to ecclesiastical censures. The Holy See deputed the Bishop of Meath, Dr. Patrick Plunket, to investigate this matter. Before his arrival in the diocese of Kilmore, Dr. Eugene M'Swiney had closed his earthly career; however, Dr. Plunket convened the clergy of the diocese, and on the 25th of October, 1669, whilst he declared Dr. Fitzsymons lawful Vicar-General of the diocese, published, at the same time, a general absolution of all those who, in the preceding dissensions, might have incurred any ecclesiastical censures. This sentence of Dr. Patrick Plunket received the sanction of our Primate, a few days after his arrival in the kingdom, on the 7th March, 1670.

For about five years Fitzsymons stood high in the esteem of Dr. Oliver Plunket, and laboured as a zealous missionary in the province of Armagh. He had occasion more than once to inflict the penalties of the Church on some refractory ecclesiastics, and his judgment was invariably confirmed by the primate. About Christmas, 1674, having written to Dr. Plunket that some of those whom he had corrected still continued contumacious, he received the following reply:—

*"Contemptores superiorum nullo modo sunt tollerandi; suspende et priva illos. Nil est in te quod mihi aut ulli honesto displiceat. Si appellaverint ad me eos non protegam sed potius severius puniam. Qui nolunt solvere Proxim aut ea quæ Concilium Provinciale decrevit suspendantur. Tu ne cede malis sed contra audentior ito.*

*"9 January, 1675, (styl. nov.)*

*OLIVER ARMACANUS."*

In an address presented in favour of Fitzsymons to Rome in April, 1675, it is said, that in the preceding January Dr. Plunket had written to him in these terms:—"Certus sis me vivente non alium in ista dioecesi quam te futurum ordinarium: imo, si hodie mihi morituro liceret de Primatiali dignitate ultimum condere testamentum, tibi sane relinquerem, quia te plus diligo æstimoque quam ullum in Ultonia ecclesiasticum." It is added, that in a subsequent letter he had also written:—"Nihil est in te quod mihi vel ulli honesto displicere queat, imo nullus est in Ultonia ecclesiasticus quem plus amo vel æstimo ut alias fuse scripsi."

Nevertheless, about this time, Dr. Fitzsymons seems to have



passed all bounds in deposing some worthy priests of Kilmore, who appealed from his sentence to the decision of the Archbishop of Armagh. Dr. Plunket invited by letters the vicar administrator to answer the charges made against him; the only fragment of this letter which has been preserved to us is the sentence: "*cum tu nihil contumelia dignum agas, mendacia sperne.*" This investigation resulted in the deposition of Fitzsymons on the 12th of May following.

The change in the career of this man is most surprising; but Dr. Plunket, in one of his letters, sufficiently explains it for us, and his sentiment is corroborated by the testimony of the Bishop of Waterford. Early in the year 1675 Fitzsymons was seized with violent attacks of dysentery, which continued almost without interruption for more than two months. This long-protracted illness affected his mental faculties, and brought on a sort of childish imbecility, which afflicted him during the remainder of his life. He thus became the tool of evil-designing men, and his actions seemed inspired alone by enmity to the Holy See, to Dr. Plunket, and to the most deserving priests of his own diocese.

We have no fewer than three letters of Dr. Plunket, wholly devoted to this controversy. In the first, which bears the date of 2nd December, 1676, he thus writes to the Internunzio:—

"I have received a letter of your Excellency, of the 6th ult., about the affair of Thomas Fitzsymons, who was deposed by me about fourteen months ago. During the seven years and a half that I have laboured in this Church, I merited but little praise; but if ever I merited any, it should surely have been for removing Fitzsymons from his office of Vicar-General of Kilmore. About two years ago he had an attack of sickness, and since that he has never been sane of mind. He deposed three parish priests, without even citing them to appear, and when they appealed to me, though I decided in their favour, yet he refused to obey. The Vicar whom I appointed to succeed him, and who still continues, is Bernard Geaghron, who is 60 years of age, a man of holy life, and who was at other times Vicar-General. During the past spring, however, as he was rather infirm, I appointed, as his assistant, Father Bernard Brady, who is 38 years of age, and has been a Rural Vicar for 12 years: he is a man of sound judgment; and as the diocese of Kilmore is 58 miles in length, the good old Geaghron was not able to discharge all the duty."

The principal accusation made against Dr. Plunket by some of the abettors of Fitzsymons was, that he had called in the aid of the civil magistrate to punish some of the clergy of Kilmore. To this Dr. Plunket replies in his second letter, dated 20th December, 1676:—

"Of this accusation I know absolutely nothing: I never caused any priest to be arrested, nor did I seek the aid of any lay tribunals; neither was I during the past three years in the diocese of Kilmore. Why not name the priest that was arrested? who was the judge or layman to whom I had recourse, or who issued the writ of arrest? I am astounded that any one in his senses should write such falsehoods. On the contrary, it was Dr. Thomas Fitzsymons that had recourse to Boris against me, though in vain: he had also recourse to the sheriff of the county Cavan, whose name is Mr. John Maxwell: and he had recourse to Sir Charles Hamilton. I have the letters of these gentlemen, but as they saw his imbecility, they refused to give him any support. Not long ago he had recourse to Sir Hans Hamilton, member of the Supreme Council of this kingdom, to have a writ of arrest against Rev. Bernard Brady, as this clergyman informs me.

"I may now assure your Excellency, that I never did anything with more deliberation, or better weighed in point of discipline and canon law, than the deposition of Fitzsymons. When I delivered sentence *ne viderer inniti prudentiæ meæ*, I called Dr. Cusack, who studied in Rome, and Dr. Dromgole, who studied in Salamanca, who are the two most learned persons of this province, that they might aid me with their counsel: they were both present when I delivered sentence, and Cusack, though a friend of Fitzsymons, told him publicly that he had not a shadow of reason or of right on his side.

"Nevertheless he is not content with disturbing the diocese of Kilmore, but, as I learned from trustworthy persons, during the past two months he has been trying, by word and by writing, to excite some of the clergy of the diocese of Clogher against the Apostolic See, and against their bishop, Dr. Tyrrell, a truly learned, exemplary, and amiable prelate."

The third letter of Dr. Plunket details further particulars relative to the sad career pursued by Fitzsymons, after his deposition, and dwells particularly on the disturbance which he was creating in Clogher:—

"Fitzsymons," he says, "has succeeded in exciting some of the clergy of Clogher against Dr. Tyrrell, a learned and exemplary bishop, and for this purpose he wrote a paper, asserting that the Holy See could not appoint a bishop for that see without their postulation and election. I wrote, in reply, that his Holiness *dudum sibi reservavit provisiones Hiberniæ*, and that for 200 years and more, such provision had always been made for the church of Clogher without the postulation or election of the clergy."

As we have already remarked, the Archbishop of Cashel received instructions from the Holy See to examine this whole

controversy, and make report on it to the Sacred Congregation. This he transmitted to Rome on 6th of April, 1677. In it he states many things as to the origin of the controversy, which we have already given in Dr. Plunket's words, and which it is now unnecessary to repeat. We shall translate, however, that portion which regards some accusations made by the partizans of Fitzsymons, who, as Dr. Brennan remarks, were for the most part a few unworthy members of the Franciscan order:—

“As to the accusation against the Archbishop of Armagh, that he is too familiar with the Protestant ministers, to the great scandal of the faithful, I must say, that during the whole time of my stay in this country, I never found traces of any such scandal. Moreover, if they mean by Protestant ministers, ministers of the Protestant church, it is indeed true that he is familiar with one of them who is attached to the court of the pseudo-primate; and this friendship is of great advantage to his flock, for when any lawsuits regarding Catholics, especially in matrimonial cases, are brought to the Protestant Episcopal Court, this minister remits them all to Dr. Plunket. I have not received information of his being familiar with any other minister of the Protestant church. If by Protestant ministers, they understand the magistrates and other ministers of the court, it is true that he is familiar with many of them, and he derives great benefit from them; as when any evil persons, whether lay or ecclesiastical, bring accusations against him before these ministers, they from their own personal knowledge reject them, and it is probable, that were it not for his acquaintance with these persons, he would long since have been banished from the kingdom, like the Archbishops of Dublin and Tuam, so great is the malignity of these informers.

“That the primate is not well disposed towards the natives of that province is certainly a calumny. For, in the whole province but one individual received promotion from his own family, and even that one belonged to the province of Armagh. The Bishops of Down and Clogher, who died about two years ago, were both natives of the province; the present Bishop of Clogher, too, though indeed Dr. Plunket had nothing to do in his appointment, is a native of one of the suffragan dioceses. Moreover, I know for certain that the Archbishop bears the greatest love for those of his province, and that he seeks to promote their interests both within and without this realm. Indeed, in my opinion, the present Archbishop of Armagh has attended more to the spiritual administration of that province than any of his predecessors for many years; and I say this without wishing to lower in any way the merit of the preceding primates.”

The result of the controversy was, as we have already remarked, wholly confirmatory of Dr. Plunket's decisions. Dr. Tyrrell, the Bishop of Clogher, received from the Holy See the administration of Kilmore, and Fitzsymons retired to Belgium, where he died in

1680. The principles, however, which he had laboured to disseminate survived him in the Irish Church, and continued for a long time to produce their evil fruits of discord and schism.

---

1679.

This year witnessed the renewal of the persecution in all its fury. The see of Meath had to lament the demise of Dr. Patrick Plunket, who, in his last moments, was comforted by the presence of the primate; and the whole Irish Church was filled with mourning, her chief prelates being cast into prison, and the remaining clergy being obliged to seek a shelter on the mountain tops, or in the caverns and forests. The occurrences, however, of this and the two succeeding years will hereafter claim a more special attention.

---

## CHAPTER XVIII.

### DISPUTE REGARDING THE PRIMACY.

As we find dissensions even amongst the Apostles themselves, it surely cannot surprise us that their successors in the sacred ministry should not always be found of one accord when discussing the expediency of measures destined to promote the glory of God and to propagate the Gospel of Jesus Christ. The Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin, at the time of which we speak, were both illustrious ornaments of the Church of God; they had drunk in the waters of truth at the same fountain source; they were alike animated with zeal for preserving to our island the rich inheritance of faith; and they were, moreover, destined to be one day rivals in their crown of martyrdom. From the preceding chapters it must be manifest that they had long been mutual friends, and it is moreover probable that they were bound together by ties of consanguinity. Nevertheless, when engaged in their episcopal ministry, we find them combating each other's opinions with an ardour which occasionally seemed to verge on mutual schism, and for a while engaged the attention of the whole island, and was a source of many anxious cares to all true lovers of our church. We shall easily recognize, however, in their dissensions the characteristics of those who are animated

with the true spirit of God, who, indeed, when the truth has been proposed to them, hesitate not to acknowledge their errors, and when the storm has passed, embrace anew, in the spirit of mutual forgiveness, charity, and love. In fine, we must remark that whatsoever fault or stain was contracted on either side, was surely washed away in their heroic martyrdom—the one decorating Tyburn with his life-blood, shed for the faith, and the other though within the prison walls, yet rendering like glory to God by his protracted sufferings and death, which were endured in the same glorious cause.

The question as to whether the primacy in our Irish Church should be referred to the see of Dublin or to Armagh had long been discussed in Ireland, and as no decision had as yet emanated from Rome regarding this controversy, it could scarcely be expected that prelates of such learning and ability as now filled these respective sees, would allow the matter to remain undiscussed. Now-a-days it would be a question of little importance, as our modern ecclesiastical jurisprudence allows no special jurisdiction to the primatial see, but at the time of which we speak, many special privileges, not merely as to name, but as to authority and jurisdiction, were involved in the primacy. A like controversy had long been carried on between the Protestant possessors of these sees, which, though it regarded a mere matter of title, could not be settled till the crown, obedient to the learning of Usher, gave its decision in favour of Armagh. Even to posterity the whole controversy is not without its fruits, and three valuable works yet remain which were written in support of these respective claims, and have preserved to us many interesting facts connected with the history of our Church. The first was published by Dr. Plunket in 1672, and is a small octavo of fifty-six pages, written in English, and bearing the title "*Jus Primatiale*;" or, the Ancient Pre-eminence of the See of Armagh above all other Archbishops in the Kingdom of Ireland, asserted by O. A. T. H. P.,\* which initials represent the words *Oliverus Armacanus totius Hiberniæ Primas*. The second work was written by Dr. Talbot, and published at Lisle in 1674; it is in 12mo., and consists of eighty-five pages, being written in Latin, and entitled "*Primatus Dublinensis*," &c.\* The third was the most learned and elaborate of the three, and was written in 1728, by Dr. Hugh M'Mahon, a successor of Dr. Plunket in the see

\* The primacy of Dublin, or the chief reasons on which the Church of Dublin relies on the possession and prosecution of her right to the Primacy of Ireland. In the preface, he tells us, that he engaged in the controversy from a sense of duty, believing such to be the rights of his see.

of Armagh; his work forms a thick volume in quarto, and is entitled "Jus Primatiale Armacanum, &c."†

The synod held in Dublin in 1670, of which we have already treated, presented the first occasion for a renewal of the controversy. Dr. Plunket was anxious to have the matter at once decided by the assembled prelates, but Dr. Talbot deemed it deserving of more deliberation, and referred its decision to the Holy See. The synod, however, had been convened by Dr. Plunket, and the primacy of Armagh was as if a household word of every diocese of Ireland. Then, too, in the Bull of his appointment to the see of St. Patrick, he was styled Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of Ireland; the faculties granted to him by Rome were addressed to Oliver, Archbishop of Armagh, and Primate of the Kingdom of Ireland; and in the same document the see of Armagh is styled, "the primatial church of all Ireland," *Ecclesia totius Hiberniæ primatialis*. Things being so, the presidency of the synod was without debate referred to Dr. Plunket, though, as is usual on such occasions, it was declared that the rights of neither party should be prejudiced by the order of precedence observed in that synod. Indeed, so little had this dispute of the noisy character attributed to it by some writers, that Dr. Peter Creagh (afterwards Archbishop of Dublin), who was in Dublin at the time, thus wrote on the 24th of January, 1671: "There was some difference of opinion between the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin, as to the order of signature, *nevertheless, the controversy was carried on so peaceably, that the noise of it was scarcely heard beyond the precincts of the place of assembly.*"

The controversy, however, though hushed for a while, was not terminated in this synod; it was soon rekindled anew, and for many years continued to engage the attention of the whole Catholic body. Dr. Plunket, often residing in Dublin, hesitated not to exercise there his primatial authority, and amongst the public acts of which Dr. Talbot most complained, was his having publicly absolved from censures the unfortunate French, who had prosecuted and given evidence against the Archbishop of Tuam, but whom, on his repentance, Dr. Plunket, pursuing the dictates of his meek and merciful disposition, received once more into the bosom of the church, in the city of Dublin.

Early in 1672 appeared the treatise of Dr. Plunket, asserting the primatial privileges of the see of Armagh. But even before

† "The primatial right of Armagh over all the other Archbishops, Bishops, and the entire clergy of Ireland, asserted by Hugh M'Mahon, Archbishop of Armagh, Primate of all Ireland." The initials H. A. M. T. H. P. (Hugo Armacanus M'Mahon totius Hib. Prim.) have been inadvertently translated by some Hugh of Armagh, *Master in Theology and Primate of Ireland*.

this, the opinions of the respective prelates had found amongst the laity many ardent partizans, and often had all the true friends of religion to lament the scandal which was given, and the angry feelings which were awakened by the offensive tracts which were published by these intrusive partizans, each seeking to cast ridicule and reproach on the persons and pretensions of their opponents. The leading friends of Dr. Plunket were Sir Nicholas Plunket, of whom we have already had occasion to speak, and who, a few years later, was compelled to fly to the continent, to shun the persecution which was directed against him by the enemies of our faith, and Mr. John Patrick, another Catholic advocate, to whom, towards the close of 1669, a letter was addressed by Propaganda, congratulating him on his efforts in favour of the Catholics, and in reply to which, by letter of the 5th January, 1670, he declares his readiness to ever sustain the Catholic cause, and adds—"whatever I have done in favour of the most illustrious Archbishops of Armagh and Tuam, or of the other prelates of our communion, must be referred not so much to any attention or solicitude of mine, as to the merits of these prelates."\* About this time the Valesian faction sought to intrude upon the Franciscan order in Ireland a Provincial chosen from amongst their adherents, and assailed by every means in their power Father Peter Geanor, the lawfully chosen Provincial of the order. Writing from Dublin, on the 2nd February, 1670, Father Geanor states, that he was accused before the Government by Peter Walsh, for exercising jurisdiction received from Rome; but, he adds, that he hoped to escape sentence through the ability and the intercession of some individuals, "amongst whom John Patrick is chiefly distinguished, a man truly Catholic, and well deserving of religion in these arduous times, and a warm defender of our cause: also the most illustrious Nicholas Plunket, a man highly esteemed for his piety and eloquence, as well as for his legal skill, protects and defends our cause; he drew up and arranged in order our reply to the objections of Walsh."†

On the side of the Archbishop of Dublin, the most distinguished for his ability, and the most eminent for his services in the Catholic cause, was Colonel Richard Talbot, brother of the

\* "Quidquid in favorem Illustriorum. Archi-Presulum Ardmachani et Tuamen. aut aliorum nostræ Communions Prelatorum præstiti non tam meæ curæ et sollicitudini quam ipsorum Presulum meritis referendum."

† "Eminet D. Joannes Patricius, vir sane Catholicus et de fide Catholica in hac rerum conjuncture benemeritus nostræque causæ acerrimus propugnator: Illimus. etiam D. Nicolaus Plunket magnæ æstimationis vir tum ob pietatem et faciendiam tum etiam ob legum peritiam, nostram causam tuetur et suscepit nostrumque responsum ad Valesii objectiones digessit et in bonam formam redegit."

Archbishop. He had long figured in the Court of London, and by his talents and address won for himself great influence in the Royal Council. Regarding his merits, it is sufficient to remark, that in the next reign he became Viceroy of Ireland, where he alike distinguished himself by his skill in government, and by his valour in the field. At the period of which we speak he was for many years agent of the Irish Catholics in London. From a note in the archives of Propaganda, we learn that about the feast of Candlemas in 1671,\* a meeting of the Catholic gentry was held at Oxmanstown; Dr. Plunket being in Dublin, was invited by the Archbishop to be present at its deliberations; the chief matter proposed was, that a collection should be made for the purpose of defraying the expenses of Colonel Richard Talbot as agent in London of the Irish Catholic subjects of his Majesty. On this occasion Dr. Talbot contributed £10. A Mr. Francis Barnewall contributed £5. Nothing is said of the other contributors. It is added, that Dr. Plunket promised to contribute £10, which, however, was not to be paid unless the clergy of his province would consent to subscribe to that amount. The clergy of Ulster afterwards refused to collect this sum, and this served to embitter still more the feelings of Dr. Talbot's partizans.†

But besides these distinguished personages, of whom we have been speaking, there were others amongst the partizans of both prelates who were secret agents of the Government, and who, by their violence and false reports, sought to keep alive dissensions in the Catholic body. Dr. Burgatt, of Cashel, had written a few years before, in his "Relatio," presented to Propaganda in 1668, that such agents of the Government anxiously approved and stimulated whatever tended to promote "divisions and scandals amongst the clergy, and irreverence for the Holy See. Dr. Plunket, in his letter of the 26th of September, 1671, speaking of the Remonstrants, makes the same remark:—"The noblemen," he says, "who favoured and protected them, cared but little for Walsh and his adherents, but they made use of them as instruments to divide and disunite the clergy and Catholics, *divide et impera*." Dr. Talbot, too, in his "Friar Disciplined," which was printed in 1674, but written, perhaps, somewhat earlier, observes that Peter Walsh, in his controversy about the Remonstrance, was all along a mere tool and dupe of the Government, which "for reasons best known to themselves, would let him preach and press a formulary which they foresaw would divide the Catholics amongst themselves, and discredit their religion," as well as afford a pretext

\* Intorno alla Candelora dell' anno 1670, (styl. vet.) &c.

† See letter of Dr. Talbot, Dublin, 14th August, 1671.



for subsequent arbitrary measures. The correspondence of the then Lord Lieutenant more than justifies this remark of Dr. Talbot, and in a letter of Lord Orrery, cited by Curry, (*Historical Review*, book 9th, ch. 14th), that agent of the Court thus wrote to his Excellency:—"I humbly offer to your Grace, whether this may not be a fit season to make that schism which you have been sowing among the Popish clergy, publicly break out, so as to set them at open difference, as we may reap some practical advantage thereby;" and when, some years later, the enemies of the Duke of Ormond strangely enough accused him of having shown favour to the Catholics in Ireland, he replied:—"My aim was to work a division among the Romish clergy, and I believe I had accomplished it, to the great security of the Government and the Protestants, and against the opposition of the Pope and his creatures and nuncios, if I had not been removed:" and he laments that his successors pursued a different policy, "not considering the advantages of the divisions designed."—(Carte, ii. Appendix 101.)

However, this policy of sowing dissensions amongst the clergy did not cease with the Duke of Ormond; it was continued by his successors; and we even see the Earl of Clarendon, whose good qualities have found so many eulogists amongst our historians, pursue the same course; and on the 15th of May, 1685, he thus wrote to the Earl of Rochester:—

"This is the day appointed by the titular bishops for a general convention of their clergy in this city,\* and there are great numbers of them come to town, and of other gentlemen and persons of quality. I am told one of their businesses is to consider of putting on their habits and wearing of them about the streets; but, no doubt, there are matters of greater moment to be debated. I believe I shall have an account of all they do, but what service I shall be able to do thereby God only knows. One would think these people should not venture to execute anything without first communicating their resolutions to the king, if they will not make me acquainted with them, though they pretend wonderful respect to me, and that they will do nothing without first communicating to me. . . . This general convention, for so it is publicly called and talked of by all sorts of people in the town, is to continue for a week, so that I shall quickly see whether they will give any more account of their proceedings than they did of their meeting. Methinks I should have an answer from my Lord Sunderland to what I wrote to him on the 27th past, whereby I should know how to guide myself in those matters; or if this great meeting be by the king's allowance, methinks his lordship might have given me some directions,

\* A Synod of the Bishops of Leinster was then being held in the city of Dublin, by the Archbishop, Dr. Patrick Russell.

though they had been to take no notice of it, for then I should have been at ease, and known I had done no fault in not minding what they did. Suppose the Protestant clergy should appoint a general convention from all parts of the kingdom, to be held in this city or anywhere else, without taking notice to me of it, I am sure I would not suffer them to meet, and would legally punish them for the attempt; and I believe his Majesty would well approve of my so doing; and certainly no Government will permit any part of their subjects to assemble together without the supreme authority.\* I would be very glad to know your opinion in these matters, and whether I should send this information, of which I have here given you the substance, to my Lord President or any others, of the proceedings at this convention, for I have reason to believe I shall have several; but if I do send them I must conceal the names of my informers. The titular Archbishop of Dublin has been with me. He seems to be a good man, but is no politician; he is a secular (priest). I am told by a good hand of their own party that he and the titular primate do not agree. About two days since he asked the primate by what authority this convention was called, to which the other answered that was not a question to be asked; it should be known when they were met. *The more they differ the better; and it is a pity the contests between them may not be encouraged; but that I must not meddle with.*"†

Some recent publications have revealed to the world how the same course was pursued in civil matters; and how, as late as the sad era of 1798 the noisiest agitator in the ranks of the Irish confederates was all the time in the pay of the Castle, sowing divisions in that body, and revealing their secrets to the Government. In fact, the whole history of Ireland, for more than two centuries, well justifies the remark of Mr. Plowden—"It long has been an insidious art of Ireland's enemies to select some ambitious agitator and intriguer from amongst the Catholics, in order to sow and feed dissension in their body."‡

The publication of the work on the Primacy by Dr. Plunket gave great offence to the Archbishop of Dublin. Writing some time after to the Secretary of Propaganda, this good prelate gave full expression to his feelings, and with all freedom and earnest-

\* Lord Clarendon's good sense seems to fail him in this passage. What has the supreme civil authority to do with spiritual matters? Protestant ministers and their deliberations are mere tools of state policy, and justly would the Viceroy insist on knowing the matter of their deliberations; but the Catholic clergy belong to a higher order. Moreover, as, through God's blessing, the Catholic clergy have no connection with the Government, as well might the Viceroy insist on being made acquainted with the private family concerns of each subject of the British Empire as to have the deliberations of the Catholic clergy (which, in the eyes of the law, are their own private matters) made known to him.

† Singer's Correspondence of the Earl of Clarendon, vol. i. page 387 *seq.*

‡ Historical Letter, &c. page 72.

ness impugns the course pursued by the Archbishop of Armagh. He thus writes:—

“ MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND MOST REV. LORD.

“ I cannot say whether my letters may be pleasing to you ; however I deem it my duty to inform you how matters here proceed with us. The most illustrious Archbishop of Armagh has just now published in Dublin a book in English, in which he endeavours to prove that the primacy and precedence is due to him ; but this is done with arguments so inconclusive that he might justly be deemed an assailant rather than a defendant of that cause. I was surprised at his imprudence and inconstancy : for it had been agreed on between us that the controversy should be left to the decision of the Apostolic See ; in the meantime both should be silent, but to the scandal of the orthodox and the joy of the Protestants, we would seem to dispute *de lana caprina* (about goat’s wool), especially after we had learned what grief our dissensions caused to the Roman Court. I, indeed, following the counsel of your Excellency, have avoided every occasion of dispute, and whenever it was impossible to avoid such occasions and meetings I pretended not to advert to the assumption which the Archbishop of Armagh rashly took upon himself : an instance of which was the absolving, in Dublin, Martin French, the Augustian apostate, from excommunication and other censures, I being uninformed of it, though then residing in Dublin.

“ I have consulted the prelates of the kingdom as to the course I should now pursue, being assailed by a public document. I fear, however, that our clergy and people will be ill-contented with my silence, to which I am most inclined, and I hope that your reply will appease the angry and excited sentiments of our subjects ; especially should the Holy See deign to renew the ancient decree of Innocent the Sixth.\* . . . From that time, during more than three hundred years, there was no contention about precedence or primacy ; both were primates, both were altogether equal. But in these past days Oliver Plunket, more wise, forsooth, than all his predecessors, discovered I know not what force in the word *totius*, by which the custom of so many centuries and peace is wholly upset : but the ingenious prelate did not remark, what is most evident from the very words of the Papal Decree, that the word *totius* indicates merely a distinction from the Dublin primacy, not a higher dignity of the Archbishop of Armagh.

“ And lest your Excellency should imagine that the Archbishop of Armagh has any support or patronage from the Court in this cause, I must inform you that the Chief Secretary and Councillor of the Viceroy, being lately returned from England, came to me at once, and after a while presented to me, in the presence of my Vicar-General, on order of his Excellency, to the effect, that Oliver Plunket should, on his knees, ask my pardon for his temerity and for the injuries done to me. Rendering formal thanks to the Viceroy, I sought to excuse,

\* He then cites the words of Ware concerning this decree.

as far as possible, the imprudence of the prelate, and to benignly interpret his intention: his partizan, John Patriek, too, having fallen into the greatest disgrace in Dublin, is compelled to keep away from the Court and Castle.

"Nor is the cause of the primacy of Armagh less wanting in proof than patronage." (He then advances several arguments to establish the claim of the see of Dublin, and concludes his letter as follows):—

"The happy decree of our king was promulgated on the 13th of this month, and by it the Irish Catholics are enabled to retain their ancient privileges and old magistracies in the cities and towns of this kingdom, without being obliged to swear or make declaration of the king's primacy in spiritual matters. It is a most important edict, and a sign of better times.

"Dublin, 26th March, 1672.

"PETER OF DUBLIN."\*

Some writers have given currency to a story which, if it had any foundation, should be referred to this time:—

"The arrogant pretensions of Dr. Talbot," they write, "obliged the Archbishop of Armagh to interpose his authority as primate, and to inhibit him to go to England, where he pretended his presence was necessary, with the object of preventing the success of Peter Walsh's solicitations to have the remonstrance put in force. Plunket, otherwise a mild man, made him upon this occasion a sharp answer, 'that he had good grounds to believe there was no such matter; that he had the reputation of meddling too much in affairs of state, which was contrary to the canons and order of the Pope;' and for that reason he inhibited him from going. Talbot was, therefore, obliged to send to the nuncio at Brussels for a license of absence, under pretence of being required by his Majesty to attend him in England."

It will suffice to remark on this story: 1. That, as appears from various letters, Dr. Plunket was well aware of the efforts and solicitations made to the Court by Peter Walsh to have his Remonstrance enforced. 2. That even in the supposition of the very plenitude of primacy, the Archbishop of Armagh could exercise no such control over the Archbishop of Dublin. 3. That Dr. Talbot, though laying open to the Holy See the various instances of the assumed authority of Dr. Plunket, never even hints at this, which, of all others, would have been the most condemnatory of Dr. Plunket's conduct. 4. Dr. Talbot, in the beginning of 1672, wrote to the Internunzio requesting permission to leave his diocese for awhile, for it was reserved to the representative of the Holy See in Brussels to accord this permission. 5. In a letter of the 5th of March, 1672, and many sub-

\* See Appendix, No. 33.

sequent letters, the Internunzio announces to the Sacred Congregation that Dr. Talbot had been chosen by the Court and invited to London for the purpose of being sent to Innsbruck as representative of the king; and the Internunzio adds that he readily accorded this permission, as the "*Archbishop of Armagh and the other prelates of Ireland* were of opinion that great advantage would accrue to religion from this mission of Dr. Talbot."

Indeed, all through this controversy, the personal esteem of Dr. Plunket for the Archbishop of Dublin continued unabated. We have seen how, in his letter of 28th September, 1671, he commemorates his having consulted with Dr. Talbot on the subject of a letter which he himself had received from Rome. Again, in the same year (24th April, 1671), he thus concisely describes to the Secretary of Propaganda the common danger which both had shared, and his joy that both had escaped unharmed:—

"The Parliament of England sought to give annoyance to the Archbishop of Dublin and to me, but, through the mercy of God, *laqueus contritus est, et nos liberati sumus.*"

Nearly the whole of Dr. Plunket's letter of 22nd March, 1671, is taken up with an account of this threatened danger, and we learn from it that he himself interposed his good offices with the Viceroy to have the Archbishop of Dublin exempted from danger:—

"The rumour is current here (he thus writes), that the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin will be summoned to London: I do not know for what motive. It is said, however, that it is owing to the indiscreetness of Dr. Talbot in two points. The first was his assembling many of the Catholic nobility to get them to contribute for the support of his brother, who was appointed agent of the Irish Catholics, to lay before the Court in London the grievances of our country from the malgovernment of the Duke of Ormond. Having gone to Dublin to consign to him the archiepiscopal pallium, he one day brought me, wholly unawares, to this assembly; this assembly gave great displeasure to the Government. The second matter was a work lately written by him, in a very sharp style, against Peter Walsh; though, in reality, there is more against Ormond in it than against Walsh. The Viceroy, otherwise but little inclined to favour Dr. Talbot or his family, for these and other reasons had resolved to banish him from the kingdom. I opposed this resolution of the Viceroy with all my power, humbly supplicating him to desist from it. At the same time I assured him, that whatever difference there might be between the Archbishop of Dublin and myself in regard of the question of jurisdiction, yet we

were friends; so that his Excellency was appeased, and declared himself edified by my interference in favour of Dr. Talbot."

But, perhaps, nothing more clearly proves that these prelates, whilst warmly asserting the privileges of their respective sees, allowed not the bonds of Christian charity to be severed, or the peace of God to be interrupted in their breasts, than the letter of the Archbishop of Armagh, written on the 20th of January, 1672. In it he says:—

"I confess I was in some manner displeased with Dr. Talbot in consequence of some defamatory libels and pasquinades, and false letters, in the circulation of which I was informed he had had a part, as he showed them to a certain Colonel Dempsey, who is a fit trumpeter of such things; but Dr. Talbot was with me yesterday evening for three hours, and solemnly assured me that he had no part whatsoever in them, or in their circulation, and that when they were brought to him, he spoke about them, indeed, to the said Colonel, but rather to discredit these pasquinades than to publish them, which was by no means his intention. Dr. Talbot again came to visit me this morning, and with such kindness and affection, that I have now become his servant. I do not hear any report now of his journey to England: I suppose he has changed his intention.

"Thanks be to God, we now enjoy peace in this kingdom. Dr. O'Molony, of Killaloe, wrote to me about the dread he has of the Duke of Ormond and his satellites. But I pray you to write to him to come to his diocese as soon as possible, and without delay, for I spoke about the matter to the Viceroy, and he replied, that having no royal order against him, he would not, on account of the enmity of an individual, exclude from the kingdom the subjects of his Majesty. Whilst we have the present Viceroy, Dr. O'Molony need not hesitate to come: and let him not wait looking for the formation of colleges by the French king; this is a difficult matter, which would require a length of time, and the success of which is very dubious. When he is once in possession of his diocese, it will be difficult to molest him without some great crime, for no subject can be sent into exile without something serious: as is known by experience, and as our laws imply, *turpius ejicitur quam non admittitur hospes*. Though I have often written, yet I received no reply, and know not whether my letters reached their destination. I make you a profound reverence, and will be, unto death,

"Your most affectionate and obliged servant,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"20th January, 1672."

Dr. Plunket closes another letter (12th December, 1672), with like sentiments:—

"The reconciliation," he writes, "between me and the Archbishop of Dublin will be uninterrupted, because we will no longer give credence

to the sowers of discord. . . . We have agreed to send all our respective arguments to Rome, and we will await its decision."

Dr. Talbot often writes in a similar strain: thus, in his letter of the 4th February, 1671, we read:—

"As regards the Archbishop of Armagh, I am his friend, for I attribute whatever he has done to imprudence, rather than to bad intention. I am and ever shall remain his friend, for this conduces to the glory of God, and is desired by our friends, and in particular by your Excellency, to whom I am bound by special obligations."

When, moreover, some enemies of our holy religion would asperse the character of Dr. Plunket, and extol him as though he were inclined to Protestantism, the Archbishop of Dublin fearlessly denounced the calumny, and asserted our holy prelate's innocence and orthodoxy:—

"Aliud Dominationi tuæ significatum volo nimirum nomen D. Oliverii Plunket expunctum fuisse a libello Parlamenti (vulgo nominant bill), meo relicto, et ita factum fuit intercedente aliquo hancque potissimum rationem reddente (sed falso), quod dictus Plunket multum propenderit in Protestantismum. Ego autem statim ac hujus injuriæ notitiam habuerim, conabar tueri eum et labem diluere." (14th Aug. 1671.)

Even in the preface of his work, in reply to Dr. Plunket, whilst he warmly combats the arguments of Dr. Plunket, yet he abstains from passing any censorious remarks on the writer, and even speaks of him as a *prelate eminent for his learning and prudence*. (Presented to S. Cong. by Peter Creagh, 2nd Aug. 1672.)

When Dr. Plunket, in the commencement of 1672, published his work on the primacy of the see of Armagh, he seems not to have as yet received the decree of the Sacred Congregation, which was enacted the same year, and whilst imposing silence as regarded the public discussion of that question, at the same time instructed the Internunzio to exhort the archbishops to transmit to the Sacred Congregation their respective arguments for the primacy of their sees. It is in his letter of 22nd September, 1672, that Dr. Plunket first refers to this decree of the Sacred Congregation: in it he thus writes to the Internunzio:—

"As to the primacy, I will observe the promise which I made to your Excellency, and I will send my proofs: I already sent them to you in a compendiated form, and you transmitted them to Rome, and Monsignor Baldeschi seemed satisfied with them. Should you speak to the friars of Louvain on the subject, or with any of the Irish, you will learn whether or not I have reason on my side. The primacy of Armagh is as certain as the Archiepiscopate of Armagh. Be good

enough to give a glance at the life of St. Malachy, written by St. Bernard; at Colgan, in his 6th appendix to the life of St. Patrick; at Barbosa, Azorius, and others, and you will see how unjust are the pretensions of the Archbishop of Dublin.

"I am informed from Rome that Monsignor Baldeschi will go to Paris: I hope that your Excellency will go to Propaganda, which surely would be the case were I counselor to his Holiness.

"22nd September, 1672."

Writing eight days later, he again refers to the same subject:—

"In your letter of the fourth of last month you were good enough to inform me that it was the desire of the Sacred Congregation that silence should be observed in regard of the matter of the primacy; and it commands us to send our reasons and arguments to Rome, that the question may be decided. I will be ever obedient, not only to the commands, but to the hints of the Sacred Congregation, and to every hint of the ministers of the Holy Father. I suppose, however, that this order or desire only commands silence as to writing *pro* or *contra* in this matter, and the avoiding of disputes about precedence, all which I will most strictly obey. The Sacred Congregation and your Excellency cannot mean that I should omit to exercise my jurisdiction in matter of appeals which are made from the courts of the other metropolitans, which has always been practised, and without any controversy that I know of during past times; to interdict this to me would be to suspend the rights of the see of Armagh, even before the sentence or decision is made. It would be precisely as if a person should go to law with your Excellency about a vineyard which you possess, and which was already in the possession of your father, and that the judge should command your Excellency not to derive any fruit from the vineyard; not to gather or receive any revenue from it till after the decision or definitive sentence, which would be to deprive him of possession, and, indeed, to his great detriment, if the law-suit continued any length of time, and would seem to be contrary to the course of the civil and canon law. And, hence, I suppose I can exercise jurisdiction in such appeals without being disobedient to the Sacred Congregation, or to your Excellency. You will be good enough to read a work written by Dr. John Lynch, page 71, the title of which is '*Alithinologia*;' this writer belongs to the province of Tuam; as also a book composed by David Rooth, Bishop of Ossory, article the 6th, page 228; this bishop is suffragan of the Archbishop of Dublin, and died here in the time of Cromwell: he was a learned and prudent man. The title of his work is *Analecta de rebus Catholicorum*. Also Colgan, in his 6th Appendix to the '*Life of St. Patrick*.' These writers, and, in fact, all the other writers are unanimous in granting appeals to the see of Armagh; nor did I ever read that there was any controversy on that head, though there might have been disputes on other points. So that without acting in contradiction with the desires and commands of the Sacred Congregation, or of your Excellency, I can continue to receive appeals



whenever they are presented. I pray you to read the aforesaid books in the cited places for a quarter of an hour. The Canon Joyce will lend you the books, and if he hasn't them he will procure them from the Irish Convent in Louvain.

"I already wrote to Coppinger to meet me about eighteen miles from Dublin: I shall see what answer he will give. Should he come, I hope we shall do something. I wrote to your Excellency to send either to me or to Mr. Daniel Arthur, a merchant in London, the money which their Eminences were so good as to send me. Father Perez, Jesuit, an excellent man, will find the means for transmitting it to the said Mr. Daniel; and I pray the divine Majesty to remunerate your Excellency in this life and in the next, for the labours and the anxiety which you continually give proof of, for the spiritual advantage of this poor nation. The Catholics, in consequence of a new edict of the king, can hold situations and offices without taking the oath of supremacy; this information was imparted to Sir Nicholas Plunket by the Viceroy on Wednesday last.

"Dundalk, 30th September, 1672."

But whilst the Sacred Congregation was thus engaged in seeking the necessary information to guide it in its decision, and whilst the Archbishops were themselves affectionately united in the bonds of spiritual charity, the question of the primatial rights of Armagh or Dublin was warmly discussed through the length and breadth of the kingdom, and occasioned such dissensions amongst the Catholics as were a source of affliction to all true lovers of religion, and of joy and delight to all the promoters of heresy and error. The most detailed narrative of this controversy is presented in the letters of Dr. Brennan, at this time Bishop of Waterford. Thus, his letter to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland, dated 30th March, 1672, is almost wholly occupied with this subject; it is as follows:—\*

"MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EMINENCE,—As your Eminence was good enough to permit me to address you occasionally with an obsequious letter, I have deemed it my duty to humbly convey to your Eminence some intelligence regarding the spiritual condition of the Catholic religion in this country.

"During the past two years extraordinary differences have subsisted between the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin, occasioned by pretensions to precedence, to jurisdiction, to the title of primate, and other foolish notions. At length these differences have degenerated into a clamorous and scandalous discord, to the prejudice of our profession and the lowering of the dignity which these prelates bear. The first caused lately to be printed in Dublin a little book entitled '*Jus Primatiale*,' in which he endeavours to prove that the primacy belongs

\* See Appendix, No. 34.

to the Archbishop of Armagh, and that it is his prerogative to receive ecclesiastical appeals, make visitations throughout the whole kingdom, and other such things.

"The Archbishop of Dublin, displeased at this, is preparing for press an answer, and he addressed a circular letter to the other bishops of this kingdom, asking their counsel on this matter. I wrote to him dissuading him as forcibly as I could, and I besought the other prelates to do the same, and I suggested that it would be better for all the prelates of the kingdom to assemble together in order to extinguish this fire, and perhaps this will be done unless these disturbed times may render the Government jealous of such a meeting. Whilst I was in Dublin I endeavoured, by all quiet means, to reconcile them, and also from this place by means of letters; but I only succeeded in having good promises, and in an apparent reconciliation. I will not cease, however, even for the future, to use all possible endeavours, although there is but little hope, as they are of a hot disposition, and the dispute has become public in England as well as in Ireland, so that the Catholics are, for the most part, divided, some in favour of the one, and some in favour of the other. I have also been informed by an important personage, that in Dublin the Protestant ministers speak with delight, even from the pulpits, about this controversy. Confiding in the great zeal and exceeding prudence of your Excellency, I am bold enough to beseech you, even in the name of the other prelates of this kingdom, to devise some expedient for extinguishing the flame, and to impose silence on both parties by the authority of the Holy Father, otherwise it will continue to spread through the whole kingdom, to the great scandal of the Catholics. About other matters of less importance I shall give an account to Monsignor Baldeschi, not to trespass too much on your Eminence.

"Your Eminence's most devoted and obedient servant,

"JOHN, BISHOP OF WATERFORD.

"Waterford, 30th March, 1672."

Writing a few days later to the Internunzio, he adds many additional particulars concerning this controversy\* :—

"MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND MOST REV. LORD,—I have just received, by way of London, the letter of your Excellency under date of the 9th of January, in which you impose on me to use every diligence in appeasing the differences between the two archbishops; but your Excellency may believe me, that this would be an extremely arduous undertaking, as these dissensions are daily increasing, and are now arrived to such a pitch, that I do not know in what manner to quiet them. Since my arrival here, I have not omitted to exhort them both to peace, and for the most part I received good promises, though occasionally I came in for a slight mortification. They are, both one and the other, touchy, and of a hot disposition, and they are the first prelates of the kingdom, and in

\* Appendix, No. 26.

my opinion they would be displeased to receive an admonition from an inferior bishop. I would be desirous to live in peace without offending either of them, especially as I could hope for but little fruit from my interference. The Archbishop of Armagh lately printed in Dublin a small work entitled '*Jus Primatiale*' of the church of Armagh, and he seeks to establish that he has a right not only to precedence, but also to jurisdiction over the Archbishop of Dublin, and the other archbishops, and that he can make visitations, and correct abuses throughout the whole kingdom. He lays great stress on the words of his brief and of the faculties from the Holy Office, in which he is styled primate. The Archbishop of Dublin, annoyed at this, has prepared for the press an answer, and he wrote to the other bishops, asking their opinion as to its publication. I wrote to him, conjuring him to give nothing to the press (and I besought the other bishops to do the same), for it would only serve to foment dissensions, and to scandalize the world; the more so, as in Dublin (as I learned from a well-informed person,) the Protestant ministers now boast, even from the pulpits, of these dissensions. The Archbishop of Dublin especially complains of the partiality of the Sacred Congregation (to use his words) in causing to be omitted in his brief the title of primate, which title was placed in the brief of the Archbishop of Armagh, and gave him ground, for boasting. I said to him, in justification of what had occurred, that that title was found in the brief of the late Archbishop of Armagh, whilst it was wanting in the brief for the Archbishop of Dublin. He replied that this was done by Massari, a friend of the late Archbishop of Armagh, and at that time Secretary of the Sacred Congregation; and that although it was wanting in the Bull of his predecessor, nevertheless his see enjoyed this privilege by an Indult of the Roman Pontiffs, and was in possession of it for five hundred years, and thus might claim an immemorial prescription. In the end I said to him, that it would not be proper for me to dispute about the rights of one or the other, but that I would exhort them to peace and to patience, considering the circumstances of the country and of the times, and that he should transmit his reasons to the Sacred Congregation, which would surely render justice to him. I think it would be necessary to take quiet measures with the Archbishop of Dublin, for he is, at least, touchy. The Viceroy has now renounced the friendship of the Archbishop of Armagh and of John Patrick, and has been reconciled with the Archbishop of Dublin and his brother, who will seek to procure for him a continuation in his office of Viceroy; and for this and other business Colonel Talbot has already taken his departure for London. It is said that the Archbishop of Dublin will also go thither shortly; but if he goes it will be on other business, and perhaps he will go as far as Innspruck. It seems that they both enjoy the favour of the Court in London, and also of the Viceroy, and they are, for the most part, very popular amongst the Catholics of this kingdom, on account of the interest displayed by the Colonel in London in favour of the Catholics.

"The royal edicts have been published, granting the right of fran-

chise to the Catholics in all the cities of Ireland, which has not been allowed since the time of Cromwell, and some of the Catholics are already in public offices. All this is due to the clemency of our Viceroy, whom God may long preserve: its being carried into execution is also due to the great goodness of the same Viceroy, for it here met with great opposition from the members of the Privy Council and the heretics.

"To-day, being Holy Thursday, I consecrated here the Holy Oils in an Oratory, which since my arrival I gave in charge to the Secular Priests, who formerly had no place whatsoever; and the ceremony was performed with the greatest solemnity and concourse that has been had or witnessed in this city for the last twenty-two years, on which account the Catholics are all greatly consoled. May God grant us perseverance, and preserve your Excellency for many years to console the Catholics of this kingdom.

"JOHN, BISHOP OF WATERFORD.

"Waterford, the 4th of April, 1672."

In another letter, addressed the same year, under date of the 14th November, to the Secretary of Propaganda, Monsignor Baldeschi, he declares that"—

"The greatest annoyance which they had in Ireland was the continuance of the dissension between the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin, which now seems irremediable, as the Archbishop of Dublin lately received some sort of a commission from the king, authorizing him, as he says, to superintend all the clergy; and with it he has commenced to annoy the Archbishop of Armagh, prohibiting him to ordain so many priests, and requesting him to recall a decree which he made about the names of some families of his province, to ask pardon of the king, and clergy, and other such things. I confess I do not understand this sort of authority; and I fain believe that it was procured principally for the purpose of molesting the Archbishop of Armagh, as he has hitherto neglected no means of doing so, and I think that in many things he is wrong. As to this commission, it is necessary that your Excellency should give us some instructions as to how we are to manage, for we do not know how to act. The Archbishop of Dublin is supported by the Franciscans in opposition to the Archbishop of Armagh. The reason of this is, that the Archbishop of Dublin favours them in their pretensions, and the Archbishop of Armagh decided against them in favour of the Dominicans; hence they deem the Archbishop of Armagh their adversary, and they say and write against him whatsoever the other may desire. . . . The Bishop of Killaloe has arrived in Dublin: he was anxious to try and reconcile our prelates, but he found them more excited than ever, on account of the late commission."

\* See Appendix, No. 36.

But at this moment, when the controversy was warmest, and the animosity of both parties seemed to have reached its summit, calm and peace were at hand. The letters of Dr. O'Molony, to which the Bishop of Waterford refers, were destined to attain the long wished-for end, and effect a reconciliation between the conflicting prelates. Dr. Burgatt, Archbishop of Cashel, writing to the Secretary of Propaganda, on the 5th of Feb. 1673, commemorates this happy result of the intermediation of the Bishop of Killaloe: "My suffragan, the most illustrious Bishop of Killaloe, has not as yet come to his diocese: about three months ago he arrived in Dublin, and he still continues there, not without sufficient reason, as I am convinced, though I am not aware of what his precise motives may be. He has long since been intermediary in the reconciliation of the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin, and in this he achieved an important work. I have conceived the greatest hopes in his regard, and that he will be a solace in my declining years.\*

All joyous and consoled, the Bishop of Waterford hastened to acquaint the Holy See with this happy event, and on the 1st of December, 1672, thus wrote to the Secretary of Propaganda:—†

"To-day I have received letters from the Archbishop of Armagh and the Bishop of Killaloe, in date the 19th of last month, and both convey to me the intelligence that on that day a reconciliation had taken place between the Bishops of Armagh and Dublin, which they announce in these precise words, *hodie reddita est pax Ecclesiis Armachanæ et Dublinensi*. This is chiefly owing to the efforts of Dr. O'Molony, who, since his arrival in Dublin, laboured incessantly to effect it. In the same week, a few days before this event, a reconciliation was effected in Dublin between Colonel Talbot and Colonel John Patrick, and this, too, through the efforts of the Bishop of Killaloe and of the Archbishop of Armagh; and this agreement was a preliminary to the reconciliation of the prelates, because the two colonels were the promoters of either party; and, as the Archbishop of Armagh writes to me, Colonel Talbot earnestly exerted himself in inducing his brother, the Archbishop of Dublin, to consent to this agreement. This news has rejoiced all the Catholics, who were heretofore disconsolate and scandalized, and I hope great advantage will accrue to the cause of our faith, should God grant a continuance of it. The reconciliation of the two colonels also occasioned great delight, for they are the most active personages of this nation, and when they are united in promoting public measures for the Catholics, a happy result is hoped for. It is true that many have co-operated, both by word of mouth and by letter, to bring about this arrangement, but its accomplishment was reserved for the

\* See Appendix, No. 37.

† Appendix, No. 38.

Bishop of Killaloe, who has thus made a good beginning of his mission, and we are all much indebted to him.

"Coppinger went to Dublin, as I lately informed you, and there presented his submission to the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin, and he sent submissive letters to Rome and to Brussels, and I think he went thence to the chapter of his order, to be reconciled with the other members.

"Eight days ago I sent through the same channel by Ostend, two relations of the diocese, and should they be lost, as the former one of the 18th of June last, I shall send a third copy as soon as I receive intelligence of your not having received them.

"I was anxious to convey the above intelligence to you, of which you will have a more diffuse description from the parties themselves, but, perhaps, not so soon as this letter; and now I make a most humble reverence to your Excellency.

"Waterford, 1 Dec. 1672.

JOHN, BISHOP OF WATERFORD.

"To Monsignor Baldeschi."

This reconciliation of the two archbishops seems not to have been of a mere momentary duration, and the first letter of Dr. Plunket, in which we have been able to discover reference to it, bears the date 14th of March, 1673. In it the archbishop thus writes:—

"Our most benign king has been at length compelled to sanction the acts of Parliament, and hence no Catholic can any longer be employed by the king, the queen, or the duke of York, or hold any office, civil or military, either in the army or navy. This act of the English Parliament does not extend to Ireland, because Ireland has its own Parliament, and I think our Parliament will not assemble this year. *The Archbishop of Dublin and myself are like two real brothers, we agree so well*; so also are Colonel Patrick and Colonel Talbot, the two most important Catholics of this kingdom for their skill in managing public or private matters, and for their high position in court; these two gentlemen, by their dissensions, divided all the Catholics of the kingdom into two factions, to the great prejudice of our affairs; but now, through the mercy of God, they are friends, and closely united in friendship, and on Sunday last they went together to London to oppose every attempt of those who are but little friendly to us. Dr. O'Molony, of Killaloe, brought them into harmony, and has remained here till now to preserve their union, and in doing so, he has rendered a great service to this kingdom; and he is a person so estimable that I am sure he will render yet greater services to us, and this, especially, for reasons which I cannot now commit to paper, as I have not my cipher with me, but about this matter *plura alias*."

It seemed difficult, however, for this harmony to be lasting till the question of their primatial rights should be set at rest by

the authoritative decision of Rome. Cases every day presented themselves, in which appeals were made to one or other of their tribunals, and it was impossible that some collision would not spring from them. As early as the 30th of January, 1673, Dr. Brennan, in a letter to Monsignor Baldeschi, spoke rather hesitatingly about the continuance of their friendship: \* "The Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin," says he, "get along smoothly since the reconciliation; but I doubt if it will continue long. At all events the Archbishop of Armagh promises me determinedly perseverance on his part; and, indeed, this is applauded by all the Catholics."

In the beginning of 1674 Dr. Talbot, in a matrimonial case which was brought before his tribunal, decided for the validity of the marriage. The parties, deeming themselves aggrieved, appealed to the Archbishop of Armagh. Dr. Plunket, without hesitation, entertained this appeal; and, following the example of many of his predecessors, erected his tribunal in the very city of Dublin. The existence of a diriment impediment, prior to the contracting of the marriage, having been clearly established, he, by his primatial authority, reversed the sentence of the Archbishop of Dublin, and declared the marriage to have been null and void from the very beginning. But Dr. Plunket soon discovered his error; and learning that Dr. Talbot had, prior to the marriage, obtained a dispensation for the contracting parties, although in his public decision, through dread of *præmunire*, he had not referred to such a dispensation, the primate hastened, without delay, to retract the decision which he had made, acknowledging his fault and asking pardon of the Archbishop of Dublin. A letter, written by Dr. Talbot soon after this occurrence, well reveals to us the true Christian spirit which animated the Archbishop of Armagh on this occasion, and proves, at the same time, the mutual esteem of these worthy prelates:—

"May it please your Eminence,†—It was only on the 2nd of February that I received the letters of your Eminence bearing date the 2nd of August last, and I read them with due reverence, but not without sorrow of mind, as I perceived from them that reports had reached your Eminence and the Sacred Congregation of my having passed all bounds in assailing the Archbishop of Armagh by disputes, nowise necessary, about the question of the primacy. No one here is ignorant of his having been the first to provoke this controversy; and his printed

\* "L'armacano ed il Dublinense passano quietamente dopo l'ultimo aggiustamento, ma dubito che non sia per durare molto. Ad ogni modo l'Armacano mi promette fermamente la perseveranza dalla sua parte; vivamente ciò è applaudito da tutti i Cattolici." (30th Gen. 1673.)

† See Appendix, No. 32.

book is a standing proof of it; so that I cannot conceive by what arts or means credence was procured for such an imposture in Rome."

He then details the particulars of the matrimonial case, and the erroneous decision of Dr. Plunket, and then continues:—

"On being made acquainted with how matters really stood, the most illustrious Archbishop of Armagh addressed letters to me, in which he avowed his error and his sorrow; and the truly humble prelate, presenting a glorious example of a religious spirit, in the fullness of repentance prayed for pardon of the fault which he had imprudently committed. The Bishop of Killaloe becoming surety for his continuance in those sentiments, we gave our hands and mutually embraced. However, nothing will better perpetuate this concord than a decision of the controversy about the primacy, which, indeed, can involve no difficulty, as we have both sincerely submitted our cause to your judgment. Hence, too, in accordance with the commands of your Eminence, I, with all possible dispatch, now transmit to you the arguments and proofs which establish the primacy of my see. They would have been long since transmitted, were it not that the letters of your Eminence were delayed for six entire months on the road. Praying that God may preserve your Eminence for many years, I remain, &c.,

"PETER OF DUBLIN.

"12th Feb., 1673 (styl. vet.)

"To his Eminence Cardinal Altieri, &c. &c."

In the meantime Rome was not idle in urging these prelates to maintain the concord which had so happily been established; and we find the following decree enacted in 1673:—\*

"The Internunzio will urge upon the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin the necessity of transmitting to the Sacred Congregation the proofs of their asserted title to the primacy; and he will exhort them, at the same time, to mutually love each other, and to persevere in the peace which is now established."

Succeeding events, however, soon gave occasion to new differences.

Before the close of the month of March, 1674, Dr. Talbot was compelled, by the bigotry of Parliament, to seek an asylum on the continent; yet even whilst far away from the Irish shores intriguing individuals were not wanting to fan the flame of discord, and by misrepresentation and calumny to seek to re-awaken the

\* "De cætero D. Internuntius instet ut Archiepiscopi Armacan. et Dublinen. mittant ad Sacram Congregationem scripturas pro eorum prætenso jure Primatus Hiberniæ et hortetur eosdem ut invicem se diligant et pacem initam servant."—(Ex Archiv. S. C.)



suspicions of Dr. Talbot against the Archbishop of Armagh. Soon after the departure of the Archbishop of Dublin, his enemies procured from the government a commission of inquiry into the past conduct of that prelate; and amongst those summoned by the Viceroy to give evidence before this commission was Dr. Oliver Plunket. His evidence on this occasion was misrepresented to the exiled Archbishop, and became a subject of complaint to the authorities in Rome. However, from the letter of the primate to Dr. Creagh (the Agent of the Irish Church in that city), and dated the 15th of September, 1674, we learn that his depositions had been misrepresented, and that Dr. Plunket had merely stated in his evidence such facts as were already well known to the government:—

“It is said (he writes) that I deposed against Dr. Talbot that he received authority from the king to superintend our clergy: the same had already been deposed some days before by the Bishop of Meath and many others. Dr. Talbot asserted it in the presence of Doctors Burgatt, Lynch, Phelan, the Bishop of Meath, and myself; Dr. Talbot himself wrote about it to the Bishop of Waterford; and the Bishop of Waterford and I at that time gave an account of it to Mgr. Airoldi and to their Eminences; and it was a matter known to the whole kingdom, and that Dr. Talbot could be displeased with me on that head is all nonsense. Then, as to my accusing him of exacting money for agents, &c., you see how matters are distorted. The whole kingdom collected money for Catholic agents—that is, one *grosso* for every *rubio* (of land),\* and this was publicly exacted. What crime, then, could it be to mention what was publicly done, and with the knowledge even of the Viceroy? and, indeed, the collectors forced all the Catholics to pay it, even by *distraint*.† Dr. Talbot wished also that the ecclesiastics should pay it, but I was opposed to their doing so in Ulster; for in all Ulster there is only my Lord of Iveagh who has the slightest hope of recovering his property, and should the Protestants hear that the ecclesiastics were contributing for the purpose of having them deprived of the property which they hold, we would be exposed to persecution: and I asked the Viceroy Berkeley regarding this subject in 1671, when it was first proposed, whether I or my clergy should contribute. He being a very intimate friend of mine replied, “by no means, and that we should leave such matters to the laity, and I wrote at the same time to Mgr. Baldeschi about this matter, and about the meetings which Dr. Talbot was holding for the same purpose, and Mgr. Baldeschi condemned and blamed what was done by Dr. Talbot, and approved of the course which I pursued.”

\* The *grosso* is equal to two pence, and the *rubio* very nearly equivalent to two acres: so that the tax voluntarily imposed on the whole nation was a penny per acre.

† Dr. Plunket uses this very word even in the original Italian letter. Indeed it would be difficult to find any Italian word that fully expresses the same idea.

The subsequent repeated outbursts of persecution and the continued infirmities of Dr. Talbot hushed, for awhile, all further controversy, and at the same time seem to have influenced the Sacred Congregation in deferring the decision of the subject. We learn, however, from Dr. M'Mahon, in his "*Prosecutio contra Anonymum*" (cap. 22), that as late as 1678 Dr. Plunket was engaged in preparing for the press a Reply to the work of Dr. Talbot, which had been published in Lisle in 1674; but the storm which was then let loose on our suffering country, and the arrest of both Archbishops, terminated, at least during their episcopate, the primatial controversy. In the prison of Dublin Dr. Plunket and Dr. Talbot were side by side (being confined in adjoining rooms), both captives for the faith. Before the glorious end of his earthly career, in 1680, the Archbishop of Dublin wished to give a final proof to the world that he was moved only by a sense of duty in carrying on this controversy, and that notwithstanding their apparent conflict, the fire of charity ever glowed in his courageous soul: and hence, he addressed from his prison-chamber, in which he was soon to die, an humble apology to Dr. Plunket, asking his pardon and forgiveness for any fault into which, in the warmth of the dispute, he might have fallen.\* In this holy rivalry of Catholic charity and mutual love, Dr. Plunket was not to be overcome: and we learn from a letter of the Bishop of Kildare,† that when it was reported to him that the Archbishop of Dublin was about to enter on his agony, Dr. Plunket could no longer be restrained, but bursting through his guards rushed to give a last embrace and absolution to the dying confessor of our holy faith.

Before closing this chapter it may be expected that we should give some review of the work of Dr. Plunket on the Primatial rights of his see—the only work from his pen which has come down to us. We shall, therefore, present an outline of the principal arguments which he employed, but we shall present them in his own words, merely translating the letter which he addressed to the Internuncio on the 12th of March, 1671, and in which he compendiates the chief arguments on this subject:—

\* This fact is recorded by Dr. M'Mahon in his *Jus. Primat.* Armac. page 217.

† This passage of Dr. Forstall's letter is so interesting that we here give it entire:—"Corragiensis est captus: queritur ubique Laonensis. Clougherensis sub gausape et centonibus cujusdam mendicæ et moribundæ vetulæ sese absconderat et ibidem deprehensus et agnitus vel a sævo satellite compassionem et veniam meruit. Miserrimus Dublinensis cerebro totoque corpore ægrotans plurimum, vix ultima die veneris sanctissimam non efflavit animam, Primate strenue perumpente inter reluctantes satellites ut eum solaretur et absolveret. Primas ipse in eodem jacet Tulliano incertus fati futurique. Nemo arctius custoditur ob male feriatos nebulones et informatores (proh pudor) ecclesiasticos qui ex vindictæ libidine falsis criminationibus eum consperserunt."—(5th June, 1680.)

"I have received your most welcome letter of the 15th of February, and I may assure you that it is nothing new that controversies should arise between prelates in regard of precedence and jurisdiction. I myself saw in Rome how, in the very presence of the Pontiff, Father Marini disputed with Mgr. Celsi, and the chamberlains with the auditors of the Rota about precedence. I remarked that there have been similar controversies in Germany and France, though they are in the midst of heretics: even amongst the Apostles *orta est contentio quis eorum videretur esse major*, nor do I think that there are many who have greater reason than I have for disputing on this head, since my see enjoys an uninterrupted precedence as well as superior jurisdiction for thirteen hundred years, that is, to the time of Henry the Eighth, an heretical king; and that your Excellency may understand this, I will write to you in compendium what I already wrote more diffusely to Rome.

"It is uncontroverted, that from the time of Celestine the I., who sent St. Patrick to Ireland, till the age of St. Bernard, there was no Archbishop in Ireland, excepting the Archbishop of Armagh, and as St. Bernard writes in the Life of St. Malachy, *unus ipse omnibus præfuit*, and this was for about 700 years. About the year 1000 St. Celsus erected the see of Cashel into an archbishoprick; and thus the see of Dublin is of later date than that of Cashel. In the year 1152, Cardinal Paparo was sent into Ireland as Legate of the Holy See, and he erected Dublin and Tuam into archiepiscopal sees, and granted the Pallium to the four archbishops. Who will venture to assert that the jurisdiction enjoyed by my predecessors was lessened by these episcopal sees being raised to a higher dignity; their authority was, indeed, increased, but the pre-eminence and jurisdiction of Armagh was not lessened. In the year 1263 Urban the IV. thus wrote to Patrick,\* Archbishop of Armagh:—*Præmatiam totius Hiberniæ quam prædecessores tui usque ad hæc tempora inconcusse habuisse noscuntur ad exemplar Cælestini Papæ Prædecessoris nostri tibi tuisque successoribus auctoritate Apostolica confirmamus statuentes, ut Hiberniæ Archiepiscopi et Episcopi et alii Prælati tibi et successoribus tuis tamquam Primati obedientiam et reverentiam omni tempore debeant exhibere.*" Again, John XXII. confirmed to Nicholas of Armagh the old privilege of his see to receive, namely, appeals from all parts of the kingdom; the words of the Pope are as follows:—*Quod cum in partibus Hiberniæ ad sedem Apostolicam in quacumque causa appellari contingit seu appellatur de præsentibus, hujusmodi appellantes ad eandem sedem directe, necnon ad Archiepiscopalem curiam (Armacanam) tutorie appellant.* Thus, then, when those of Dublin appeal to Armagh *tutorie appellant*: the Archbishop of Armagh is therefore their superior for *appellatio est recessio ab inferiori iudice per invocationem majoris iudicis*. At this time the primate held his consistory in Dublin, and received appeals from the Archbishop of Dublin, and I read in the registers, that at the time of Edward the III., in 1349, he carried the cross before him for three days in the city of

\* Dr. Patrick O'Scanlain, transferred from Raphoe to Armagh in 1261.

Dublin, and excommunicated there the prior of Kilmainham. For 150 years the Archbishops of Dublin were subject to the primate, without any controversy, down to the time of John Leck and Alexander Bicknor, who wished to set aside the jurisdiction of the Archbishop of Armagh, on account of the Viceroy residing in Dublin, precisely as the Patriarchs of Constantinople sought to set aside the jurisdiction of the Pope, because the Emperor resided in that city, but *incassum nisi sunt*, and the Archbishops of Armagh continued to exercise their jurisdiction till the time of Henry the VIII., and Edward the VI., that is, till the year 1547. In the fifth year of this Edward, George Browne, Archbishop of Dublin, obtained a royal edict to be called primate of all Ireland, and George Dowdall, Archbishop of Armagh, a Catholic, was exiled from his see: after the death of Edward, however, Queen Mary, being informed by the Legate, Cardinal Pole, and by others, of the jurisdiction and pre-eminence enjoyed by my predecessors for 1100 years, cancelled the decree of Edward, and restored all to Dowdall, and obliged Browne to due submission. Thus, your Excellency may see that the grounds of the Archbishop of Dublin for the primacy of his see is a decree of a Protestant king.

After George Dowdall, Loftus, a Protestant, was chosen by Queen Elizabeth as his successor, and, nevertheless, he and his successors, *juxta morem antiquum acceptum a Catholicis*, took precedence of the Archbishop of Dublin, even in Dublin itself, and to the present day they hold their consistory there and decide all controversies according to our canon law.

But let us come to later times; about the year 1645, when Mgr. Rinuccini came to Ireland, sent by Pope Innocent the Tenth, he assembled all the bishops of Ireland in Kilkenny, in the province of Dublin, and nevertheless, Hugh O'Reilly, Archbishop of Armagh, took precedence of Dr. Fleming, Archbishop of Dublin, and subscribed the Acts before him; soon after they assembled again in Cashel, and the same Archbishop of Armagh again took precedence of all. In fine, in the Bull of the Archbishop of Armagh we read, *promovetur ad Ecclesiam Hiberniæ primatialem*, and in the privileges granted to him by the S. Congregation, he is styled primate of Ireland. These, perhaps, are mere titles? are *voces nihil significantes? absit*: and hence, the Holy See never gave such titles to the Archbishops of Dublin. Then, too, a primate must have archbishops subject to him: now, I ask, what archbishops can be said to be subject to the see of Dublin? Surely, no one recognizes it, whilst all recognize the see of Armagh. Even the Archbishop of Cashel claims precedence of the see of Dublin, and not without reason, as his see dates to higher antiquity.

Your Excellency must now say, could I, without incurring the reproach of posterity, cede this right of the see of Armagh, which was preserved and sanctioned during so many centuries? And is it not evident, that I have jurisdiction over the whole kingdom, and that the province of Dublin is as much subject to me as the province of Armagh? Now, this jurisdiction holds in the following cases:—

1. "*Quando ad me appellatur.*"

2. "*Quando Ecclesia Metropolitana pastore vacat et a Primate petitur ut de rebus ad Metropolitanum pertinentibus et constituendis statuatur.*

3. "*Cum, consuetudine aut privilegio, causa ad ipsum defertur.*

4. "*Cum de gravi et ardua re dubitatur quæ in concilio Provinciali definiri commode nequit, tunc Metropolitanus Primatem consulit.*

5. "*Cum Metropolitanus negligens est in causis judicandis ; tunc enim Primatis est judicare.*

6. "*Quando juxta leges et consuetudinem Primas visitare debeat totum Regnum.*

"These are the reasons which induced me to defend my right, and which clearly prove, that as primate, I have as much jurisdiction in Dublin as I have throughout the province of Armagh; in everything, however, and under every respect, I submit myself to the judgment of the Apostolic see, which, in the mean time, I earnestly pray to decide this controversy, that thus every scruple may be removed for the future, and to command the Archbishop of Dublin to render due submission to the primate, as he alone refuses to acknowledge the primate's jurisdiction and authority.

"Armagh, the 12th of March, 1671.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"To the Internuncio Brussels."

APPENDIX TO CHAPTER XVIII.

The preceding chapter was written when the following three letters came to hand, with which the primate, the Bishop of Killaloe, and the Internuncio in Brussels communicated to Rome the consoling intelligence of the Archbishops of Dublin and Armagh being happily reconciled, and of peace being thus restored to the Irish Church. We shall first give the letter of the Internuncio, Mgr. Airoidi, who thus writes:—

"I may justly demand a recompense from your Excellency for the good news which I now bring of the reconciliation of the Archbishop of Armagh with his Grace of Dublin, through the mediation of Dr. O'Molony, Bishop of Killaloe. This intelligence was the more welcome to me as it was least expected; indeed, I esteem it rather the work of God than of man. I have written to both prelates, earnestly beseeching them to preserve inviolate this happy concord. I congratulate your Excellency on this event, and I am rejoiced that, besides the submission of Coppinger, it has been granted to me to witness the happy termination of this matter before the close of my ministry.\*

"Brussels, 24th December, 1672."

\* As the Bishop of Killaloe wrote in Latin, we here present the original letter of that prelate. It is as follows:—

Illmo. Dno.

"Non me jam pœnitet unius mensis morre quam in hac urbe duxi, cum hoc ipso die, non ego sed gratia Dei mecum irreconciliabile bellum Armachani et Dublin-

The Archbishop of Armagh, wishing to be himself, too, the bearer of these happy tidings, on the same day addressed the following letter to the Internuncio:—

“I may have written many vexatious letters to your Excellency, but I am sure the present one will not be so annoying, as it brings the news how, through the labours of the Bishop of Killaloe, a perfect concord and reconciliation has been established between the Archbishop of Dublin and myself. Dr. Talbot, with his brothers and nephews, and I, dined together, and in the evening Dr. Talbot and his brothers came to visit all my friends, a thing which did not take place before during the

ensis composuerim, restituta utrique pace et concordia, et toti nostro clero et patriæ charitatis et unanimis exemplo: mecum ambo cum nobilissimo ac strenuissimo Dublinensis fratre Collonello Talbot prandium hodie alacres et concordēs fecerunt: soli Deo honor et gloria! Ultima hebdomada inter eundem Colonellum et alium virum nobilem a longo jam tempore discordes cum maximo patriæ ac religionis detrimento, pacem similiter et concordiam feci, Dei adjuvante gratia. Jam nullam inter nostrates alicujus notæ aut nominis viros discordiam novi: faxis Deus pacis, et amator charitatis ut ita diu vivamus et sic prospere cuncta succedent.

“Proximo jam die in meam diœcesim sum profecturus, laboraturus si quid possum in vinea mihi commissa. Rogo non gravetur hanc epistolam remittere ad nostrum in curia Romana procuratorem meque semper credas futurum, &c.

“J. O'MOLONY, Bishop of Killaloe.

“Dublinii, 19 Nov. 1672.

We here subjoin the briefs of Clement XI., of 20th December, 1719, by which he put an end to the controversy regarding the primacy, which had been excited again in his time. One brief is directed to Dr. M'Mahon, Archbishop of Armagh, the other to Dr. Byrne, Archbishop of Dublin.

“Ven. fratri. Archiep. Armacan.

“CLEMENS PAPA XI.

“VENERABILIS FRATER, SALUTEM, &c.—Gravi cum animi nostri molestia intelleximus acre dissidium quod inter Fraternitatem Tuam et Ven. Fratrem Archiep. Dublinensem, nuper exortum fuit occasione appellationis ad tuum tribunal interpositæ nomine dilecti filii presbyteri Valentini Rivers a quodam decreto ejusdem Archiepi. per quod administratio Parochialis Ecclesiæ Sæe Catherinæ civitatis Dublinensis præfato Rivers adempta, et alteri presbytero Kavanagh cognominato commissa fuit, qua occasione antiquam etiam de Primatu controversiam intempestive reintegrari inter vos ac restaurari cepisse inaudivimus. Cum autem pro pastoralis quam gerimus omnium Ecclesiarum sollicitudine, muneris nostri esse arbitremur gravissimis quæ ab ejusmodi contentionibus oriri possunt malis, opportune ac cito occurrere, causam administrationis—(*antedictæ Ecclesiæ cum omnibus illi adnexis ac ab ea dependentibus ab utroque Vestrum, et quibuscunque aliis tribunalibus, ubi eam forsitan disceptari contigerit, Apostolica Auctoritate ad nos avocandam esse ducimus et avocavimus illamque Congregationi Ven. Fratrum nostr. S. R. E. Cardinalium negotiis Propagandæ Fidei præpositorum cognoscendam fineque debito terminandam commisimus. Quapropter Fraternitati Tue tenore præsentium injungimus et mandamus ut authenticum exemplum omnium actorum ejusdem cause quæ in tua curia hactenus gesta fuerunt ad memoratam Congregationem quamprimum transmittas, et insuper antedictis presbyteris Rivers et Kavanagh denunciari facias atque præcipias ut jura sibi competentia coram eadem Congregatione incunctanter deducere non prætermittant. Interim vero donec causa finita fuerit*

past twelve months. We shall send all papers connected with our controversy to Rome, and whilst we await its decision, we shall live in peace and tranquillity. The Bishop of Killaloe brought about a reconciliation also between two other Catholic gentlemen of high character and position; but about this and other matters I will write a more full account by another post. In the mean time I will ever be, &c.

“OLIVER PLUNKET.

“19th Nov. 1672.”

decernimus et declaramus prefatum Rivers administrationi controversæ Parochialis Ecclesie, nullo pacto se immiscere debere, eandemque Ecclesiam administrari volumus et mandamus a memorato Kavanagh tamquam ad id a Nobis specialiter delegato, sine tamen præjudicio jurium ambarum partium. Cæterum quoad primatum attinet, cupimus ut jura quæ Ecclesiæ Tus suffragari potaveris predictæ Cognis judicio discutienda proponas, cujus erit libratæ æqua lance utriusque partis rationum momentis ex justitiæ præscripto litem decidere. Quod superest Te vehementer in Dno hortamur ac admonemus ut excitatos isthic de utraque controversia sermones e medio tolli ac penitus aboleri omni adhibito studio satagas, eumque in scopum nedom ab antedictis presbyteris verum etiam a reliquis omnibus Tibi subjectis ecclesiasticis viris silentium custodiri impense cures. *Id autem eo enixius a Te postulamus, quo firmitus credimus*—non alia magis ratione publicum scandalum aboleri ac averti posse gravissima pericula et detrimenta quæ ab ejusmodi inter præcipuos Hiberniæ sacros antistites contentionibus et orthodoxæ fidei ejusque cultoribus imminere maxime formidamus. Et Apostolicam Benedictionem Fraternitati Tus peramanter impertimur.

“Datum Romæ, &c. die 20 Dec. 1719.”

“Venerabili Fratri Archiep. Dublineusi.

“VEN. FRATER SALUTEM, &c.—Vehementer ut par erat commoti sumus ex iis quæ de gravi contentione inter Fraternitatem Tuam et Ven. Fratrem Archiep. Armacanum excitata, occasione provocationis ad hunc habitæ a dilecto filio presbytero Valentino Rivers cui adeptam Paroch. Ecclesie. S. Cath. istius Civitatis Dublinensis administrationem alteri Presbytero tradidisti, nuperrime ad nos allata fuerunt. Pro pastoralis itaque officii nostri debito, non solum scandalo quod quidem ingens ob hujusmodi dissidium et consequenter instauratam inter vos veterem de primatu questionem istis in partibus exortum esse intelleximus, salubriter et opportune consulere, verum etiam gravissima pericula et detrimenta quæ inde in orthodoxam religionem ejusque cultores derivari possent, quantum in nobis situm est avertere et etiam arcere cupientes consilium suscepimus causam administrationis—(Here follow the same words as in the preceding letter, which are in a parenthesis; then the letter terminates)—non alia magis ratione publicam bonorum omnium offensionem extinguere posse, neque etiam averti mala quæ sane ingentia a funesto hoc inter præcipuos sacros Hiberniæ Antistites dissidio, nisi celeriter componatur, in rem Catholicam redundatura fore summa cum animi nostri molestia prævidemus. Et Ap. Ben. Fraternitati T. peramanter impertimur. Dat. Romæ, &c., die 21 Dec. 1719.”

## CHAPTER XIX.

### PERSECUTION OF 1674.

TOWARDS the close of 1673 the English monarch, yielding to the well-known bigotry of the Parliament, published an order suspending the few favours which had been granted to the Catholics during the administration of Berkeley, and commanding all the bishops and regular clergy to depart from the kingdom.\* Thus were too soon sadly verified the anticipations of Dr. Plunket, which we have already met with in many of his letters. Indeed, the Catholics had as yet scarce begun to taste the sweets of toleration when this new storm of persecution was let loose against them. The use of arms was interdicted to them: "they were disfranchised in all corporations, and deprived of corporate honours and emoluments; the powers vested in the Lord Lieutenant and Council to regulate corporations were twisted into a legislative authority of new-modelling them, and of imposing oaths and qualifications contrary to law, and subversive of the rights of the subject."†

What were the sufferings of Dr. Plunket, and of the Bishop of Waterford, who was the companion of his flight, will be best learned from the letters of the primate. Soon after the publication of the king's edict in Ireland, he thus writes to the Internuncio on 27th January, 1674:—

"I have received yours of the 12th of December, as also the letter sent to the companions of my sufferings, which, indeed, are very great in this first month of the new year; so that this year begins with a cloud which is truly thickening, and presages a dreadful storm, as you will see from the following narrative.

"In the edict published against the bishops and regulars, there was a clause, that whatsoever bishop or regular would have his name enrolled on the magistrates' list in the maritime forts, with the intention of taking his departure from the kingdom, should suffer no molestation; nay, more, that he should be protected till a vessel would be found ready to sail for foreign countries. Some bishops, as Dr. Plunket, of Meath, and Dr. O'Molony, of Killaloe, entered their names in Dublin; many of the regulars, with the Archbishop of Tuam, gave their names in Galway, and some others in various other parts of the kingdom, hoping that the storm would pass, and that peace and calm would be soon

\* "Portano gl'ultimi avvisi di Londra che il Re per incontrare le soddisfazioni del Parlamento, avesse inviato ordine in Ibernia per l'espulsione dei Vescovi Cattolici e di tutti i Religiosi e sacerdoti toltine i parrochi; con la proibizione delle armi a tutti i Cattolici fuori che ai nobili della sola spada."—*Letta. del Internunz. Falconieri.* 9 Nov. 1673.

† *History of the Irish Cath.*, by Mathew O'Connor, page 105.



restored. Quite the contrary, however, happened. The Viceroy, on the 10th, or thereabouts, of this month, published a further proclamation that the registered clergy should be treated with the greatest rigour. Another, but secret, order was also given to all the magistrates and sheriffs that the detectives should seek out, both in the cities and throughout the country, the other bishops and regulars; I and my companion no sooner received intelligence of this than, on the 18th of this month (styl. vet.) which was Sunday, after Vespers, being the festival of the Chair of St. Peter, we deemed it necessary to take to our heels; the snow fell heavily, mixed with hail-stones, which were very hard and large; a cutting north wind blew in our faces, and the snow and hail beat so dreadfully in our eyes, that to the present we have scarcely been able to see with them. Often we were in danger in the valleys of being lost and suffocated in the snow, till at length we arrived at the house of a reduced gentleman who had nothing to lose; but, for our misfortune, he had a stranger in his house, by whom we did not wish to be recognized: hence we were placed in a large garret, without chimney and without fire, where we have been during the past eight days: may it redound to the glory of God, the salvation of our souls, and of the flocks entrusted to our charge. So dreadful was the hail and cold, that the running of the eyes both of my companion and myself has not ceased as yet, and I feel that I shall lose more than one tooth, so frightful is the pain they give me; my companion, moreover, was attacked with rheumatism in one arm, so that he can scarcely move it. In a word, we may say with truth, that *fuga nostra fuit in hieme et in sabbato*; that is on Sunday, and the feast of the Chair of St. Peter; blessed be God who granted us the favour of suffering, not only for the Chair of St. Peter, but on the very day dedicated to the feast of that chair, which, resting on a rock, will, as I hope, in the end break the violence of these tempestuous waves.

"Though I have not as yet heard of the arrest of any, except a certain Father Eugene Cogli (Quigley), of the order of St. Dominick, Prior of Tuam, and a Father Francis Brennan, in Mullingar, nevertheless, I fear that for the future room will be wanting in the prisons, so many will be arrested; for, as I am informed, the sheriffs and magistrates of the king received orders to hunt out the bishops and regulars, searching for them even in private houses. May God assist us. I make you my reverence,

"THOMAS COX.\*

"The 27th January (styl. vet.) 1674."

Dr. Brennan added the following lines to this letter:—

"On the 14th of December I gave an account to your Excellency of my flight, and of the occasion of it. Up to the present God has protected me in the company of my old Roman companion; we have been together, sharing the same fate for the last two months, and he has described above its annoyances. The spies, however, occasion still greater anxiety, for we are at every moment exposed to them, especially now that the Lord Lieutenant has avowed his determination to carry the proclamation into

\* This was the assumed name of the Archbishop of Armagh.

effect, and for this purpose has already published a new edict. We trust, in the mercy of God, that He will give us grace to endure all this, and conform ourselves to His holy will. I will not fatigue your Excellency by detailing the sad news with which our island is full at the present time. May God console us, and grant many years to your Excellency, &c.

"27, January, 1674.

"JOHN OF WATERFORD."

Even after these lines of Dr. Brennan, the primate added another postscript, in which he writes:—

"At the moment of closing this, I received two letters from London, one of the 10th of January, the other of the 12th, and they bring but little consolation or hope. The Parliament made an order that no Catholic could lodge within ten miles of London, and they sent away all the Catholics that have a permanent residence there. The Parliament commenced a suit against the Duke of Buckingham, the Duke of Lauderdale, (a Scotchman), and the Earl of Arlington, Secretary of State, and it is feared that the result will not be too favourable to them. It is also said that the Duke of Ormond will be brought upon the stage, and subjected to trial. They obliged the Duke of York and four of the Catholic nobility to take the oath of allegiance; they are also making an investigation whether there are Catholics in the army. The Parliament is altogether inclined to peace with Holland, and to war against France; they raise great difficulties about giving money to the king, either to carry on war, or to pay his debts. If such rigour is shown against the Catholics in the chief and model city, London, there can be but little prospect of relief for us in this country. No matter; the mercy of God is greater than all human efforts and machinations.

"You are revered by

"THOMAS COX."

About a fortnight later Dr. Plunket again wrote to the Inter-nuncio, giving further accounts of the laws enacted by Parliament, and although his letter presents no additional particulars as to his own immediate sufferings, still it reveals to us his ardent desire to suffer for the faith, and the true heroism of Christian charity which glowed in his breast:—

"We are here in still greater fear and trembling, for *our neighbour's house is on fire*. In Scotland, the Parliament enacted that for the future it should be considered high treason to hear Mass. It would seem that the days of Nero and Domitian and Diocletian have returned; the penalty of this crime of high treason is to be embowelled and quartered. So thus we shall have the blood of martyrs in abundance to fertilize the Church. It is true, that in Scotland proper, there are but few Catholic families; but in the Hebrides there about 4,000 Catholic families, and I gave a long account of these islands to Mgr. Airoidi. If Scotland is in tears, England has little motive for rejoicing. The Parliament did nothing from the 7th of January to the 27th but

discuss matters of religion, and the result of all their deliberations was to assail the Catholics; they framed a new oath, to be taken by all the Catholics resident in London, and amongst its other beautiful clauses, there is one which deserves to be remarked, that forsooth *the Pope is a heretic*. Whosoever refuses to take this oath is, *ipso facto*, condemned; and amongst the other penalties, he shall lose three-fourths of his goods. They also enacted, that the sons and daughters of the Duke of York should have a Protestant tutor, and should be removed from his palace, on account of his wife being Catholic, lest they, too, should be Catholics. They passed another bill declaring it unlawful for the king, or for any of the royal family, to marry a Catholic without the license and consent of the Parliament. I remember the inscription which was over the entrance of Castle Gandolfo, *qui potenti majora negat, minora permittit*. The king can choose any servants he pleases, but he cannot choose a wife; at this rate in a little while he will not be able to send away a coachman without taking off his hat to Parliament; it is thus this *bestia multorum capitum* puts its foot upon his neck, (you remember the expression of your father). It was also commanded, under the strictest penalties, that all the sons of Catholics throughout the whole kingdom should be educated in the Protestant tenets. It was even proposed that all the priests of the whole kingdom should be imprisoned for life. The treaty of peace proposed by Holland, and favoured by the Spanish ambassador, diverted their attention, and at present engrosses their thoughts; in my opinion, however, this peace will not be made, for the Dutch are resolved to fish in the British Ocean, and on the coasts of Greenland, whilst the English are equally determined not to yield these fisheries to them.

"I had almost forgotten to mention another clause of the oath of abjuration, forsooth, that when the priest pronounces the words of Christ, there is present naught but the mere substance of bread and wine. Were they to speak only of their own ministers, and say that after their ceremonies, and the pronouncing of the words of Christ, there was nothing but the substance of bread and wine, which might well be offered to Ceres or Bacchus, it would be true enough; for when their ministers recite these words, they not only pronounce our formula of consecration, but deliver a sermon, and this at a distance from the bread and wine, and, as they themselves avow, pronounce the form as a *gratiarum actio*, not as a *consecratio aut benedictio*; moreover, when the minister has not received a valid ordination, it matters little how he pronounces the words, for it is certain they will have no efficacy.

"In fine, listen to another edict, or proclamation, which was published on the 24th of last month, and commanded that the 4th of February should be observed as a solemn fast: behold, a general fast now intimated. And for what purpose? To invoke and implore the Divine assistance against the dreadful machinations and plottings of the Papists. You may easily imagine in what affliction and confusion we are. But *non est abbreviata manus Domini qui dissipat consilia et comitia principum hujus sæculi et reprobat cogitationes eorum*. These times are like to the primitive church; and I hope that the church will once

more be rendered glorious, and be enriched with the sufferings and martyrdoms of its northern children, who are humble and devoted servants, and imitators of Christ and the Apostles, and that the adverse storm will aid us more even than the favouring breeze.

"These edicts, and proclamations, and decrees, do not as yet regard Ireland, for it is not expressly mentioned in them; but I think there is, as usual, no danger of their forgetting us. Should they come to us, God be praised, we shall welcome them, *aut patiemur aut moriemur*: at least, we will not be mercenaries; with the halter round our throat, they shall have to drag us to the vessel, for otherwise we shall not abandon the sheep or the lambs. I beseech you to procure for us the prayers of the servants of God, that thus *Deus nos protegat a conventu malignantium*, and may grant to us the gift of holy perseverance, and you are revered by your most obliged and devoted servant,

"THOMAS COX.

"12th Feb. 1674."

Dr. Brennan adds to this letter a few lines, but instead of subscribing either his name, or even his initials, he only writes in the end: "My writing is sufficiently known."

This postscript is as follows:—

"From the place of our refuge I, in union with my companion, cordially revere your Excellency, though I have nothing to add to what my companion has written. Even in this desert he has a most exact correspondence from all parts, and this is the greatest temporal consolation that we enjoy. Such correspondence, however, costs him a great deal.

"From the desert, the 13th Feb. 1674."

The only provision which had been made by the primate for this flight and concealment, as he mentions in one of his letters, was a collection of books, and with these and his loved companion, this time of persecution, despite its dangers and sufferings, must have been to him one of peace and heavenly calm. He was often obliged to change his abode. If the place of refuge, just described in the preceding letters, was so ill provided with any convenience, that it seemed a prison rather than an abode, the hut to which, at other times, he was compelled to retreat, seems to have likened him still more to the martyrs of the primitive Church. "The hut in which Dr. Brennan and myself have taken refuge (he writes towards the close of 1673), is made of straw; when we lie down to rest, through the openings of the roof, we can see the stars; and when it rains, we are refreshed, even at the head of the bed, by each successive shower." At this period, too, a little oaten bread was their only support; "yet," the primate adds, "*we choose rather to die of hunger and cold than to abandon our flocks, since it would*

*be shameful for those spiritual soldiers to become mercenaries, who were trained in Rome.*" (15 Dec. 1673.)

The other accounts of the persecution of this year corroborate the narrative of Dr. Plunket. Thus, the Bishop of Clogher, Dr. Patrick Duffy, in his letter of 22nd May, 1674\* addressed to Cardinal Altieri, whilst he seems to be aware that the primate had recently sent a narrative of their sufferings, "*de his fusius Illmus. Ardmachanus vobis scripsit et qualiter ipsi nobiles aut amici nequeunt nec audent nobis succurrere ratione publici edicti noviter hic editi contra ecclesiasticos et eorum fautores,*" he adds, that the whole kingdom was most afflicted, that the persecution raged with such fury that he did not dare to appear in public, but had fled to the mountains and morasses—"montibus et paludibus," to seek a place of refuge. All means of support had been cut off from him, so that unless some aid were sent from Rome death from starvation should be his lot; "Nevertheless," he continues, "I am resolved not to abandon my flock, and never to depart hence unless when dragged away a prisoner, or decked with the martyr's palm:" "*hinc nisi ex carcere vel cum martyrii palma, statui non discedere.*"

The superior of the Capuchins, Father Patrick Barnewall, writes in a like strain from London to Cardinal Spinola:—

"I have once more returned from Ireland to England, as the persecution is far more intolerable there than it is here. In Ireland no one, under penalty of losing all that he possesses, can receive a religious into his house; all the convents, as well as all preaching, are rigorously interdicted; the secular priests alone are tolerated, in order not to excite public tumults. In London twenty-five scudi are given to whosoever discovers a priest; so your Excellency may imagine in what manner we are able to live here. Nevertheless the bounty of God displays the wonders of his mercy to the confusion of the persecutors, for every day witnesses new conversions to truth."

This persecution seems to have continued during the whole of the year 1674: even in the first months of the following year the Parliament threatened its renewal with still more rigorous edicts, and on the 5th of March, 1675, Dr. Plunket thus wrote to Monsignor Ravizza, Secretary of the Sacred Congregation:—

"You will have already heard how a few days ago new rigorous edicts were published in England against the Catholics, so that we are here *cum timore et tremore*. Should they affect us here we must fly once more to the woods and caverns (*fugiemus ad sylvas, et montes, et ad speluncas*), in which we have now for fifteen months passed a severe novitiate."

\* See Appendix, No. 61.

However, this new storm seems not to have reached the Irish shores, and Heaven granted a few years peace, of which the primate untiringly availed himself to visit anew the various districts of his province to correct abuses, and sanction salutary laws of discipline for the safe guidance of the faithful people entrusted to his care.

---

## CHAPTER XX.

### DR. PLUNKET DECIDES THE CONTROVERSY BETWEEN THE DOMINICANS AND FRANCISCANS.

DR. PLUNKET, at the very outset of his episcopate, found the regular clergy of his province divided into two conflicting parties regarding the respective rights of the Franciscans and Dominicans to solicit the alms of the faithful in the dioceses of Armagh, Down, Dromore, and Clogher. Many flourishing convents of the Dominican order had existed in the province of Armagh, and even in the dioceses which we have mentioned, till the ruthless invasion of the Covenanters laid waste everything that was national or sacred in our island. On the restoration of King Charles II. to the British crown, and on some liberty being accorded for the erection of religious houses, the Franciscan friars were the first to re-establish convents in the province of Armagh, and especially claimed to themselves that privilege in the dioceses referred to. From the Dominican house of Sligo, somewhat later, a holy community went forth to gather the scattered stones of the sanctuary, and re-inhabit their ancient convents of Gaula, in the diocese of Clogher, of Carlingford, in Armagh, and Villa-Nova, in Down. To their surprise they discovered that these convents were in the hands of other religious, and that even their claim was controverted to solicit the alms of the faithful in these dioceses. The controversy soon grew warm, and an appeal was made to the Holy See. To our primate was entrusted without delay supreme authority to examine the respective claims of both parties, and pronounce definitive sentence regarding this controversy. It was with reluctance that Dr. Plunket accepted of this commission from Rome, so conscious was he of the torrent of calumny and reproach to which he would be consequently exposed.

"If I decide in favour of the Dominicans," he thus writes, on the 16th of April, 1671, "behold the whole body of Franciscans will write

and publish a thousand things and a thousand calumnies against me, and that this primate is an enemy of the Franciscans, &c., and *vice versa*, if I decide in favour of the Franciscans. Notwithstanding all this, should your Excellency and the Internuncio write to me and state that I have power to decide their controversies, and command me to decide the matter, I shall intrepidly obey; and I am sure that my decision will have effect.

As regards formulas of allegiance or remonstrances, since that which we presented in June last, and which was accepted by the Viceroy, nothing further has been intimated to us; and I know the present Viceroy will give us no annoyance of that sort, for he is aware that Walsh is a knave, and that he excites these disturbances for his own private ends. The Viceroy is a moderate and prudent man; but should the Government be changed, God knows what may ensue."

On the commands of the Holy See being communicated to him, he no longer hesitated to apply himself to this arduous work; and on the 7th of June, 1671, he thus expresses his determination, whatsoever the consequences might be, to deliver his decision in accordance with the rules of equity and justice:—

"The differences between the Franciscans and Dominicans will be judged in every part of the province, conformable to the commands of your Excellency; and in doing so I will rather appeal to your authority than to my own. To say the truth, this decision is an arduous and stormy matter; after all my toils I shall gather no fruit but the thorns of calumny and falsehood. I shall only summon one procurator at a time from each of the three controverted convents, and I will receive their allegations and proofs, and afterwards give sentence in conformity with these proofs and documents, *pereat mundus et fiat justitia, si fractus illabatur orbis, impavidum ferient ruinae.*"

Before pronouncing sentence, Dr. Plunket held a visitation in each of the four mentioned dioceses, and by letter of 29th July, 1671, whilst he details the many expenses in which he had been involved in consequence of the many commissions from Rome, he communicates to the Internuncio the result of his deliberations:—

"I received yours of the 22nd of June, with the letter annexed, and this package cost me three scudi and a-half. My poor purse is not able to meet this and like expense of letters. I will not be wanting in toiling and offering my services, and giving an account of everything that occurs; but unless some assistance comes to me from that quarter the pen must fall from my hands. I expended during the past year 125 scudi in letters alone, and since the month of May ten scudi. If the Sacred Congregation will defray the expense of the letters, I will not fail to work and to write. Moreover, if the Sacred Congregation be desirous that I should continue the schools which I commenced, it will be necessary to give the stipend of missionary priests to three

Jesuits who teach them, for I am unable, nor can I for the future support them; and thus the work which I commenced and carried on for one year will fall to the ground, to the great spiritual detriment of the kingdom.

"I visited my diocese, and I was also in the dioceses of Down, Dromore, and Clogher, to examine the controversies of the Dominicans and Franciscans about their convents and their respective boundaries. In Down it is certain that the Dominicans had a convent called *de Villa-Nova*. But the existence of their convent in Clogher is only attested by an old parchment book, written many years ago, which contains the annals of that diocese: and some old persons attest that before the war of Cromwell there were Dominicans in that diocese, who went around to quest, in consequence of these convents: the Franciscans, however, always opposed them. Now as to my diocese, the Dominicans have the convent of Carlingford, but the Franciscans pretend that it should not belong to them. I examined the matter, and having heard the witnesses, I find that the Dominicans had formerly a convent there, and that its old walls are yet standing. But the Franciscans have this argument against them, that for many years, and almost within the memory of man, the Dominicans were not permanently in these convents, and that therefore these convents must be considered as *abandoned*; and that, moreover, the Dominicans have lost the right to quest in these parts, on account of the prescription which now exists in favour of the Franciscans. To this the Dominicans reply, that *tempore persecutionis dormiunt præscriptiones*, (during persecutions, prescription is of no avail), and that these convents were abandoned for many years only on account of the persecution. It cost me a great deal of expense, and a great deal of fatigue, to examine the matter in the three dioceses.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"To the Internuncio Tanari, Brussels."

The primate seems to have deferred his final decision for six weeks after the date of this letter to the Internuncio. Writing to the same Monsignor Tanari on the 28th of September following, he informs him, that having maturely examined the whole matter, and having associated with himself three prudent counsellors, he had at length promulgated his decision in favour of the Dominican Fathers:—

"With extreme fatigue I examined, in three dioceses, during the month of July last, the controversy between the Dominicans and the Franciscans, not without risk even of my health; and before giving sentence, I called three consultors, forsooth, the Bishop of Meath, Dr. Oliver Dense, a man of great skill and experience, and Dr. Thomas Fitzsymons, that thus I might not presume on my own prudence; and then I gave my decision in favour of the Dominicans, and I will send a copy of it to your Excellency."

The definitory decree of Dr. Plunket was not published till the



11th of October following, when it was printed and promulgated at Drogheda: it has already been published in the often quoted repertory of the records of our Irish Church, the "Hibernia Dominicana" of Dr. De Burgo, page 129, and runs as follows:\*

"We, Oliver, by the grace of God, Archbishop of Armagh, and Primate of all Ireland, to all Bishops, Prelates, Parish Priests, and Curates of the dioceses of Armagh, Clogher, Down, and Dromore, blessing and eternal welfare in the Lord.

"As many disputes and controversies have arisen between the Dominican Fathers and the Franciscans in the dioceses of Armagh, &c., in regard of certain convents and their limits, for deciding which, we, in addition to our ordinary authority, also received delegate power from the Holy See, we, in order to proceed with legality and method, visited, not without great labour and expense, each of the dioceses in which the aforesaid controversies and disputes were carried on, and we received the allegations and proofs of both sides.

"Moreover, not to rest on our own prudence, having called to our deliberations the Most Illustrious and Most Rev. Patrick,† Bishop of Meath, his Vicar-General, the Very Rev. Dr. Oliver Dease, and the Very Rev. Dr. Thomas Fitzsymons, Vicar-General of Kilmore, we maturely pondered and discussed the aforesaid allegations and proofs. As, therefore, it is manifest, from the produced documents and proofs, that the Dominicans possessed the convent of Gaula, in the diocese of Clogher, the convent of Villa-Nova, in Down, and the convent of Carlingford, in Armagh, we, with the council and suffrages of the aforesaid consultors, by this present ordain and decree that the Dominicans in the said dioceses may ask for alms, and quest as the other Religious do. But as to the diocese of Dromore, in which neither the Dominicans nor the Franciscans have a convent, the Dominicans are not to be prevented from questing there so often as they present to the ordinary of the diocese the permission of their superiors. We, therefore, impose on all and each of you, and strictly command you, under penalty of suspension, which will be *ipso facto* incurred, to obey this our decree and order, laying aside every excuse and tergiversation.

"In sign of which, &c.,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH,  
"Tot. Hib. Primas."

"Dundalk, 11th October, 1671.

\* See Appendix No. 42. The printed copy of this decree is dated "Dundalk, the 11th of October, 1671:" the MS. copy sent to the Internuncio, and by him transmitted to Rome on 24th October, 1671, is directed "e loco nostri refugii," without date, and signed "Oliverius Armacanus, Totius Hiberniæ Primas." The decree, printed by De Burgo, corresponds with some other ancient printed copies, which are preserved in the Roman archives. The only difference is where De Burgo substituted an *etc.* for brevity sake, instead of the name of the dioceses.

† Not Patrick Cusack, as De Burgo erroneously remarks, but Patrick Plunket, who was transferred from the see of Ardagh to Meath in 1669, and governed it till his death in 1679. See letter of Dr. Plunket, 30th November, 1679.

Notwithstanding this decision of the primate, the controversy continued still to be warmly agitated on both sides. The laity, for the greater part, united with the Franciscans, and an imposing petition, bearing an endless list of names of the laity, was forwarded to Rome, expressing their desire that the Franciscans alone should be allowed to quest in these dioceses, and claiming, at the same time, the three disputed convents for that order. It was only the renewal of the persecution in 1673 that hushed, for awhile, this controversy: and Dr. Plunket, writing on the 15th December, 1673, declares that this point, at least, had been obtained by the persecution:—

“All the convents and novitiates have been destroyed, and the novices are scattered. This last decree has put an end to the dissensions of the Dominicans and Franciscans about the questing and the three convents.”

With the return of peace there re-appeared on the stage assertors of each party's respective rights, and it was only in 1678 that the question was finally set at rest, when, as is recorded in the Acts of Propaganda, a formal letter was sent by the Holy See, confirming the former decision of the Archbishop of Armagh.

This continuance of the controversy produced one happy fruit, for we owe to it a more full and detailed account from the pen of the primate himself of the course which he pursued in deciding it.

The following is his letter of 8th September, 1672, addressed to Monsignor Baldeschi, Secretary of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda:—

“I examined the controversy between the Dominicans and Franciscans of the province of Armagh by the authority delegated from Rome, as well as by my ordinary authority, and finding the reasons produced by the Dominicans to be the stronger, I gave judgment in their favour. The Franciscans, however, have appealed to the Holy See from my decision, and sent a special agent to Rome to prosecute their appeal; and hence I deem it necessary to send to your excellency an account of the whole matter with as much brevity as possible.

“The Dominican Fathers of this province have three convents, about which there exists no controversy, that is, the convent of Drogheda, of Derry, and of Bannina, or Culrahan; about these there is no dispute.\* All the controversy is about three other convents, videlicet, of Villanova, in the diocese of Down, of Gaula in Clogher, and of Carlingford in my diocese.

\* This justifies the opinion of De Burgo, “Hib. Dom.” page 130, and refutes Dr. Crolly, page 43.

"I went to the county Down, called the contending parties, and found the clearest evidence that the convent of Villa-Nova belonged to the Dominicans. St. Antoninus makes mention of it in the third part of his history; and Sir James Ware, in his book "*de Antiquitatibus Hib.*" speaking of the convent of Dôwn, page 212, thus says: "*Conventus ordinis Prædicatorum introductus est anno 1244, et Capitula ejusdem ordinis ibi habita sunt annis 1298 et 1312: sedet in territorio de Ardes prope littus maris;* and witnesses were produced who swore that they had seen Dominicans of this convent questing in the diocese of Down before the war of Cromwell.

"In the diocese of Armagh I summoned before me the parties who were contending about the convent of Carlingford: the Dominicans produced again the authority of Ware, who, at page 203, writes thus: "*Carlingfordiæ conventus ordinis Prædicatorum: Comites Ultoniæ patroni fuerunt.*" They, moreover, produced an instrument of the tenth year of Henry VIII., by which a citizen of Carlingford, named Mariman, made over a house and garden to the Dominicans of the convent of Carlingford. Again, in the 'Dublin Register,' which is called '*Defective Titles,*' mention is made of this convent of the Dominicans of Carlingford; and they also adduced the evidence of old persons who had seen some Dominican Friars residing near this convent before the war of Cromwell.

"I went to the diocese of Clogher, and near Enniskillen, in the convent of the Franciscan Friars, called the contending parties: the Dominicans adduced the authority of the ancient annals of that town, written in the Irish language, which give the name of the convent of Gaula, the year in which it was founded, the Pope in whose pontificate it was founded for the Dominicans. They also brought forward the testimony of an old priest, who swore that he heard from his father that the convent of Gaula belonged to the Dominicans; they also produced other witnesses who gave like evidence.

"Now, on the other hand, the Franciscans could bring forward nothing but negative arguments, that is, the signatures of those who attested that they had never seen or heard of the Dominicans being in these convents; that the people were not able to support both Dominicans and Franciscans; that the secular clergy were opposed to the Dominicans. They went about seeking the signatures of the gentry and others against the Dominicans; and what is more strange, they even went to Protestant gentlemen, asking them to speak to me against the Dominicans, and, *de facto*, many of these spoke to me, and almost threatened me if I did not remove the Dominicans from these dioceses.

"The Franciscans, moreover, added, that the Dominicans, in case these convents once belonged to them, must nevertheless have lost their right to them, having abandoned and deserted them for many years, so that prescription now holds against them. The Dominicans, however, replied, that in the time of war, pestilence, and persecution, no prescription can hold good against those who abandon their convents.

"These were, in substance, the principal arguments on both sides, which, with the whole of the proceedings, I submitted to the Bishop of

Meath, to Dr. Thomas Fitzsymons, Vicar-General of Kilmore, and to Dr. Oliver Dease, Vicar-General of Meath: they were of opinion that I should decide in favour of the Dominicans; and I did so.

"Here it must be remarked that the Dominicans, after the persecution of Cromwell, and on the restoration of the king, that is seven or eight years before I came to this country, came to reside in these dioceses, near these convents: so that it was not I that introduced them there, but I found them already in possession. Now, considering the arguments adduced by the Dominicans, and considering that I found them already in possession of their residences in these dioceses—considering, too, the great good that they do; having able preachers, and learned men; in fine, considering that both orders have sufficient for their support in these dioceses—for they thus supported themselves during the past ten years, notwithstanding their disputes, and were they to live in peace, they would be far better supported, for many persons being scandalized at their dissensions, will give no alms to either of them, in consideration of which things I deemed myself obliged in conscience to deliver judgment in favour of the Dominicans.

"The sentence being published the Franciscans appealed to the Holy See, and it seemed as though hell itself was let loose against me. Amongst the accusations it was stated that I gave judgment *non auditis partibus*: and yet the Very F. Paul O'Neil, who goes as their Procurator to Rome, was present in the diocese of Down when I heard both parties, as were also Dr. Ronan Magin, Vicar-Apostolic of Dromore, and twenty other priests and friars. In the diocese of Clogher the examination was held in the Convent of the Franciscans themselves, and whilst I was holding this session I got a severe attack of illness. As to my own diocese, it is a most notorious matter that I heard them; and, the concourse was so great, even though at some miles distance from the city, that it requires down-right barefacedness to say I did not examine the matter. However, they threaten me, and that, too, very often, that they will treat me as they treated my predecessor, Richard of Armagh,\* who was summoned to Avignon, and died there of grief. The guardian of Dundalk told me this to my face.

"It is unnecessary for me to say any more, as your Excellency has both prudence and solicitude to arrange the matter properly. I pray you to show this letter, as also all my other letters, to Dr. Peter Creagh, our agent in Rome, that thus he may be informed as to the affairs of the province, and I shall ever remain,

"Your most obliged and affectionate servant,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH.

"Dundalk, 8th Sept., 1672."

The accusation of his not having heard both sides of the controversy seems to have been the one on which his adversaries

\* Richard Fitz-Ralph, who was appointed Archbishop of Armagh in 1347, and died in Avignon in 1360. (See Ware.)

most relied; and hence Dr. Plunket more than once refers to it in his letters. In his letter of the 4th February, 1672, he thus replies to that accusation:—

“I already sent to your Excellency the attestation of Ardel Matthews, or Mac Mahon, Vicar-Forane of the diocese of Clogher, to the effect that I heard both sides in that diocese; and that I laboured even so as to bring on a serious illness. I now send a like attestation of Dr. Ronan Magin, Vicar-Apostolic of Dromore, in regard of the Convent of Villa-Nova, in the diocese of Down; and in Dromore, so great was the concourse of friars, priests and others, that we all ran great risk of being imprisoned by a nobleman very hostile to our religion. But the son-in-law of the High Chancellor was my friend, and possessing great influence in the county of Down, prevented his evil designs. Now, Monsignore, how can they have the audacity to circulate such falsehoods, that, forsooth, I did not hold an investigation, and that I did not hear both parties, whilst, when engaged in hearing them two accidents happened which were so notorious that there was not a corner of the whole province but was filled with them, all talking about my illness, and the risk of imprisonment.”

We shall give in the Appendix the attestation of the Vicar-Apostolic of Dromore, the only one we have been able to discover. In it he declares that about the 24th of June, 1671, the primate for three days held his session in Dromore, and heard the reasons of the conflicting parties about the convent of Villanova: and that he, with all his party, incurred great risk on account of the bigotry of George Randon, who would fain believe that they were plotting a rebellion, and under this pretence sought their incarceration.

A letter of Dr. Fitzsymons, Vicar-general of Kilmore, has also been preserved to us, written on 7th September, 1670. In it he eulogizes the untiring zeal of the primate in celebrating synods and sanctioning ecclesiastical discipline, and declares that the claim of the Dominicans had in its favour “*antiqua documenta, vestigia locorum, et annales patriæ pervetustos, quos ipsemet vidi in antiqua membrana exscriptos et apud antiquarium dicti comitatus Fermaniæ custoditos.*” (See this letter in Appendix, No. 51.)

## CHAPTER XXI.

### JANSENISM IN IRELAND.

IN one of those meetings which were held towards the close of the past year (1859), and which will surely form a glorious epoch in the history of the Irish Church, an eloquent speaker well observed that Ultramontanism (for such is, now-a-days, the trite designation of Catholic doctrine) was as if implanted by nature itself in the very soil of Ireland. Hence Protestantism never cast any roots there; and hence, too, Jansenism, with its affiliate Gallicanism, could never take any hold on the affections of Irishmen.

About the year 1665, indeed, a few students of Paris and Louvain had had their minds contaminated with the doctrines of Jansenius, and on their return to Ireland sought to disseminate them in some districts. Gerard Ferrall, afterwards Vicar-Apostolic of Ardagh, when agent of the Archbishop of Armagh in Rome, addressed to Clement IX. an earnest appeal to have chief pastors appointed to the vacant sees:—"The continued series of bishops," he says, "was the chief means by which faith was so well preserved, and by their authority and piety and counsel and vigilance, not only was the Irish nation itself preserved Catholic, but also many foreign heretics, with their children and families, were converted to the faith:" and subsequently he adds, as an urgent motive for appointing bishops, especially at that period,\* "*that there were some who now sought to introduce and disseminate Jansenism*" throughout Ireland.

Peter Walsh, to whose name a sad notoriety is attached in the annals of our country, seems to have been amongst those who cherished the doctrines and principles of Jansenius. When a member of the Franciscan order in Louvain, he became acquainted with, and an admirer of, that broacher of novel doctrines: to him Walsh dedicated his public thesis on philosophy, and when the heretical work, *Augustinus*, was printed, after the death of Jansenius, he boasts of having been the first to read its proof sheets as

\* "Non alia potior videtur fuisse ratio, quam Episcoporum continua successio in illo Regno, quorum auctoritate, pietate, consilio ac vigilantia non solum ipsa natio Hibernica permansit Catholica verum etiam advenæ multi hæretici cum prole et familiis ad fidem conversi sunt. . . . Etenim imprimis necessitas ita postulat ob Jansenismum a nonnullis eo introductum et disseminatum."

they came from the press.\* All the later efforts of this unfortunate man to induce the Irish clergy to adopt his Remonstrance was but an attempt to realize in practice the teaching of Jansenius, for which, in early life, he had professed so great an esteem.

Whilst Dr. Talbot, Archbishop of Dublin, won for himself the title of *malleus Remonstrantium*, by his unceasing opposition to Peter Walsh and his followers, Dr. Plunket was not less actively engaged, in union with Dr. Brennan, of Waterford, in checking the silent current of Jansenism, and in exposing the secret evil which threatened to infect our "sainted isle." Some of the decrees of the synods of Clones and Ardpatrik were directed against the consequences of these erroneous doctrines; and the necessity of thus enacting special decrees against them sufficiently attests the activity with which the agents of error sought to disseminate these doctrines. On the 27th of March, 1677, Dr. Plunket writes from Dublin to Cardinal Altieri, Cardinal Protector of Ireland, detailing the pernicious errors by which the purity of faith was assailed, and asking for some remedy from Rome to check the growing evil:—

"Though the Parliament lately held in London fills us with alarm by its edicts, threatening to despoil the Catholics of their lands and possessions, yet the Catholics of these kingdoms are far more terrified at the spiritual calamities which seem impending, from the remains of Jansenism, and the novelties which continue yet to spread in France and Belgium: for it is from various parts of these countries that spiritual labourers come to cultivate this vineyard. You are already aware of how dangerous the doctrines are which, in their printed tracts, are circulated everywhere, concerning the infallibility of the Roman Pontiff,—the authority of St. Augustine, as if it were superior to the definitions of Rome,—the invalidity of absolution without the perfect love of God,—the necessity of reforming in many things the worship of God, of the Blessed Virgin, and the saints,—reproving frequent recourse to the sacrament of penance,—deferring absolution solely on account of relapse or any grievous sin (which gradually deters the faithful from approaching this sacrament);—reproving the custom of seeking absolution of mere venial sins,—declaring that invincible ignorance of the natural law can never excuse from sin,—and that works of virtue, unless they proceed from the pure love of God, are never free from sin, and not only do not tend to our salvation, but are absolutely vicious.

"These, and many other things repugnant to the constant teaching and practice of the Church, are a source of much anxiety to us; but, besides the sad results as regards our faithful people, an occasion also may be given to the heretics of these kingdoms to reprove us, as if we sought to introduce some new reformation of the Catholic Church.

\* Hist. of Remonst. page 75.

"Hence, in order that the purity of faith may be preserved intact and inviolate in this kingdom, which is placed under the patronage of your Eminence, I pray and beseech you, with all reverence and submission, to lay the aforesaid things before his Holiness and the Sacred Congregation, that thus some remedy, embracing all, may be devised, lest this kingdom should become infected with the delirium of the Jansenists. In the mean time, both I and the clergy entrusted to me will not cease, on our part, to maintain the true purity of doctrine, and to impugn all perverse teaching. I know that this will be in accordance with the desires of his Holiness and of your Eminence, for whose welfare I shall ever pray, &c.

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH,  
"Primate of all Ireland.

"Dublin, 27th March, 1677.

"To his Eminence Cardinal Altieri, Protector of Ireland."

Dr. Brennan, of Waterford, united his voice with that of the primate, and on the 30th of March of the same year, addressed the following letter to the Cardinal Protector; this letter is especially interesting, being dated "from his place of refuge in Ireland," and as it was probably the last which Dr. Brennan wrote before his elevation to the Archiepiscopal See of Cashel, for we find another letter, written on the same day, acknowledging the receipt of the briefs from Rome transferring him to that See\* :—

"Amongst the many things that give us annoyance in this kingdom, one is, the novelty of opinions in matters of faith, in consequence of the greater part of the ecclesiastical labourers of this vineyard studying in France and Flanders, and some of them returning thence with a tincture of Jansenism. In the neighbouring kingdoms many books are circulated which contain most dangerous doctrines, namely, of the fallibility of the Pope—of the authority of St. Augustine being of more avail, especially in the question *de Auxiliis*, than the pontifical definitions—of the invalidity of sacramental absolution without contrition—of restricting the veneration usually shown to the Blessed Virgin and to the Saints—against the frequenting of sacramental confession, especially with only venial sins—that invincible ignorance of the natural law never excuses from sin—that works of virtue performed without the pure love of God are of no avail to our eternal salvation; nay, more, are an impediment to it. These and similar errors have also penetrated this kingdom, but, through the mercy of God, they have but few partizans; even these few, however, have given a stimulus to my humble energies to prevent their taking root here; and as this matter principally regards the Holy See, I, following the example of other zealous prelates, have deemed it my duty to lay it in reverence and submission

\* See Appendix, No. 44.



before the Sacred Congregation through your Eminence, who worthily holds the offices of Protector of this Kingdom, and of Prefect of the Sacred Congregation, that thus in the supreme guardianship of the Holy Father, some remedy may be decreed for the greater consolation of the people of this kingdom.

“And I reverently kiss the purple of your Eminence.

“JOHN, BISHOP OF WATERFORD.

“From my place of refuge in Ireland,  
30th March, 1677.”

Without delay the vigilance of the Holy See was awakened to counteract the efforts of the emissaries of error, who thus sought to corrupt the doctrines of faith in our Irish Church. We find in the acts of the Sacred Congregation frequent reference made to instructions given to the Internuncio in Brussels to this effect; but the most interesting monument connected with the subsequent history of Jansenism at this period is a letter of Dr. Brennan when transferred to the Archiepiscopal See of Cashel. This letter is dated the 15th of October, 1678, and is addressed to the Internuncio, in reply to a communication from Rome, in which some precise information had been requested regarding the Jansenistical works which were being circulated in Ireland. Dr. Brennan not only gives a minute account of these books, but, moreover, affords sufficient data to attest the complete failure of Jansenism in seeking to obtain any footing in Ireland.

“I have received, with all reverence, the commands of the Sacred Congregation, under date of the 8th of March last, to give a precise account of the books containing the teaching of Jansenius, which have come into these quarters, as also of their authors and partizans. In compliance with these most esteemed commands, I beg to inform your Excellency, that we find here the New Testament, printed in France, in the French language, and having various errors contrary to the Vulgate, and to the Catholic religion. Another work is also met with, entitled ‘On frequent Communion,’ printed in French, and translated into English, having errors contrary to true devotion, and the practices of Holy Church.

“There is also the Mass, printed in French, and newly translated into English.

“The New Testament in French has not as yet come into my hands; I had, however, the Mass in French, and I made a seizure on it. I saw the book on frequent Communion, and though I could not get possession of it, yet I prohibited its being read. It is true, however, that these books are but very few in these parts, and I am not wanting in being ever on my guard lest they should be introduced or published here.

As to the followers of the errors of Jansenius, thanks to God they

are but few in this country. There was one regular who was deeply tinged with these errors, but I acted severely with him, and imposed silence on him, so that he retracted, and has become observant; it is for this reason, as also on account of his being a foreigner, that I refrain from mentioning his name, especially as he is neither notorious nor contumacious. There was another religious, and also two secular Priests, of the same hue, but they are all now dead. For the future, in consequence of the great vigilance and circumspection which are used, we hope that God may be served here *in veritate et sinceritate doctrinæ*.

"And with this I remain in sincere devotedness,

"JOHN, ARCHBISHOP OF CASHEL.

"15th October, 1678.

"To Mgr. Tanari, Brussels."

Thus was Jansenism soon repelled from our Irish shores. Our bishops sought at the central source of truth the remedy for the threatened evil, as in the early ages of the Irish Church they looked for aid to that See whence the light of faith had come to them, and, as children who have recourse to their mother,† they derived thence counsel, and strength, and consolation.

We have already seen the erroneous doctrines which were broached by Dr. Fitzsymons, the Vicar-General of Kilmore, in regard of the authority of the Holy See in the appointment of bishops, and how zealously and successfully they were combated and refuted by the Archbishop of Armagh. About the same time the Sacred Congregation wrote to Dr. Plunket, asking for information concerning Dr. Cornelius Daly, whose name had been proposed as Vicar-Apostolic of the united dioceses of Ardfert and Aghadoe. The primate, deceived by false rumours, wrote in reply, that he was infected with the errors of Jansenism, and hence could nowise be promoted to that dignity; but somewhat later discovering his error, he wrote on the 21st of September, 1678, declaring that Dr. Daly was wholly adverse to Jansenism, and having exacted from him a formal condemnation of its teaching, he transmitted it to Rome, and in an accompanying letter declared him fully qualified for any dignity which the Holy See might think well to confer on him. The formula to which Dr. Plunket required the signature of Dr. Daly, and which, thus signed by him, and attested with the primate's own name and seal, was transmitted to Rome, is as follows:—

"I, Cornelius Daly, a priest of Ardfert, in Ireland, Prothonotary Apostolic, and also Doctor of the Parisian Faculty, declare myself obedient to the Apostolic constitution of the Sovereign Pontiff Innocent

\* See Appendix, No. 39.

† *Tamquam nati ad matrem. St. Cummian.*

the Tenth given on the thirteenth of May, 1653, and to the constitution of our holy Father Alexander VII. given on the 16th of October, 1656, and I sincerely reject and condemn the five propositions taken from the Book of Cornelius Jansenius, entitled 'Augustinus,' in the sense intended by the author himself, and as the Apostolic See condemned them in the aforesaid constitutions, and I so swear. Sic Deus me adjuvet et hæc sancta Dei evangelia.

"CORNELIUS DALY.

"This has been done in our presence; so we testify this day, the 21st of September, 1678.\*

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH,  
"Primate of all Ireland."

Paris was at this period the great centre of Jansenism, and hence the chief danger of having our church infected with its errors seemed to impend from that quarter. In fact, Dr. O'Molony, when urging upon the authorities in Rome the necessity of establishing an Irish College in Paris, put forward as a leading motive, the danger which otherwise the Irish students would there incur of having their minds poisoned with the tenets of Jansenism. Moreover, he stated from his own experience that some members of our Irish clergy then resident in that city were ardent promoters of these erroneous doctrines. This accusation of the Bishop of Killaloe gave occasion to a large number of Irish ecclesiastics then resident in Paris to enter a formal protest against the doctrines of Jansenism, and present it, with their signatures, to Dr. Creagh, Bishop of Cork and Cloyne, and Dr. Tyrrell, of Clogher, who were then hastening to take possession of their sees, and request them to forward it to Rome. It is now preserved in the archives of the Sac. Cong. de P. Fide. It is addressed to the cardinals of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda, and is as follows:—

"The undersigned, who are Irishmen by birth, and by profession Catholics, through the grace of God, as to orders, priests; in sacred theology, respectively masters, licentiates, bachelors, masters of arts, and scholars, most humbly lay before your Eminences that they have learned, not without great affliction of soul, that they have been traduced, and, as well in Rome as elsewhere, have been accused of Jansenism; whilst, as they solemnly protest, they abhor nothing more than each and every doctrine repugnant to the true faith which the Holy Apostolic Roman See professes, and especially the Jansenistic doctrine combined in the five propositions condemned by the same Holy Roman Church. Wherefore, that your Eminences may be convinced of how unjustly they have been accused of the aforesaid Jansenism, they now, by this present letter, protest that they never held or taught such

\* See Appendix, No. 40.

doctrine, and that in time to come, whilst reason remains intact, they never will hold or teach it. Hence, with all due submission, they pray your Eminences to give no credence to any iniquitous report or calumny against them, in regard of this doctrine, or any teaching deviating from the true and Catholic faith, but rather to protect and encourage, with your usual goodness, as well these suppliants as their other countrymen, who, for the faith of Christ, and in an especial manner, on account of their reverence for the Holy See, have been expelled from their country and despoiled of their temporal possessions, and, under many difficulties and poverty, now labour and strenuously seek to acquire knowledge and virtue, as well in this holy Parisian faculty as in other Catholic universities; that thus confiding in so great a patronage, they may with more peace and calmness apply to their studies, and these being terminated, may return to their country, and there may attain their own happiness by their virtuous lives, and promote that of their Christian flocks by their learning and example.”\*

The names then follow, and the attestations of Drs. Creagh and Tyrrell, Paris, 26th August, 1676, as to the genuineness of the document and signatures. Amongst those who subscribed this important attestation of fealty to the decisions of the Holy See we find Cornelius O'Daly, of whom we have spoken above; also, Ambrose Madden, William Daton, and others who were afterwards distinguished ornaments of our Irish Church.

Such was the vigour of the opposition thus directed against Jansenism, that during the next thirty years, whilst the churches of France and Belgium were rendered desolate by the ravages of its erroneous novelties, its very name was almost unknown in Ireland. The subsequent history of that heresy in Ireland is easily told.

About the year 1709 some travellers visiting our Irish shores brought with them the contagion of its teaching, and by circulating Jansenistical tracts and books sought to imbue the minds of some with its false maxims.† Others, too, in succeeding years, seem to have repeated these attempts, though, happily, without producing any effect on the sentiments of our faithful people. The vigilance, however, of the Holy See was awakened; and in 1719, through Mgr. Santini, the Internuncio at Brussels, an admonitory address was transmitted to the prelates of Ireland, exhorting them to earnestly repel these dangers, and, at the same time, expressing a desire that they should, by some public declaration, avow their acceptance of the constitution “*Unigenitus*.” The

\* See Appendix, No. 41.

† See Polidorus’ “*De Vita et Rebus Gestis Clementis XI. Pont. Max.*,” page 215.

bishops of Ireland joyfully responded to this call of the Universal Father, and by their letters testified their abhorrence of the pestiferous errors of Jansenius, their acceptance of the above-mentioned constitution, and their inviolable attachment to the See of St. Peter. The letter of the Archbishop of Cashel has been fortunately preserved to us by Dr. De Burgo, in the Appendix to his "*Hibernia Dominicana*," page 819. The commencement of it is as follows:—

"**MOST HOLY FATHER,**—The most reverent and illustrious the Inter-nuncio at Brussels has signified to me, humble as I am, that your Holiness, out of your great zeal for religion, expresses a wish to receive from the bishops of Ireland some testimonial of their obedience to and observance of the constitution usually termed '*Unigenitus*.' To this most reasonable desire I am perfectly convinced that all and each of these prelates will accede, agreeably to the obedience which is due to the Holy See and to your Holiness, who so gloriously presides therein; and that amongst them not one shall be found who will not subscribe to the aforesaid most wise constitution without any tergiversation, cavil, or mental reservation whatever. For, although we are poor in the world, yet are we rich in faith; if we be deprived of our episcopal revenues, we have not, however, forfeited that obedience which we are bound to yield to those who are placed over us; if we suffer under the sword of persecution, we shall never create a schism in the body of Christ, or, to the utmost of our power, allow it to be done. We may be despised and oppressed, but we will be ever solicitous to preserve with *you*, our Head, *the unity of spirit in the bond of peace*; in short, although we traverse the plains of our native land in distress and affliction, nevertheless there abide within us, even to this day, that fervent love and veneration towards the Mother and Mistress of all Churches, and that desire to preserve all these divine rites, which, more than thirteen hundred years ago, had been established among our ancestors by that glorious Apostle, St. Patrick, whom your predecessor, St. Celestine I., had commissioned to preach amongst them. With justice do we glory in the fact, that among our predecessors in the hierarchy not one can be found who, in a matter of faith, has dared to manifest resistance to any constitution, decree, or apostolical diploma. Moreover, were it necessary, we have even additional motives in which to glory, inasmuch as neither by us, or by our clergy, or by our people, have any of these profane and novel terms, *religious silence*, or the *question of right and of fact*, been adopted, in contempt of the lawfully-constituted authority; nor have those infamous books, which caused so much disturbance and scandal in Catholic countries, been known, unless, perhaps, by name, to the greater part of our nation. That love and reverence for the Roman Pontiffs, which is the first sound that strikes our ears, which in infancy has been planted in our hearts, and, in a manner, forms a component part of our nature, have long since banished these and like novelties from our land.

"Instructed by apostolical documents and by the uninterrupted tradition of ages, we are confident, most holy Father, that to you is committed the important charge of protecting the flocks from the ravages of the wolf, of leading them into salutary pastures, and of securing them from whatever may be noxious—a duty which, by the aforesaid constitution, you have admirably executed. That, therefore, no doubt may remain of the purity and integrity of our faith, we hereby give our assent, in heart and in mind, to that document and constitution which, about ten years ago, your Holiness had thought proper to declare to the Gallican clergy. This our mode of proceeding is in perfect accordance with the faith of our fathers and with the uninterrupted tradition of ages; it is the rule of the Irish hierarchy, as well as of the other churches of the Christian world; while, on the other hand, those convict themselves of having deviated from it who refuse to subscribe to that most salutary constitution."

'Such were the sentiments of affection and reverence with which the prelates of Ireland ever clung to the See of Peter. Amongst those who then shared in these noble sentiments was Dr. Luke Fagan, Bishop of Meath; and we mention his name in particular, on account of a fabulous narrative which a late writer has published concerning him.\* This modern historian of the Jansenist heretics informs us that in 1715 the agent of that faction being unable to prevail on any continental bishop to ordain their priests, at length—

"In the person of Luke Fagan, Bishop of Meath, found a prelate willing to come to the assistance of Utrecht. . . . Von Heussen gave letters dimissory, as Vicar-General of the metropolitical chapter of Utrecht, the see being vacant, to twelve candidates, and they were in four several ordinations raised to the priesthood by Bishop Fagan. Among the number was Peter John Meindaarts, afterwards Archbishop of Utrecht. He (Fagan), however, required a solemn promise from each of the candidates that they would never reveal the circumstances of their ordination during his life. A curious event occurred some years afterwards. The secret was not so well kept as to prevent an indistinct rumour from reaching the Court of Rome that some Irish bishop had ordained priests from Utrecht. Fagan, by this time Archbishop of Dublin, received orders to discover which prelate had done so. He convoked the Irish bishops, put the question to each of them individually, and returned for answer, that, after examination, he was persuaded that none of the bishops of whom he had inquired had held any such ordination."—(Page 236.)

For this narrative Mr. Neale gives no authority: on the other hand, the acts of Propaganda are silent as to any such commission

\* The history of the so-called Jansenist Church of Holland, &c., by the Rev. M. A. Neale, 1858.

given to Dr. Fagan, as also in regard of this pretended convocation of our bishops. Dr. Fagan was not transferred to Dublin for fourteen years after the imaginary ordination; undoubtedly he would have never been promoted to a higher dignity if there were any suspicions of his orthodoxy, or rather if he had not given proofs of his zeal and of the integrity of his faith. Besides, during the whole period, that is, from the assumed date of this ordination till after the death of Dr. Fagan, the Archbishop of Armagh was the confidential correspondent of the Holy See in Ireland. Should any suspicions have been entertained as to the Jansenistical tendencies of any of our prelates, surely the commission to investigate the matter would be entrusted, not to one who, having studied in France, might himself, perhaps, be supposed to be infected with these errors, but to the Archbishop of Armagh, the long-tried friend of the Holy See, and who had sucked in at Rome's fountain source the pure doctrines of faith. Moreover, as we have seen, it was in 1719, that is four years after the pretended ordinations, and nine years before the elevation of Dr. Fagan to the Archiepiscopal See, that the suspicions of the Holy See were awakened in regard of some members of our hierarchy, and whatever may be our judgment as to the fact of this ordination, the letter at least of Dr. Fagan in reply to the encyclical of the Holy Father in 1719 leaves no doubt as to his orthodox sentiments, and his abhorrence of the novel tenets of Jansenius.

---

## CHAPTER XXII.

### CORRESPONDENCE OF DR. PLUNKET.

ON the 28th of April, 1670, Prince Cardinal Altieri ascended the Papal Throne, as Clement X. To the acclamations of the Catholic nations on the continent were united those of Ireland, and many addresses were sent from our shores congratulating him on his elevation to this high dignity. Dr. Plunket, though then engaged in the deliberations of the Synod of Dublin, hastened to lay at the feet of his Holiness the expression of the heartfelt joy with which the hearts of his faithful children of Armagh were filled, and addressed the following letter to him:—

“MOST HOLY FATHER,—The universal jubilee of the Christian world, on the elevation of your Holiness to the Chair of St. Peter, has

reached also this kingdom of Ireland, ever attached and devoted to your Holiness and to the Holy See, despite the persecutions and afflictions which it has endured since the introduction of heresy into the kingdom of England: wherefore I, as the last of the servants and children of your Holiness, join in this universal jubilee, and prostrate at the feet of your Holiness, in the name of this province of Armagh, entrusted to my pastoral charge by the favour of the Apostolic See, I pray heaven to grant to your Holiness every necessary aid, together with many years of life, that thus you may be able to correspond to the great hopes which the whole world has conceived from your reign, for the glory of God and the public advantage of the Church. We experience in this kingdom, holy Father, the benign influence of the king of England in favour of the Catholics, so that all enjoy great liberty, and even ecclesiastics may be publicly known, and are allowed to exercise their functions without hindrance. Our Viceroy is a man of great moderation and equity; he looks upon the Catholics with benevolence, and treats privately with some of the clergy, exhorting them to act with discretion; and for this purpose he secretly called me to his presence on many occasions, and he promised me his assistance in correcting any members of the clergy of scandalous living. I discover in him some traces of religion, and I find that many even of the leading members of his court are secretly Catholics. The nobility, who are natives of this country, are all Catholics, with the exception of three or four; and comparing the number of Catholics with that of the heretics throughout the kingdom,\* we find that there are twenty Catholics for one Protestant, if we except Dublin, which is the metropolis, and in which the Viceroy resides, where the heretics have a majority. We all continually pray the Most High to grant to your Holiness His grace and many years of life; and I, prostrate at the feet of your Holiness, ask your sacred blessing for the whole Catholic people of this kingdom.

"Of your Holiness,

"The most devoted and obedient child,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH,

"Primate of Ireland.

"Dublin, the 20th of June, 1670."

This letter was enclosed in another, addressed to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland, in which he repeats the same sentiments:—

"MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EMINENCE,—Although this kingdom of Ireland be truly poor in worldly riches, yet, nevertheless, is it rich in virtue, and especially in the true faith; and hence it is just, that the jubilee of the Catholic people of this nation should be made known in Rome on the most deserved exaltation of our holy Father to the See of St. Peter,

\* Dr. Plunket, on other occasions, states that in some northern counties the Presbyterians were more numerous than the Catholics and Protestants put together.



to which this kingdom has ever been closely bound and most devoted, despite the afflictions and persecutions which are known to the whole world, and which we have endured for more than one hundred years, since the commencement of the schism in England. I, as the last of the children of his Holiness, and as a most devoted servant of your Eminence, beg to lay before you the jubilee of the Catholic people of the province of Armagh, entrusted to my pastoral care by the favour of the Apostolic See, and I pray heaven to grant long life and happy rule to his Holiness and to your Eminence, for the glory of God and the advantage of the whole Christian world.

"The Viceroy of this kingdom shows himself favourable to the Catholics, not only in consequence of his natural mildness of disposition, but still more on account of his being acquainted with the benign intentions of his Majesty for his Catholic subjects, so that ecclesiastics may now freely appear in public without suffering any annoyance, even when recognized as such. The Viceroy himself privately treats some members of the clergy with great courtesy, exhorting them to live peaceably without tumult, and without meddling in state matters, attending solely to their ecclesiastical functions, on which condition he promises them every protection; and, indeed, we have reason to believe that this will be afforded should that condition be fulfilled. I perceive that some of his court are secretly Catholics, and even some of the principal members of the government who suggest to him kind measures for the Catholics. May God grant to us a long enjoyment of this calm, and bestow many years on your Eminence, for the public good of the Christian Church.

"P.S.—I have presumed, by the enclosed letter, to express my joy on the exaltation of his Holiness, and to implore his blessing for this nation.

"Your Eminence's

"Most humble and devoted servant,

"OLIVER OF ARMAGH,

"Primate of Ireland."

The Holy Father, notwithstanding the many cares which pressed on him in his guardianship of the universal Church, did not delay to acknowledge the congratulations of his Irish children, and he thus wrote to the Archbishop of Armagh\* :—

"TO OUR VENERABLE BROTHER OLIVER, PRIMATE OF IRELAND.

"VENERABLE BROTHER, HEALTH, &c.

"The most devoted letters of your Fraternity occasioned to us a special delight, and as we learned by them that the Catholics enjoyed peace in these regions, we were truly filled with sincere joy in the Lord, for we place all the glory of the Pontifical dignity entrusted to us by the Holy Ghost in the increase of the divine worship, and in the

\* See Appendix, No. 43.

propagation of the orthodox faith. Whilst you labour in attaining this noble end, as you truly do in your exalted sentiments of religion, your congratulations on attaining this supreme dignity in the Church must be acceptable, whilst you will every day bind us more closely in affection towards you. Go on, therefore, venerable brother, and in those regions pursue with alacrity the carrying out, by word and example, of the commission entrusted to you, and seek to aid with every assistance those orthodox children, to whom, in our paternal solicitude, by night and by day, we are ever present. Thus, indeed, will you well correspond with your exalted office, and have God the rewarder of your renowned labours. In the meantime we embrace, in the bosom of our Pontifical love, the devout expressions of your filial affection; we promise to you all our patronage, and from the very depths of our heart we bestow on you, and on all the Catholics of that kingdom, our Apostolic blessing.

"Given at St. Mary Major's, in Rome, the 11th October, 1670, the first year of our Pontificate.

"CLEMENS, PAPA X."

After a brief Pontificate of six years Clement the Tenth was succeeded by Innocent the Eleventh, who, even before his elevation to the papacy, was famed for his sanctity and charity for the poor. "Whilst I was in Rome (writes our primate on the 11th of August, 1677), I knew by experience the holy life of the supreme Pontiff, and the great opinion which was entertained by all of his wisdom, prudence, and sanctity." The primate seems to have transmitted to Rome, through the Internuncio, a congratulatory letter on the accession of this Pope to the throne of St. Peter, and to it he seems to allude in the beginning of the letter already cited of the 11th of August 1677,\* but we have been unable to discover any further traces of it.

During the first months of the pontificate of Clement the Tenth Cardinal Barberini filled the office of Protector of Ireland; but in 1671 he was hurried away by death to be its protector in the heavenly court. His successor in that important post was Cardinal Altieri, a man distinguished for his virtues and learning. At the same time Dr. Brennan, the agent of the Irish clergy, was appointed bishop of the united sees of Waterford and Lismore, and Dr. Peter Creagh was chosen by the Irish bishops to succeed him as their agent in Rome. Dr. Plunket availed himself of the departure of this worthy ecclesiastic for the Eternal City to express his joy on the nomination of his Eminence to be protector of our country:—

"We are obliged to pray unceasingly to God for the long life of his Holiness, in consequence of the favour which he has done us in giving

\* See Chapter the Second, p. 15.

us your Eminence for protector, for in doing so he has rendered to this nation an exceeding great benefit, and a favour the more agreeable as it was most anxiously desired by us; for we have learned from our countrymen in Rome, and from Monsignor Baldeschi, and we ourselves now daily experience, the special affection which your Eminence bears to this most afflicted kingdom, so that we cannot but hope for most happy results from the protection of a cardinal who, for his prudence in the management of affairs, and for his piety and zeal in propagating our holy Faith, receives boundless applause, and is esteemed and revered by the whole world. It is certain that the joy here is universal, and that even the Viceroy, though of a different religion, showed particular satisfaction at your appointment, and he passed a high eulogium on his Holiness and your Eminence, praising your moderation, and prudence, and mildness. I, therefore, and the whole nation, should rather congratulate ourselves than your Eminence on this event, as the whole advantage and honour will be ours, leaving to your Eminence only the fatigue and annoyance, for which you can only look to the divine Majesty for remuneration, as this oppressed nation cannot make any return for the benefits received from your Eminence, or for those which, through your Eminence, they shall receive from others, except by continually praying to God for the long life, health, and prosperity of your Eminence: and the more incapable we feel ourselves of meriting the slightest of your favours, the greater merit will the ardent zeal of your charity receive from God, and so much the more glorious will be the results of your innate generosity in relieving, by your patronage, the miseries of this most afflicted nation, which, on account of its Catholic faith and the affection and unfailing reverence for the Apostolic See, has ever been in continual sufferings and trials, from the time that Queen Elizabeth, with her statutes and penal laws, scourged the poor Catholics, as your Eminence knows far better than I do.

"Of all that occurs in this kingdom as regards our spiritual concerns, I give an accurate and detailed account to Monsignor Baldeschi, that is to say, through him to the Holy Father and to your Eminence, and should I be wanting in any particular, it will be supplied by the bearer of this letter, Mr. Peter Creagh, doctor in theology, agent of the clergy of this kingdom, a gentleman of learning and education, and who by birth belongs to the highest families of his city; he studied in Rome and received his laurea with great applause; he knows the Italian, Latin, French, English, and Irish languages, and for years laboured with great spiritual fruit in this vineyard. I therefore, pray your Eminence to protect and favour him; and all the archbishops and bishops of this nation most warmly recommend him to your patronage. In conclusion, making you a profound reverence, I will be whilst I live,

"Your Eminence's

"Most humble and obedient devoted servant,

"OLIVER OF ARMAOH,

"Primate of all Ireland."

"Dublin, 10th Oct., 1671.

The primate was not the only one of our prelates, who, on this occasion, congratulated his Eminence, or rather our own nation, on having so worthy a protector in the Roman Court. The Archbishop of Tuam, about the same time, wrote a letter expressing similar expressions of joy and congratulation, which is well worthy of being preserved.\*

\* We are happy to be able to present it in its beautiful original text to the reader :—

Eme. Dne.

“Inter oblectamenta, quæ Philippus bonus Burgundiæ princeps equitibus ordinis aurei velleris tunc primum instituti exhibuit, virgo spectabatur auro gemmisque fulgens, cui leo additus custos hanc præferebat inscriptionem, Nemo Dominam meam tangat. Hibernia nostra quæ a suscepta semel annis ab hinc mille et amplius religione et S. Sedis Romanæ cultu quantumvis gravibus tentationibus agitata numquam defecit, nacta est jam leonem custodem in persona Eminentiæ vestræ, quo protegente secure quiscere possit. Succedis igitur Eme. Princeps magno Cardinali Barberino invidiæ mortis eclypsi nobis crepto et officium quod Deus O. Max. angelicis spiritibus committere solet (ut nempe protectores sint et præsidēs provinciarum) id imponere humeris tuis voluit, qui Christi in terris vices gerit SSmus D. N. Clemens X. Gratulor non tibi cui onus potius quam honos hoc officio additur sed patriæ nostræ et vineæ huic Hiberniæ quæ tanto protectore gloriari lætarique merito potest, cui certe nihil accedere potest splendidius, nihil felicius aut magnificentius quam patronum habere te quem publica decora et privata etiam virtus inter paucos commendat : quamvis non potest quoque non ingens tuæ incrementum gloriæ afferre, quod talis cura commissæ sit præsertim a tanto Pontifice quæ in eos duntaxit merita sua conferre solet quos suo pariter virtus suffragio comprobât, nec onus tam grave humeris tuis imposuisset, nisi Atlante ipso, quem cælum ipsum gestare fingunt poetæ, major esses atque illustrior. Sed plura non audeo ne in modestiam vestram impingam. Inter tot antem toto pæne occidente tibi sibi que gratulantium applausus, sistit se Hibernia nostra olim sanctorum insula, nunc hæreseos tenebris miserabiliter obvoluta, cujus patrocinium eo libentius ut spero, et studiosius assumet Ema. Vra. quod ob solum Deum plane suscipiatur : cum enim ex aliis provinciis tutelæ tuæ commissis magnam nominis famam et commoda alia sperare fortasse possis, nisi virtus maiorem Dei gloriam in omnibus solum spectasset ; ab hac certe nostra aliud nihil est quod expectare possis præter labores et incommoda quæ quando pro Deo suscipiuntur omnem mundanam felicitatem longe superant. Sistit quoque se Sedes Tuamensis, quæ uti, auctore maxime prædecessore tuo, sponsum et præsulem accepit, ita sub auspiciis tuis majorum sperat incrementa gratiarum, cujus quia mihi, immerenti licet, cura mandata est, supplicibus votis rogo ut illam speciali oculo respicias tuoque favore protegere non desistas, et me quoque qui vel ideo mihi propitiâ fore curiam Romanam spero quod solus ex confratribus meis hoc tempore contumelias et carceres passus sim, ereptus insuper speciali numinis providentiâ tamquam alter Daniel e lacu leonum, ex faucibus ipsius mortis quam mihi instigator præcipue perfidioso et fœdifrago monacho fidei nostræ hostes intenterant. Præsentes necessitates provinciæ meæ ne longior sim hic omitto : Agens noster in ea urbe eas oblata occasione proponet, cui, ut favere velis etiam atque etiam rogo et simul Eminentiæ vestræ annos plurimos ad Hiberniæ nostræ et totius Ecclesiæ bonum, fausta que et felicia omnia ex animo apprecor et voveo.

“Clunbariæ die 4th Nov. 1671,

“Eme. Dne.

“Eminentiæ Vre.

“Servus humillimus,

“JACOB. Archiep., Tuamen.”

One of the subjects most frequently spoken of in the early letters of Dr. Plunket is the sad consequences of the ambitious scheme of Peter Walsh and his adherents, in seeking to force upon our clergy and people the well-known Remonstrance; owing to the zealous labors of the Archbishop of Dublin and the primate, their efforts were everywhere baffled, and Walsh himself was soon compelled to abandon our shores. Repeatedly Dr. Plunket wrote to the Holy See, detailing the progress of the struggle between the defenders of truth and of error, and laying open to the authorities in Rome the iniquitous designs of Walsh or Valesius; and, at length, on the 26th of September, 1671, he was able to inform the Internuncio that the errors of the remonstrants had been wholly rooted out from our Irish church, and that in the whole kingdom more than five or six could not now be found who would avow themselves partizans of that insidious address. We have already had occasion to refer to this letter, and it contains many valuable particulars connected with this question:—

“Since the return of our most clement king to the government of these kingdoms no question and no art of the demon has so impeded the progress of the service of God in these countries, as the perverse efforts and designs of Walsh and his adherents. Oh, how many dissensions, how many scandals had their origin thence; and all this commotion was *honorum et turpis lucri causa*. They used all their endeavours to prevent the appointment of bishops for this kingdom, that thus there would be no superior, no pastor but themselves; they strained every nerve to attain this *hilaris sine regibus umbræ*. But God provided otherwise, and they remain confounded. Since the Viceroy Berkeley and Colonel Patrick were gained over against them, they not only lost a great deal, but rather their whole ground; and as the Viceroy, Lord Berkeley, a man of prudence and moderation, now returns (as I hope) to the government, the few that remain will cease to follow their evil ways and will return to the right path; and I am of opinion that there are not in the whole kingdom more than six who publicly and outwardly profess to follow the views, or rather the abortive plans of Valesius.

Anthony Gearnon was a great Valesian, but now he professes obedience; I give, however, very little credence to him, as also to many others who made their retraction; it is certain that they are filled with the desire of Valesius, just as the Jews expect the Messiah, and as the Portuguese are looking out for king Sebastian. The people in authority who favoured them and protected them cared but little about Walsh and his adherents, but they made use of them as instruments to divide and disunite the clergy and the Catholic body; *divide ut impera*. But Berkeley dislikes that manner of governing and seeks the love of all, and desires that we should be of one accord.

“To say the truth, our just and good God, who permits evil in order

to draw good from evil, has drawn great good from the iniquitous deeds of Peter Walsh. This man, about eight years ago, anxious to make a display of zeal, and thus more easily gain partizans, and attract the people, obtained from Ormond a toleration for chapels and convents in Dublin and many other cities; but he wished that all the convents, and even all the provinces, should be governed by his own adherents. Ormond being removed from the Government, through the mercy of God no other Viceroy molested or molests either the chapels or the convents. In the most wealthy and noble city of my diocese and of the whole province there are three chapels, very beautiful and ornamented: the first belongs to the Capuchins, the second to the Reformed Franciscans, the third to the Jesuits. There is also one belonging to the Augustinians, but it is rather poor, so that we may well repeat what is said of the sin of Adam, *O felix culpa*, or again, *necessarium Adæ peccatum*. The city to which I allude is called Drogheda, at five hours' distance from Dublin; it is, next to Dublin, the best city in Ireland.

"Dublin, 26th September, 1671.

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

The Act of *præmunire* was one often appealed to by the adherents of the Remonstrance, and whensoever the defenders of the authority and privileges of the Holy See referred to its decisions, or fulminated ecclesiastical censures in its name, they were sure to find their opponents summoning the cause before the civil tribunals, and seeking to punish their orthodoxy with the severe penalties of that statute. The Irish prelates, when communicating with Rome, had often referred to the penalties of *præmunire*, and the Internuncio requested the Archbishop of Armagh to give him some explanation of the origin and precise meaning of that Act. On the 30th of September, 1671, Dr. Plunket at length complied with his request, and wrote as follows:—

"I promised in a former letter to give to your Excellency an exact account of the *præmunire*, this word being the object of the greatest terror which those of my profession have in these kingdoms. Some say that this *præmunire*, as to its etymology, signifies a sort of bulwark which the crown of England has raised in all its kingdoms against foreign jurisdiction, and especially against that of the Pope, which opinion is based on the statute enacted in the 25th year of Edward III., statute 6, chapter 1st. But some are of opinion that it had its origin in the word *præmonere*, which, being corrupted by the civil jurists, is commonly called *præmunire*, for they often take the effect for the cause, and, as it is said, he who is *premonished* is half-prepared or *fortified*. I read in an old Breviary or book of old briefs, a citation which thus begins:—*Præmunire facias N. N. ut cum suo procuratore sint coram nobis ad respondendum objectis*; and I think that, instead of *præmunire* it should be *præmonere*.

"But, whatever may be the etymology of the word, it is certain that it is now commonly called *præmunire*, and that it was enforced against the jurisdiction of the Roman Pontiff at the time of Edward III., who was unwilling that the Pope should confer benefices in England, or bestow the favours or provisions which are called *expectative*; he was also unwilling that lawsuits, in matters of benefices, should be brought outside the kingdom; and he, moreover, wished that all such suits should be tried in the secular tribunals; and hence he enacted these rigorous statutes, in the 25th year of his reign (stat. 5, chap. 22), and 28th year (stat. 2, chap. 1, 2, 3, & 4). But he was well chastised by God for it, as our history records. Richard II. imitated him, by enacting similar statutes in the 12th year of his reign (cap. 15, and anno 13, stat. 2, cap. 2); and he confirmed all the statutes made by Edward III., and the penalty of whosoever violated or transgressed these statutes was exile and the confiscation of all fixed and moveable property. Henry IV. made similar statutes in the 2nd year of his reign (cap. 2 and 3); and although these statutes were made in England, yet they afterwards came into force in Ireland, in the time of Henry VII., an Irish Parliament, during the viceroyalty of Poining, having adopted all these statutes.

"A statute, however, enacted in an Irish Parliament, in the 2nd year of Elizabeth, is the severest of all, as by it is punished with the aforesaid penalties whosoever *speaks, acts, or writes* in favour of the pontifical jurisdiction, even in spiritual matters, or whosoever exercises any act of jurisdiction derived from the Roman Pontiff. Moreover, whosoever says that the king is not head of the Church, and whosoever says that the Pope is head of the Church, incurs the same penalties; and so, also, whosoever refuses to swear that the king is head of the Church is incapacitated from holding any post or office in the state. This act of Elizabeth was passed in the Irish Parliament on a holiday of precept with the Catholics, and the Protestants availed themselves of the occasion of their absence, otherwise it could never have passed, as the Catholics were more numerous than the Protestants. It is true that in the times of King James and King Charles I. these laws were not carried out with such rigour, nor are they even now under our most clement king. But it is also true that when a knave accuses any ecclesiastic before the court, it is with great difficulty that he can escape some penalty, as lately happened in the case of Father Nugent, and also of the poor Archbishop of Tuam, who suffered a great deal. It is, moreover, to be remarked, that whosoever assists or receives into his house one that has thus incurred the statute of *præmunire*, is punished with the same penalties.

"Your Excellency sees in what continual danger we are under the penal laws. I must confess that when I first came to this kingdom, I neither knew nor understood what was meant by the word *præmunire*. Since then, however, I wished to read all that appertains to that matter, and I thought it proper to give some account of it to your Excellency. I pray you, as I also requested on other occasions, to send all my letters to Monsignor Baldeschi, and to keep a copy of them, and



to let me know if they have safely reached you, as you have hitherto done with such kindness, and I shall be unto death,

"Your most obliged and devoted servant,

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

The correspondence of Dr. Plunket with Rome seems to have been constant, even in the times of persecution. Many of his letters are in cipher, but the Internuncio always transmitted their key to the Sacred Congregation. His assumed name on these occasions was for some time *Thomas Cox*, and afterwards *Edward Hamon* or *Hamond*; in fact, all our prelates, when corresponding with Rome, were compelled to assume other names; thus, Dr. Tyrrell, of Clogher, signed himself *Scurlog*, which name he afterwards changed for *Stapleton*: Dr. Cusack assumed the name of Fleming, and Dr. Forstall, Bishop of Kildare, the more German title, M. F. Von Creslaw. Even the Internuncio was seldom addressed by his proper name, and we find him at first styled *Monsieur Pruissou*, which, in 1679, for greater security, was often changed into *Picquet*. The year 1675 presents fewest letters of Dr. Plunket; he had often complained of the heavy expense which his correspondence with Rome entailed on him, and of his inability to continue it, unless aided by the Sacred Congregation. More than 100 scudi were annually expended by him in letters,\* which was nearly half the entire revenue of his diocese. Through the Internuncio about 150 scudi were at intervals transmitted to him to aid in these expenses, but the Sacred Congregation could not devote a larger sum for that purpose, as its care had to embrace not our island alone, but the missionary countries of the whole world. The Internuncio was well aware of the motives which impelled our primate to observe this silence, and on the 21st of December, 1675, he wrote to the Secretary of Propaganda:—"For many weeks I have received no letter from the Archbishop of Armagh, as he, in the present

\* The following extract from Dr. Plunket's letter transmitted to Rome in October, 1672, will sufficiently explain this expense:—"How many letters come inclosed in those addressed to me, how many decrees and commissions and briefs to be communicated to others throughout the province. Before I commenced a direct correspondence with your Excellency, I sent the letters to Ghent, to Mr. Clark; I sent some by Paris, and I think I paid Clark about ten doubloons. Every letter from my diocese to Dublin costs 7½ bajocchi, (3½ pence); from Dublin to London, 10 bajocchi, from London to Ghent, or Brussels, 13 bajocchi; from Brussels to Mantua, if I mistake not, 20 bajocchi; from Mantua to Rome, 24 bajocchi. Now, my diocese yields only 240 scudi per annum, and when I have supported myself, a chaplain, a servant, and a stable-boy, but little can remain." Elsewhere, he says, that each letter he received from Brussels cost him 25 bajocchi; and each letter from Cashel or Tuam, 10 bajocchi, sixpence.



afflictions, finding himself in want of means, abstains from writing, in order not to incur the heavy expense of the post.”\* However, in 1676, Dr. Plunket resumed his correspondence with his usual vigour, and continued it even when detained in his Dublin prison. His last letter from Ireland is dated the 3rd November, 1680, and was written on board the vessel which was about to conduct him prisoner to stand his trial before a London jury. It is directed to the Internuncio:—

“Six hours before embarking for England I received your letter of the 18th inst., and from shipboard I write these lines to give you intelligence, that I communicated, in a few words, to those of Cashel, Tuam, and Arnagh, as also to the Bishop of Kildare, who is nearest to me, the privilege accorded by the Sacred Congregation: I pray you, however, to reflect that it would be even more necessary to grant the faculty of dispensing for the rich than for the poor, because the rich, by recurring to their Eminences for dispensation, incur greater risk of confiscation of all their property, in accordance with the statute *præmunire*, 2nd of Elizabeth. I do not know who will now correspond with your Excellency. I re-opened communication with their Eminences during the time of Monsig. Baldeschi and Monsig. Airoidi. Before that time scarcely was one letter received from this kingdom in a year. The Bishop of Kildare is nearest to Dublin, and would be best suited. But, believe me, that my poor purse learned what an expensive matter these letters are.

“Tell Mr. Joyce that I received two of his letters, and, no matter what may be the consequence, to send me the money to London as soon as possible, directed for *Mr. John Comin, merchant in London*, who is my friend and a Catholic. The expenses here are intolerable, and correspondence is difficult and dangerous. If possible, you will receive letters from London. In the mean time I recommend myself to your prayers, and I have been, and am, and ever will be,

“Your Excellency’s most devoted servant,

“EDWARD HAMON.”

(Oliver Plunket.)

For some months so close was the imprisonment in London that Dr. Plunket could find no means of transmitting letters to his friends. After some time, however, the guard was so struck by his saintly composure, that, moved to compassion, he undertook to be the bearer of an occasional letter; and as soon as sentence was passed against him, greater liberty was allowed him of communicating with whomsoever he pleased. We shall have occasion

+ “Sono piu settimane che mi mancano le lettere dell’ Armacano ed egli nelle correnti angustie ritrovandosi in qualche penuria di denaro si ritiene dallo scrivermi per non soggiacere alle gravi spese della Posta.”

hereafter to speak at greater length of the correspondence of Dr. Plunket from his London prison: it will be sufficient here to remark, that his letters breathe a true spirit of Christian heroism, and express not only a dauntless courage, but also a heavenly joy on the happy privilege which awaited him of laying down his life for the faith.

There is but one other letter of Dr. Plunket, to which we shall now refer. It is a commendatory letter given to a Capuchin Father, and bears the conjoint signatures of Dr. Plunket, of Armagh, and Dr. Brennan, of Cashel. We have seen how, during the first outburst of the persecution in 1673-4, these two prelates, united in friendship from their earliest years, had sought for safety in the same place of refuge; and how again, on its renewal in 1678, they hastened once more to a common retreat: it was precisely at the period when they were compelled to retire a second time before the fury of the storm that they directed in common this letter to Monsignor Cerri, then Secretary of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda. With the exception of the signature of Dr. Brennan, it is all in the handwriting of Dr. Plunket:—

“Father Barnabas Barnewall, who for many years has been Vice-Commissioner of the Capuchin Fathers in this kingdom, has always been extolled for his prudence and learning, and labours in the vineyard of the Lord: and he merits special praise for having converted to the faith the wife of my Lord of Slane, and for having conducted the children of that Lord into France, by which he delivered them from manifest danger of losing their faith, and he preserved the spiritual as well as the temporal inheritance of that noble family, which never professed any save the orthodox faith; and for this and many other labours in affording spiritual assistance, the aforesaid Father Barnabas merits every favour from God and from the Sacred Congregation, and we supplicate your Excellency to interpose your influence with the Father-General of the Capuchins that this Father Barnabas may be made Commissary of the Capuchins of this kingdom, which will be of great utility to the cause of religion, and we make our humble reverence.

“Your Excellency’s most humble and obedient servant,

“OLIVER, OF ARMAGH, &c.

“JOHN OF CASHEL, &c.

“Dublin, 14th February, 1678.”

## CHAPTER XXIII.

### RENEWAL OF THE PERSECUTION TOWARDS THE CLOSE OF 1678.

IN the year 1678 the enemies of Catholicity in England, anxious to make a last assault on the Church of their fathers, entered into a conspiracy as dark and as hideous as any known in history. The chief agent in this plot was Titus Oates, whose name has been attached to it by posterity. He had been a clergyman of the Established Church, but preferred to his benefice an infamous and vagrant life. Under ever-varying disguises he insinuated himself into some religious houses on the continent, and made himself sufficiently acquainted with Catholic usages and distinguished Catholic names to be able to give a semblance of circumstantial accuracy to any anti-Catholic tale which he might devise. Returning to England, he found the Protestant populace in a ferment lest a Papist should succeed to the royal throne, and he soon learned that the leaders of the opposition were eager to second and repay each effort to fan the flame. Such was, then, the disposition of men's minds, that the monstrous romance which he constructed was hailed with applause, and found credence, not only with the vulgar, but even with the most sober members of the king's council. The Pope, he said, had handed over the government of England to the Jesuits, and these had already, by commissions under the great seal of the society, appointed to all the chief offices in church and state. Once before the Papists had burned London: that scene was to be now renewed, whilst in the confusion they would assassinate the king, and, at a given signal, each Catholic should massacre his Protestant neighbours.

This tale was not merely greeted with applause. Oates became the idol of the people, and through the influence of his patrons, was raised on a sudden from obscurity and poverty to a position of dignity and wealth. Hence he soon found associates and rivals. To give perjured evidence, and lead Catholics to the scaffold, had proved a good speculation, and many wished to share in its profits and honours. We shall allow a Protestant historian to trace the character of the principal of these informers. "A wretch named Carstairs, who had earned a living in Scotland, by going disguised to conventicles, and then informing against the preachers, led the way: Bedloe, a noted swindler, followed; and soon, from all the brothels, gambling-houses, and spunging-

houses of London, false witnesses poured forth, to swear away the lives of Roman Catholics. One came with the story of an army of thirty thousand men, who were to muster in the disguise of pilgrims, at Corunna, and to sail thence to Wales. Another had been promised canonization and five hundred pounds to murder the king. . . . Oates, that he might not be eclipsed by his imitators, soon added a large supplement to his original narrative. . . . The vulgar believed, and the highest magistrates pretended to believe even such fictions as these. The chief judges of the kingdom were corrupt, cruel, and timid. . . . The juries partook of the feelings then common throughout the nation, and were encouraged by the bench to indulge those feelings without restraint. The multitude applauded Oates and his confederates, hooted and pelted the witnesses who appeared on behalf of the accused, and shouted with joy when the verdict of guilty was pronounced.\* And hence, as the same writer had already remarked, the courts of justice, "which ought to be sure places of refuge for the innocent of every party, were disgraced by wilder passions and fouler corruptions" than could be found in the annals of England.

Such an excitement against the Catholics naturally found a response in the Protestant ascendancy of Ireland. Ormond was, at this time, Viceroy; his private letters, indeed, prove that he gave no credence to the accusations against the Catholics, but, nevertheless, with his usual duplicity, he enacted such measures and laws as supposed and confirmed the belief of the reality of their treasonable designs. The council of Ireland met in the presence of the Viceroy, on the 14th of October, 1678. Their first enactment was, that all officers and soldiers should repair without delay to their respective garrisons. A proclamation ensued, commanding "all titular Popish bishops and dignitaries, and all others exercising ecclesiastical jurisdiction by authority from the See of Rome, all Jesuits and other regular priests," to depart from the kingdom before the 20th of November following; whilst a reward was offered of £10 for the capture of a bishop, and £5 for that of a regular, after that period. Orders were, at the same time, given, that all "Popish societies, convents, seminaries, and schools," should be forthwith dissolved and utterly suppressed.

To prevent all excuses for not obeying the foregoing proclamation, another was issued on the 16th of November, requiring all owners and masters of ships bound for foreign parts to receive "the Popish clergy" on board, and to transport them accordingly.

\* Macaulay "Hist. of England," vol. i. chap. 2nd.

It was deemed necessary, too, to disarm the Catholics; and a special proclamation enacted, that "no persons of the Popish religion should carry, buy, use, or keep in their houses any arms without license; and that all justices of the peace should search for such arms as were not brought in within twenty days, and bind over the offenders to be prosecuted at the next assizes."

It was feared, however, that some officers were remiss in executing these laws, and hence positive orders were further issued on the 2nd of December, by the Lord Lieutenant and council, addressed to the sheriffs of the several counties, and to be by them communicated to the justices of the peace, "taking notice of their neglect in not apprehending such of the Popish regular clergy as did not transport themselves, and requiring them to return, not only their names, but the names also of such as received, relieved, and harboured them." They were, moreover, required to return "the names of all persons licenced to carry arms, and to prosecute those who had not delivered in their arms" according to preceding proclamations.

These orders were principally directed against the prelates and regulars, but in reality the officers commissioned with their execution prosecuted alike the secular clergy; it was enough for them to raise the cry that any one was a Jesuit in disguise to obtain their reward. A proclamation, however, published on the 26th of March, 1679, had the secular clergy for its special object. It commanded "that when there was any Popish pretended parish priest of any place where any robbery or murder was committed by the tories he should be seized upon, committed to the common gaol, and thence transported beyond the seas, unless within fourteen days after such robbery or murder the persons guilty thereof were either killed or taken, or such discovery made thereof in that time, as the offenders might therefore be apprehended and brought to justice."

A further proclamation ordered the suppression of "Mass-houses and meetings for Popish services in the cities and suburbs of Dublin, Cork, Limerick, Waterford, Kinsale, Wexford, Athlone, Ross, Galway, Drogheda, Youghall, Clonmel, and Kilkenny," these being the most considerable towns in the kingdom, "in which too many precautions could not be taken."

No soldier had for many years been admitted to the army till he had taken the oaths of allegiance and supremacy. It was now rumoured that some, after entering the service, had embraced the Catholic religion, and hence a special proclamation offered rewards "for the discovery of any officer or soldier who had heard Mass or been so perverted to the Popish religion." On the same day with this proclamation (20th November, 1678), another was issued, prohibiting all Catholics, "from entering the Castle of

Dublin, or any other fort or citadel," and ordering that "no persons of the Romish religion" should be suffered to reside in the towns of Drogheda, Wexford, Cork, Limerick, Waterford, Youghall, and Galway, or in any other corporation, excepting such as "for the greatest part of the twelve months past had inhabited them."\*

The result of such stringent measures, though, perhaps, it did satisfy the cravings of those who had anxiously looked forward to the rooting out of Catholicity from the "Island of Saints," yet was such as even to surpass the expectations of moderate Protestants, and Carte remarks, that though all the clergy were not expelled from the kingdom, "which never was, and never will be, the consequence of a proclamation; yet more had been shipped off than could have been imagined, and the rest lurked in corners, and durst not come near the great towns." (Ibid. 483.)

The illustrious Archbishop of Dublin, Dr. Talbot, returned to England from his exile on the continent in 1676, and a few months before the present outburst of feeling against the Catholics, through the intercession of the Duke of York, obtained permission to revisit and console his spiritual flock. Though subject to violent disease, and apparently at the close of his eventful career, yet was he chosen by the malignant policy of Ormond to be the first Irish victim of the persecution. Dr. Plunket announces his arrest, writing on the 27th of October, 1678:—

"The matter being proposed and discussed in the Provincial Council that I should make a visitation of the province, I commenced with Meath, which is the first suffragan diocese, and then proceeded to the diocese of Clonmacnoise, where I had scarcely finished when the news arrived by post, that Dr. Talbot of Dublin was arrested and imprisoned in the Castle or Tower of this city. I received this news on the 21st of the past month; immediately afterwards came a proclamation or edict, banishing all the archbishops, bishops, vicars-general, and all the regulars, commanding them to leave the kingdom before the 20th of November, and threatening penalties and fines against any secular who would give them to eat or drink, or otherwise assist them. I was quite astonished at the arrest of the Archbishop of Dublin, the more so, as since his return to Ireland he did not perform any ecclesiastical function.

"The convents of the poor regular clergy have been all scattered and destroyed; so that all the disputes and the reforms which were in contemplation for them are all terminated by this edict. The parish

\* Carte's Ormonde, vol. 2, 478-82, "Gesta Hibernor." in Ware ad an. 1668-9; the Protestant Bishop Mant's "History of the Church of Ireland," vol. 1; page, 568, *seq.*

priests and secular priests are not included in it. It is not known what particular accusation has been made against the Archbishop of Dublin; he is in the secret prison, and no one is allowed to hold communication with him. Some have been imprisoned in London on suspicion of conspiracy against the king, and for maintaining private correspondence with foreign princes, and for the murder of a nobleman who was found dead in London. As to the conspiracy against the king, it is a merely imaginary one. I have not been included by name in the present edict, nor in that passed four years ago, and, therefore, I will remain in the kingdom, though retired in some country place, and it is probable that Dr. Brennan and I shall be together. I heard this morning that Dr. Talbot will be sent to London, to have his trial continued there; howsoever matters may stand, *quidquid erit, superanda omnis fortuna ferendo est*. You will have the kindness for the future to address your letters thus: for Mr. Edward Hamon, Dublin; and I will no longer address your Excellency as hitherto by the name *Monsieur Pruissou*, but by *Monsieur Picquet a Bruxelles*.

"The Bishop of Meath is very weak after a long attack, with terrible pains, from the gout, and a weakness of the stomach. I hear that in my absence, whilst I was to the west, some letters from you arrived in the north: I will immediately send one to fetch them to me. Should the parliament meet in London the severity of the edicts will continue; but it will continue also should the parliament meet here.

"To Monsig. de Pruissou,

(The Internuncio Tanari at Brussels)

"Dublin, 27th Oct. 1678.

"P.S.—You will be good enough to send me copy of the brief for Dr. Cusack, signed by your Excellency, but without any seal.

The Archbishop of Dublin being confined in the Castle, the other prelates fled to their retreats in the woods and morasses, whilst the flocks were scattered and filled with dismay. The Internuncio thus writes to the Secretary of Propaganda on the 17th Dec. 1678:—

"The Archbishop of Dublin continues still in prison; as far as I have been able to learn, none of the other prelates have been seized on, or compelled to leave the kingdom; but it is certain that they are all retired to places far away from the public, and the most difficult of access, so that they are no longer able to continue their correspondence with me."

In the following year the primate, writing on the 15th of May, makes known the fury of the storm to which the Catholics were exposed, and the extreme poverty to which the prelates, and especially he himself, had, consequently, been reduced:—

"Here matters go on from bad to worse. A proclamation offers £10 to whosoever arrests a bishop or Jesuit, and £5 to whosoever arrests a vicar-general or friar. The police, spies, and soldiers, are in pursuit day and night. Colonel Patrick, an excellent Catholic, and a great protector of the Catholics, although a relative of the Duke of Ormond, was exiled by order of parliament, which is desirous of prosecuting even the Duke of York, on account of his being a Catholic. The secular priests had some connivance till the present, though in many parts, and especially in the vicinity of Armagh, they have much to suffer. Such is the rigour of the Presbyterians, of whom there is a large number in these parts; they are now the prevailing faction in the three kingdoms, and are the enemies of all monarchy and hierarchy. One might walk twenty-five or thirty miles in districts and not meet with six Catholic or Protestant families; for all are Presbyterians and strict Calvinists.

"From my diocese, during the past twelve months, I received only 22 crowns (£5 10s.), and for the future my revenue will be still less. I expended a great deal in building schools, and in maintaining masters to instruct the youth and clergy of my province: during the past nine years I gave hospitality to all; indeed, I was the only prelate in Ireland that had a house of his own: it cost me 100 crowns every year to maintain correspondence with my masters, and the Internuncios, Airoidi and Falconieri, as also Monsignor Tanari, received more letters from me these ten years back than from all the prelates of Ireland; and should your Excellency give a look to the Archives, you will find more letters and *relations* from me, during these ten years, than from all the other prelates together: *non dedi requiem temporibus meis aut palpebris meis dormitationem*. I never would have been able to encounter such expense had I not been aided by the charity of Colonel Patrick, who has been a greater benefactor to me than all the diocese of Armagh, or all my friends and relatives in the whole kingdom; but he now is exiled. Moreover, the severest penalties have been published in proclamations against the lay Catholics who shall receive a bishop or regular in their houses, and thus the rich are afraid of losing their possessions, whilst the poor have nothing to give. Then, too, your Excellency will hold in mind that I served the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda for many years in the chairs of theology and controversies, and also the Sacred Congregation of the Index, not without much labour. I would be ashamed so to speak did not necessity compel me. I have done and written and laboured more these ten years than all the other prelates of the kingdom together, and I now find myself without assistance in this diocese, without a benefactor, without a house, and all my money amounts to 53 crowns, *ita est coram Deo et non mentior*. His Eminence Cardinal Colonna, when he was Secretary, notified to me that the Sacred Congregation, considering my labours and expenses, would give me assistance. Through Monsig. Airoidi I received 100 crowns; Monsig. Falconieri sent me 50 more. This is all that I received for myself during the ten years of my mission here, and did not necessity compel me, I never would ask aid



from my masters; but the charity and liberality of the Sacred Congregation at the present time, will be a stimulus and incentive to others to serve it for the future, as I have done these twenty-two years past. I request your Excellency to read this letter for the Holy Father, and for my masters of the Sacred Congregation, and I will ever pray to the divine Majesty for their welfare, and for the prosperity of your Excellency: and I continually pray for the repose of Signor Francis, your father; and as he loved me whilst he lived, so I am sure it will not be irksome to you to aid me in the present conjuncture.

"15th May, 1679.

"To Monsig. Cerri.

"P.S.—A matron named Lady Neale, and several Catholic gentlemen, have been accused of a design to burn Dublin; only one ruffian, named Murphy, was the accuser; he also accuses three or four of the nobility; *hec est potestas tenebrarum ac falsorum testium.*"

In another letter, on the same day, to the Internuncio, Dr. Plunket confirms these statements, and declares his resolution never to forsake the flock entrusted to him, and his readiness to suffer exile or death in the discharge of his spiritual duties:—

"I received your letter of the 28th of last month, and all the prelates here thank you for the favour in regard to the matrimonial cases, and, indeed, it might be extended to the rich as well as to the poor in the present times, as petitions regarding such matters are now full of danger, as well for the petitioner as for him who writes; it is also dangerous to solicit indulgences, and already a gentleman who obtained indulgences from the Sacred Congregation has had a deal to suffer. Matters here go from bad to worse; the prelates and regulars were already condemned to exile, and now they do not even excuse the parish priests, several of whom have been cast into prison; and even when a moderate Protestant wishes to show them some courtesy, he is styled by the others a Papist, which to them is a term of great reproach. Colonel Patriek, an excellent Catholic, although a relative of the Duke of Ormond, was banished from Court; and those in London are anxious to accuse the Duke of York himself. A reward has already been offered to spies and gendarmes and soldiers; whosoever imprisons a prelate will have 40 crowns, and for a regular, 20 crowns. I am morally certain that I shall be taken, so many are in search of me; yet in spite of danger I will remain with my flock, nor will I abandon them till they drag me to the ship. But in case that I should be taken, I must request you to let me know whither I shall go; for I am sure they will allow me this choice, as they have allowed it to others. I pray you again to let me know your advice and counsel on this head, whether to go to Flanders or to France, or to some other place. I pray you also to send the enclosed letter to the Sacred Congregation, and to obtain for me the favour which it solicits. Should you second it by your letter, I shall surely obtain what I ask for."

The arrest of the primate was the crowning deed of the diabolical conspiracy of the enemies of our holy faith, but still it did not appease their fury. The storm continued unabated, and the rage of the Protestants against the Catholics seemed every day to become more and more inflamed. The Archbishop of Cashel thus writes on the 30th of June, 1680:—

“From the month of April till the present our affairs have become considerably more perplexed. The demon excited this tempest principally by means of a friar, the chaplain and companion of bandits, who, deserving the scaffold, found a means of obtaining pardon by accusing the Archbishop of Armagh, and many others, of a general rebellion throughout the kingdom, and persons are not wanting in other parts of the country to follow the example of this friar. This diabolical invention added greatly to the afflictions of the Catholics, and to the fury of the Protestants against us. These, for the most part, persuaded themselves that the iniquitous imposture of the friar was a reality, and that all the bishops of this kingdom have co-operated in setting on foot this rebellion: wherefore, the *mitres* are now more than ever hated by the Protestants, who are convinced that the number of bishops is intended to give offence to the government: and hence, too, the ministers of justice are now more active than ever in searching after them. It has even been resolved on by the government to pass a most stringent act in the next Parliament (which, it is thought, will be held in September), prohibiting, under penalty of the scaffold, that any bishop should ever again enter this kingdom. God forbid that their Eminences should make any new bishops for the present, as it would only excite more and more their bile against us, and be of great damage *in hac terra non suaviter viventium sed in terra tribulationis et angustie ubi manducamus panem arctum. Sed cælum sursum est et terra deorsum.\** We hope, without ceasing, in the mercy of the divine Majesty, that He will free us from these afflictions, and that in His own time He will manifest our innocence of this pretended conspiracy, a thing which we ever anathematized, never desiring anything save the glory of God and the service of our prince. Be good enough to excuse the necessary shortness of this letter, and the absence of titles: and as usual, I make to you my reverence.†

“30th of June, 1680.”

Again, on the 12th of September following, the same writer not only gives the general details of the persecution, but also adds many particulars regarding the Archbishop of Armagh and some of the other prelates:—

\* “In this land, not of pleasure, but of tribulation and persecution, where we eat the bread of affliction. But heaven is above and earth below.”

† This letter is addressed in English, “To my worthy friend, Mr. Tanarius, at Brussels:” it begins with *Monsr.*, and no title is given throughout the letter. It is signed merely with the initials *G. C.*, that is “Giovanni Casselense,” *John of Cashel*. He probably feared that it might fall into the hands of the government.

"Never," he says, "was there a time more dangerous for writing letters than the present; for when they are intercepted, as very frequently happens, every word is interpreted in an evil sense, and the belief of the conspiracy is so deeply rooted in the minds of all, that an angel from heaven would not suffice to disabuse them of their error; and as for us, we pronounce anathema against all conspirators and disturbers of the public peace: for we have no other thought or desire except for the spiritual profit of souls, with the due subordination to the political government. Those, too, to whom our letters are consigned, as well the letters we receive as the letters we send, now hesitate to receive them, fearing imprisonment and the other penalties: hence it is that so few letters are sent; nor is it expedient to write more frequently, especially for those who keep themselves concealed, and have not as yet come into the hands of the magistrates; for were one of their letters found, every effort would be made to discover themselves, and give them a taste of the prison.

"The Archbishop of Armagh was brought before the courts, accused of conspiracy, especially by a friar, an unworthy student of St. Isidore's: but when the accusations were read, they did not proceed with the trial, as one of the informers, the friar's associate, was wanting, and thus judgment was deferred for six months, and the archbishop was re-conducted to the prisons of Dublin, where he was confined before. He sustains great sufferings with zeal and resolution, comforting himself with his innocence and with the grace of the Lord. His journey (to Drogheda) occasioned him great expense, as well for himself as for those who were brought thither to attest his innocence.

"The Bishop of Cork being already in prison, expected sentence during the assizes of the last month: no mention, however, was made of him at that time, and he continues still in prison.

"The Bishop of Limerick has permission from the government to remain in any part of his diocese, on account of his great age and infirmities.

"The Bishop of Killaloe is not in his own district, but elsewhere: he is in strict concealment, and justly so, for our enemies bear him great ill-will, and speak violently against him.

"Notwithstanding the great afflictions which we suffer, great good is done for the salvation of souls. The government is moderate, nor do we see that rigour which is felt in England. It is now reported that one of the chief informers of the pretended conspiracy has died, and that before dying, he retracted all that he had said about the conspiracy; and this, perhaps, will mitigate the fury of our adversaries. I request you to communicate the substance of what I write, or to transmit this letter to Monsig. Cybo, who, I hope, will excuse my writing so seldom, which is occasioned by the motives already mentioned, and to one and the other I make an humble reverence.\*

"12th September, 1680."

\* This letter is signed in full *Gior. Arciv. Casellense*, John, Archbishop of Cashel. He was at the same time Administrator of Waterford and Lismore, but seldom adds this title, except when writing on matters connected with that diocese.

The enemies of the Catholics in England were nowise content with the slow proceedings of the Irish government. On the 3rd of March, 1680, the Earl of Anglesey wrote to the Lord Lieutenant, "that it is his Majesty's absolute and unalterable pleasure (advised by all the council) to have every individual of the Popish clergy seized and imprisoned till they petition to be sent over seas, and promise never to return or practice against the state; for there is no other way to cure their madness, and there are those in England who will apprehend them all." To which his Excellency characteristically replied, that "if any in England will undertake it, they shall have the promised reward, and his thanks besides; and to tell him of the insolent deportment and signal perfidy of the popish clergy of Ireland is to preach to him that there is pain in the gout; and he protests that he would sooner be rid of them than of that disease."

Such was their hatred against the Catholic clergy, and such their premeditated plan, which was worthy of a Diocletian or a Nero, to banish the Catholic pastors from our shores, or lead them to the scaffold. We shall conclude this chapter with the words of the impartial Fox:—

"The proceedings of the Popish plot must always be considered as an indelible disgrace upon the English nation, in which king, parliament, judges, juries, witnesses, prosecutors, have all their respective, though certainly not equal shares. Witnesses of such a character as not to deserve credit in the most trifling cause upon the most immaterial facts, gave evidence so incredible, or, to speak more plainly, so impossible to be true, that it ought not to have been believed if it had come from the mouth of Cato; and upon such evidence, from such witnesses, were innocent men condemned to death and executed. Prosecutors, whether attorneys or solicitors-general, or managers of impeachment, acted with the fury which in such circumstances might be expected. Juries partook naturally of the national ferment; and judges, whose duty it was to guard them against such impressions, were scandalously active in confirming them in their prejudices and inflaming their passions."\*

\* Historical Works, page 33, seq.

## CHAPTER XXIV.

### ARREST AND IMPRISONMENT OF DR. PLUNKET.

DR. PLUNKET, when rooting out the abuses which had crept into some districts of his diocese, and correcting the vices of some unhappy members of the Franciscan order, had well foreseen that he had to treat with men who deemed his reforms too great a check on their vicious lives—who would refuse to listen to his words of correction, and pursue him with their hatred even unto death. But he embraced these consequences of his sacred ministry with joy, and, as a good pastor, offered his life for the salvation of his flock, and the healing of the wounds of his suffering church. He never ceased for an instant to pursue the reforms which the necessities of his church required, and, as he had hoped, the earthly reward of all these labours was martyrdom : but his death was the triumph of the holy cause for which he combated, and as the Archbishop of Cashel remarked, by his death he effected more towards the rooting out of these abuses than he could possibly have achieved by a century of anxious toil. A letter of the Internuncio, written whilst Dr. Plunket was yet in prison, expressly attributes all his sufferings to the enmity of those who had experienced his zeal in the cause of church discipline and morality: it is addressed to Cardinal Cybo, Prefect of the Sacred Congregation de Propaganda:—

“I enclose to your Eminence a letter lately received from the Bishop of Kildare in Ireland, by which he informed me of his having been arrested on the 25th of February, without, however, any accusation being as yet brought against him, save his having exercised Papal jurisdiction in the kingdom. He, therefore, expects that after a long imprisonment he will be conducted to one of the ports and transported hither after the confiscation of all his goods. Wherefore he prays that on his arrival in Flanders some succour or place of refuge should be provided for him: he also hopes to be recommended to the clemency of the Emperor, at whose solicitation in Rome he was promoted to the episcopacy; and he seems also desirous to remain in the Irish College of Antwerp, where, without doubt, he will be received, if some slight assistance be provided for him. I have deemed it my duty to notify so much to your Eminence that you may be good enough, should you think fit, to lay the matter before the Sacred Congregation, with the news, at the same time, of the imprisonment, in Dublin, of Dr. Creagh, Bishop of Cork, who was for many years agent of the Irish clergy

in the Roman Court. From the Archbishop of Armagh I received no letter since his arrival in London, when he informed me that his trial had been deferred in consequence of God permitting that his accusers should struggle amongst themselves, imputing to one another most enormous crimes. All those who have come to England to accuse him are Catholics, and even ecclesiastics, regular and secular. *This is to be attributed to the hatred conceived against this prelate: hence during the past years the various accusations presented against him to the Sacred Congregation.* I fondly hope to be able, in a short time, to give more pleasing news concerning these bishops, and I make a profound reverence."

"Brussels, 19th April, 1681."

As early as 1678 a friar, named John MacMoyer, whom Dr. Plunket had suspended for various crimes, and who, as we shall see in the next chapter, was noted for his violence, drunkenness and immoralities, accused him of conspiracy against the crown. But so notorious was the character of this unfortunate man, that the grand jury refused to receive his testimony, and ordered himself to be arrested, and it was only with difficulty that he escaped capital punishment. This check did not suffice to make the enemies of the primate desist from their wicked design. MacMoyer could find no other names for him than Elymas, Barjesus, Simon Magus, and Oliver Cromwell,\* and often avowed his determination to bring him to the scaffold.

The accusations to which the Nuncio refers as made in Rome against Dr. Plunket, proceeded from some worthy associates of this apostate friar, and were supposed by the Archbishop to have had for their chief author Fr. Anthony Daly, who was the friend and companion of MacMoyer.†

A letter penned in Dublin prison by the Archbishop, in reply to the accusations presented to Propaganda against him, discloses to us not only many of these accusations, but also many facts which sufficiently make known the spirit which guided these unfortunate men in seeking the destruction of the primate. It is the first letter written from prison by Dr. Plunket, and is dated the 17th of January, 1680:—

"May the Lord be praised, who in a twofold way has given me occasion of spiritual joy. And first of all, by these prisons of the tower or royal castle, where I was detained in strict confinement from the 6th of December last till yesterday, when they gave me permission to converse with some friends and my servant, and this, because having

\* See Trial, Evidence of MacMoyer and Dr. Plunket.

† From the last letter which was written by Dr. Plunket (22nd June, 1681,) we learn that Mac Moyer was Daly's vicar.

examined my papers, they found nothing regarding political or temporal matters, in which I never took any part. Secondly, I am consoled by hearing of the calumnies of an apostate friar, Anthony Daly, *dimidium animæ* of Fr. Felix O'Neill. This Fr. Anthony sought to take away my life here, instigating the tories to kill me; they came at midnight about six years ago, to the house of my vicar-general, where I then was; they broke open the doors and took away all the money from myself, and my vicar-general, and my secretary, Michael Plunket, who is now in Rome, and they held a sword to my throat. The chief of this band was afterwards taken, and before death declared in prison to the parish priest of Armagh, and to his curate, that Fr. Anthony told him to kill me, and that afterwards he would give him absolution. The curate, Patrick O'Donnelly, is now in Paris, and before embarking *juratus* attested this in presence of the Bishop of Clogher. I have in my possession a letter written by the same Anthony, in which he says *si ipse Deus aliquid detrimenti inferret ordini Sancti Francisci, certarem contra Deum*. He afterwards preached publicly against the Dominicans, and again in the parish of Armagh preached erroneous and scandalous doctrines, and therefore, in the presence of the Bishop of Clogher, I suspended him from preaching and hearing the confessions of the laity. This was done in his presence, and in the presence of the Bishop of Clogher; he, nevertheless, continued to preach and sacrilegiously to hear confessions, and afterwards went through the province spreading calumnies against me, and against my vicar-general, Bernard Magorth, dean of Armagh, a man distinguished by his integrity of life and learning, who had endeavoured to restrain the insolence of this madman; then before going to Italy, he circulated in Paris all that your Excellency writes to me, and even more, viz., that I had become a Protestant, and had taken the oath of royal supremacy. Cardinal Howard is well informed of all the deeds of this apostate friar against me. Under the *seventh* and *eighth* heads, he states that I was the cause of the second last persecution, and that in that persecution, as well as in the present, I found favour, because I betrayed the Catholics. From this alone you may learn the malignant intentions of this man. In that persecution Dr. Brennan, of Cashel, and I were together on the mountains enduring the cold and the frost. In the present persecution I alone of the prelates as yet suffer here, and that solely for communion, and profession, and dependence on my superiors, which I had always held and will hold. This calumniator says that I alone am in favour, whilst others are persecuted, and yet I am in prison and they are free. I declare to your Excellency *coram Deo et non mentior*, that there is not a single one of the eight points which is not mere calumny and falsehood; and though this should be proved, yet what would it avail against a mad friar who defames the first, though unworthy prelate of an entire kingdom, who lived for twenty-five years in Rome, holding high positions in the schools, and has spent these ten years past in labours, and sufferings, and persecution, and poverty. In the month of August of 1678, two months before this persecution commenced, I held a Provincial Council, at which the Bishops of Clogher

and Meath, and the other suffragans or their procurators assisted; and they gave a most complete attestation as to my labours during the past. Now to whom is credence to be given, to a friar whom I juridically chastised, or to the attestation of all the suffragans? This was sent to your Excellency, and was, I think, by you transmitted to Rome. But I bear these calumnies, as I hope, with spiritual gain, imitating my Saviour, who suffered in body and in reputation from His adversaries, calling him a magician, a bibber, a glutton, a demon, &c. And now, as far as this sheet will hold, I will answer to the heads which seem of most importance, leaving the others for a future letter.\*

"Under the *second head*, towards the end, he says, *bonus presbyter Edmundus Gavanus suspensus est suasu primatis alias non suspendendus ut ipse iudex testatus est coram multis*. I never heard even of the imprisonment of this Edmund till after his execution; he was found in arms amongst the tories, and had been for three months in their company, and on his arrest was at once condemned. I never saw the judge before, or for two years after the trial, and I never spoke to him, nor did I write to him *mediate vel immediate, per se vel per accidens, directe vel indirecte*; nor was I within a less distance than sixty miles from the criminal sessions. But, as this friar, even at the time, circulated this calumny against me, I have under the hand of Ronan Magin, who was the ordinary of the place, and who was present at the sessions, that this was a calumny and falsehood circulated by this unfortunate man and others of his accomplices, and this was three or four years ago.

"In the *second point*, he declares, *me subornasse duos falsos testes qui imposuerunt crimen læsæ majestatis DD. Jacobo Callaghan et Dionysio Raverti*; I neither know such witnesses, who they are, or what their name and surname, or in what they are engaged; this is the truth, 'coram Deo et non mentior;' nor was I ever either *per me* or *per alium* engaged in any criminal session.

"In the *second point*, he again says, that *Parochi Kilmoren. diœcesis me jubente vocati sunt ad tribunalia Protestantium*. But he neither names these parish priests, nor to whom I gave the command that they should be summoned to the Protestant courts. I never summoned either by sign or words; nor was any person, whether lay or ecclesiastic, *me annuente*, ever summoned to any tribunal: and had I any disposition for such things, I would have summoned and prosecuted this very Anthony Daly, who was an abettor of the bandits, and excited them to assassinate me, and, in fact, they did plunder my vicar-general and my secretary, urged on by this friar Anthony.

"In the *fifth point*, he adds, *me nullam conferre parochiam vel ordines sine pecunia, et ordinariis a me intrusi idem facere prætendunt de jure*. So that my suffragans do the same. Testificor coram Deo, to use the words of St. Paul, that I never took nor asked for one halfpenny from any person, whether lay or ecclesiastical, or for anything whatsoever,

\* He probably thought it useless to trouble himself any more about the<sup>se</sup> accusations; at least, I have met with no other letter in which he alludes to them.



excepting what, *de jure vel de consuetudine*, was due to me and to my predecessors; and that I never received by all emoluments, fixed or casual, £55 per annum.

"To the other points, which are in like manner manifest falsehoods and calumnies, I will answer in another letter, not to render this one too bulky, and with a profound reverence, &c.

"17 January, 1680.

"P.S.—It is worth remarking what he says, that neither I nor my vicars are able *proponere verbum salutis populo*: I therefore must have spent my time very badly in Rome, where I taught theology and controversies for so many years. He says this in the seventh point towards the end.

"In the first and sixth points, he declares *me excludere natos*. I never gave either vicariate or dignity to any but natives of my province, nor a parish to any but natives of my diocese, unless when necessitated to call in a priest from a neighbouring diocese; and Luke Plunket, whom he accuses of simony, is a native of my province, and is one that has suffered imprisonment and exile for the faith; but because *coercuit ipsius insolentiam*, therefore does he seek to blacken his character.

"You will be good enough to write to me by return of post, and to tell me the name of my accuser. If I do not greatly mistake it is this friar Anthony. But whose deputy is he? As whose agent does he act? Some letters of your Excellency were found amongst my papers, but they no-wise damaged me; nay, more, your Excellency received the praise of prudence and caution in writing. I pray you also to send this letter to Monsignor Cybo.

In the month of November, 1679, Dr. Plunket left his place of concealment in the secluded parts of his own diocese, and came to Dublin, to assist, in his last moments, his relative, the aged Bishop of Meath; and we have already seen with what words of affection and Christian charity he announced the demise of this prelate in his letter of 30th November, 1679. Ten days later Dr. Plunket was arrested in his place of concealment in the city of Dublin, by a body of militia, headed by Hetherington;\* and, by order of the Viceroy, he was committed a close prisoner to Dublin castle. This was on the 6th of December, 1679. For six weeks no communication with him was allowed,† but after that term, nothing treasonable having been discovered in his papers, he was treated with more kindness, and permitted to receive visits from his friends.

The only crime of which the primate was as yet supposed to be accused, was that of remaining in the kingdom, despite of the royal interdict, and of exercising the functions of his sacred

\* Thorpe's Collection of Pamphlets, 1641 to 1690, Murphy's Narrative, &c.

† (Till 16th January following,) see Lett. mox cit.

ministry. This is more than evident from many sources. All the letters of the Archbishop, written for many months after his arrest, never allude to any other accusation. In the letter just cited, he expressly states that this was the only ground for his arrest. A relative, too, of the primate, named William Plunket, having completed his course of studies in the Propaganda college in Rome, and returning to his native land, in the beginning of 1680, to his surprise learned on landing in Ireland, that the Archbishop had been already for some months in prison. He hastened without delay to convey this intelligence to Rome, declaring the affliction which overwhelmed him, and the consolation at the same time which all his friends received, since the primate was accused of no other crime than that which was a true glory for a good pastor of the spiritual flock; his letter is directed to the Cardinals of the Sacred Congregation de Propaganda, and is dated 20th of March, 1680:—

“After a long journey, I at length arrived at Nantes, a maritime city of France, in which, though there were many English and Irish vessels, yet none wished to receive me, fearing lest (should they bring ecclesiastics to Ireland during the persecution) their ships and merchandise would be confiscated. At length, after three months’ stay in Nantes, Providence inspired a Catholic master to give me a place in his ship. The weather was so stormy, and the winds so uncertain or contrary, that with difficulty could we reach Ireland towards the beginning of February, although, if the winds were favourable, it should be only four days’ sail. Having arrived in Ireland, to my great dismay and grief, I received the news that the primate was a prisoner in the Royal Castle of Dublin. I hastened thither, and *having heard and learned for certain that he had been imprisoned only for being a Catholic bishop, and for not having abandoned the flock of our Lord in obedience to the edict published by Parliament, I was somewhat consoled, it being his and our glory that he should suffer in such a cause.* He was arrested on the 6th of December last, and no one was permitted to speak to him till the middle of January, when his friends were allowed to visit him, and he himself received permission to walk in an open balcony. No sooner was he arrested than, so to say, *percusso pastore dispersæ sunt oves*: the other bishops and prelates fled to their retreats, and are so concealed that few even of their most intimate friends know where they are. Notwithstanding all this, I have this moment received intelligence of the arrest of Dr. Creagh, Bishop of Cork, and the dread of all is so much the more increased, as it is thought a parliament will soon be held, when the most rigorous enactments will be made against the Catholics, and, after the manner of the English Parliament, no Catholic will be allowed to sit in it. As to the state of ecclesiastics here, all the convents are destroyed and the friars scattered. . . . The parish priests have as yet some connivance in administering the sacraments, but in the cities and large towns they are not allowed to have oratorics

or chapels, and hence they are compelled to travel about and offer the holy Sacrifice and exercise their sacred functions, now here, now there, in private houses. Would to God, and to the Blessed Virgin, that this same toleration may continue. . . . . The times are so disastrous that it is with difficulty we can live, the Catholics being so poor, and in continual fear of more rigorous edicts against us, and inhabilitated to hold any office, military or civil.

"Dublin, 20 March, 1680.

The Bishop of Kildare writes to the same effect:—"We are here so terrified that I have not dared to write to you this length of time. The primate has been in prison since the 5th of December, and is kept in close confinement in the Royal Castle in Dublin; he is in the room adjoining that of the Archbishop of Dublin; his cause has not been as yet examined, but it can be no other than that which is common to all of his dignity and profession, that is, of having disobeyed the proclamation commanding departure from the kingdom. One of the three things must soon take place in his regard, either that he be liberated on security being given, or that he be compelled to leave the kingdom, giving security that he will not return, or, in fine, that *le dextran penas a donde esta* (he be compelled to suffer where he is)."<sup>\*</sup>

The primate on his trial declared, "I was a prisoner six months, only for my religion, and there was not one word of treason spoken of against me for so many years;" and the Attorney-General himself avowed that he was arrested 'for being an over zealous papist.'<sup>†</sup>

Nevertheless, another accusation had been from the commencement presented against him, and if it were kept secret, and disguised for awhile, it was only that the plans of his accusers might be more matured, and the conspiracy so arranged, in all its parts, as assuredly to lead him to the scaffold.

The fact mentioned by the Bishop of Kildare in the above extract is worthy of attention. Side by side with the Archbishop of Armagh in the prison of the Castle of Dublin was the glorious confessor of Christ, Peter Talbot, Archbishop of that see. They had both been pillars of our Irish Church; they had long struggled together, and sometimes, too, with conflicting views in

<sup>\*</sup> 17 January, 1679, styl. vet. (1680.)

<sup>†</sup> The Internuncio Tanari, when conveying the intelligence of his arrest to the Sacred Congregation, had no apprehension of his being subjected to capital punishment, but seemed convinced that he would be only sent into banishment. The Internuncio was, consequently, not aware of any accusation save that to which we have referred:—"È di già incarcerato Mgr. Arcivescovo d'Armagh e quando non si tenti cosa veruna in pregiudizio della sua vita, come ho motivo di persuadermi, sarà facile che lo astringhino almeno all'esiglio, nel qual caso non dubito che non sia per ricoverarsi in Fiandra e per cercarvi un asilo sotto il patrocinio e colla assistenza della S. Congne. (3 February, 1680.)

promoting its welfare and defending its rights; and God now decreed that they should be united in receiving their earthly reward and be sharers in the same glorious captivity; and though Dr. Plunket alone was destined to receive the palm upon the scaffold, yet, perhaps, no less glorious was the crown of lengthened martyrdom which his fellow confessor received. Dr. Talbot, overcome by the sufferings of prison, died in the beginning of December, 1680.\*

MacMoyer, on his first evidence as to the treasonable designs of Dr. Plunket, was treated by Ormond with the contempt which such malignant perjurers deserved.† And when, later still, his evidence and that of the other witnesses was presented to the grand jury, these, though all Protestants, refused to find bills of indictment against the primate. This fact we learn from the Protestant Bishop Burnet, who, in the "History of his own Times," page 502, seq., thus writes:—

"Plunkot, the popish primate of Armagh, was at this time brought to his trial. Some lewd Irish priests, and others of that nation, hearing that England was at that time disposed to hearken to good swearers, thought themselves well qualified for that employment; so they came over to swear that there was a great plot in Ireland to bring over a French army, and to massacre all the English. The witnesses were brutal and profligate men; yet the Earl of Shaftesbury cherished them much: they were examined by the Parliament at Westminster, and what they said was believed. Upon that encouragement it was reckoned that we should have witnesses come over in whole companies. Lord Essex told me that this Plunket was a wise and sober man, who was always in a different interest from the two Talbots, the one of these being the titular Archbishop of Dublin, and the other raised afterwards to be Duke of Tyrconnell. These were meddling and factious men, whereas Plunket was for their living quietly and in due submission to the government, without engaging into intrigues of state. Some of these priests had been censured by him for their lewdness: and they drew others to swear as they had directed them. They had appeared the winter before upon a bill offered to the grand jury; but as the foreman of the jury, who was a zealous Protestant, told me, they contradicted one another so evidently, that they would not find the bill. But now they laid their story better together, and swore against Plunket that he had got a great bank of money to be prepared, and that he had an army listed, and was in a correspondence with France to bring over a fleet from thence. He had nothing to say in his own defence, but to deny all. So he was condemned, and suffered, very decently expressing himself in many particulars as became a bishop. He died denying everything that had been sworn against him."

\* Letter of Nuncio, 21st December, 1680.

† See Thorpe's Collection, 1641-90, Murphy's Pamphlet, page 29.

It was not till the month of June, 1680, that the witnesses had fully arranged their plans. Armed with commendatory letters from the English court, they now returned to Ireland assured of success. Amongst the many precautions taken by the apostate friar MacMoyer, one was to have a government order sent from London to the Viceroy, that no Catholic should be a member of the jury:—"Orders had been transmitted to Ireland," says the primate on his trial, "that I should be tried in Ireland, and that no Roman Catholic should be on the jury, and so it was in both the grand and other jury; yet, there, when I came to my trial, after I was arraigned, not one appeared." Dr. Plunket did not object to this arrangement, though in itself most unjust, so conscious was he of his own innocence, and of the known character of his accusers; and after the words which we have just cited, he again avowed upon his trial:—"If I had been in Ireland, I would have put myself on my trial to-morrow, without any witnesses, before any Protestant jury that knew them and me."

The Viceroy, however, decreed that the trial should be held in Dundalk, the scene of the reputed treasonable crimes; and, as we shall just now see, this alone sufficed to derange all the plans of the witnesses, for they were conscious that their character was well known in that quarter, and that evidence could be, without difficulty, procured there of their malignity and evil designs and perjuries. Dr. Plunket, writing to the Internuncio on the 25th of July, 1680, the day after his return from Dundalk, gives the following detailed account of the proceedings of this trial:—

"Your letter of the 17th of July consoled me in my tribulations and miseries. The friar MacMoyer, as well in the criminal sessions of Dundalk as after these sessions, presented a memorial that the trial should not be held in Dundalk, where he was too well known, and, that it should be deferred till September or March next, but the Viceroy refused.

"I was brought with a guard to Dundalk on the 21st of July: Dundalk is 36 miles from Dublin. I was there consigned to the King's Lieutenant in that district, who treated me with great courtesy, and on the 23rd and 24th July I was presented for trial. A long process was read, but on the 24th MacMoyer did not appear to confirm his depositions and hear my defence: I had 32 witnesses, priests, friars, and seculars, prepared to falsify all that the friar had sworn, forsooth that *I had seventy thousand Catholics prepared to murder all the Protestants, and to establish here the Romish religion and Popish superstition: that I had sent various agents to different kingdoms to obtain aid: that I had visited and explored all the fortresses and maritime ports of the kingdom: and that I had held a provincial council in 1678 to introduce the French.* He also accused, in his depositions, Monsignor Tyrrell, Rev.

Luke Plunket, the ordinary of Derry, and Dr. Edward Dromgole, an eminent preacher. Murphy (the second witness) no sooner heard that the sessions and trial would be held in Dundalk than he fled out of the kingdom: and hence MacMoyer alleged that he himself could not appear, as he awaited the return of Murphy: and so these sessions terminated, and according to the laws of this country, I must present myself at three criminal sessions before I can be absolved, and as there will be no sessions in Dundalk till the end of March, my counsel and friends recommended me to present a memorial to have the cause adjudged in Dublin at the next criminal sessions of All Saints, and that the jury of Dundalk should be brought to Dublin, which, perhaps, I may obtain. The manner of proceeding here in criminal cases seems very strange to me. The person accused knows nothing of the accusations till the day of trial; he is allowed no counsel to plead his cause; the oath is not given to his witnesses; and one witness suffices for the Crown. They receive, however, the evidence of the witnesses of the accused, although they do not administer the oath to them. The sessions being over, I was re-conducted, by order of the Viceroy, to the Royal Castle of Dublin, to my dear and costly apartment: considering, however, the shortness of the time spent in Dundalk, it was still more expensive, as I had to bring 32 witnesses from different parts, and maintain them for four days in Dundalk, and amongst the guards and servants of the Lieutenant I distributed about 40 crowns. Although the two chief judges are appointed by the crown, the jury is chosen by the Lieutenant of the district of Dundalk. As there are more Catholics than Protestants in the county Louth, MacMoyer, foreseeing that some Catholics would surely be on the jury, and knowing that the Lieutenant, who, from his office, is called *sheriff*, was a friend of mine, presented a memorial that no Catholic should be on the jury, and he obtained his petition. I made no opposition, knowing well that all the Protestants of my district looked on MacMoyer as a confederate of the *tories*, and hence, at the criminal sessions of Armagh, in 1678, he was prosecuted and fined; and I knew, moreover, that they all deemed fabulous the story sworn by MacMoyer against me, and, moreover, his dissolute life was notorious, and he was always half drunk when he appeared before the tribunals. Murphy fled, because he well knew that the jury of Dundalk would have hanged him. He had been imprisoned in Dundalk and escaped: he was found in the company of the *tories*, and he concealed the articles which they stole. It is said that he has gone to England to obtain pardon from the king, that he may afterwards appear against me; but to accuse me *in crimine læsæ majestatis* (of treason), but of exercising papal jurisdiction in this kingdom: another witness, Callaghan, accuses me in like manner, and it is an accusation which I deem most glorious. It is more than two years since MacMoyer commenced his accusations against me, as is clear from the depositions.

"I more than once wrote to your Excellency to request my masters to send me some aid. I am at this moment 500 crowns in debt; I have to pay here £1 per week for my own and my servant's apart-

ments, and having no means to pay for my food, one of my servants brings it to me in a basket from the house of two Catholic noblemen. This is the truth *coram Deo et non mentior*; and although you well know I have not now received one halfpenny from my masters, yet Catholics here, as well as Protestants, can with difficulty be induced to believe it. Here there is no such thing as revenue; as you know, we depend on the benevolence of the Catholics, who are reduced to such poverty, especially in my districts, that it is difficult for the parish priests to find the means of subsistence. So many, between bandits and soldiery, are continually in pursuit of them, that in my district the greater part left their holdings; in fact, all the military are maintained at the expense of the poor Catholics, and many not being able to pay are imprisoned.

"I request you again to make known my present state to the masters,† and I am sure your letters will have a happy result; and others seeing their charity towards one that served them these twenty-two years past, will have an additional motive not to abandon their flocks despite every persecution. It is certain, that were it not for my exhortations, many of my brother prelates would have fled on the publication of the edict. But if they see me in prison and reduced to extremities as to food and other necessities, what will they say? I submit in everything to the charity and kindness of your Excellency.

In reply to this letter the Internuncio informed Dr. Plunket that he had consigned 150 crowns to his friend, the Canon Joyce in Brussels, and Dr. Plunket, under the impression that these were from the private purse of Monsignor Tanari, again wrote on the 31st of August:—

"I have received yours of the 23rd inst., and it was never my intention to inconvenience the purse of your Excellency, but I was desirous that you should write without delay to Monsignor Cybo and the masters to make known my condition and sufferings, and how I served them in Rome, teaching for many years theology and controversies in the Propaganda, and labouring at the Congregation of the Index, and how also I toiled these ten years past corresponding with the masters and exercising my ecclesiastical functions. God knows all I spent in this correspondence, and in supporting schools and teachers for the education of youth and ecclesiastics. I pray you again to write to the masters: they, perhaps, will be backward in assisting me, thinking that all my brother prelates would make like claims; but there is great

† See in the Appendix, a petition addressed by Dr. Plunket to the Sacred Congregation, stating the many expenses to which he was subjected, and the poverty of his friends, who in consequence of their being excessively oppressed and persecuted (*ob miniam oppressionem et persecutionem*) could yield him no assistance. He then commemorates some special reasons why the Sacred Congregation should not be unmindful of him, and especially his having "for ten years attended to his spiritual charge, despite every persecution."

difference between me and them, and whilst there are so many special reasons in my favour, I am on the other hand certain that none of the others will inconvenience them. No one spent so much as I did in serving them, and had I not incurred these expenses I would not be now in need. My brother prelates lived at others' expense, but I lived in my own house and expended for others more even than I had. I am now 500 crowns in debt, and until the sessions of All Saints I don't know what will be my lot. MacMoyer is anxious that the trial should be deferred: Murphy fled from the kingdom, and they await (*si superis placet*) his return. You will be good enough to order the 150 crowns to be consigned to Canon Joyce, and his receipt will suffice. I will ever pray for the health and welfare of your Excellency.

"31st August, 1680."

But the scene was now to be soon shifted from the shores of Ireland\* to the banks of the Thames. Mac Moyer and his associates felt that it would be impossible for them to attain their wicked purpose in a country where their crimes were so public, and the primate so revered: they therefore petitioned the king that the trial should be transferred to London. The suggestion was pleasing to the court, and about the middle of October Dr. Plunket received a summons to appear before Parliament and the king to answer to the charges imputed to him. There are two letters of the Archbishop written on this occasion, one on the 21st of October, announcing this summons to London; and another, written on board the vessel on the 24th, the day of his departure from Ireland. In the former he thus writes:—

"I have been cited to appear before the king and Parliament in London, and I leave to-day to embark: may all be for the greater glory of God and the salvation of my soul. Another friar has made his appearance as informer: his name is George Coddan; he was imprisoned for some crime, and to obtain his liberty became informer

\* We learn from Father O'Heyn that Dr. Plunket, whilst in the Dublin prison, kept up a correspondence with Dr. de Burgo, Bishop of Elphin, warning him of the plots that were laid against him, and how he should best avoid them:—"Vix enarrari potest quantas qualesque tribulationes perpassus fuerit in horrenda persecutione suscitata contra omnes promiscue Catholicos in Anglia et Hibernia anno 1680. Constitutæ sunt his centumlibræ sterlingæ pro quocumque eum (Epum Elphinensem) prendente, quam ob rem noctu itinerari solebat durante ista persecutione. . . Eram ejus individuus socius toto anno usquedum captus fuerit illms. et Revmus. Armachanus Archi Præsul D. Oliverius Plunket qui crebro monebat Epum Elphinensem e carcere Dubliniensi de frequentibus consiliis concilii supremi ac privati ad capiendum illum quibus monitionibus multum adjutus est Elphinensis Præsul ad eorum insidias et sanguinolentas manus evitandas." (See "Hibernia Dominica." Pag. 497.)



against me, and against Dr. Hugo, one of the chapter of Armagh, alleging that he was Nuncio of the Pope. A third friar, also, a certain Paul Gormley, who was prisoner in Derry, being arrested for robbery, now gives evidence in order to save himself: he studied in Prague. I request you to speak to Mr. Joyce that he may transmit the money to Mr. John Comin without delay. The expenses are and will be intolerable, and already I have sold a part of the few things I had, and pledged the remainder, even to the chalice and cross. From London, if possible, you will receive further intelligence. I have been deprived of pen, ink, and paper. I write *sub galli cantu et clam ac furtive*. Let Mr. Joyce not mind the exchange: for *necessitas non habet legem*. One consolation there is, that the captain of the guard which accompanies me is not my enemy. Dr. Tyrrell, Mr. Luke Plunket, and Dr. Dromgole, have been declared guilty of treason by the grand jury. A strange thing that, on the mere depositions of witnesses, sentence should be given against persons who are absent and unheard.

"I request you to communicate this intelligence to Monsig. Cybo, or to send him this letter. There are many of the Irish nobility and gentry here accused of this utopian conspiracy: as my Lord Poer, now Earl of Tuam; my Lord Brittas, &c. I recommend myself to the sacrifices and prayers of all.

"21st October, 1680."

We have already had occasion to refer to the second letter, dated 24th Oct., 1680, (styl. vet.) Six hours before leaving the Irish shore he privately received a letter from the Nuncio, and he writes from ship-board to acknowledge its receipt, and to announce that he had already been able to communicate the privileges which it contained to the other archbishops and bishops, and he adds:—

"Tell Mr. Joyce that I received two of his letters, and that no matter what may be the consequence, to send me the money to London as soon as possible, addressed for Mr. John Comin, merchant, in London, who is my friend and a Catholic: the expenses here are intolerable, and correspondence is difficult and dangerous. If possible you will receive letters from London. In the meanwhile I recommend myself to your prayers, and I have been, and am, and ever will be, your devoted servant," &c.

The Internuncio, when transmitting these letters to Rome, announces to the Sacred Congregation the extreme danger to which the life of the Archbishop was now exposed, and how all his own efforts, even though seconded by the mediation of the Spanish ambassador, had proved fruitless in mitigating the rigour of the Court in his regard.

"The Archbishop of Armagh has been conducted from the prisons

of Dublin to those of England, and on the day of his embarking he sent me the enclosed letter, with a desire that I should transmit it to Monsig. Cybo. The fury of the enemies of our faith, and the sad conjuncture of the opening of Parliament, which is furious against the Catholics, occasion great fears for his life, although his innocence of the pretended conspiracy is most manifest; nor are witnesses wanting to establish it should the matter be fairly investigated. I have implored in his aid the intercession of the Catholic ambassador, so much the more, as I have heard that in the persecution during the reign of Charles the First, the then Archbishop of Armagh being condemned to death for exercising pontifical jurisdiction in Ireland, was sent into exile on the promise given by the Spanish ambassador that he would never again return to the British dominions. I can do nothing more than this for Dr. Plunket. I have transmitted to him by a secure person the 150 crowns to which he refers in his letter, and which have been repaid to me by the Sacred Congregation.

"23rd November, 1680."

In the following month the Nuncio again writes, declaring Dr. Plunket's imprisonment to be so close that it was impossible to open communication with him. His letter is dated the 21st December, 1680, and gives some additional important particulars connected with the persecution:—

"Dr. Talbot, the Archbishop of Dublin, has died of his infirmities, and Dr. Plunket, Archbishop of Armagh, has more than once been examined in London, but it is impossible to discover any particulars, as he is guarded with exceeding rigour. A great number of the usual witnesses have been produced against the Viscount Strafford, nor will his innocence have any weightier support than the dread of the other lords (to whom it belongs to pass sentence), lest the depositions of such wicked and iniquitous witnesses should afterwards be directed against themselves."

The Archbishop of Cashel deemed it his duty on the death of the primate to collect, as far as was in his power, the particulars connected with his imprisonment and trial, and transmit them to the Holy See. We shall more than once have to refer to his narrative hereafter. The following extract will suffice, as regards the matter of the present chapter:—

"MacMoyer having acted the part of Judas in London, returns by royal command to Ireland, where the conspiracy was then almost wholly discredited, and the Catholics were held in more esteem, till he, with other children of Belial, renewed the accusations, soliciting the viceroy and council that the primate might be prosecuted for rebellion. The Viceroy consented to this; and thus the Archbishop was conducted

to the diocese of Armagh, the place of the pretended crimes, and by the officers of justice was brought to trial on the 20th of June, 1680. The depositions being read, the friar, with his accomplices, was called to prove the accusations; but they, though in the city at the time, were unwilling to appear. The third day, however, the friar made his appearance, declaring his intention to proceed against the accused, but that he was unable to do so in consequence of the absence of the other witnesses. His true reason was, that the Archbishop of Armagh had then present about thirty persons to prove the hatred and declared enmity of the friar against him on account of his having corrected his infamous life; and that it was so likewise with the other accusers, whose testimony could not therefore be admitted as evidence against him. A second reason was, that the goodness and sincerity of the accused was well known in these parts, even to the Protestants, as well as the wickedness of his accusers, so that it was morally impossible that their depositions would be accredited, or the Archbishop condemned. Hence, the trial was adjourned, and the accused was reconducted to Dublin, and confined in the Royal Castle as before.

In the meantime the friar, with his companions of iniquity, made his way to London, seeing that there was no hope for the success of his evil designs in Ireland. In London he had recourse to the chief promoters of such accusations of conspiracy, giving them to understand that although the accusations against the Archbishop of Armagh were certain, nevertheless, it was his opinion that they would not pass in Ireland, and that therefore it was necessary to have the case called to London, and the trial continued there. The suggestion was approved of by these promoters, and they procured a royal order to carry it into effect, and thus the primate, escorted by a body of the royal guards, was brought to England, and arriving in London in the beginning of November, 1680, was lodged in the common prison, where he was kept for six months, without communication even with his servant, who, at the request of the friar, was likewise imprisoned in the same place.

“After six months, the judges, on the 3rd of May, caused the Archbishop to be led to the court to stand his trial. But he protested that he could not proceed with his defence without his witnesses, and the necessary documents which were in Ireland, and thus thirty-five days were granted to him. He, consequently, sent his servant to Ireland, with the permission of the judges, to procure authentic copies of the depositions and evidence which had been given against him in Ireland, and to collect and bring together, from different parts of the kingdom, such persons as were able and willing to give testimony in his favour, and to conduct them to London. And having but little money (not as much as would suffice to pay his prison expenses in Dublin and London), he sent with this servant a circular letter to all his friends, beseeching them in their charity to contribute what was necessary for these witnesses, and for the authentic papers already referred to. Being arrived in Dublin, this messenger made a collection amongst the Catholics of Dublin and elsewhere; but although there were thirty persons to give evidence, only five could be found

who would consent to undertake so long and so difficult a journey by sea and by land, especially as the collection was small, and it was difficult to assemble so many persons from different parts of the kingdom.

"A petition being presented to the royal council in Dublin, to obtain the authentic copies which were required, the judges opposed this concession, saying, that it was unusual to have such copies transmitted to London; wherefore, the messenger, with his five witnesses, was compelled to leave without them. Contrary winds detained them many days in port, without being able to sail, so that the thirty-five days, which were allowed him, passed without their being able to arrive in London; a special messenger, however, was sent on to testify that they were on their journey, and the primate petitioned to have the trial deferred for ten days, in which time he was confident (as it really happened) his witnesses would arrive. But so just a petition was rejected, and the trial was opened on the day originally fixed by the judges, the 8th of June, 1680."

We have seen that after six months' close confinement, in which the prison-guard was his only companion, Dr. Plunket received permission to communicate with his servant, and to write some letters to his friend. In the extract just cited from Dr. Brennan's narrative, mention is made of a circular addressed to his friends in Ireland: the loss of this circular is partly compensated by a letter written to Canon Joyce in Brussels, on the 16th of May, 1681, from which it, probably, differed but little in substance, and which, translated into Latin by this good Canon, was transmitted to Rome, and is now preserved in the Vatican Archives. It is as follows:—

"I was brought from Ireland to this city (London) towards the close of October last, and subjected to the sufferings of a rigorous imprisonment, all intercourse with my friends being interdicted, so that no human being, save the guard of my prison, had access to me; but as now permission has been granted to write to my relatives and acquaintances, I could not but write to your reverence, to make known and lay open to you how matters now stand with me. About a fortnight ago I was accused and brought to trial for seeking to introduce the holy Catholic and Apostolic faith, and to overturn and destroy the Protestant religion. But the accusation being read, the trial was deferred till next sessions, that I might bring my witnesses from Ireland, who, undoubtedly, will be an intolerable burden to me, and will exhaust my poor purse, unless I be aided by my friends, to whom I wholly confide myself. I caused eight witnesses to be called to refute all that the friar MacMoyer and his colleagues had stated against me. I shall have a severe trial, for neither the jury nor the judges are acquainted with my circumstances and those of my accusers. I therefore earnestly pray your reverence to collect and transmit to me, as soon as possible,

whatever my friends can give me, that I may be able to support my witnesses. Each of them will require, at least, £20, considering their stay here and return to Ireland. Show my letters to my friends, and let Michael Plunket know the present state of my affairs. Show them especially to Mr. Picquet or Puiisson, and also to Dr. Cusack, and let Michael Plunket have a copy of this letter; I will await your answer, to be addressed to Mr. Hugh Reilly.

"London, 16 May, 1681.

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

This letter was itself transmitted through the same Hugh Reilly, and he accompanied it with the following note to Canon Joyce:—

"As I am unknown to your reverence, I will merely state in a few words how the case stands with the writer of the enclosed letter. You must, therefore, know, that he is reduced to the last extremities, so that unless he receive assistance from his friends, it surely is all over with him. A final conspiracy has been entered into and arranged, to effect the total destruction of the Irish, so that whosoever compassionates our priests, bishops, archbishops, and the whole kingdom, so unjustly and so cruelly persecuted, will surely correspond to this most just and most necessary petition. Please direct your letter thus:—to Mr. Reilly, stopping at Mr. Booth's, at the sign of the two sugar-loaves, in Bradfordbury, Covent-garden, London.

"HUGH REILLY."

The Internuncio Tanari wrote to Cardinal Cybo on the 31st of May, 1681, conveying the substance of this letter of the primate, but he erroneously concludes from the passage relative to the accusations against him, that he had not been accused of treason; for, as we shall see at the trial of Dr. Plunket, those two accusations were joined together, and the treason imputed to him was no other than that he sought to overthrow the Government, *in order to establish the Catholic religion, and root out Protestantism.* The Internuncio thus writes:—

"Brussels, 31 May, 1681.

"A letter has, at length, been received from the Archbishop of Armagh, directed to an Irish Canon of Brussels, with an order to communicate it to me, whom he styles in his correspondence, Picquet or Puiisson. The prelate is supposed to be accused only of introducing the Catholic faith into Ireland, and extirpating heresy, wherefore I hope there is no ground for the suspicion that a conspiracy was imputed to him to expel the English from the kingdom, and introduce foreign power. The issue of his case has been deferred till the next meeting of the judges, which will not be for some weeks, during which interval he has to bring over from Ireland his witnesses, who

may establish his innocence against the calumnies of his accusers: eight witnesses have been called, but, as twenty pounds of English money, or eighty scudi (crowns), will be required for the support of each witness, he implores from every side some charitable assistance. I fear but little can be got here, on account of the poverty of the country, notwithstanding that his case is truly deserving of compassion, and that it is of the utmost importance that his defence should not suffer through want of sufficient means, as on it, not only the life of the Archbishop depends, but also the belief in the pretended conspiracy of the Irish Catholics, and consequently their persecution. In the mean time, the mediation which has been procured will be most opportune in assisting him, as is known to your Eminence, to whom I make a profound reverence."

---

## CHAPTER XXV.

### CHARACTER OF THE THREE CHIEF WITNESSES.

THE character of the witnesses, whose perjured evidence led Dr. Oliver Plunket to the scaffold, most clearly establishes the nature of the conspiracy which had been entered into against him, and proves that his death was owing to the hatred of those who looked on him as the pillar of the Irish church, and the dauntless champion of her discipline and teaching.

John MacMoyer, of whom we have often spoken in the preceding chapter, was the originator of that wicked conspiracy, and his chief associates were another apostate friar, named Duffy, and Edmund Murphy, a suspended secular priest. MacMoyer and Duffy had studied together in St. Isidore's, and were expelled from that convent on account of their irregularities by its venerable guardian, Father Tyrrell, who, at the period at which we are now arrived, was bishop of the ancient see of Clogher, and who, as he zealously co-operated with the primate in reforming the abuses of some corrupt members of the clergy, so, too, was made the object of their enmity and hatred, and we shall see that in their depositions, side by side with their charges against the primate, were similar accusations against the Bishop of Clogher.

It was about 1673 that the reforms introduced by Dr. Plunket first awakened the fury and enmity of those who sought to maintain irregularity and corruption in the Irish clergy, and whose vicious lives but little corresponded with the sanctity of their profession. Amongst these opponents of the archbishop none were more violent than the two novices just mentioned, who afterwards were

destined to crown their impiety and guilt by leading him to the scaffold. In the noble library of St. Isidore's, which a few years before had been founded by the great ornament of the Franciscan order, Father Luke Wadding, a bust of the newly-appointed primate was erected in 1669. On this bust the two unworthy young men, of whom we have been speaking, now resolved to let loose all their rage, and privately entering the library, presented to their indignant fellow-countrymen in Rome the outrageous spectacle of beheading in effigy the most exalted prelate of their church. Thus did they even then manifest their readiness, were it in their power, to compass the death of him who, animated with Christian zeal, sought to correct their abuses, and as a good pastor, to heal the spiritual wounds of the flock entrusted to his charge. Though, in consequence, expelled from the order in Rome, they succeeded by false pretensions in obtaining an entrance to some convent in Germany or France, and returned to Ireland about 1674.

In the narrative of the Archbishop of Cashel we find some further particulars in regard to MacMoyer:—

"In the month of May, 1679, a certain Hetherington escaped from prison, where he was detained for various crimes, and going to London, presented himself before the Earl of Shaftesbury, the principal promoter and the fabricator of the pretended conspiracy imputed to the Catholics. This lord received the fugitive with open arms, and heard from him the welcome information that in Ireland certain friars and priests who were in prison could state positive facts regarding the plot which was on foot in that country to advance the conspiracy. Shaftesbury procured a royal order that John MacMoyer, a Franciscan, Edmund Murphy, a secular priest, and some others, should be sent from Ireland to London, which accordingly took place, and they were examined before the king in council as to what they knew concerning a conspiracy in Ireland. The secular priests declared on oath that they knew nothing of such conspiracy, save what they had heard from the lips of the friar John Moyer; and Moyer being interrogated, answered *tactis evangelis* (on oath) that in Ireland there was on foot a universal conspiracy, of which the head and promoter was the Archbishop of Armagh, with whom all the Catholics were united in this design; and subsequently he presented in writing several articles of high treason against the Archbishop of Armagh.

"It is to be remarked that this Moyer was a student of the college of St. Isidore, and it is thought that he was one of those that beheaded the bust of the primate which decorated the library of that college, and now, by means of his sacrilegious accusations, he has succeeded in giving a like stroke to the prototype. Since his arrival in Ireland he lived in the province of Armagh, where he gave such scandal that his provincial was compelled to declare him an *apostate*, and at his solici-

tation the Archbishop of Armagh caused this sentence to be published in the parishes of his district, commanding, moreover, that no Catholic should receive from him the sacraments of the church. The friar, enraged at this, had recourse to the then Viceroy, accusing the Archbishop of holding frequent synods for the purpose of setting on foot a rebellion against the government. But the Viceroy, knowing the loyalty of the Archbishop, heeded not the accusations of the friar, who, however, took care to treasure up his revenge till the favourable opportunity of this pretended conspiracy, when all false witnesses are held in esteem and reputed honorable men."

When, in 1678, MacMoyer presented to the grand jury in Dundalk heads of impeachment against Dr. Plunket for high treason, not only did they refuse to receive his testimony, but he himself was arrested and cast into prison, as an associate of the bandits, and guilty of other crimes: and he seems to have remained there till his design of effecting, by his perjuries, the death of the primate, was made known to the Earl of Shaftesbury. Even before the order for his release arrived from London, he, being assured of the patronage of the court, by a letter of Hetherington, made his escape from prison, and laid his accusations before the Viceroy, Ormond, and the grand jury of Dublin; but once more his evidence was rejected as worthless, and it was only when summoned to England to appear before the royal council that he found, in the sworn enemies of the Catholic faith, willing and anxious ears to receive his perjured tale.\* The facts connected with his subsequent career, till the Primate's trial, have been detailed in the preceding chapter: returning to Ireland, armed with a royal mandate, and taking the precaution that all Catholics should be excluded from the jury, he yet feared to pursue his accusations before the grand jury of Dundalk; and at his solicitation London was destined, by the Crown, to be the scene of the trial of Dr. Plunket.

In his letter of the 2nd July, 1681, Dr. Plunket remarks, regarding the friars, MacMoyer and Duffy, that they were sharers in a plot with some other members of their order to accomplish his destruction:—

"MacMoyer and one Friar Duffy were the principal accusers.... Felix O'Neill was a declared enemy of Dr. Tyrrell and Luke (Plunket). Anthony Daly had some words with Dromgoole. I am informed, by persons of credit, that Felix and Daly did contrive all this tragedy

\* It would appear from Carte, that the Protestant Bishop of Meath, "who had been scoutmaster-general to Oliver Cromwell," and sharer in his many bloody deeds against the Catholics, was the principal patron in Ireland of these perjured witnesses. His words will be cited hereafter.



before their departure from Ireland. MacMoyer was Daly's vicar, and Duffy is a fosterer, or of that family who fostered Felix : if so, God forgive them, and I do forgive all who had a hand, directly or indirectly, in my death."

But if MacMoyer and Duffy had long been companions in their career of crime, and of enmity to the primate, Duffy too was a fellow bandit of Edmund Murphy, and thus it was not difficult to make him a third accomplice in their sacrilegious design.\*

Edmund Murphy had been parish priest in the diocese of Armagh, and chanter of the cathedral. As early as 1674 he was suspended from the exercise of all ecclesiastical functions, and hurried away by passion, he soon became one of the most virulent opponents of the archbishop, and a leading concoctor of the conspiracy against him. Nevertheless, on more than one occasion the dictates of conscience seemed for a while to triumph : on his first being summoned to London he openly avowed that all he knew of the treasonable practices of the primate he had learned from the friar MacMoyer; and again, at the last trial of Dr. Plunket, he used every endeavour to cancel his former evidence—to have the trial deferred, in order that the archbishop's witnesses might arrive, and to destroy the testimony of his fellow-informers.

In 1680 he wrote a pamphlet (which was published in the following year), entitled "The Present State and Condition of Ireland;"† and in it he details, at length, many of the exploits which won for him the favour of the Court and of the Protestants of England. His career, even as thus portrayed by himself, but too well justifies the severity of the primate in his regard; and at the same time reveals to us most fully the character of those who found in Dr. Plunket a check to their vicious life, and consequently plotted his destruction.

Despite the sentence of suspension which had been fulminated against him, Murphy continued to exercise the ecclesiastical functions, and sacrilegiously to administer the sacraments. At the same time he plundered the country, as leader of a band of *torries*, whilst, on the other hand, he corresponded with the government to betray his fellow-bandits, and obtain the price which had been set upon their heads.

\* All three were wicked ecclesiastics, and associates in crime, and Dr. Plunket declared, in his last discourse, that "for seven years" he had laboured, but in vain, to effect their conversion.

† The full title is "The Present State and Condition of Ireland, but more especially of the Province of Ulster, humbly represented to the kingdom of England, by Edmund Murphy, Secular Priest and Titular Chanter of Armagh, and one of the first discoverers of the Irish Plot :—" it is published in Thorpe's Collection, from 1641 to 1690.

Amongst the many bands of *tories* which then devastated the country, the most powerful was that headed by Redmond O'Hanlon, whose name is yet remembered in many districts of the North of Ireland. Mutual enmity and distrust soon sprung up between the rival leaders, and O'Hanlon caused proclamation to be made throughout his district, that whosoever would go to Murphy should forfeit, the first time, one cow; the second time, two; and the third time, his life. Murphy, on his part, planned the murder of O'Hanlon, and assumed as his chief associates in that design two fellow-tories, Cormack and O'Neill. O'Neill rejoiced to have an opportunity of hastening to O'Hanlon's quarters to betray the plot of Murphy and Cormack; on his return a drunken brawl ensued, in which Cormack was murdered. Murphy, in his pamphlet, imputes this murder to O'Neill, but the circumstances, even as described by himself, are such as seem to justify the suspicion which was entertained by many at the time that he himself was the author of the crime. Soon afterwards two officers, named Baker and Smith, denounced Murphy as a robber, and the ringleader of a party which kept the country in continual agitation, and eventually he was arrested by the troops under their command, and committed a prisoner to Dundalk gaol. Carte (vol. ii. p. 514), supplies some further particulars connected with this period of his career:—

“Parties of *tories*, which the Duke of Ormond was careful to suppress, because they were a sort of nurseries for rebellion, served likewise for supplying witnesses for the Popish plot. One Murphy, living in their quarters, and corresponding with them, was prosecuted for that correspondence by Baker and Smith, of Dundalk. The proofs for convicting him were ready, and the assizes were near, when he made his escape out of prison, and put himself under the protection of the Government as prosecutor for the king, of the charge against Oliver Plunket, titular primate of Armagh. John Moyer being guilty of the like correspondence, took the same part as Murphy had done, and went to England, whence they returned with encouragement to proceed to the conviction of Plunket, and powers to take up such persons as they saw fit for further witnesses. They had been examined in England as evidences of the plot, but had neglected to take out their pardons, and being prosecuted on their first return, for their correspondence with *tories*, the Bishop of Meath pressed the Earl of Shaftesbury, by frequent letters, to procure their pardons, and get the titular primate's trial, which was to be at the next assizes, which were then near, at Dundalk, to be removed from that town, where he would be certainly acquitted, to either Dublin or London. The latter place was deemed most convenient, and the old man was accordingly sent for thither, tried, and convicted by this, and the like evidence, of a charge that was in its own

nature incredible, viz., the inviting 20,000 French to invade the kingdom, and land at Carlingford, and the listing of 70,000 men to join them."

The officers to whom Murphy was accustomed to betray his companions were Captains Coult and Butler, and he had for his assistants in this deed of infamy his own brothers, and a relative named Hugh Murphy. The occupation of this last individual was to steal the horses of the *tories*, which afterwards were brought by the brothers to Sir Hans Hamilton, and he arrested all who inquired or sought after such horses. Moreover, says the writer of the pamphlet to which we have referred, the same Hugh Murphy being an active partizan of the *tories*, did daily send their state to the deponent, (Ed. Murphy) who communicated it in writing to Captain Butler, by a certain damsel, to avoid suspicion. It soon, however, became rumoured through the country that Edmund Murphy was a traitor, and "all or most people were possessed that the informant received a large sum of money from the said Captain Coult, to bring in the heads of several of the chief *tories* and rebels, all which was spread abroad by means of *lying fame*" Elsewhere he commemorates how on one occasion his life would have been sacrificed to their fury, were it not for that Cormack in whose blood he is supposed to have afterwards steeped his guilty hands:—

"All the said *tories* verily believed the report, except the said Cormack, who well knew the informant's design;\* but the rest made solemn vows to kill the informant in the first place they met him. The informant getting intelligence hereof, betook himself to a habitation near Dundalk, where he continued a certain season, but one day going there to visit his parish, *accompanied by one Friar Duffy*, as they passed the hill of Carricksticken, they were espied by the aforesaid *tories*, among whom was the said Cormack, and coming violently altogether upon the informant, he was thereat surprised; but Cormack immediately interposed between the informant and them, making oath that whoever should lay hands on the said informant, should in like manner perish; and Cormack demanding what might be his crime, they told him he was a rogue, and had contracted with Captain Coult, for a sum of money, to bring in their heads; but the informant protested the contrary, and that he never mentioned their names to the said captain, whereupon they were satisfied, and the deponent demitted to resort to his parish."†

Hetherington had met, as companions in Dundalk gaol, MacMoyer and Murphy: he soon learned their sentiments in

\* This, probably, refers to his design of murdering O'Hanlon.

† See Murphy's pamphlet, pp. 14-15.

regard of the primate, and having made his escape and arrested Dr. Plunket, he wrote to Murphy, informing him of the royal letters which he had obtained for his release. Murphy could await no longer,\* but making his escape from gaol presented himself before the Duke of Ormond and accused the primate and the two officers by whom he was arrested as being concerned in the Popish plot, and the friends and abettors of the tories. Ormond, however, was already well acquainted with his character, and upbraided him as being actuated solely by motives of revenge in consequence of the excommunication to which he was subjected by the primate.

"The informant (he thus writes) immediately after this conference with the Duke of Ormond repaired to Mr. Hetherington and related to him all the passages that had passed between them, particularly of the excommunication that was denounced against the informant, that malice was the original cause thereof; to which the said Hetherington replied, that it was almost impossible, as well as improbable, to have any justice done against the said Plunket by reason of his strong faction, nor in any other matters relating thereunto."

But Murphy was more sanguine in his hopes than Hetherington, and (as his narrator continues) "not taking much notice of this reply, informed the said Hetherington, if he would accompany the informant to the north, he would produce evidence to prove the whole that before he had revealed, and more also."† Murphy soon received a government authorization to gather in these witnesses, and setting out accompanied by Hetherington, "under the denomination of a friar which had lately come from Rome," visited various districts of the north, but he could find none to swear against the primate, and he complains that his witnesses against the officers "were chained and scourged to sign an instrument for their own transportation."

From facts which he subsequently records we may conclude that the result of his excursion was still more unfavourable to his design. "Lying fame" having spread abroad his purport in visiting the north, a certain Hugh M'Kenna pursued him to Dublin to make known his iniquitous career. But Murphy anticipated his accuser, and caused M'Kenna to be arrested on a charge of making away with government papers necessary for the prosecution of the Popish plot. However, on the matter being investigated the truth became known. M'Kenna was released, and Murphy found himself once more cast into prison.

\* Ibid. Page 29.

† Page 29.

The witnesses whom he had succeeded in gathering together were prosecuted for perjury by the officers whom he had accused, and they soon were sentenced to transportation. At the same time his relative, Hugh Murphy, met with a dreadful retribution at the hands of Lieutenant Baker, which we may commemorate in the words of the pamphlet:—

“The head of the fore-mentioned spy that the informant employed to look after the tories was brought to the Duke of Ormond, as a piece of good service done by Lieutenant Baker, notwithstanding his being authorized by Sir Hans Hamilton and Captain Butler to betray the tories, under the pretence of corresponding with them, which he was allowed in. The manner of killing of the said spy was, when he was at his house sick in bed, Lieutenant Baker came and knocked out his brains with the but-end of a pistol or musket, and afterwards cut off his head; and then the said Baker taxed the country for money for his head.”

Murphy's personal narrative comes down no further, but from other sources we know too well his subsequent history. Having received from the court a full pardon for all his crimes, he was commissioned by government to co-operate with MacMoyer and Duffy in ensuring the conviction of the primate. When first examined in private, his evidence was more full and conclusive than that of the other witnesses, as the Attorney-General avowed upon the trial; but he soon repented of his perjuries, and in the words of the Attorney-General:—

“The trial coming on, he ran off and lay hid; I took a great deal of pains to find him out, and sent messengers about; at last I heard he was gone to the Spanish ambassador's; I sent, and they spied him in the chapel, but the Spanish ambassador's servants fell upon the messengers and beat them; the ambassador was sent to about it, and his Excellency promised that he should be brought.”

When produced, however, on the day of trial, all his efforts were directed contrary to the desire of his patrons; and he was accordingly arrested for contempt of court, and committed to Newgate.

Such were the three chief witnesses who plotted the death of Dr. Plunket; all three unworthy ecclesiastics, whose vicious career the zealous archbishop had long, but in vain, endeavoured to check, and who, when excommunicated for their crimes, became “renegades from our religion, and declared apostates!”

\* Words of Dr. Plunket on his trial.

Even Murphy, the best of the three, when interrogated on the trial by the judge if he were a priest, made but a slight effort to conceal his apostacy, and answered, "I am indifferent whether I be a Protestant or a priest."

The reader will, probably, have some anxiety to learn the fate of the two former unhappy men after consummating their deed of wickedness. The Internuncio, Tanari, writes to the Secretary of Propaganda on the 10th of October, 1681, that in compliance with his injunctions he had intimated to the superiors of the Franciscan order the commands of the Sacred Congregation in regard of MacMoyer and Duffy, forsooth that "they should declare them apostates, excommunicated, and entirely cut off from the bosom of religion and of holy church"\* On the 1st of May, 1682, the Archbishop of Cashel, writing to Rome, informs the Sacred Congregation, that MacMoyer, returning once more to Ireland, accused many others in the province of Armagh, but that his evidence was rejected, and he himself cast into prison, and accused of many crimes, was to receive sentence in the month of August following. The same archbishop, writing again on the 30th June, 1683, further declares that "Friar Mac Moyer and another Moyer, a relative of his, both accusers of the happy primate, continue still in prison, where they suffer great privations, and are almost dead from hunger, finding none who will give them food, so abhorred are they by all."

Similar was the fate which awaited Duffy: he, too, on his return to Ireland, was cast into prison, and, making his escape, joined with a band of *tories*, detested and abhorred by all.† Forty years, full of eventful scenes, rolled on, and at length, old and emaciated, an outcast from the Church, and a prey to remorse, he cast himself at the feet of Dr. MacMahon, a successor of the martyred primate, and exclaimed in an agony of soul, "Is there then no mercy for me!—am I never to be reconciled to God!" The prayer breathed by the holy prelate upon the scaffold, like that of St. Stephen for his executioners, had obtained from God that mercy which he now implored. Dr. MacMahon heard him in silence, then pointing to an open shrine, he said in a solemn voice, "Look here, thou unfortunate man." The head of his innocent victim was before him; he saw, knew it, and swooned away. Soon after he was once more reconciled to the Church, and closed his career a sincere penitent.‡

\* "Per dichiararli apostati scomunicati ed interamente separati dal grembo della religione e della Santa Chiesa."—Archiv. de Prop. fid.

† See letter of Archbishop of Cashel, 1st May, 1682.

‡ See Stuart's Historical Memoirs of the city of Armagh.

Before closing this chapter, we may refer to a letter of Dr. James Cusack, Bishop of Meath, who, under the assumed name of James Fleming, details on the 3rd of August, 1681,\*

\* We insert a copy of the original Latin of this important letter, which is as follows:—

“3 Augusti, 1681.

“DNE. MI,

“Quis, quo, aut cui scribitur per tempus non licet litteris imprimere, nec magis convenit consueto et debito verborum honore uti. Discet ex latore, Oliveri Armachani (piæ memoriæ) consanguineo, hæc esse suspiria gementis et flentis in Hiberna ærurnarum valle obitum vigilantissimi et piissimi illius Præsulis. Certe a centum et sexaginta annis haud unquam Ecclesiæ Hibernicæ gravius inflicturn est vulnus. Vix tutum est tam errantes vel admonere ut in viam redeant, et si de iis coercendis alloquatur quis in mortem Armachani tanquam in speculum jubetur minaciter inspicere. Non est in his diebus Rex in Israel, sed unusquisque quod sibi videtur hoc facit. Moliti sunt sacrilegum illud parricidium Franciscani duo MacMoyer et Duffy apostatæ scelestissimi, adjunctis sibi Mac Lane parrocho quodam et quatuor secularibus, quorum duo sunt ex familia O'Nellorum, tertius ludi magister quidam Florentius MacMoyer, Franciscani consanguineus, quartus ex familia Hanlonorum, et hi omnes iniquitate insignissimi. Tria potissimum præter spem auri et argenti parricidia istos ad tam nefandum scelus perpetrandum induxerunt. Primum, quod Ultonia quæ una est ex quatuor Provinciis Hiberniæ secundum temporalem regni divisionem et pars sola spiritualis Provinciæ Ardmachanæ (continens tamen plures Dioceses) a Midia et reliqua parte Provinciæ imo a toto Regno habitantium moribus et antipathia quadam multum dissentiat: nutrit enim Ultonia rudem et agrestem plebem quæque illibenter mandatis eorum qui in Midia aut alibi extra Ultoniam nati sunt obsequitur, unde fit ut Patritius Clogherensis quia in Midia natus sicut et Ardmachanus ab iisdem homicidis jam ad necera queratur. Secundum est quod Ardmachanus studuerit quantum potuit gentem illam infelicem et ingratam reformare, et quod digna eorum factis animadversione affecti fuerint sæpe improbi et præsertim MacMoyer et Duffy.

“Tertium quod Ardmachanus in summum cleri bonum, cum aliquibus Regiis ministris magnam familiaritatem contraxerit quam male interpretati sunt rudiores illi qui Anglos acerbiori odio insectantur; et quia optime sciebant quanti hic haberetur ab omnibus Ardmachanus, et quod si hic in iudicium vocatus fuisset nulla ipsis fides adhiberetur, obtinuerunt, Presbiterianorum opera, ut creditur, in Angliam, ut eo vocaretur ubi tam ipse quam accusatores erant ignoti et ipsi impossibile vitæ suæ innocentiam comprobare. Nec aliud jam speratur quam quod alii plures eandem aleam subituri sint eoque trahendi nisi propter scelus illud in quo omnia scelera continentur, æterna aliqua infamiæ nota, translatione sedis Primatialis, (exemplo Innocentii X. ob homicidium Epi. de Castro), aut alio modo illi genti inuratur. Et forte conveniret ad tempus prohibere ne Franciscanus ullus huc se conferret nam quamvis duo soli positive, plures tamen censentur negative in perniciem ejus conjurasse. Hoc ausim affirmare duos illos plus nocuisse Ecclesiæ Hibernicæ quam profuit centum his annis elapsis aut proderit insequentibus tot annis totus ordo Divi Francisci. Profecto ingratiissimus erit populo et clero, ne aliud dicam adventus ullius Franciscani præsulis, et de facto ita refrigescit charitas fidelium ratione immanissimi illius sceleris ut non solum parce et tenuiter fratribus illius ordinis elemosynas elargiri sed eorundem conspectum quamplures incipientes abhorre.

“Sed quæ supra nos nihil nos: expirarunt jampridem magno fidelium incommodo privilegia Diocesis Dubliniensis, Ardachadensis, Ardmachanæ, et Midensis, nec audent pro eorum innovatione rescribere arbitratore Juris, sic enim jam nuncupantur qui Ordinariam exercent jurisdictionem, cum Episcopi et Vicarii Generales per mandata publica proscribantur, unde primâ datâ opportunitate transmittenda forent, nec nominandi sunt qui jurisdictionem exercent aut quibus

the motives by which these unfortunate apostates were urged on to perpetrate their horrid sacrilege. His affectionate esteem for the martyred primate is discernible in every sentence; he declares that he writes this letter overwhelmed with affliction, "on account of the death of that most vigilant and most pious prelate:" he stigmatizes the foul crime of Duffy and MacMoyer as a *sacrilegious parricide—a crime which contained in itself all wickedness*; and he adds, that by this wicked deed, these two apostate friars had inflicted a *worse wound upon our Church than it had received for a hundred and sixty years*; and had done it more injury than "all the labours of the whole Franciscan order had done good for the past hundred years, or could perform in a hundred years to come."

---

## CHAPTER XXVI.

### CHARACTER OF THE OTHER WITNESSES.

JOHN MacMOYER, Duffy, and Edmund Murphy were the chief originators of the conspiracy which led the Archbishop of Armagh to the scaffold. But they soon associated to themselves a host of other witnesses to confirm their statements, and render plausible the monstrous tale they had devised. Indeed, nothing more clearly proves the sad degradation of our country, and the depths of misery and debasement to which long oppression and misrule had reduced its inhabitants, than the number of false witnesses who, at the bidding of an English minister, or attracted by the

communicantur privilegia ne litteræ interceptæ superiores detegant. Et præterea quum incarcerationi, exilio, et etiam morti (si perjuris placeat) expositi sint, putarem privilegia sedibus aut dignitatibus communicanda tum ob predictam rationem ne personæ detegantur si litteras intercipi contingat, tum ut ad successores transirent si ante eorum expirationem contingeret superiorem aliquem incarcerari, exilio aut morte affici. Nec expedit multas fieri reservationes; hoc enim, si ullo unquam tempore ampliandi sunt favores. Et omnino necessarium est ut facultatibus addatur potestas dispensandi in irregularitatibus provenientibus ex violatione censurarum quæ ab omnibus hio judicatur reservata Ssmo. Dno., cum, hoc tempore ab aliquibus contemptæ sint censure et contractæ scopus irregularitates, in quibus necesse habeant sordescere peccantes propter locorum distantiam et difficile accessum ad S. P.

"Nulli Hiberno convenit has litteras ostendi, ne auctor earum suspicetur, cum de facto in magno vitæ discriminis versetur, et longe plus sibi a falsis fratribus (quorum aliqui in partibus transmarinis degunt) quam ab adversariis in fide timeat. Dignetur nobiscum orare—ne despiciat omnipotens Deus populum suum in afflictione clamantem et valeat.

"Vræ. Dominationis servus,

"JAC. FLEMING."



hopes of reward, started at this period from our Irish shores and rushed to England to swear away the lives of innocent men, choosing as the special victims of their perjury whosoever were distinguished by their love of country and the practice of religion.

The enemies of the Catholics had, as we have seen, strained every nerve to gain credit for the wicked nonsense of "the Titus Oates' plot;" one thing, however, was sufficient to check the most credulous in assenting to it. Ireland was a Catholic nation, and yet no trace of such a plot had been found in Ireland. "It was a terrible slur," writes Carte,\* "upon the credit of the popish plot in England, that after it had made such a horrible noise and frightened people out of their senses in a nation where there was scarce one papist to one hundred Protestants, there should not for above a year together appear so much as one witness from Ireland, to give information of any conspiracy of the like nature in that kingdom, where there were fifteen papists to one Protestant, as that charged upon the papists of England, whose weakness would naturally make them apply for assistance from their more powerful brethren in Ireland."

Welcome, therefore, was the announcement made by Hetherington to the Earl of Shaftesbury, that at length witnesses were at his disposal only too anxious to have a field to labour in his cause, and who, moreover, were desirous of laying the wished-for conspiracy and treasonable plot at the doors of the highest dignitaries of the church of Ireland. From the extract given in the preceding chapter from the narrative of the Archbishop of Cashel, it appears that Hetherington was at once received into favour by Shaftesbury; caresses were soon heaped on him by that arch-enemy of the Catholics, and the special province was assigned to him to beat up for other witnesses who might confirm the statements of his first friends MacMoyer and Murphy. We find, in fact, an order from the English council on the 28th November, 1679, "requiring the Lord Lieutenant and council (of Ireland), to issue a proclamation forthwith for encouraging all persons that could make any further discovery of the horrid popish plot, to come in and declare the same by a certain day to be fixed; otherwise not to expect his Majesty's pardon." With these hopes of pardon and reward held out to them, every one who was guilty of infamous crimes, and feared the just chastisement which awaited him, hastened to present himself as *informer*, and thus the scum of society in Ireland found an opportunity of re-acquiring their position in the eyes of the British law by adding perjury to their

\* Vol. ii., p. 495.

former crimes. The untiring persecutor of the Catholics, the Protestant Bishop of Meath, was the main supporter of these proceedings: "Nobody," says Carte,\* "was more active in procuring these witnesses than the Bishop of Meath, who had been scout-master-general to Oliver Cromwell's army, and now exerted himself to the utmost to serve that great and worthy patriot, his very good friend (as he styles him), the Earl of Shaftesbury. The private intercepted letters of his correspondence with the earl, which was carried on by means of Colonel Mansell and William Hetherington, that nobleman's chief agent, manager, and instructor of the Irish witnesses, show something more zealous than honorable in his proceedings in that affair."

With what anxious endeavours the enemies of the Catholics laboured to obtain the conviction of those who were accused may be seen by the following letter of Lord Massarene† to Sir George Rawdon:—

"I desired that Neal O'Quin, the old friar, might come, because Mr. Bleeks assured me he knows all the whole plot and designs, and was an opposite to Plunket, and of the same faction with Wyer,‡ and so far as I see, Roland MacDonnell, Brien O'Neill, and others, have had differences with Florence Wyer,§ who has them and others, they say, at malice, whom he accuses, and those who are well known to Sir Hans Hamilton, or Sir George Atcheson, to whom you may please to mention them. My opinion is, that if Neal O'Quin cannot come to us for his age, that Sir Hans Hamilton should examine him, for he certainly knows a great deal, and you see none of the other friars that we have sent to take can be gotten, and those that come at us either cannot or will not confess the least; and for my part, I really believe, the popish plot goes still on with the Romish clergy, who you see are still amongst us, yet you see will neither be taken nor appear.

"Your servant, M."

The Duke of Ormond from the first seems to have given no credence to the testimony of these witnesses. Thus, he refused to listen to Murphy's evidence, and reproved him as being instigated by hatred and revenge. In one of his letters also, whilst he gives the history of an individual witness, he traces the preparatory course of them all.|| "A notorious *tory* in Munster, being

\* Vol. ii. p. 498.

† Rawdon Papers, 268.

‡ Probably friar MacMoyer.

§ Florence MacMoyer. He, as we shall see just now, was a layman, and Massarene fears that the other laymen would not agree with him in their evidence; whilst the *old friar* was of the faction of the other Moyer, and hence would surely corroborate his evidence.

|| Carte, ii. 105. The letter is dated 25th January, 1680-1.

ready to be sent by my Lord Orrery to prison, and at last, actually, perhaps too hastily, sent thither by his lordship, offers at great discoveries, and names many persons as guilty of the plot. But orders will go this night for his setting at liberty, and for protecting him in his way hither. The fellow's name is Honaghan, as I think, in times past, an attorney in the presidency court, but since that was suppressed, turned robber. He has put his tale as well together as any of his country." In another letter he enters at length into the character of those who were now feted by the English Protestants, and whose stories he himself had made the pretext for new penal laws against the Catholics of Ireland.\* "All the business here belongs to the term and the judges; and at council there is little more to do, than to hear witnesses, some come out of England, and some producing themselves here, and all of them (I doubt) forswearing themselves. Those that went out of Ireland with bad English and worse clothes, are returned well-bred gentlemen, well caroneted, periwigged and clothed. Brogues and leather straps are converted to fashionable shoes and glittering buckles; which, next to the zeal, torics, thieves, and friars, have for the Protestant religion, is a main inducement to bring in a shoal of informers. The worst is, they are so miserably poor, that we are fain to give them some allowance; and they find it more honourable and safe to be the king's evidence, than a cow-stealer, though that be their natural profession. But seriously, it is vexatious and uneasy to be in awe of such sort of rogues. Now that they are discarded by the zealous suborners of the city, they would fain invent and swear what might recommend them to another party;† but, as they have not the honesty to swear truth, so they have not the wit to invent, probably. It is for want of something else to say that I fall upon this character of an Irish witness."

A leading witness in the beginning of 1680 was a certain David Fitzgerald, a native of the county Limerick, and a Protestant. In the following year, however, he was seized with remorse, and, as the author of a pamphlet in Thorpe's collection, (1640-1690), informs us:—"The said David did order me to write in my information, that one William Hetherington, and the rest of his Majesty's evidence, were all mere rogues and thieves, and gaol-breakers." He had already informed us who 'the rest

\* Ibid.

† Ormond had commenced to fear that the corrupt witnesses whom he sent to England to swear away the lives of the Catholics, might afterwards be employed by his own enemies at court to involve himself in the pretended conspiracy. We shall see just now in the dying speech of O'Neill, how some of the witnesses had formed, in fact, a plan to effect the ruin of Ormond.

of his Majesty's evidence' were, and amongst them we find the names of "Edmund Murphy, John Moyer, Hugh Duffy, George Coddan, Paul Gormley, Murtagh Downing," and others,\* most of whom acted as witnesses against Dr. Plunket.

Besides the three witnesses of whom we have spoken in the preceding chapter, there appeared on the trial against Dr. Plunket, Florence MacMoyer, Henry O'Neal, Neale O'Neal. Hanlon, Owen Murphy, and John MacLane. Of these, the five first were laymen, and the last a suspended secular priest. But there were also others (as we learn from the letters of Dr. Plunket), who, though they were not afterwards produced on the trial, yet privately gave their evidence against the primate. Thus, in his letter of the 21st of October, 1680, he speaks of George Coddan and Paul Gormley, both apostate Franciscans—two of those, whose characters are given above, by their former associate, David Fitzgerald.† Dr. Brennan also mentions another whose name was M'Geoghegan.

David Fitzgerald, to whom we have just referred, was a prisoner for having uttered treasonable words, and was soon to be brought to trial. Having declared himself a witness for the government, he was, without difficulty, acquitted of the charge imputed to him; and this being his only object in pretending to an acquaintance with the supposed conspiracy, he now sought to escape from giving further evidence. When sent over to Shaftesbury, he stole away from London, resolving to make good his journey to Ireland. He, however, was re-taken at Bristol, and compelled to accuse many respectable inhabitants of his own county (Limerick), as being connected with the treasonable plot. These assuredly would have been convicted and hanged, were it not that, on the day of trial, he avowed that all he had sworn against them was a pure fiction, concocted by himself and Hetherington. Moreover, he endeavoured, as we have seen, to make known the character of his fellow-witnesses, and so convinced were the friends of Dr. Plunket of the sincerity of his conversion, that they sought to have him produced on the trial, to give evidence in favour of the primate; his name was called in court by the cricr, but he had not courage to appear.

An active witness against the primate, as well on the trial as

\* This pamphlet was written by Maurice Fitzgerald, who probably was a relative of this David Fitzgerald, concerning whom he speaks.

† Perhaps, the *Paul Gorman*, who was afterwards *subpoenaed* to appear on the trial by some friends of Dr. Plunket, was identical with this Paul Gormley. If so, his evidence was not directed against the primate, who, he said, "did more good in Ireland than hurt."

in procuring other witnesses from Ireland, was John MacLane.\* He had been a parish priest in the diocese of Clogher; but, on account of his many irregularities and vicious life, was suspended and excommunicated by Dr. Tyrrell, and hence he now sought revenge by confirming against him and against the primate the monstrous accusations of MacMoyer regarding the pretended conspiracy. By his solicitations, too, Henry O'Neal, was induced to join the gang of witnesses. Perhaps no document connected with this plot throws more light on the character of these informers, and on the manner of *making up* the evidence, than the dying retraction of this unfortunate man. We shall just now insert this retraction in full, from an original printed copy, which is preserved in the Archives of Propaganda.

But it will be useful to permit to it the following extract from a letter of the Archbishop of Cashel, addressed to the Secretary of Propaganda, and dated 1st of May, 1682:—

“ There can be no doubt of my desire of more frequently corresponding with you; but the sad condition of the times, and continual vexations, did not permit me to regulate myself according to my own desire; and though I could not write without danger, I, nevertheless, contrived to send some account from time to time. I sent a narrative about the glorious primate, and also information of the death, in prison, of the happy confessor of Christ, the Archbishop of Dublin; of the imprisonment of the Bishop of Kildare (who has been exiled from the kingdom) and of the Bishop of Cork, who continues as yet in prison. The Bishop of Killaloe has gone to France, where, it is said, he acts as assistant to the Bishop of Rouen. The Bishop of Limerick lives in his district, and is tolerated on account of his advanced old age. God, in His mercy, has begun to console us; for, during the past month of March, the royal judges, being on circuit to examine and bring to a close the criminal and civil cases, and finding, especially in the province of Cashel, many ecclesiastics and lay Catholic gentlemen accused or imprisoned for two or three years on account of the pretended conspiracy, they caused them to be examined and tried, and all were declared to be innocent, and set at liberty, and some of their

\* Some dispute has arisen as to the true manner of reading this name. In the letters of Dr. Plunket it is invariably written MacClane. It is also thus written in the printed paper of O'Neill, which we are about to cite in the text. The Bishop of Clogher, however, to whose diocese he belonged, and who must, consequently, be considered best acquainted with his real name, and also the Archbishop of Cashel, always wrote it MacLane. The former manner of writing it is in accordance with the common pronunciation of the name. MacClave (as it is written in the printed speech of Dr. Plunket) is a misprint for MacLane; indeed, any one acquainted with Dr. Plunket's writing, will easily understand how the printer could confound the letters *v* and *n*. Sometimes it is difficult, even for the most expert, to distinguish in his writing one from the other. The *MacLegh*, as the name is written in the state trials, is one of the usual corruptions of Irish names, and evidently owes its origin to the true name MacLane.

false accusers were punished. The same happened in other parts of the kingdom, especially in the province of Armagh, where some accused by friar Moyer and his relative were acquitted, and the two accusers once more cast into prison as guilty of other crimes, and they are to receive sentence in the month of August next. Friar Duffy escaped from prison, and, it is said, that he is now with the bandits, perhaps, as their chieftain. A priest, MacLane, has been sentenced for some robberies, and he is still in prison. Henry O'Neal has been hanged, and on the scaffold declared that all he had said against the Archbishop of Armagh and others was false, and that he was instigated to accuse them by MacLane, friar MacMoyer, and others, who promised him great rewards for his deed. He passed great eulogiums on the primate, declaring him innocent, and a great prelate; and he avowed that he deemed his own death permitted by Providence in consequence of the sacrilege he committed in accusing the primate and other innocent victims. The declaration of this good robber has been printed, and is to be found everywhere throughout the kingdom. I wish to mention these accusers in particular, as they were the witnesses who appeared in London against the primate, and were the cause of his death; and God wished, in a short time, to chastise them all, that thus He might make known his innocence, and their iniquity. These facts remarkably console the Catholics, and cause confusion to many of our adversaries; and we now begin to breathe and hope more than during these four years past. And the Catholics remark, that, since the death of the primate, matters have changed for the better, and that happy soul receives every day greater veneration from the faithful."

We shall now insert the dying retraction of O'Neal, to which the archbishop refers, and which is as follows, in the only printed copy to which we have had access.\*

*The last speech of Henry O'Neale, one of the late Irish informers (who was executed for a certain robbery at Mullingar, the 18th of March, 1681.*

"I, Henry O'Neale, do hereby declare upon the word of a dying man, as I hope for salvation from the God of truth, my Maker and Redeemer, that I never knew of any plot or treasonable contrivances by Irish or English against his Majesty's person or government. And that whatever I did swear in this kingdom, or in England, to discover a popish plot, was first suggested to me in Dublin, above three years since, by one *Hobbert Tyrrell*, before I was examined by Sir *John Davis*, and afterwards I was brought by *Owen Murphy* into England, who made me great promises that we should get great lands and livings by swearing what people would have us swear, saying, that whoever

\* Archiv. In Propag. Fid. *Carte Riferite*—Irl., vol. iv. It is to be remarked, that the italics are copied from the original.

gave evidence to prove the popish plot, he should be largely considered by the Parliament for ever; whereupon I, by the instigation of the enemies of my soul, being in a very needy condition, to relieve myself, and to free my son out of the gaol of Mullingar, promised to discover a plot; but now, in the sight of God, I never did know anything of it, nor would never say, nor could say anything to it but what I was taught by Mr. *William Hetherington*, *Hobbert Tyrrell*, *Owen Murphy*, *John MacClane*, *MacMoyer*, *fryar Duffey*, *Florence Weyer*, *Hugh Hanlon*, and others, both here and in *England*, all which was falsehood in me, as I now confess before God and the world; the said *John MacClane* often desired me to swear the plot against primate *Plunket*, and to induce me to do so, he said he would take the sin of it on himself; this was in *Boosin's-Inn* in *London*, and Mr. *Moyer* and the rest of the informers did use to encourage me, saying, it was the best act that ever we did, and that we were the happiest men in the nation, by our interest in the Parliament; but I never gave any evidence against the primate, and I hope in God I am innocent of the blood of that good man, although it was my misfortune to be in the company of those that accused him; and truly, upon the words of a dying man, I think in my heart he was altogether innocent of those crimes whereof he was accused, and for which he died. Nay, I say with my last breath, that I am as morally sure of his being really innocent, as I am of my death now. I also protest before God, that what information I gave in against Bishop *Tyrrell*, and what I said of the Vicar *Brady*, and others of the *Irish* clergy, was all false; and that I never knew any such thing, but what the aforesaid *John MacClane* instructed me what evidence I should give in that point, saying, he would take the sin of it on himself, and so he sent me to Dublin to discover such things as he suggested to me, and bid me tell upon my examination that himself knew those things, and advised me to swear and discover them, which if I should, I should be very considerably rewarded; to which I now, by my last breath, declare and protest, without equivocation, that I ever knew even the least tittle or particular of any thing or things relating to an *Irish* plot, but what (as I before mentioned) I have been prompted and instigated to by the aforesaid *John MacClane*; neither do I, or ever did know, of any meeting or meetings of the Popish clergy, but such chapters and meetings as they publicly have, and alwaies had in their own proper affairs. In a word, as I hope to save my soul, I do not believe there was one word but perjury and falsehood in all that was said by as many of the *Irish* informers and discoverers as I conversed with, and employed me in the aforesaid plot; and now I acknowledge before God, that I have deserved this untimely and shameful end, or a worse, by being engaged or any ways concerned in so horrid a design as that false discovery imported; I have, I say, by my sins in general, and particularly by being so active an instrument as I have been in that hellish contrivance, justly incurred God's vengeance. But as to the robbery for which I am sentenced, I call God to witness I am altogether innocent of it, and that I never saw to my knowledge either of the two persons who falsely swore it against me, until they came to give evidence against me in the court-house

of *Mullingar*, and that my sons are innocent of that and all other robberies, as far as I know; but I forgive them from the bottom of my heart, and I beseech God to forgive those two witnesses, and all such as procured or suborned them. I forgive all my enemies, and all such as contributed to my death, my judges and juries, as I hope God will forgive me my sins, through the merits of Christ's bitter passion, from whom I hope for mercy by the benefit of his death communicated to me by the participation of his sacraments in the *Roman Catholick Church*, in the bosom of which I die.

"And upon my death, I declare once again, before God and his angels, I say nothing in this my last speech but the truth, and as to the evidence as Captain *Morley* desired me to give against Sir *John Davis*, I am sorry for it; and I now declare I had no ground to accuse him, or any other of the nobility or gentry of this nation, either of contriving or concealing any plot. Mr. *Morley* told me he would make me great friends in the House of Lords, and in London, and some that he recommended me to did maintain me there, and were my friends; and he told me that what notice was sent down to the North of what I discovered to Sir *John Davis*, must have been sent by Sir *John* himself, but I replied, it might have been sent by *Hobbert Tyrrell*. I often heard our other informers threatening to accuse the *Luke of Ormond*, the Lord Chancellor, Sir *William Davis*, Sir *John Davis*, and others; I have heard *Hetherington*, *George Murphy*, both the *MacNamarons*, Mr. *Ivy*, and fryar *Bernard Dennis* say, that the Duke of *Ormond* was building a new fortification near *Dublin* to command the city, and that he would bring in the French; and that the said Duke of *Ormond* was as guilty as primate *Plunket*, but I never had anything to say against them, or any of them, and I am sure the rest had as little to say of the truth as I had; neither do I believe if there had been any such a thing as a plot contrived or concealed by any considerable persons, that ever it should be made known to any such men as our *Irish* discoverers were. I know nothing of what *Hawkins* is accused of, and, as far as I know, my sons are as ignorant as myself; all this I would discover to my Lord Lieutenant when I was removed from *Trim* to *Dublin* by a *habeas corpus*, had not one *John Cooper*, attorney, living in *Corn-Market*, next door to the *Black Dog*, obstructed me. All which I do before the Almighty and the world declare and protest to be true, which if not truth, I desire I may be excluded from eternal bliss. As witness my hand, this 18th day of March, 1681, styl. vet. (i. e. 1682.)

"HENRY O'NEALE.

"Dublin, printed 1682."

It then bears the attestation of being a faithful copy of the original, by Alex. Lockhart, ar. Vic. Com. Westmeath.

It is probably to the retraction of this unfortunate man that Dr. Brennan refers, in the following extract from a letter, dated 10th April, 1682:—

"In the province of Cashel some priests, who were accused of high treason during the past month of March, have been liberated and



declared innocent by the royal judges. In the province of Armagh three of the informers against the archbishop were condemned for various robberies, and one of them on the scaffold, like Judas, cried out that he had betrayed innocent blood, and often repeated that the Archbishop of Armagh died innocent; and this is a source of great confusion to the wicked, and of consolation to the faithful, seeing that God has thus so soon punished some of the assassins of our first prelate. But of this, and of other things touching the same matter, I will give a more detailed account in another letter."

Dr. Tyrrell, too, Bishop of Clogher, in a letter, written on the 1st of May, 1682, gives some further particulars in regard to the witness, MacLane, as also of the unfortunate O'Neal, whose retraction we have just read. It is as follows:—

"By a letter of last month, I informed your Excellency of how matters stood in this country; notwithstanding most diligent investigations, I have not been able to obtain any intelligence regarding Patrick O'Daly; no one in this country seems to speak of him, so, I dare-say, he has gone to the continent. Some of the accusers of Dr. Plunket, who were also my accusers (their villanies becoming manifest) are falling into disgrace with the government. The priest, MacLane, and friar MacMoyer, with his cousin, the parricides of the primate, and my most capital enemies, are already in prison, and a layman, O'Neal, their partizan in the ministry of iniquity has been hanged, having first avowed the falsehood of all that he had sworn against me in regard of the pretended conspiracy, and that Dr. Plunket was innocent of the matters of which he was accused and condemned. This declaration, together with its attestation by the public official, was printed; and it, as well as the imprisonment of the above wretched perjurers, occasions a little calm, and greater tranquillity. But as there are some others of the same stamp as yet in favour, I do not dare to appear in public, but by letters I do all in my power to attend to those entrusted to my care."

Another witness against the primate was Florence M'Moyer.\* He was a relative of friar MacMoyer, and the head of the family which enjoyed the hereditary right to keep and guard "*the Book*

\* This man is called, in the dying discourse of O'Neal, *W'yer*, and in the state trials *W'yer*. However, Dr. Plunket more than once speaks of him as *Florence M'Moyer*. Dr. Brennan, too, in the letter which we have cited, commemorates the evidence given by "Friar Moyer, and another Moyer, his relative;" and the Bishop of Clogher and Dr. Cusack almost repeat his words. Lord Massarene, in his letter to Rawdon, whilst he speaks at one time of *Florence W'yer*, at another, if I mistake not, indicates friar Moyer by a like name. Perhaps this, in reality, was the family name of both, whilst *Moyer* was derived from the office, peculiar to their family, of keepers of the Book of Armagh: for the name *Maor*, in Irish, signifies *keeper*.

of *Armagh*." Providence, however, so arranged, that in punishment of his guilt he should lose this long-treasured inheritance, and be compelled, through poverty, to part with, for a mere trifle, that precious relic of the early Irish Church. In Sir W. Beetham's "Antiquarian Researches," vol. ii. p. 254, we find the fac-simile of its last entry:—"Liber Florentini Maire," June 29th, 1662: and the following interesting account of the Book of Armagh, and of its last *keeper*, is taken from the Catalogue of the learned Humphrey Llyhd:—

"This MS., beyond all doubt, is very ancient, whether it be or he not partly in the handwriting of St. Patrick himself (as is stated at the bottom of page 24), but appears very likely to me to be of a later age, and perhaps it is the text of the gospels which St. Bernard, in the Life of St. Malachy, reckons amongst the monuments of the see of Armagh, and relates to have been the text of St. Patrick himself. By Ussher and Ware it is called the *Book of Armagh*, and by the Irish the *Book of the Canons of St. Patrick*; thus called (as I think) from the Canons of the Evangelists agreeing with one another, begun in 626. This book was formerly held in great estimation by the ancient Irish, so much so, that the family commonly called MacMaor, in English MacMayre, had their names from the custody of this book, for Maor, in Irish, is keeper, and *Maor-na-Ceanon* is keeper of the canons. All that family were commonly so called, and they formerly held from the see of Armagh eight townlands in the county of Armagh, called the lands of Ballymaire, by the tenure of the safe keeping of the book, in whose hands it remained during many ages, until Florence MacMayre went to England in the year 1680, that he should give evidence against Oliver Plunket, D.D., the Roman Catholic Primate of Ireland, who, undeservedly, as is believed, was executed. But Mayre being deficient of money at his death, this manuscript was left as a pledge for five pounds; fortunately it afterwards came to the hands of Arthur Brownlow, Esq.\*

The character of McGeoghegan, who was another apostate friar and informer against the primate, is clearly traced for us in the letters of the Viceroy, the Duke of Ormond.† In November, 1680, he landed in the county Waterford, and being armed with an order from council, and accompanied by a guard of six horsemen, set to work at once in earnest to fulfil the desires of his employers. But his old occupations (which we have so often described, as forming the preparatory course of these informers), had still so many attractions for him, that he sought to combine them with his new mission, and, as Ormond writes, "during his

\* This valuable monument of our ancient church is still in the possession of a descendant of Mr. Brownlow, the present Lord Lurgan.

† See Carte, vol. ii. p. 514, etc.

circuit he committed many outrages," and at length "his violences, excesses, debaucheries, and, in effect, his plain robberies," became so notorious, and occasioned such disturbance throughout the whole country, that he had to be arrested and committed to gaol." In a letter of the 29th December, 1680, Ormond thus reviews the history of this witness:—

"We have already sent you a part of MacGeoghan's life and achievements, till he left Ireland and was re-converted by the Bishop of Durham, under the name of Dalton. His exploits, since he came over with the authority of the council to take plotters, are many and remarkable, and shall be sent to my Lord Sunderland as soon as the story is completed to his committal to Newgate, where he now is. I send you authentic copies of examinations, which will satisfy anybody that it was not fit to let him to plunder, beat, and imprison whom he pleased, English and Irish, Papists and Protestants, as his fancy, supported by strong ale and wine, should direct him. There is also Owen Murphy, authorized to search for and carry over witnesses, I suppose, to give evidence against Oliver Plunket. . . . He has been as far as the county Tipperary, and brought thence about a dozen people not likely to say anything material as to Plunket: so that I believe he takes them upon Eustace Comyn's mad narrative."

Writing a week later, he continues the history of these two informers:—

"Mr. Geoghan's history is brought so far that we have thought it time to transmit it to my Lord Sunderland; and we hope his villainies will appear to be such as will justify his sending to Newgate. Murphy, sent hither to gather witnesses, by virtue of an order of the House of Lords, is ready to embark with those he has picked up. In Hetherington's letter, produced by Murphy, he was advised to good husbandry, and particularly to take none with him but material witnesses, and yet he has taken some from Carrick that profess here that they are able to say nothing of the plot or plotters."

Again, writing on the 9th of January, 1681, he says:—

"This westerly wind has carried over Murphy with a number of witnesses; and Geoghan, since his imprisonment, has accused my Lord Carlingford, Colonel Garrett Moore, and one Nugent, of treason, that the title of king's evidence may not only defend him from punishment here, but help him into England, where he hopes for more favour than here, where he is best known."

The lawless career of this unfortunate man did not terminate with the martyrdom of Dr. Plunket. Returning to Ireland, he visited again the theatre of his former exploits, and resumed his

predatory excursions. But the bubble of the Popish plot had now burst, and the government no longer needed his perjuries. He was arrested, convicted of being an associate of *the tories*, and condemned to be hanged. Happy was he; however, that his imprisonment gave him time to repent of his wicked career: we shall allow the Archbishop of Cashel to conclude the narrative. On the 30th of June, 1683, he thus writes to the Sacred Congregation:—

“Here we now enjoy more peace than heretofore: but it is feared that this will not continue long, on account of the many religious coming from abroad, who, together with their brethren here, erect too many public chapels, and celebrate too publicly even in the cities, where the garrisons, governors, and royal troops are quartered; for which reason many fear that new edicts will be published against us. I send enclosed a copy of a retraction made on the scaffold by three robbers who were leading informers against the Catholics. Friar James Geoghegan, an apostate Franciscan, a cruel persecutor and informer against the Catholics on the occasion of the pretended conspiracy, being confined to prison two years ago, where he still continues, having been convicted as a robber and a thief, at length wrote and subscribed, with his own hand, a retraction of all that he had deposed and sworn against the Catholics in regard of the conspiracy; and the paper thus signed by him was committed to the parish priest of the place, who now holds it, and exhorted the penitent to renew this retraction in presence of some minister or royal agent.”

In another letter, of the 1st September, 1684, he again writes:

“I have been informed that a certain friar James Geoghegan, a Franciscan apostate, was lately hanged. He was the most violent informer against the Catholics in these parts; he afterwards turned robber, and during the past four years was detained in prison. I cannot be certain of his execution, as he was kept in the Dublin province. This event has given great consolation to the Catholics, and confusion to their adversaries; and therefore I have deemed it proper to communicate the intelligence to your Excellency, as I doubt not it will be gratifying to you.”

In the paper of O’Neal which we inserted above, a certain MacNamara is named as instigator and prompter of those who were to act as witnesses. In a few years he too met with a fate well deserved by his wickedness, whilst at the same time the prayers of those he persecuted obtained for him the grace of repentance. We shall describe the close of his career in the words of the Archbishop of Cashel\* :—

\* See letter already referred to, 1st September, 1684.

"On the 24th of August, just passed, John MacNamara, a layman, was hanged at Waterford; he was a famous informer against the Catholics at the time of the pretended conspiracy. Before that conspiracy, he was always a Catholic, but he became a Protestant to acquire greater credit and authority in London, on his going to that city, where he presented to the king and parliament a narrative of the conspiracy, printed and subscribed by him, and in it, amongst other ecclesiastics and laymen, he also accused me. But finding that matters assumed a different aspect in England, and that the art of the informer had become discredited, he returned to Ireland, where he became a public robber, (he was, indeed, always supposed to be a thief), and at length, together with other bandits, he was arrested and sentenced to death; whilst he was in prison he became a penitent, and died a Catholic. He declared at the public sessions, and in presence of the royal judges, that all his informations against the Catholics, whether in England or in Ireland, were false, and that he was instigated to give such informations by certain perverse heretics, enemies of the king and of the Catholics, who had bribed him with money, and he named the individuals. He confirmed all this whilst he was upon the scaffold, where he read a paper containing such a retraction, and he afterwards gave that paper to the royal officer who assisted at his execution."

From the '*Apologie pour les Catholiques*,' written by Dr. A. Arnaud, and published at Liege in 1682, we learn that a certain *Denis*, who was brought to England to confirm parson Oates' story, accused our primate of plotting the overthrow of the English rule in Ireland. In his evidence he described himself as bearer of a letter in 1677 from Oates to the Archbishop of Tuam in Madrid: the Archbishop having read the letter in his presence, immediately remarked, "Oates wishes to be ordained; he will be useful to us; for Dr. Plunket, the Primate of Ireland, has resolved to introduce, on the first opportunity, French troops into Ireland, to support the English and Irish Catholics; and please God, I will go there myself to assist in accomplishing so holy a work." It is sufficient to have this tale connected with the *Oates' plot*, to reveal its true origin, and the object which its perjured concoctors most iniquitously proposed to themselves.

## CHAPTER XXVII.

### THE TRIAL OF DR. PLUNKET.

ON the 8th of June, 1681, Dr. Plunket was placed upon his trial before an English jury in Westminster, charged with overt deeds of high treason committed in Ireland. Such a course was contrary to the standing laws of the realm, and without a parallel in the history of England. Moreover, there was something peculiarly outrageous in sending his case for trial to a London jury; it was to hand over the good prelate to sworn enemies, who were thirsting for his blood; it was to procure credence for his perjured accusers, removing them from the country where their perjuries and crimes were known, and where Protestant juries had already refused to receive their sworn testimony; it was also, in the then existing circumstances, to deprive the accused of the possibility of defence, and to oblige him to answer the highest charge against the crown before a court where there could be no witnesses in his favour, no evidence of his innocence.

In the "narrative" of the Archbishop of Cashel, to which already we have so often had occasion to refer, we find briefly recapitulated the various accusations made against the primate, and at the same time many incidents of his trial:—

"The judges being arrived in court, the accused was placed at the bar, and the indictment read. In the first place he was accused of writing letters to Monsig. Baldeschi, Secretary of the Pope, to the Bishop of Aix, to Prince Colonna, and Cardinal de Bouillon, soliciting them to procure and send aid into Ireland, in order to establish there the Catholic religion and to destroy the Protestants; and in the depositions made against him in Ireland, the friar affirmed that the accused wrote letters to the Pope to the same effect, and that, whilst he himself (the friar), was in Rome, he saw in the hands of Dr. Creagh a letter of the primate to Monsig. Baldeschi, in which he assured him that there were 60,000 men to advance the cause, and that naught was wanting to them but arms.

"Secondly: that he had sent an Irish captain to the King of France, inviting him to send an army into Ireland, and to take possession of this kingdom.

"Thirdly: that he had enrolled 70,000 soldiers to unite with the French on their arrival here.

"Fourthly: that he had exacted money from the clergy to introduce the French and pay the army.

"Fifthly : that he had visited all Ireland, and examined and explored all the seaport towns and fortresses of the kingdom, in order to introduce the French by a secure port.

"Sixthly : that he had held many synods and meetings, in which a collection was ordered to supply funds for the French.

"These and other heads of accusation were affirmed with sacrilegious oath by the friars John Moyer and Duffy, both, it is said, students of St. Isidore's, who, in addition to these articles of high treason, declared that the primate had appointed some soldiers to enter England clandestinely and assassinate the king.

"The indictment and the aforesaid heads of accusation being read, and the accusers having being examined, the counsel for the crown employed all their deceitful eloquence against the accused. The judges then intimated to the accused to reply to the charges made against him. He stated, that he had already been accused, and had pleaded his cause before the royal court in Ireland, which was the place of his birth and residence, and the scene of the pretended crimes; and that it seemed hard, and without a parallel in past ages, that such a case should be tried in England; and that at least he should be enabled to make his defence by deferring the trial for ten days, in which time his witnesses would arrive; but his petition would not be listened to, and he was compelled to defend himself as best he could.

"He therefore declared, first, that the whole indictment was a mere romance, fabricated by friars, his enemies, who had been chastised by him for their wicked life: second, that he had never written a letter to Monsig. Baldeschi on matters of state, nor any letter whatsoever to the Bishop of Aix, Prince Colonna, or Cardinal Bouillon; and that the English translation of the letter produced against him by Moyer was a mere invention of that friar: third, that he had never explored the kingdom, or examined the fortresses or seaports mentioned by the friar: fourth, that he had never sent an agent or letter to any part of the world to procure assistance of soldiers and money: fifth, that he had never held synods or assemblies excepting for affairs of the clergy without treating of affairs of state: sixth, that he had never even dreamt of enrolling soldiers or setting on foot an army of 70,000 soldiers, or even of two soldiers; and that it was clear that all the power of the king could not call into existence such an army in Ireland, and that all the revenue of the kingdom would not suffice to maintain it; that all the priests of the kingdom could not maintain 500 soldiers (he might have said 100), and that his statements would be surely accredited by the judges and other lawyers in Ireland acquainted with the condition of the country and persons.

"But all this did not suffice to make the judges understand the truth of his discourse and his innocence. They gave credence rather to the sacrilegious swearing of two friars, the enemies of the accused, who procured four of their friends, vile and infamous men, never seen or known by the accused, to ratify all that they had affirmed.

"It was not without interested views that these men apostatized from the faith and renounced all honesty, for having declared themselves

informers of the pretended conspiracy, they obtained a royal pardon for their past crimes (for they were wicked men), and sums of money to maintain themselves as gentlemen. In fine, on the evidence of these wicked men he was declared guilty of treason on the 8th of June, 1681, and on the 14th of the same month was sentenced to be hanged and quartered—which is the punishment of treason.

"The primate on hearing the sentence, and seeing his innocence condemned to so infamous a death, displayed a dignified composure, and did not lose even one quarter of an hour of his usual repose, as he himself writes, being comforted by his innocence and the justice of the Supreme Judge.

"From the court he was reconducted to prison, where, during fifteen days, he proved himself wholly master of himself, and superior to all the adversities of the world, employing his time in prayer and mortification, and in exhortations to the faithful to persevere in the true faith, and to bear with patience the present tribulation: and he himself gave to all the example of a worthy prelate, so that even the guards of the prison remained confused and edified.

"He writes with sentiments of the greatest piety regarding the solicitude and charity displayed by the Catholics of London in his regard, especially from the time that his case was brought to trial, when they were allowed to visit him. They collected amongst themselves a sum of money to pay the counsel and others employed to procure a prorogation of the trial, and to have the execution of the sentence deferred. But this being in vain, they, with a more than ordinary charity and zeal, collected 200 crowns for his funeral expenses, and another sum for the expenses of the witnesses in their return to Ireland, and other expenses that might occur."

According to the truly barbarous policy of the law in the seventeenth century (and, indeed, the same law was enforced till a very late period) no person accused of treason was allowed the assistance of counsel, unless in the case that some purely legal question should arise during the trial. Hence, Dr. Plunket now stood alone at the bar to plead his cause, before judges who seemed to vie with each other in their partiality for the perjured witnesses, and in their animosity against the accused; whilst, at the same time, the jury had naught to guide them in their decision but the long concocted, and nevertheless, occasionally conflicting evidence of these perjurers.

The judges on the trial were the Lord Chief Justice, Sir Francis Pemberton, and Judges Dolbein and Jones, some of whom had already imbed their hands in the blood of glorious champions of our faith. As an instance of the ferocity which they displayed against Dr. Plunket, we may remark, that on the panel being called, and the accused being desired to challenge whomsoever he thought fit, Dr. Plunket said;—"My lord, I desire to know



whether they have been of the juries of Loughorn, or the five Jesuits, or any that were condemned?" to which he received for answer, from the Chief Justice: "What if they have? That is no exception." Again, when, at the close of the first witness's evidence, Dr. Plunket interrogated why, if all he had said were true, he had never during the past seven years given any notice to government of this plot? the Chief Justice, seeing the witness somewhat perplexed, suggested to him an answer, saying: "Of what religion were you then?" and the witness replying, "A Roman Catholic," Justice Dolbein at once added, "Therefore it will be no wonder that you did not discover the plot."

On the 3rd of May, 1681, in Easter Term, Dr. Plunket had already been arraigned at the King's Bench-bar for high treason, but thirty-five days were then allowed him to procure witnesses for his defence. This interval, indeed, might now-a-days suffice, when steam has lent its aid to our modes of conveyance; but it was far from being sufficient at the time of which we treat, when the servants whom the archbishop dispatched to Ireland took fourteen days from Holyhead to Dublin. Another difficulty which the accused had to encounter was the want of the necessary means to defray the expenses of such witnesses, but this was rendered comparatively easy through the charitable contributions of his Catholic friends; there were, however, some other difficulties which were, indeed, insurmountable. For instance, the officers of the Irish courts refused to give the records of the conviction of MacMoyer and his associates, alleging that the transmission of such documents to an English court would be a violation of the privileges of the Irish nation; and yet, on the trial, when Dr. Plunket declared the guilty character of his accusers, the judges told him that his assertions were all in vain, unless he produced the records of their conviction. Again, the witnesses who might attest his innocence, and disprove the assertions of his enemies, could not easily be induced to set out for England, where it was more than probable that they themselves would be imprisoned, and brought to the scaffold by the same hired perjurers of the court. Nevertheless, such were the exertions of his friends, that, on the appointed day of trial, a sufficient number of witnesses had arrived in Coventry. On being placed at the bar, Dr. Plunket petitioned that a few days more should be granted to enable these witnesses to arrive, and in feeling terms showed the injustice he was subjected to, and the impossibility in which he was placed of making a proper defence, as those who knew his case had not arrived, and copies of the records necessary to establish the infamy of his accusers had been refused by the Irish courts.

But he appealed for justice in vain. The court was inexorable; and the trial was ordered to proceed without delay. From the speeches made at its opening by Sergeant Maynard and the Attorney-General, Sir Robert Sawyer, it is sufficiently clear that the object of the government in this trial was to fan the flame of Protestant fanaticism, and evoke against the Catholics the bigotry and passions of the mob.

The former said:—

"You have heard his charge; it is as high as can be against the king, and against the nation, and against all that is good. The design and endeavours of this gentleman was the death of the king, and the destruction of the Protestant religion in Ireland, and the raising of war. . . . Dr. Plunket was made, as we shall prove to you, as they there called him, Primate of Ireland; and he got that dignity from the Pope upon this very design."

And the Attorney-General, amongst other things, likewise said:—

"The character this gentleman bears as primate, under a foreign and usurped jurisdiction, will be a great inducement to you to give credit to that evidence we shall produce before you. We shall prove that this very preferment was conferred upon him upon a contract that he would raise 60,000 men in Ireland for the Pope's service, to settle Popery there, and to subvert the Government."

Even the Lord Chief Justice, when passing sentence, betrayed the same sentiments and hatred of the Catholic religion.

"Truly yours" (he thus addressed Dr. Plunket) "is treason of the highest nature; it is treason, in truth, against God, and your king, and the country where you lived. You have done as much as you could to dishonour God in this case; for the bottom of your treason was your setting up your false religion, than which there is not anything more displeasing to God or more pernicious to mankind in the world. A religion that is ten times worse than all the heathenish superstitions; the most dishonourable and derogatory to God and his glory of all religions or pretended religions whatsoever, for it undertakes to dispense with God's laws and to pardon the breach of them. So that, certainly, a greater crime there cannot be committed against God than for a man to endeavour the propagation of that religion."

Thus did his lordship, from his seat of injustice, rail against the religion of his fathers, the heavenly religion which civilized the universe; and thus did he stigmatize as treason against the king,

precisely as the agents of Nero and Domitian were wont to do of old, the preaching and propagation of our holy faith.

The swearing of the witnesses was in full accordance with these sentiments of the court, and must surely have fully satisfied the most sanguine expectations of their patrons, the Bishop of Meath, Dr. Jones, and the Earl of Shaftesbury. The first witness called was Florence MacMoyer. He swore as follows:—

“I know there was a plot both before Plunket’s time and in his time, for it was working in the years 1665 and 1666; but it was brought to full maturity in 1667. For then Colonel Miles O’Reilly and Colonel Bourne (Burns) were sent to Ireland from the king of France, with a commission to muster as many men as they could, promising to send an army of 40,000 men, with a commission, upon St. Lewis’s day, in August following, to land in Carlingford, to destroy all the true subjects, to destroy the religion as it was established there, and to set up the French king’s authority and the Roman Catholic religion. And one Edmund Aryle, that was a justice of the peace and a clerk of the crown, sent for all the rebels abroad in the north to come up into the county of Longford; and they marched into the head town of the county, and fired the town. The inhabitants fled into the castle. Then they came up to the gaol, thinking to break it open, and by setting the prisoners free to join them with them; but there Aryle was shot, received a deadly wound, and dropt off his horse, and they fled. So, then, when they were without the town, one Charles MacCanal alighted and took away all the papers out of his pocket, which, if they had been found, would have discovered all. This occasioned Colonel Brown to be suspected, and being so suspected, he was taken prisoner, and turned to Newgate in Dublin. Then Colonel Reilly fled away again to France, and the plot lay under a cloud during the life of Primate Reilly, the prisoner’s predecessor. This Primate Reilly died beyond the sea. Then many of the popish religion would have had the primacy conferred upon one Duffy, but the prisoner at the bar put in for it; which might have been opposed if the prisoner had not engaged and promised that he would so manage affairs, that before the present government were aware, he would surprise the kingdom, provided the Pope and king of France would send a competent army to join with theirs for the effecting of it. So the first year of his coming over I was in the friary of Armagh; I was an acquaintance of the friars, and they invited me; and one Quinn told the prisoner that they thought Duffy would have been primate. Said he, it is better as it is; for Duffy hath not the wit to do those things that I have undertaken to do; meaning that he did undertake to supplant the Protestant religion to bring in Popery, and put the kingdom under subjection to the king of France. In his assembly kept by him, he charged his inferiors to collect such several sums of money as be thought fit, according to the several parishes and dignitaries, to assist and supply the French forces when they came over. I have seen the

money collected, and I have seen his warrant, *sub pœna suspensionis*, to bring it in to redeem their religion from the power of the English Government. And he procured the MacDonnells a piece of money out of the exchequer, pretending to do good service to his majesty ; but hesent them to France, meaning they should improve themselves and bring themselves into favour with the king of France, and come over with the French king to surprise Ireland. This one of the rebels told me. So I have seen the prisoner's letter, directed to the grand tory Fleming, desiring that they should go to France, and he would see them, in spite of all their enemies in Ireland, safe ashore, and Fleming should return again a colonel, to his own glory and the good of his country. I have seen the prisoner going about from port to port,—to Derry, to Carrickfergus, Corily, Down, and Carlingford, and all about. I heard it among the Church, that he went on purpose to view the sea-ports, to know the strength of all the garrisons, to see which was the most convenient way to bring in the French army."

The Chief Justice asked, "What place did he pitch on as most convenient?" to which the witness replied, "Carlingford."

On the conclusion of his evidence, Dr. Plunket being interrogated, whether he wished to ask any questions, said:—

"He says, my lord, that ten years ago I had such a design in hand; and he knew the money was collected for these very ends, and that I had a design to bring in the French at Carlingford, and went about to all the ports in Ireland, and pitched upon that as the most convenient; and yet it is so inconvenient for the bringing in a foreign force, that any one that knows anything of the maps of the world will easily conclude it otherwise. But I say, my lord, why did he not tell some justice of the peace that I was upon such a design, but let me live in Ireland for ten years after, and never speak of it till now? When he saw me all the time, and to the time of my taking prisoner, and never said one word: for I was a prisoner six months, only for my religion, not one word of treason spoken of against me for so many years; why did he not acquaint some justice of the peace of it before?"

Then the scene ensued to which we have already referred; the Chief Justice and Justice Dolbein suggested to the prisoner the answer which they knew would be most satisfactory to his hearers, that forsooth, he himself was at that time a papist, and MacMoyer continued:—

"I was a papist myself; the first that did discover it; friar Moyer and I did consult about it; I had him charged to do so, and I had set him to work; but he was ill-paid for having discovered it, you got him to be trepanned, that he hath gone in danger of his life for it."

Henry O'Neal's evidence was short, and principally directed

against the saintly bishop of Clogher, Dr. Tyrrell; amongst other things, however, he swears, that he had never seen the primate in his life, which confirms Dr. Plunket's own assertion, that, as to the four laymen who appeared against him, *he had never before seen them.*

Neal O'Neale almost repeated the words of the preceding witness: and Owen Murphy, who was next produced, in fact gave no evidence at all.

Hugh Duffy, however, more than supplied all that was wanting in these witnesses' evidence. On being sworn, he said:—

"I have seen this Dr. Oliver Plunket raising several sums of money to carry on this plot; sometimes 10s. per annum, sometimes 20s. of all the priests in Ireland, of every priest according to his pension and parish. It was given to his agent in Rome for carrying on this business. I was chaplain to Dr. Duffy, who was infinitely beloved by this man. He was father confessor to the Queen of Spain. There was nothing that happened between them but I was by all the time."

Being asked what was their conversation about, he replied:—

"About the plot: how they could confirm the plot: and this man, Plunket, said he could prevail with the king of France, and the other with the king of Spain. This was in 1673, '74, and '75, at his own house, and at\*.... He kept three or four Jesuits there, and a matter of a hundred priests. The discourse was always about the plot, how they could continue the matter between them; and so they did conclude afterwards to raise so much money upon several priests, all the priests in Ireland, sometimes 20s., sometimes 40s. He talked several times that he did not question but he should prevail with the king of France not to invade Spain; and I have seen his letter to Cardinal Bouillon to expostulate with him about the king of France, why he should wage war with the king of Spain, who was a Catholic, but rather should come and redeem Ireland out of its heretical jurisdiction."

Being asked as to the raising of money, he replied:—

"I have seen several precepts: I was curate to one Father Murphy, and while that man was with Dr. Oliver Plunket and the other Jesuits, I did officiate in his place, and he sent his letters to me to raise 40s. and 20s. a time, several times. It was to send to Dr. Creagh, who was at Rome."

Then in regard to the meetings, he adds that he was present at one

\* The name is omitted. It was the seminary opened by Dr. Plunket in 1671, and in which there were three Jesuits, with about 150 students, many of whom were sons of the Protestant gentry.

"At Clones, in the county of Monaghan, on the occasion of the confirmation from the bishop, about 1671. It was there agreed that the gentlemen of Armagh, Monaghan, and Cavan should join together; and then they went into a private council to get a list of all the officers that were in the last rebellion, and those that lost their estates. I was in the same consult myself, and was as willing to proceed in the matter as any one in the world. They were withdrawn aside into a garden—some stood up, and some sat down; and Oliver Plunket stood in the middle of them all as a prelate, and every one kneeled down before him and kissed his hand. Then they did consult, and gave special order to some of them to get a list of all the officers in the late rebellion, and that they should be more forward than others to proceed in that wicked design to destroy all the Protestants together."

Being asked if he heard the prisoner speak on these matters, he replied:—"Yes, and he made a special mention there concerning our own faith and religion." He afterwards swore that he had received a precept from Dr. Plunket to know what men in his district were able to bear arms: that he had accompanied Dr. Plunket to Carlingford, and that there

"He went round about the place where some of the custom ships come in; there was a great castle there near the sea, and he went to view the place, but could not get a boat. And there was great talk of Carlingford to be one of the best havens in Ireland; there was no great garrison at the place, and any ship might come to the gates of the town and surprise it, it being a little town; and he concluded thence that he could get the French army to land safely there."

He afterwards added:—

"I have been at Sir Nicholas Plunket's, where there fell some variance about something this man had done to Dr. Duffy. Says Bishop Duffy, I might have had you drawn and quartered if I were as ill a man as you; and I might have been primate of Ireland if I would have undertaken those things that you undertook. Upon that, says Sir Nicholas Plunket; what is that? Why, it was replied, it was to raise 60,000 men in Ireland at any time, whenever the French or the Spanish king should wage war with England. And this man did confess, before my face, to Dr. Duffy, that it was not only to exalt himself, but all the Romish clergy, and all the gentry that had lost their estates."

The concluding question, however, put to this wretched man by Dr. Plunket sufficiently explains all this virulence of his evidence against him:—"Mr. Duffy, one word with you: is not this out of malice to me for correcting some of the clergy?"

Edmund Murphy was next sworn; and the Attorney-General, on finding that he hesitated in his evidence, declared that in his

private examination "he had given the fullest evidence to all instances and particulars of high treason, much fuller than Duffy." But all exhortations to him to proceed in his evidence were in vain. At first he begged the Chief Justice to "respite the trial till next term:" he then declared that he forgot the evidence he had given on former occasions; and, when interrogated in detail, belied the various particulars which he had before sworn to, till, at length, the Lord Chief Justice exclaimed, "it is evident the Catholics have been tampering with him;" and at the desire of the counsel for the crown, in order to strike terror into the other witnesses, who might, perhaps, in like manner, be desirous of listening to the dictates of conscience, he commanded him to be at once committed to Newgate.

John Maclane then gave his evidence. He said:—

"I was a parish priest in Ireland, in the county of Monaghan, and Dr. Oliver Plunket raised several sums of money in Ireland, and especially in the diocese where I am. I raised some of it, and paid him 40s. at one time, and 30s. at another time; in 1674 I paid him 40s.; in 1675 I paid him 50s., and it was about July, and it was for the better advancement of the French coming in. The money was to be kept for arms and ammunition for the Roman Catholics in Ireland. I received an order, *sub pena suspensionis*, and there was a public order throughout Ireland, or we would not pay it; nay, several would not pay it, and they were to be suspended." Dr. Plunket here asked him:—"Can you show any of the orders under my hand?" to which he replied:—"Yes, I can show them, but only they are afar off. I did not expect to have them asked for."\*

He then swore that when he was at vicar Brady's house,

"Bishop Tyrrell came there with forty horsemen well mounted and armed; he came into the house about ten in the morning, and stayed till about eleven at night; I was very much among them, and as willing to be of the plot as themselves. Then Bishop Tyrrell said he had orders from Dr. Oliver Plunket and others, to partake of the plot to bring in the French, and subvert the government in Ireland, and to destroy the Protestant religion and Protestants."

He added:—

"In France I landed at Brest, and going through Brittany, I met with Bishop Tyrrell and Dr. Creagh, who was my lord Oliver Plunket's agent, and Duke John, of Great Brittany, came unto them,

\* As Maclane did not belong to the diocese of the primate, it was manifest that he could not have received any such mandate from Dr. Plunket; to account, however, for this, the witness added this novel theory:—"You being lord primate, you could suspend bishops and inferior clergy together."

for he heard of these two bishops being lately come out of Rome, sent for them, and I being a priest of Tyrrell's diocese, I went along with them, and they were well accepted, and he showed Dr. Oliver Plunket's conditions with the king of France, which was this: to get Dublin and Londonderry, and all the sea ports into their own hands, to levy war, and destroy the Protestant religion; and that they should have him to protect them during his lifetime."

The chief Justice interrogated him:—

"What do you know of his being primate?"

He replied:—

"He was made primate by the election of the king of France, and upon his election he made those conditions with the king of France, to raise men to join with the French, to destroy the Protestant religion."

The last leading witness was friar Moyer. He declared:—

"I knew the prisoner, my lord, to be made primate of Ireland, engaging that he should propagate the Romish faith in Ireland, and to restore it to the Catholic government; and I know the time by relation that I came to Rome, within two months after his being made primate of Ireland, upon the same conditions that have been related to you; and I was brought into the convent of St. Francis in Rome, by one Father —, and this father was very intimate with Cardinal Spinola; and when he used to go abroad, he used to carry me along with him as a companion, and then I found several of the Romish Cardinals say, that the kingdom of Ireland should come under the Catholic government by the way and means of the Lord Primate Plunket."

He then produced a copy of a letter of Dr. Plunket, which he

"Translated five years ago, and here are the contents following; if you please, they may be read; I will do my best to read them in English, the original were in Latin, and some phrases in Italian. And when I was surprised with Mr. Murphy last year, and taken suddenly, all my papers were taken away before I could return back again, by the soldiers and the Tories. I only kept a copy of this letter I had in English, as near as I could, and if I did not diminish anything by the translation, upon the oath I have taken, I have not put anything in it but what the contents of the letter were."

The letter is then said to have been read, but is not given; we can, however, gather its contents from the subsequent examination; that it was addressed to the Secretary of Propaganda; that it destined a sum for the agent in Rome, and Moyer, by the addition of a *zero*, changed that sum from £50 to £500. Dr. Plunket



here declared that the sum destined for the agent in Rome was £50; and added:—

“There is never a nation where the Roman Catholic religion is professed, but hath an agent for their spiritual affairs at Rome, and this was for the spiritual affairs of the clergy of Ireland. I deny nothing; *that* is a truth; every nation hath an agent, and that agent must be maintained; and the reason is this, because we have many colleges beyond sea, and so there is no country of Roman Catholics but hath an agent at Rome.”

Moyer then swore to the various other heads; the planning of the invasion of Ireland by the French, the collecting of money for that purpose, the exploring the country, &c., and that these matters had been committed to him as a secret by the primate himself. Being asked in what year this took place, he replied:—

“In 1676, and I being willing that this wicked action should be hindered, *sent to the next justice to discharge myself of it, which justice was as favourable to the business as my lord himself was.*”

Before the conclusion of this witness's evidence, Dr. Plunket produced two letters of the friar. The first was addressed to “my reverend father Anthony, Guardian of Armagh,” and was dated 1st July, 1678. It thus commenced:—

“VERY REV. FATHER GUARDIAN,—Your paternal letter and citation homeward I did instantly peruse. As for my lord Oliver Plunket, I wrote a letter to him the day before I saw your reverence last, that he might cause my fame, which is as dear to me as my life, to be recalled, or I should cause his name to be fixed at every public place, which, by the Almighty, I will do, nature and all reason compelling me to do it.”

No more of this letter is given in the state trials, but we learn the remainder of it from the subsequent words of Dr. Plunket:—

“My lord, I say this: he says he came to my house when he came over, and I imparted this secret to him; yet you see I had denounced him through my whole diocese, and he there calls me by all those names of Elymas, Simon Magus, and Barjesus; and it is impossible, if I had communicated to him such a secret, that I would deal so with him.”

The second letter was dated the 23rd of April, 1678, and was addressed, in like manner, to the father Guardian:—

“I was somewhat comforted by your letter. But now I hope your reverence hath considered what wrong I have sustained by my curious adversaries' calumnies, only for standing, as I have a soul to save, for

your rights and privileges, as also for endeavouring to save my native country's ruin and destruction."

As to the remainder, it is said that the witness "read on:" but the letter is not given, and we can only gather from the subsequent discourse, that he stated in it that Dr. Plunket "had fallen into disgrace in Rome." The object of Dr. Plunket in producing these letters was to show the malignity and animosity which this apostate friar bore against him, on account of his having checked his reckless career.

On the Chief Justice asking Dr. Plunket what he had to say in his defence, he replied:—

"My lord, I tell you I have no way to defend myself; as I have been denied time to bring over my records and my witnesses, ten or twelve in number. Were they here, or were I in Ireland, where both they and I should be known, I would defy all the malice of the world; but when I was to be tried in Ireland, they would not appear, knowing that their statements were false and malicious. These men used to call me Oliver Cromwell out of spite."

The Chief Justice then having recapitulated the heads of the accusations, Dr. Plunket replied to the various charges made against him, pointing out their absurdity and improbability, and proving in a way calculated to convince every impartial hearer, that his accusers were perjurers, and merely animated by a fell spirit of avarice and revenge. He concluded by protesting again that in his present circumstances, without witnesses and records, it was impossible for him to make a proper defence.

The recapitulation of the evidence by the Solicitor-General and Sergeant Jeffries followed, replete with envenomed remarks against the archbishop and the Catholic religion. Scarce had Sergeant Jeffries concluded, when a stranger stepping forward in the court handed a paper to Dr. Plunket. It contained the names of witnesses who might be called in his defence, and the crier at once read aloud the names; "David Fitzgerald, Eustace Commynes, and Paul Gorman;" but Gorman alone appeared, and besides the declaration that "he thought Dr. Plunket did more good in Ireland than hurt, and that he never heard of any misdemeanour of him," the only matter of importance which he avowed was that "friar Moyer, when in discourse with him, said, if there was law to be had in Ireland he would show Mr. Plunket his share in it."

On the Lord Chief Justice delivering his charge to the jury, Dr. Plunket once more declared:—

"I can say nothing to it, but give my own protestation that there is not one word of what is said against me true, but all plain romance; I never had any communication with any French minister, cardinal, nor other."

The jury without delay came to their decision, and the foreman announcing "guilty," Dr. Plunket exclaimed "*Deo gratias*," thanks be to God.

Whilst all England was excited to the highest pitch of fanaticism, and ready to believe every absurd story regarding Catholics, it cannot surprise us that the jury should have come to such a decision; and surely any twelve men ignorant of the character of the accusers, and therefore judging them to be honourable men, and who, at the same time, had been taught to recognize in the very fact of the accused being Roman Catholic primate of Ireland a *prima facie* evidence of his treasonable designs, could, with difficulty, have come to a contrary conclusion. But whosoever, after reading the preceding chapters, reviews the prepared testimony of these wretched men, will easily detect not only evidence of their malignant enmity against the primate, but, moreover, manifest indications of the falsehood of their tale. Thus, to say nothing of the monstrous accusations of preparing a fund for the maintenance of an army of 60,000 men at a time when Dr. Plunket wrote those beautiful letters which we have seen, and which reveal to us the extreme indigence to which he and his fellow-prelates were reduced, and the sad destitution of the Catholics of Ireland, it is friar MacMoyer himself, an associate and a leader of a tory band, who inculpates the tories as having carried away his papers, whilst the other witnesses were obliged to have recourse to other like *accidents*, in order to account for the absence of the document to which they referred, and which should have been produced:—then, again, the appointment of Dr. Plunket is sworn to have originated with the French king, in order to advance his designs of conquest:—another witness declares the extent of his authority to be to suspend at his pleasure all bishops and priests throughout Ireland, who would not contribute to the national fund:—by another, the sending of a band of tories to France is made a proof of his treason, though, in reality, it was the Lord Lieutenant who had thus removed them from the kingdom, after the archbishop had induced them to submit, and obtained the thanks of the government as well as of the nation for his paternal endeavours in their regard. The seminary at which the children of many of the Protestant gentry were educated, is made a sort of standing council for deliberating on the best means of extirpating all the Protestants and changing the government. It

is said that he destined Carlingford to be the landing-place of the French; and yet Florence MacMoyer swore that it was thus destined in 1667, two years before Dr. Plunket was consecrated to the see of Armagh; that port, too, is extolled by the witnesses; and the Solicitor-General in his recapitulation styles it "a very large port, in which ships of the largest burden may come up;" whilst, as we learn from the Archbishop of Cashel, and other sources, it was then, as it is now, a most miserable and insignificant little port; in fine, friar MacMoyer inculpates the justice before whom he first accused the primate, as being an accomplice in these treasonable designs, because he refused to receive his evidence; and yet the Duke of Ormond refused, in like manner, to receive it, and the Protestant juries not only refused to credit his sworn testimony, but instead of the accused, condemned himself to prison on account of his notorious crimes. But these things being all unknown, perhaps, to the twelve citizens of London, who sat in judgment to try Dr. Plunket for the highest crime of which he could stand accused before the law; without a dissentient voice—almost without deliberation, they pronounced him *guilty*.

The verdict being recorded, the court arose. On the 14th of June, 1681, Dr. Plunket was again brought to the bar to receive judgment in accordance with that verdict. On leave being given to speak, Dr. Plunket again pointed out the difficult position in which he had been placed, refuted the charges made against him, and proved the wickedness and malice of his accusers:—

"My lord, may it please your lordship, I have something to say, which, if your lordship will consider seriously, may occasion the court's commiseration and mercy. I have, my lord, for the offences with which I am now charged, been already arraigned in Ireland. At the day fixed for my trial there, my accusers voluntarily absented themselves, seeing I had records and witnesses to convict them, and to show what men they were, and the prepensed malice that they did bear to me; and, so, finding that I could clear myself evidently, they absconded; from that day no one appeared against me in Ireland: but, hither they came and procured that I should be brought where I could not have a jury that knew the qualities of my adversaries, or who knew me, or the circumstances of the places, times, or persons. The jury here, as I say, consisting of strangers to these affairs, my lord, they could not know many things that conduce to a fair trial; it was morally impossible they should know them.

"I have been accused principally and chiefly for surveying the ports, for fixing upon Carlingford for the landing of the French, for the having of 70,000 men ready to join the French, for collecting money for the agents in this matter, for assisting the French, and enlisting this great utopian army. A jury in Ireland, consisting of men that

lived in that country, would immediately understand the folly of such charges, and any man in the world that hath but seen Ireland in a map, would easily see there was no probability that Carlingford should be a place fit for the French to land in. Though never in Ireland, yet by the map he would see the invaders must come by the narrow seas all along to Ulster, exposed to rocks and every other danger, for the purpose of landing at Carlingford, which is a poor town and of no strength, with a very bad harbour and with a very small garrison, which had not been so if it had been a place of any consideration.

"And then I had influence only upon one province, as is well known, though I had the title of Primate of all Ireland, as the Archbishop of Canterbury hath of all England, though the Archbishop of York did not permit him to meddle with his province; and it is well known by the gentry there, and those that are accustomed to the place, that in all the province of Ulster, take men, women, and children of the Roman Catholics, they would not supply 70,000. This a jury on the spot, my lord, would have known very well: therefore, the laws of England, which are very favourable to the prisoner, have provided that there should be a jury of the place where the offence was committed, as Sir Thomas Gascoigne, as I have heard, had a Yorkshire jury, though he was tried at London.

"After my coming here, I was kept a close prisoner for six months; no one was permitted to come to me, nor did I know how things stood in the world. I was brought here the 3rd of May to be arraigned and I did petition your lordships to have some time to prepare for my trial, proposing to have it put off till Michaelmas, but your lordships did not think fit to grant so long, but only till the middle of this month. In the mean time my witnesses, who were ready at the seaside, would not come over without passes; and I could not get over the records without an order from hence, which records would have shown that some of the accusers were indicted in Ireland and found guilty of high crimes; some having been imprisoned for robberies, and others being men of infamous character; so I petitioned, the 8th of this month, that I might have time for but twelve days more; but your lordships, when the motion was made, thought that it was only to put off my trial, and refused my motion. Now my witnesses are come to Coventry yesterday morning, and they will be here in a few days; but in the meantime I have been left at the mercy of my adversaries, who were some of my own clergy, whom, for their debauched lives, I had corrected, as is well known.

"I will not deny, that as long as there was any toleration and connivance, I did execute the functions of a bishop; but that, by the 2nd of Elizabeth, is only *præmunire*, and no treason. But, my lord, whilst I have been left without means of defence, my enemies have had full time to prepare their wicked charges against me. I did beg for twelve days' time, whereby you might have seen, as plain as the sun, what those witnesses are that began the story and say those things against me. And, my lord, for the raising of the 70,000 men, and the monies

that are collected of the clergy in Ireland, they cannot be true, for they are a poor clergy, that have no revenue nor land—they live as the Presbyterians do here; there is not a priest in all Ireland that hath, from certain or uncertain sources, above three score pounds a-year, and that I should collect from them sums sufficient for the raising of an army, or for the landing of the French at Carlingford, if it had been brought before a jury in Ireland would have been thought a mere romance.

“If they had accused me of a *præmunire* for the exercise of my episcopal function, perhaps they had said something that might be believed; but, my lord, as I am a dying man, and hope for salvation by my Lord and Saviour, I am not guilty of one point of treason they have sworn against me, no more than the child that was born but yesterday. I have an attestation under my lord of Essex’s hand, concerning my good behaviour in Ireland, and not only from him, but from my lord Berkeley, who was also governor there, which the king’s attorney saw; but here I was brought—here I was tried, without having time to bring witnesses, so that I could not prove my innocence, as otherwise I might. Hence if any case in the world deserve compassion, surely my case does; and it is such a rare case, that I do not believe you will find an instance that one arraigned in Ireland should be tried here afterwards for the same fact. My lord, if there be anything in the world that deserves pity, this does; for I can say, as I hope for mercy, I was never guilty of any one point they have sworn against me; and if my petition for time had been granted, I could have shown how all was prepenes malice against me, and have produced all circumstances that could make out the innocence of a person, but having been left without any means of defence I am at your mercy.”

The Chief Justice then proceeded to pass sentence; having said in the course of his address: “I appeal to all that heard your trial, if they could so much as doubt but that you were guilty of what you were charged with. For, consider, here were persons of your own religion, the most of them priests—I think almost all of them in orders.” Dr. Plunket corrected him, saying:—

“There were two friars and a priest, whom I have endeavoured to correct seven years, and they were renegades from our religion, and dastard apostates.”

And shortly afterwards, the Chief Justice extolling the evidence of friar Duffy, Dr. Plunket said:—

“I had sufficient evidence to prove he was an apostate, and was chastised by me, and, therefore, had prepenes malice against me.”

On the conclusion of the Lord Chief Justice’s discourse, Dr. Plunket again addressed him:—

"May it please your lordship to give me leave to speak one word. If I were a man that had no care of my conscience in this matter, and did not think of God Almighty, or conscience, or heaven, or hell, I might have saved my life, for I was offered it by divers people here, so I would but confess my own guilt and accuse others. But, my lord, I had rather die ten thousand deaths than wrongfully accuse anybody. And the time will come when your lordship will see what those witnesses are that have come in against me. *I do assure your lordship, if I were a man that had not good principles, I might easily have saved my life; but I had rather die ten thousand deaths, than wrongfully to take away one farthing of any man's goods, one day of his liberty, or one minute of his life.*"

Lord Chief Justice.—"I am sorry to see you persist in the principles of that religion."

Dr. Plunket.—"*They are those principles that even God Almighty cannot dispense withal.*"

With the usual solemnity the sentence of a traitor was then pronounced against him; but against a man breathing those noble sentiments, such a sentence should be of little avail. His conduct during the whole course of trial, his fearless denunciation of the injustice which was committed in thus compelling him to stand his trial deprived of all means of defence—his solemn protestations of innocence and of the prepenes malice of his perjured accusers—but above all, the exalted sentiments of Christian morality, worthy of a spiritual pastor, who in his own life traced out the path which his children might pursue, must have extorted the admiration even of his enemies, and the sentence which followed, far from being a triumph over him, but crowned his cause and rendered his victory complete.

## CHAPTER XXVIII.

### THE EXECUTION OF DR. PLUNKET.

FRIDAY, the 11th of July,\* 1681, was the day fixed for the execution; and at an early hour Dr. Plunket was conducted from prison to the scaffold at Tyburn. The dauntless spirit which he displayed whilst awaiting in prison the carrying out of the fatal sentence, and the heroic sanctity with which he disposed himself to receive the martyr's crown, belong rather to the next chapter; for the present it will suffice to give some extracts from a manuscript narrative, presented the same year to the

\* This date corresponds to the 1st of July, old style.

Sacred Congregation, and which was not improbably composed by Father Teyling, a distinguished member of the Society of Jesus. It is entitled, "a brief narrative of the imprisonment, accusations, and death of Monsignor Plunket, archbishop of Armagh and Primate of Ireland, executed at Tyburn, in London, the 11th of July, 1681." Many of the facts, however, which it contains have already been commemorated from other sources, wherefore we shall be content with presenting those passages which add new circumstances connected with the imprisonment and death of our holy prelate:—

"The glorious death of this prelate, deserving of eternal memory, as well for his innocence as for the heroic constancy with which he supported his atrocious penalty, has awakened in many a devout curiosity to learn its circumstances, and especially in those who well remember to have known and conversed with him in this city of Rome, where he lived for so many years, at first as student of the Irish College, and afterwards as professor of theology for many years in the College of the Propaganda. Wherefore, not to defraud so holy a desire, whilst we await a more complete narrative of those facts, we shall here relate what is known for certain, partly from various letters, and partly from his own discourse, which may now be had in print in many languages.

"Although he was, from the commencement, sought for with great diligence, nevertheless he, for awhile, escaped every danger, till, at length, detected by the cunning of the spies, he was arrested in the month of December, 1679, in the city of Dublin, and immediately cast into prison, where he was detained with the greatest rigour, being obliged, amongst other things, to purchase, at a price truly exorbitant, and wholly incompatible with his means, the most ordinary conveniences of furniture and food. After suffering for more than six months in that prison he was at length, on the 31st of July, 1680, conducted, under a close guard, to Dundalk, thirty-six miles distant from Dublin, there to stand his trial."

The narrative then proceeds with the various facts till the removal of his trial to London, regarding which iniquitous proceeding it remarks:—

"Every one will see, that nothing less than a heroic virtue and magnanimity was required to receive this blow with that peace of soul, and with that perfect charity for his enemies with which the primate bore it . . . The good prelate, on the 11th of November, was removed from the castle of Dublin, and conducted, under a close guard, to London, where he arrived in the depth of the past most rigid winter; and although he was of a most delicate complexion, yet the only relief he received after so severe a journey, was to be thrown



into a most opprobrious and disastrous prison, called Newgate, where for a-while he had to undergo such trials, as even the accused of most vile condition are not subjected to. Thus the entire winter and spring passed on, and in the mean time his accusers, living at large in London, arranged and matured all their plans to encompass his destruction."

The account of his trial and sentence is then given, and the narrative thus continues:—

"At the same time and place sentence of death was also passed against a certain Fitzharris, a man, for many and heinous crimes, deserving of that punishment: this served to form a contrast with Dr. Plunket, and add new lustre to his innocence. On the sentence of death being passed, Fitzharris, by the terror of his looks, his trembling, and the complete failure of strength, showed that his heart was not less guilty than feeble. On the contrary, the primate, as well when awaiting sentence, as whilst it was being passed, and after it, displayed such a frankness of soul and heart—such a serene and joyous countenance, and was so composed in all his actions and deportment, that all were able to perceive not only his perfect innocence, but, moreover, his singular virtue, which was master and superior to every emotion of passion. And concerning all this, the Catholics, who were present, wrote endless praises, attesting that none could wish for a deportment more noble, more amiable, more worthy of him whom he there represented. Having heard the sentence (turning his thoughts to his soul, and no-wise solicitous as to the sufferings destined for his body), he asked as a favour from the judge to be allowed to treat of spiritual matters with a Catholic priest, 'You will have,' replied the judge, 'a minister of the Church of England.' But he answered: 'I am obliged for your good intentions, but such a favour would be wholly useless to me.'

"The primate being re-conducted to prison after this public and so glorious trial, there arose between the Catholics and the Protestants an eager strife who would visit him and converse with him—the former attracted by a singular devotion, the latter by an extraordinary curiosity; and he, during the few days that he survived, received both with such courtesy, with such a sweetness, and calmness, and amiableness of manner, that the Catholics departed truly edified, and the Protestants were not only exceedingly contented with his deportment, but also rendered more affectionate towards the Catholics. Before his execution he was able to confer with a spiritual father (a man \* of great merit, who was then, as he is yet, a glorious confessor of the faith in that prison), to whom he manifested, as that which most disturbed him, his having no horror of death, on account of which he feared that he was not well prepared for it, which shows his humility, and with what

\* This was Father Corker, as we learn from the letters of the Archbishop of Cashel.

worthy sentiments he approached his death, as the only scruple which disturbed him was one derived from a special and excessive grace which God granted to him. On his part he was nowise negligent in disposing himself for this great grace ; for, in addition to the sufferings of prison, to the afflicting journeys so patiently borne by him, to the generous and repeated pardon which he so often breathed for his enemies in exchange for their many outrages, he added, moreover, many voluntary penances, and especially a rigorous fast on bread and water, three times each week, during the whole time that he was in prison in London, as the keeper of the prison, a Protestant, attested after Dr. Plunket's death, not without eulogy and admiration.

"At length, on the 11th of July, the day destined for the carrying out of the fatal sentence, the keeper of the prison, imagining that the apprehension of approaching death, and horror of the atrocious punishment, would have made some impression on that soul hitherto so resolute, went early in the morning to visit him, and if necessary, too, to give him courage and comfort him ; but he was yet more surprised and filled with astonishment on finding that the prelate, on being awakened, was as little moved by the approach of sufferings as though his body were insensible to pain, whilst, nevertheless, he was of an ardent and delicate temperament. In a little while the announcement was made that everything was in order, wherefore he was taken from prison, and stretched (with his face uppermost) and tied with cords upon a wooden hurdle (as is there customary), and thus drawn by a horse to Tyburn.

"It had been a hundred years, perhaps, since a Catholic bishop was thus executed there, and hence the curiosity to see a victim of such exalted dignity, and already so famed for his noble deportment, gathered together an immense multitude of spectators, who partly awaited him on the road side, partly at the place of execution. Such as he had shown himself when receiving sentence of death did he now prove himself in this last scene when undergoing death itself, being ever serene and tranquil, even to his last breath ; so that he universally excited that esteem and sympathy which is invariably evoked by an heroic virtue oppressed by an extreme rigour ; so that few could be found even amongst the Protestants to entertain a doubt as to his innocence.

"On the scaffold he delivered a short discourse, in which, after protesting his innocence as to the charges of conspiracy made against him, he prayed for life and health to the king and all the royal family, gave a most complete pardon to all his enemies and adversaries, and, in fine, supplicated the Divine Majesty to be propitious to him, through the merits of Christ, through the intercession of the Blessed Virgin, and of all the holy Angels and Saints of Paradise. Which form of prayer, so simple and yet so pious, was remarked by the spectators, who never remembered to have heard from any other such an express mention of the Blessed Virgin and the Saints.

"This discourse was the substance of the longer one which he wrote with his own hand in prison, and left with his friends, lest any, by a

malignant alteration, might seek to falsify his dying sentiments. Having concluded his discourse, the sentence was carried into execution, and his happy soul sped its flight (as we may hope) to enjoy an eternal repose.

"On the same day, and in the same place, Fitzharris was executed; and to the last the contrast of his manner and actions displayed in brighter light the happy lot of the primate; and whilst Dr. Plunket excited compassion on account of the atrocious and unmerited suffering, and became universally loved for his innocence, and extolled to the skies for his constancy, Fitzharris was abhorred for his wicked deeds, despised for his vile cowardice, and uncompassioned in his suffering, as being his due.

"The primate, before death, asked and obtained permission to be buried with the fathers of the Society of Jesus who, during the present persecution, sacrificed their lives at Tyburn. He was therefore interred with them in the church of St. Giles; and we cannot but remark the devotion and great esteem which the English Catholics displayed for this sacred deposit; and together with it they interred a copper plate, on which was inscribed the following inscription:—

"'In this tomb resteth the body of the Most Rev. Oliver Plunket, late archbishop of Armagh and primate of all Ireland, who, when accused of high treason, through hatred of the faith, by false brethren, and condemned to death, being hanged at Tyburn, and his bowels being taken out and cast into the fire, suffered martyrdom with constancy, in the reign of Charles the Second, King of Great Britain, on the 1st day of July, 1681.'\*

"Here we may remark, that by referring to this inscription it is not our intention to ratify the title of martyr till holy Church will authenticate it: as, also, we must add, that the aforesaid date is not contrary to that given above, as the 1st of July, according to the old style, still used in England, is equivalent to the 11th of July, according to our Gregorian computation.

"Some few circumstances yet remain connected with the death of Dr. Plunket, which cannot be passed over in silence, and which we now add:—

"1st. It is deserving of attention that all the accusers, judges, and other opponents of Dr. Plunket were not able to attach the mask of conspiracy to his cause, or conceal its being a manifest and direct cause of religion. The plots in England were pretended to be directed against the life of the king, but neither the death of the king nor the advancement of any other cause could be put forward as the scope of the pretended Irish conspiracy, but only the establishment of the faith.

"2nd. It has been written that two English lords (who were successively viceroys in Ireland) declared to the king, that it was impossible

\* In hoc tumultu requiescit corpus Rmi. D.D. Oliverii Plunket, quondam Archiepiscopi Ardmachani, totius Hiberniæ Primatis, qui in odium fidei a falsis fratribus læsæ majestatis accusatus ob idque morti adjudicatus Tyburniæ laqueo suspensus, extractis internis et in ignem conjectis, Martyrium constanter subiit. Regnante Carolo 2<sup>o</sup> Mag. Brit. etc. die 1<sup>o</sup> Julii, 1681."

to believe or deem probable any of the accusations against the primate, for they had experienced him a man full of zeal for the public peace; nay, one of the most efficacious in Ireland in appeasing seditious movements.

"3rd. It is certain that, on the part of one of the first noblemen in England, his life was offered him, should he consent to accuse others: which offer, although resolutely rejected by him, is said to have been renewed to him on the scaffold, God permitting this temptation for the greater merit of one who thus, in such innocence, sacrificed his life.

"4th. The Superior of a certain religious order, a man of great prudence, who was present at the primate's death, writes, that on the scaffold, by the singular composure of soul and actions, he seemed like an angel descended from paradise, who was joyously arrived at the moment of once more returning thither.

"5th. All write, with one accord, that this innocent victim has done and yet performs great good in England, not only by the edification which he gave to the Catholics, but, moreover, by the change of ideas and sentiments which he occasioned in many Protestants, who now commence to regard all these conspiracies as malicious fictions; and there are great grounds for believing that the fruit which England will derive from his blood will not end here. The archbishop himself wrote from prison in London (and the letter written with his own hand is still in Rome), that he has experienced in the English Catholics the most exalted piety, faith, and Christian charity, which any one could desire: and he gives the names of many families and individuals who, it seems, gave to him, though a stranger and unknown to them, large sums of money to enable his witnesses to come from Ireland, and offered themselves, moreover, as most ready to undergo any other expense, or render him any service. He, therefore, in the letter referred to, professes an unspeakable love for those so bounteous benefactors: and we may hope, that as he has, whilst living, done so much by his example, so now he will be efficacious in obtaining from heaven most abundant blessings for those by whom he deemed himself so benefited on earth."

Such were the glorious sentiments with which the archbishop encountered the barbarous sentence which had been unjustly decreed against him. None, even amongst his enemies, dared to insinuate his guilt, or pretend that any deeds of conspiracy could be imputed to him: all felt the attractions of his innocence and sanctity, and could scarce find words to express their admiration and esteem. Even amongst subsequent writers, no matter how ardent defenders they may have been of the Protestant cause, none have reproached his memory with the reputed guilt, but all have uniformly recorded his innocence of the charges thus made against him. We have already quoted the words of the Protestant bishop Burnet: we may now add the testimonies of some few others. Thus, for instance, Echard, in his *History of England*

(vol. iii. p. 631), after stating that Dr. Plunket had an attestation of his innocence, under the hands of the two viceroys, Essex and Berkeley, adds that he himself was

“Assured, by an unquestionable hand, that the Earl of Essex was so sensible of this good man’s hardship, that he generously applied to the king for a pardon, and told his majesty that these witnesses must needs be perjured; for these things sworn against him could not possibly be true. Upon which the king, in a passion, said, ‘Why did you not attest this at his trial? it would have done him good then. I dare not pardon any one.’ And so concluded with the same kind of answer he had given another person formerly, ‘His blood be upon your head, not mine.’”

The continuation of “Sir Richard Baker’s Chronicle,” p. 70, not only corroborates this fact of the Earl of Essex, but gives us the general Protestant sentiment of the time in regard of the perjured witnesses, and the accusations which they brought against the primate.

“In the meantime, (he writes) came on the trial of Dr. Oliver Plunket, Popish titular Archbishop of Armagh, who called himself primate of all Ireland. He was a worthy and good man, who notwithstanding the high title given him, was in a very mean state of life, as having nothing to subsist on but the contributions of a few poor clergy of his own religion in the province of Ulster, who having little themselves, could not spare much to him. In these low circumstances he lived, though meanly, quietly and contentedly, meddling with nothing but the concerns of his function, and dissuading all about him from entering into any turbulent or factious intrigues. “But while the Popish plot was warm, some lewd Irish priests, and others of that nation, hearing that England was disposed to hearken to good swearers, thought themselves well qualified for the employment, so they came over with an account of a plot in Ireland, and were well received by Lord Shaftesbury. They were also examined by the Parliament, and what they said was believed. They were very profligate wretches, and some of the priests among them had been censured by Plunket for their lewdness, so partly out of revenge, and partly to keep themselves in business, they charged a plot upon that innocent, quiet man, so that he was sent for over, and brought to trial. The evidences swore that upon his being made primate of Ireland, he engaged to raise sixty or seventy thousand Irish to be ready to join with the French, to destroy the Protestant religion, and to get Dublin, Londonderry, and all the seaports into their hands; and that beside the French army, there was a Spanish army to join with them, and that the Irish clergy were to contribute to this design. Plunket, in his defence, alleged the improbability of all that was sworn against him; which was apparent enough. He alleged that the Irish clergy were so poor, that

he himself, who was the head of the whole province, lived in a little thatched house, with only one servant, having never above sixty pounds a-year income, so that neither he nor they could be thought very likely to carry on a design of this nature. But the fact being positively sworn against him, and the jury unacquainted with the witnesses' characters, and the scene of action, he was brought in guilty and condemned. It is said that the Earl of Essex was so sensible of the injustice done him, that he applied to the king for a pardon, and told him that the matters sworn against Plunket were so absurd in themselves, that it was impossible for them to be true. But the king answered in a passion, 'Why did you not declare this, then, at the trial? it would have done him some good then; but I dare pardon nobody,' and concluded by saying, 'His blood be upon your head, and not upon mine.'\*\*

With peace and calm Dr. Plunket prepared himself in prison to receive in a worthy manner the glorious privilege of dying for the faith, with which God wished to crown his earthly labours. On the day after the final sentence had been passed against him, he thus wrote to his friend and fellow-prisoner, Father Corker:—

"Dear Sir,

"I am obliged to you for the favour and charity of the 20th, and for all your former benevolence; and whereas I cannot in this country remunerate you, with God's grace I hope to be grateful in that kingdom which is properly our country. And truly God gave me, though unworthy of it, that grace to have *fortem animum mortis terrore carentem*.† I have many sins to answer for before the Supreme Judge of the high bench, where no false witnesses can have an audience. But as for the bench yesterday, I am not guilty of any crime there objected to me. I would I could be so clear at the bench of the All-powerful. However, there is one comfort, that He cannot be deceived, because He is omniscious, and knows all secrets, even of hearts; and cannot deceive, because all goodness; so that I may be sure of a fair trial, and will get time sufficient to call witnesses, nay, the judge will bring them in a moment, if there will be need of any. You and your comrade's prayers will be powerful advocates at that bench; here, none are admitted for

"Your affectionate friend,

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

This composure of soul, and tranquil resignation to the will of God, is attested not only by the friends of the illustrious primate, but also by Protestants who, perchance, had occasion to contemplate and admire his fortitude and heavenly deportment in prison. Sir Richard Bulstrode, for instance, attests that

\* "Chronicle by Sir Richard Baker, continued to the death of King George I." London, 1730.

† A fortitude fearless of death.

"Captain Richardson, keeper of Newgate, being asked by the Lieutenant of the Tower, how this prisoner had behaved himself, he replied, 'Very well, for when I came to him this morning, he was newly awake, having slept all night without any disturbance; and when I told him he was to prepare for his execution, he received the message with all quietness of mind, and went to the sledge as unconcerned as if he had been going to a wedding.' " \*

In addition to the particulars of the closing scene of Tyburn, which we have already presented from the anonymous narrative, we learn many further circumstances connected with Dr. Plunket's execution, from the often referred to letter of the Archbishop of Cashel:—

"The first (i.e. the 11th) of July, 1681, being at length arrived, this great bishop (Dr. Plunket) was brought to the place of execution, destined for public malefactors, being placed upon a sledge trailed on the ground, and drawn by horses, and accompanied by a numerous guard of military, as well as by a multitude of spectators and royal officers; and to all he gave occasion of surprise and edification, because he displayed such a serenity of countenance, such a tranquillity of mind and elevation of soul, that he seemed rather a spouse hastening to the nuptial feast, than a culprit led forth to the scaffold.

"Being arrived at the place of execution, he mounted a car which had been placed there on purpose, and delivered a discourse, which lasted an hour, clearing himself of the accusations for which he suffered—calling God, and the whole heavenly court to witness his innocence as to the pretended conspiracy—and declaring himself an unworthy Catholic prelate, who laboured to preserve and advance the true faith in a just and lawful manner, and by no other means,—and pardoning his accusers, the friars, and their accomplices, the judges, and all who procured or concurred in his death: and he delivered this discourse with such sweetness and energy, that it seems, he moved to compassion even his executioner, and much more so, those who assisted as spectators. Having finished his address, he made a lengthened prayer to God, and passed to a better life, with a fortitude and spirit truly apostolic.

"His discourse is everywhere to be met with in print, and was applauded even by the adversaries of our religion, who could not fail to admire the singular courage, and extol the many heroic acts of the pretended culprit, and to censure the manner of proceeding of the court, and the sentence pronounced against him; the better part of them, and especially those of the province of Armagh, being well acquainted with, and having ever esteemed the deceased prelate, as a man of honour, whilst they knew the accusers to be wicked men, and their accusations incredible.

\* "Memoirs and Reflections upon the Reign and Government of King Charles I. and King Charles II., etc. by Sir Richard Bulstrode. London, 1721.

"An event so unexpected has overwhelmed the Catholics with affliction, seeing thus put to death the head of the clergy in this kingdom, through the perjured testimony of villains, who themselves had often merited the penalty of robbers . . . And he being the first in this kingdom condemned on account of the imaginary conspiracy, it was feared that all the Catholics of the kingdom would be deemed culpable, and guilty of the same deeds, as if united with their head, and this increased their tribulation. But on the other hand, when they consider the glorious death of this sacred victim, and the applause and compassion which he merited even from the Protestants, and the honour he thus rendered to the Church, to his country, and to his sacred dignity, they are filled with consolation.

"And in truth, his holy life merited for him this glorious death; for during the twelve years of his residence here, he showed himself vigilant, zealous, and indefatigable above his predecessors, nor do we find within the memory of those of the present century, that any primate or metropolitan visited his diocese and province with such solicitude and pastoral zeal as he did, reforming depraved morals amongst the people, and the scandalous life of some of the clergy, chastising the guilty, rewarding the meritorious, consoling all; benefiting, as far as was in his power, and succouring the needy, wherefore he was applauded and honoured by the clergy and people, with the exception of some wicked enemies of virtue and religious observance. He held many diocesan synods and provincial councils, to the great spiritual advantage of both clergy and people. He instituted schools of moral theology for the young priests, and procured, as far as was possible to have the children of Catholics educated by Catholic masters, a rare thing in these parts; and in this and other things belonging to his pastoral charge, he showed himself untiringly solicitous. All this was attested more than once by the clergy of the province of Armagh, in synodal letters addressed to the Sacred Congregation, with unusual acclamations and applause, extolling their metropolitan, and reverently thanking his Holiness and the Sacred Congregation, for having chosen as their primate a person so conspicuous and so worthy.

"This prelate merited from the English government more favour than he received, since he was thus oppressed in London by the impious calumnies of his enemies. He bore great affection for that nation, and showed himself ever attentive to the interests of the king, and to the peace of the present government. He gave signal proofs of this during the many years that he lived in Rome, having been attentive to assist its noble youths who went thither to contemplate the grandeur of that city, and procuring for them courtesy and honours even from the chief nobility of that great court, where, too, on every occasion he spoke of the king of England with esteem and praise.

"His affection and manner of discourse did not change since his arrival in Ireland, where he spoke with zeal of the interests of the king and of the present government, exhorting all to a due subordination to the political laws of the kingdom, to peace and fraternal love among all; ordering in his synods that the clergy should labour



to procure the tranquillity of the subjects, and that they should pray for the king and royal family. Of the sincerity of these desires he gave a great proof, which was applauded by all. There was in the province of Armagh a multitude of famous tories, who pestered that province with robberies and murders, of which Protestants were principally the victims. He, at the desire of the Viceroy, went in search of them, not without his own great risk, and having found them, he exhorted them to live as it became good Christians, and to allow the other subjects of his majesty to live in peace; and having treated with them in a kind and paternal manner, he induced them to lay aside their plunderings and to submit to the Government; as in fact they did; and all going to Dublin, he obtained for them pardon from the Viceroy, and they were placed on shipboard and transferred to other countries, to the great delight and advantage of that province; and all extolling the charity of the prelate who, by this means, saved the lives of these tories, and, at the same time, preserved the lives and property of the inhabitants of those districts.

“What has been said of his manner of acting in this kingdom, and how devoted he was to the service of the king and the welfare of his country, was known to the king and to many in the kingdom; and, nevertheless, when his cause was transferred to England, and he himself obliged to appear there, it is not known that any one of them took the slightest trouble to speak or write one word in favour of his merits. Of all that he did in Rome for the English nation, many of the nobility who are now in England can bear testimony; and yet not one of them took a step to manifest his innocence. From all this we may learn to do good for heavenly motives, and to await its recompense from God alone.

“Many Catholics do not hesitate to call him *martyr*, being convinced that he suffered for the Catholic faith; and although he was accused on three principal charges, as he himself writes—first, of having sought to establish and propagate the Catholic faith; second, of plotting the death of the king; third, of seeking to bring in the French—the second and third were only as if means to attain the first, as even the adversaries themselves laid down. In truth, they might be styled two chimeras; so that the only real cause of his suffering was the propagation of the faith; and he confessed publicly, in regard of the first accusation, that he had discharged the office of a prelate *ex æquo et bono*, without doing or seeking to do any injury to any being in the world.

“And as Boetius finds a place in the martyrology for having defended the Catholic faith against the Arians, although the pretext of his death was an imaginary conspiracy against King Theodoric; and, in like manner, St. Hermenegild, for having professed and sought to advance the true faith, although the pretext of his death was a similar conspiracy against King Leovigildus and his kingdom, with the aid of the Greek emperor; so, too, they argue in the present instance. But it is not our province to decide this; *est qui judicet*.

“However this may be, it is certain that the memory of this glorious prelate will ever be revered in these kingdoms, as, on the contrary,

the name of his impious accusers will ever be held in abomination, for having, with sacrilegious impiety, shed this sacred and innocent blood, and procured, with like impiety, to insult the Holy See and the court, as well of Rome as of other Catholic sovereigns, by their wicked and sacrilegious depositions, declaring them promoters of the feigned conspiracy, which, in truth, was forged in hell. They included, too, in their accusations against the primate, the Catholics of Ireland as aiders in advancing that engine, from which will result the ruin of our people, unless God, in a special manner, protects them; and on this account it is that, as I am of opinion, from the time of the institution of the order of St. Francis, the name of *friar* was never less revered in these parts, not only amongst Catholics, but also amongst the adversaries of our holy faith."

These words need no comment; they present, as if in a picture, the scene of Tyburn—sad, indeed, when looked on with the eyes of this world, but truly glorious when contemplated with the eye of faith. The death of the good prelate corresponded with his life; and his dispositions of soul and heavenly sentiments fully accorded with the glorious consummation of his career as bishop of God's Church. The discourse which he delivered from the scaffold, with as great calmness and energetic zeal as though he were addressing from the pulpit his own immediate flock, moved all the assembled multitude, and even his executioner to compassion; and surely no one even now-a-days can read without emotion even the dead letters of the printed discourse, especially the concluding passages, in which he prays forgiveness to all his enemies, and supplicates from the Almighty pardon for his own faults and eternal rest in heaven. Dr. Plunket composed this discourse in prison, and left it to his friends, written with his own hand; for he feared lest his dying words should be misrepresented, or any false sentiments be imputed to him. It was immediately printed and translated into various languages,\* as we learn from Dr. Brennan. We give it in full, from the printed copy in the archives of Propaganda:—

"I have† some few days past abided my trial at the King's Bench, and now very soon I must hold up my hand at the King of King's Bench, and appear before a Judge who cannot be deceived by false witnesses or corrupted allegations; for He knoweth the secrets of

\* The Italian translation was made in 1681. A printed copy of it is extant in the Casanatense Library, Rome.

† This discourse of Dr. Plunket is taken from an original printed copy, which in the end bears the inscription "*London: Printed by N. Tompson, 1681.*" It is headed "*The speech of Mr. Oliver Plunket, Titular Primate of Ireland, who was executed at Tyburn, on Friday the 1st of this instant, July 1681, written by his own hand.*"

hearts; neither can He deceive any or give an unjust sentence, or be misled by respect of persons: *He being all goodness, and a most just Judge, will infallibly decree an eternal reward for all good works, and condign punishment for the smallest transgression against His commandments*, which being a most certain and undoubted truth, it would be wicked, and contrary to my eternal welfare, that I should now, by declaring anything contrary to the truth, commit a detestable sin, for which, within a very short time, I must receive sentence of everlasting damnation; after which there is no reprieve or hope of pardon. I will, therefore, confess the truth, without any equivocation, and make use of the words according to their accustomed signification; assuring you, moreover, that I am of that certain persuasion, that no power, not only upon earth, but also in heaven, can dispense with me, or give me leave to make a false protestation; and I protest upon the word of a dying man, that as I hope for salvation at the hands of the supreme Judge, that I will declare the naked truth with all candour and sincerity; and that my affairs may be better known to all the world. It is to be observed, that I have been accused in Ireland of treason and præmunire, and that there I was arraigned and brought to my trial; but the prosecutors (men of flagitious and infamous lives) perceiving that I had records and witnesses who would evidently convict them, and clearly show my innocence and their wickedness, they voluntarily absented themselves, and came to this city to procure that I should be brought hither to my trial, where the crimes were not committed, where the jury did not know me or the qualities of my accusers, and were not informed of several other circumstances conducing to a fair trial. Here, after six months' close imprisonment (or thereabouts), I was brought to the bar, the 3rd of May, and arraigned for a crime for which I was before arraigned in Ireland; a strange resolution, a rare fact, of which you shall hardly find a precedent these five hundred years past; but (whereas) my witnesses and records were in Ireland, the Lord Chief Justice gave me five weeks time to get them brought hither; but by reason of the uncertainty of the seas, of wind, and weather, and the difficulty of getting copies of records, and bringing many witnesses from many counties in Ireland, and many other impediments (of which *affidavit* was made) I could not at the end of five weeks get the records and witnesses brought hither; I, therefore, begged for twelve days more, that I might be in a readiness for my trial, which the Lord Chief Justice denied, and so I was brought to my trial, and exposed, as it were, with my hands tied, to those merciless perjurers, who did aim at my life by accusing me of these following points:—

“First—That I have sent letters by one Nial O’Neal (who was my page) to M. Baldeschi, the Pope’s Secretary, to the Bishop of Aix, and to the Prince Colonna, that they might solicit foreign powers to invade Ireland; and also to have sent letters to Cardinal Bouillon to the same effect.

“Secondly.—To have employed Captain Con O’Neal to the French king for succour.

Thirdly.—To have levied and exacted monies from the clergy of Ireland, to bring in the French, and to maintain 70,000 men.

Fourthly.—To have had in a readiness 70,000 men, and lists made of them, and to have given directions to one friar Duffy, to make a list of 250 men in the parish of Foghart, in the county of Louth.

Fifthly.—To have surrounded all the forts and harbours of Ireland, and to have fixed upon Carlingford as a fit harbour for the French's landing.

Sixthly.—To have had several councils and meetings where there was money allotted for introducing the French.

Finally.—That I held a meeting in the county of Monaghan, some ten or twelve years past, where there were 300 gentlemen of three several counties, to wit, Monaghan, Cavan, and Armagh, whom I did exhort to take arms to recover their estates.

To the first I answer, that Nial O'Neal was never my page or servant, and that I never sent letter or letters by him to M. Baldeschi, or to the Bishop of Aix, or to the Prince Colonna; and I say, that the English translation of that pretended letter produced by the friar MacMoyer is a mere invention of his, and never penned by me, or its original, in English, Latin, Italian, or any other language. I affirm, moreover, that I never wrote letter or letters to Cardinal Bouillon, or any of the French king's ministers; neither did any one who was in that court either speak to me or write to me, directly or indirectly, of any plot or conspiracy against the king or country. Further, I vow that I never sent agent or agents to Rome, or to any other, about any civil or temporal affairs; and it is well known (for it is a precept publicly printed) that clergymen (living where the government is not of Roman Catholics) are commanded by Rome not to write to Rome, concerning any civil or temporal affairs. And I do aver that I never received letter or letters from the Pope, or from any of his ministers, making the least mention of any such matters, so that the friar MacMoyer and Duffy swore as to such letter or letters, agent or agents.

To the second I say, that I never employed Captain Con O'Neal to the French king, or to any of his ministers; and that I never wrote to him, or received letters from him; and that I never saw him but once, nor ever spoke to him, to the best of my remembrance, ten words; and as for his being in Charlemont or Dungannon, I never saw him in these towns, or knew of his being in these places; so that as to Con O'Neal, friar MacMoyer's depositions are most false.

To the third I say, that I never levied any money for a plot or conspiracy for bringing in the Spaniards or French, neither did I ever receive any on that account from priests or friars, as priest MacClave and friar Duffy most untruly asserted. I assure you I never received from any clergyman in Ireland but what was due to me, by ancient custom, for my maintenance, and what my predecessors these hundred years were wont to receive; nay, I received less than many of them. And if all what the Catholic clergy of Ireland get in the year were put in one purse, it would signify little or nothing to introduce the French, or to raise an army of 70,000 men, which I had enlisted and ready, as

friar MacMoyer most falsely deposed; neither is it less untrue what friar Duffy attested, viz., that I directed him to make a list of 250 men in the parish of Foghart, in the county of Louth.

"To the fifth I answer, that I never surrounded all the ports or harbours of Ireland, and that I never was at Cork, Kinsale, Bantry, Youghal, Dungarvan, or Knockfergus; and these thirty-six years past I was not at Limerick, Dungannon, or Wexford. As for Carlingfort, I never was in it but once, and staid not in it above half an hour; neither did I consider the port or haven; neither had I it in my thoughts or imagination to fix upon it, or any other port or haven, for landing of French or Spaniards, and while I was at Carlingfort (by mere chance passing that way), friar Duffy was not in my company, as he most falsely swore.

"To the sixth I say, that I never was at any meeting or council where there was mention made of allotting or collecting of monies for a plot or conspiracy; and it is well known that the Catholic clergy of Ireland, who have neither lands or revenues, and hardly are able to keep decent clothes on their backs, and life and soul together, can raise no considerable sum, nay, cannot spare as much as would maintain half a regiment.

"To the seventh I answer, that I never was at any meeting of 300 gentlemen in the county of Monaghan, or of any gentlemen of the three counties of Monaghan, Armagh, and Cavan, nor of one county, nor of one barony; and that I never exhorted gentleman or gentlemen, either there or in any other part of Ireland, to take arms for the recovering of their estates; and it is well known that there are not, even in all the province of Ulster, 300 Irish Roman Catholics who had estates or lost estates by the late rebellion, and, as it is well known, all my thoughts and desires were for the quiet of my country, and especially of that province.

"Now to be brief, as I hope for salvation, I never sent letter or letters, agent or agents, to Pope, king, prince, or prelate, concerning any plot or conspiracy against my king or country: I never raised sum or sums of money, great or small, to maintain soldier or soldiers, all the days of my life: I never knew or heard (neither did it come to my thoughts or imagination) that the French were to land at Carlingfort; and I believe that there is none who saw Ireland, even in a map, but will think it a mere romance: I never knew of any plotters or conspirators in Ireland, but such as were notorious or proclaimed (commonly called tories), whom I did endeavour to suppress. And as I hope for salvation, I always have been, and am entirely innocent of the treasons laid to my charge, and of any other whatsoever.

"And though I be not guilty of the crimes of which I am accused, yet I believe none came ever to this place in such a condition as I am, for if even I should acknowledge (which in conscience I cannot do, because I should belie myself) the chief crimes laid to my charge, no wise man that knows Ireland would believe me. If I should confess that I was able to raise 70,000 men in the districts of which I had care, to wit, in Ulster, nay, even in all Ireland, and to have levied and

exacted monies from the Catholic clergy, for their maintenance, and to have proposed Carlingfort for the French's landing, all would but laugh at me, it being well known that all the revenues of Ireland, both spiritual and temporal, possessed by his majesty's subjects, are scarce able to raise and maintain an army of 70,000 men. If I will deny all these crimes (as I did and do), yet it may be that some who are not acquainted with the affairs of Ireland will not believe that my denial is grounded on truth, though I assert it with my last breath. I dare mention farther, and affirm, that if these points of 70,000 men, &c., had been sworn before any Protestant jury in Ireland, and had been even acknowledged by me at the bar, they would not believe me, no more than if it had been deposed and confessed by me, that I had flown in the air from Dublin to Holyhead.

"You see, therefore, what a condition I am in, and you have heard what protestations I have made of innocency, and I hope you will believe the words of a dying man. And that you may be the more induced to give me credit, I assure you that a great peer sent me notice, *'that he would save my life, if I would accuse others;'* but I answered, *'that I never knew of any conspirators in Ireland, but such (as I said before) as were publicly known outlaws; and that to save my life I would not falsely accuse any, nor prejudice my own soul. Quid prodest homini, &c. To take away any man's life or goods wrongfully ill becometh any Christian, especially a man of my calling, being a clergyman of the Catholic Church, and also an unworthy prelate, which I do openly confess.* Neither will I deny to have exercised in Ireland the functions of a Catholic prelate, as long as there was connivance or toleration; and by preaching, and teaching, and statutes, to have endeavoured to bring the clergy (of which I had a care) to a due comportment, according to their calling; and though thereby I did but my duty, yet some, who would not amend, had a prejudice for me, and especially my accusers, to whom I did endeavour to do good; I mean the clergyman (as for the four laymen who appeared against me, viz., Florence MacMoyer, the two Neales, and Hanlon, I was never acquainted with them); but you see how I am requited, and how, by false oaths, they brought me to this untimely death, which wicked act, being a defect of persons, ought not to reflect on the order of St. Francis or on the Roman Catholic clergy, it being well known that there was a Judas among the twelve apostles, and a wicked man, called Nicholas, amongst the seven deacons; and even as one of the said deacons, to wit, holy Stephen, did pray for those who stoned him, so do I for those who, with perjuries, spill my innocent blood, saying, as St. Stephen did, *'Lord, lay not this sin to them.'* I do heartily forgive them, and also the judges who (by denying me sufficient time to bring my records and witnesses from Ireland) did expose my life to evident danger. I do also forgive all those who had a hand in bringing me from Ireland to be tried here, where it was morally impossible for me to have a fair trial. I do, finally, forgive all who did concur, directly or indirectly, to take away my life; and I ask forgiveness of all those whom I ever offended by thought, word, or deed. I beseech

the All-powerful that His divine Majesty grant the king, the queen, and the Duke of York, and all the royal family, health, long life, and all prosperity in this world, and in the next everlasting felicity.

"Now that I have shown sufficiently (as I think) how innocent I am of any plot or conspiracy, I would I were able, with the like truth, to clear myself of high crimes committed against the divine Majesty's commandments (often transgressed by me), for which I am sorry with all my heart; and if I should or could live a thousand years, I have a firm resolution, and a strong purpose, by your Grace, oh, my God! never to offend you; and I beseech your divine Majesty, by the merits of Christ, and by the intercession of His blessed Mother and all the holy angels and saints, to forgive me my sins, and to grant my soul eternal rest. *Miserere mei Deus, &c. Parce anima, &c. In manus tuas, &c.*

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

To this discourse Dr. Plunket added the following postscript before going out to execution, re-confirming the sentiments of the preceding discourse, and renewing the declarations which it contained:—

To the final satisfaction of all persons that have the charity to believe the words of a dying man I again declare before God, as I hope for salvation, what is contained in this paper is the plain and naked truth without any equivocation, mental reservation, or secret evasion whatever, taking the words in their usual sense and meaning, as Protestants do when they discourse with all candour and sincerity. To all which I have here subscribed my hand.

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

Having concluded his discourse on the scaffold, the archbishop knelt in prayer, and with eyes raised towards heaven, recited the psalm, "*Miserere mei Deus,*" and many other devout prayers; and having breathed the aspiration, "*in manus tuas Domine commendo spiritum meum,*" "*into thy hands, oh Lord, I commend my spirit,*" the cart was drawn away, and whilst at the hands of the executioner he received the disgraceful punishment of a traitor, he yielded his happy soul into the hands of his Creator.

To conclude this chapter, we shall add the letter of a Catholic gentleman, who, as we learn from the Archbishop of Cashel, was present at the execution. It was addressed to that dear friend of the martyred prelate, who transmitted it to Rome, and at the same time, deeming it a letter of edification, distributed many copies of it throughout the country, to the great consolation of

the Catholics."\* It is dated, London, 15th of July, 1681, and is as follows:—

"On Friday last, despite all our endeavours, our good man was conducted to the fatal place of execution; whither he went to receive and encounter death with a soul so noble, and a fortitude so generous, that his adversaries—even malice itself—admired his intrepidity and compassioned his lot. Never did he preach from the pulpit with greater vigour of soul than he displayed when delivering this discourse at the place of execution. In a word, he won more credit and repute, as well for himself as for his country, by one hour of suffering, than he could have acquired perhaps by hundreds of years of life; and I am persuaded that there was never a victim of the Irish nation which will reflect more credit on that kingdom, than this revered and truly Christian prelate; who, as a Jonas cast into the sea, will, we may hope, be a means of appeasing the tempest, and terminating our present persecutions. And of this, indeed, we have already had some evidence; for on the very day after the death of this martyr, the Earl of Shaftesbury, head of the anti-Catholic faction, was committed to the Tower of London, accused of high treason, where are also imprisoned on the same grounds, Lord Howard, and two others of the same party; so that even already the scales have commenced to change their balance, and we have begun to hope for better times."

Dr. Plunket was the last victim to the anti-Catholic fury with which the English nation was then inflamed; and the next day, which witnessed the fall of Shaftesbury, and saw that arch-enemy of the Catholics conducted to the Tower, saw also the very witnesses whom he had fostered employ their perjured tales to hurry on his ruin. Many, indeed, even in after years, were called to share in Dr. Plunket's crown, but never with the formalities of a trial, or with the public and direct sanction of the government. With him was closed the bright array of heroes of the faith who at Tyburn received their martyr-crowns. The enemies of the Catholic Church had vainly hoped by shedding their blood to destroy the faith, but they forgot that the blood of martyrs is a fruitful seed—that the sword of persecution can only prune the vine and cause it to put forth new branches—and that the Church of God is, indeed, the mystic field, in which each grain cast into the earth buds forth remultiplied.

\* See Narrative of the Archbishop of Cashel.



## CHAPTER XXIX.

### HEROIC SENTIMENTS OF DR. PLUNKET DURING HIS IMPRISONMENT, AND AT THE PLACE OF EXECUTION.

LITTLE remains for us in this chapter, save to allow the reader to draw his own conclusions from some letters of Dr. Plunket, and from other documents connected with his imprisonment and subsequent martyrdom; these documents speak for themselves, and bear with them such an evidence as places in the clearest light the heroic sanctity of this glorious confessor of Christ, and the high degree of perfection to which he had attained. Above all, they attest his calmness and resignation to the Divine will in all his sufferings. In the first letter addressed from prison he burst forth into the exclamation, "the Lord be praised, who, by these prisons, has given me occasion of spiritual joy." When forced to embark and abide his trial before a London jury, his only sentiments are: "may all be for the greater glory of God and the salvation of my soul." The Archbishop of Cashel declares that in prison he "proved himself wholly master of himself and superior to all the adversities of this world;"\* that he spent his time "in prayer and mortification, and in exhorting the faithful to perseverance in the true faith, and to bear with patience their present tribulations;" that in his own deportment and resignation he presented to all "the example of a worthy prelate," and filled his very guards with confusion and admiration. The writer of the anonymous narrative, too, continually speaks of *heroic virtue*, his *perfect charity for his enemies*, the eager desire of Catholics to visit him, and their rapture, as well as edification, in conversing with him. On the scaffold such was the heavenly unction of his discourse, and the angelic sweetness of his manner, that all were moved to compassion, and the fruits of this closing scene of his glorious career were such that many of his friends could declare him to have merited greater reward, and to have achieved more good by this one hour of his suffering than he could possibly have attained by a century of missionary toil. But, in addition to these writers, who thus incidentally declare to us these striking features

\* In another letter of 20th April, 1680, Dr. Brennan writes: "l'Armacano sta tuttavia confinato nel primo regio ergastolo, *constans in adversis*."

of the holy primate, many others may be cited, who, in like words, or, perhaps, still more explicitly, commemorate the glorious triumph which he thus achieved in his happy death. The learned theologian, Arsdekin,\* declares that—

“The multitude which was gathered together from all sides, proclaimed with one accord his innocence, his Christian constancy, and his incredible contempt for death; and many, too, affirmed that, did he live for one hundred years, yet never could he have gained such glory for himself, for God, for his country, and for the Catholic faith.”

The Internuncio, too, from Brussels, writing on the 19th of December, 1681, after stating that the intercession of the Spanish ambassador with the king of England, in favour of Dr. Plunket, had been in vain, and that the only answer given by the king was, “I can allow no one to make an attempt on my life, though he be a Catholic and an archbishop,” adds the following interesting circumstance of his execution:—

“In effect, it is notorious that the most obstinate and most barbarous heretics themselves were forced to feel compassion for him, and many Protestants of the highest name and dignity in their sect declared, that if the government continued to put to death Catholics of such fervour and zeal, they would soon succeed in rendering Catholic all England.”†

This well confirms the noble testimony of the Archbishop of Cashel, that the Protestants themselves were filled with admiration at the heroism which he displayed, and that the Catholics, too, were consoled, “considering the glorious death of this sacred victim, and the applause which he merited, even from Protestants, and the honour which he thus rendered to the Church, to his country, and to his sacred dignity.”

The letters addressed from prison a little while before his death, perhaps still better than any testimony of others, reveal to us the heavenly calm which reigned in all his faculties—his complete resignation to the Divine will—his spiritual joy at being thus

\* Theol. Trip. p. 230. “Populus certe undequaque circumfusus summo animarum sensu ipsius innocentiam, Christianam constantiam, et incredibilem mortis contemptum ita deprecavit ut plurimi palam affirmaverint etsi ad centum annos vixisset, nunquam sibi, Deo, patrie suæ, ac religioni Romanæ tantum gloriæ consequi potuisse.”

† Archiv. de Propag. “In effetto è notorio che gli eretici stessi più ostinati e più barbari furono necessitati ad averne compassione, e vari Protestanti di maggior grido e posto nella loro setta dissero che se continuava a far morire Cattolici d'ugual fervore e zelo si sarebbe trovato in breve il modo di rendere Cattolica tutta l'Inghilterra.”

chosen by God for the crown of martyrdom. We have already seen one of his letters addressed to Father Corker, in which he thanked God for having strengthened him "*with a fortitude fearless of death.*" In another, addressed to the same worthy ecclesiastic, he expressed his joy at the prospect of being put to death for the faith, "since Ireland, so fertile in saints, has but few martyrs."\* Nothing, too, can be more calm and dignified than the following letter, which he wrote to his relative, Michael Plunket, a student of the Irish College in Rome, on the day after sentence of death was passed on him:—

"DEAR MICHAEL PLUNKET,

"On the eighth of this month I was brought to trial, accused of introducing the Catholic religion, of preparing 70,000 men for rebellion, collecting money for them, exploring the fortresses and forts of Ireland, and of destining Carlingford as the landing-place for the French. I applied for time to bring my witnesses from Ireland, but in vain. I argued that the pretended crime having been committed in Ireland, it should be there discussed, or that at least a jury should be brought thence, who would be better acquainted with the circumstances and condition of those concerned; but everything was denied to me. Two Franciscan friars were the principal accusers against me, the one named MacMoyer, and the other Hugh Duffy, and a certain priest of the Maclanes. Four seculars also appeared against me, viz., two of the O'Neils, a certain Hanlon, and Florence MacMoyer. As to these four I never saw them in my life. MacMoyer swore that he saw with Neal O'Neil, who went to the Congregation of Propaganda, letters sent by me to the most Rev. Monsig. Baldeschi, to Prince Colonna, to the Bishop of Aix, soliciting foreign aid for the invasion of Ireland. When I alleged that no one was ever known, when accused before the tribunals in Ireland, to have been afterwards summoned to answer to the same charges in England, the judge eluded my argument by adducing the case of a certain O'Rourke who was brought from Ireland and tried here in England; but I replied that he was outlawed, and that his arrest took place in Scotland.

"Sentence of death has been passed against me, and there is no hope of respite or pardon, and thus those who beheaded me in effigy, have now attained their intent of beheading the prototype. Friar MacMoyer, by means of two of his brethren, induced another friar and a priest and the laymen to act as they have done. I pardon them all, and, with St. Stephen, I cry out, 'Oh, Lord, lay not this sin to their charge.' I think I will be executed about the end of this month. Show this letter or its contents to all my friends, and pressingly solicit their prayers for me. I never sought to introduce the Catholic religion unless by teaching and preaching. My conscience never reproached me with being

\* See extract given by Rev. George Crolly, in his "Life and Death of Oliver Plunket," p. 226.

guilty of any conspiracy or rebellion, direct or indirect. Oh, would to God that I were as free from every other stain and sin against the divine precepts as I am from this. Therefore, it is necessary for all my friends to pray for me, as I confide they will.

"I remain your friend,

"OLIVER PLUNKET."

This letter was enclosed to Canon Joyce in Brussels, with the following note addressed to the good canon:—

"DEAR MR. JOYCE,

"By the enclosed letter you see how matters get on with me. I implore your prayers, and the favour to send the enclosed to Michael Plunket, and to show it to your friend Picquet and Pruisson,\* and I am your dear friend,

"OLIVER PLUNKET.

"London, 16th June, 1681."

The Internuncio, writing on the 5th of July, 1681, transmits a copy of both these letters to Rome, and in addition asks for their prayers in the Eternal City, that this innocent victim may be strengthened in his last trial, and receive from God the grace of constancy in his sacred purpose.†

A few days later Dr. Plunket addressed another letter to this same relative, Michael Plunket. It is one of the few letters in the Roman archives whose original is in English, and it must be especially dear to the reader, as recording the sentiments of our primate a few days before his execution. It is dated 22nd June, styl. vet. (i. e. 2nd July), 1681, and is as follows:—

"‡ MR. MICHAEL PLUNKET.

"22nd June, 1681, styl. vet.

"Sentence of death passed against me on 15th, without causing me any fear, or depriving me of sleep for a quarter of an hour. I am innocent of all treason as the child born yesterday. As for my character, profession, and function, I did own it publicly, and that being also a motive of my death, I die most willingly, and being the first among the Irish, I will teach others, with the grace of God, by example, not to fear death. But how am I, a poor creature, so stout? seeing that my Redeemer began to fear, to be weary and sad, and that drops of His blood

\* These were the names by which the Internuncio was indicated.

† See Appendix.

‡ It is directed to:—

"A Monsr.

"Monsr. Joyce, de

"Ste. Gudule,

"Bruxelles."

ran down to the ground. I have considered that Christ, by His fears and passions, merited for me to be without fear.

"I was refused sufficient time to bring my witnesses and records from Ireland; the witnesses came eight days after I was found guilty, and would not be heard. The jury here was not informed of the qualities of my adversaries, or of several other circumstances conducing to a fair trial. And I was here arraigned for the same facts for which I was before arraigned in Ireland, of which there could be no precedent given. MacMoyer and one Friar Duffy were the principal accusers. One priest Macclane appeared, but said little. Murphy, at the trial, touched with sorrow, would not prosecute: four seculars, never known by me, appeared, to wit, two of the O'Neills, one Hanlon, and one Florence MacMoyer, open perjurers. And Tyrrell\* and Dromgole and Luke were found guilty at Dundalk by the grand jury. Dromgole was out at bonds, but Tyrrell was not then taken. One Paul O'Gormley and Coddon, friars, were brought hither to prosecute, but they did not. Forstall, of Kildare, and Creagh† are, for certain, prisoners in Dublin; and I am told that Tyrrell and Dromgole will be brought hither to their trial. MacMoyer spoke of Brennan and Creagh at the trial of being agents. Felix O'Neil was a declared enemy of Tyrrell and Luke. Anthony Daly had some words with Dromgole.

"I am informed by persons of credit that Felix and Daly did contrive all this tragedy before their departure from Ireland. MacMoyer was Daly's vicar, and Duffy is a fosterer, or of that family who fostered Felix: if so, God forgive them; and I do forgive all who had a hand; directly or indirectly, in my death and in my innocent blood.

"I have recommended you to my friends there; and also my nephews and two nieces. Jemmy and Joseph begun their philosophy, and Mickey ended his prosody. Catty and Tomasina and all will be in a sad condition. You know that Ned is simple, and that by Cromwell's people, what little land and mortgages he had left him by his father were lost: and I believe my friends there will help my nephews, if you speak to Monsignore. I stuck to my care and districts until death.

"The English Catholics were here most charitable to me; they spared neither money nor gold to relieve me, and in my trial did for me all that even my brother would do; they are rare Catholics and most constant sufferers. My accusers swore that I had seventy thousand men in Ireland to promote the Catholic cause, that I had the harbour of Carlingford ready to bring in the French, and that I levied monies upon the clergy in Ireland, for their maintenance—such romances as would not be believed by any jury in Ireland. As for what was opposed of my profession, character, function, &c., I owned publicly, and die for it willingly: my mind remains unmoved by the terrors of death: *fortem servo animum mortis terrore carentem*.

\* Dr. Tyrrell, bishop of Clogher; Dr. Dromgole, vic.-gen. of Armagh; Dr. Luke Plunket, vic.-ap. of Derry.

† Bishop of Cork.

"I expect daily to be brought to the place of execution, where my bowels are to be cut out and burned before my face, and then my head to be cut off, &c.; which death I embrace willingly: I desire to be dissolved: cupio dissolvi, &c. What speech I will have at my death will be sent to you. If I had obtained sufficient time to have brought my witnesses from Ireland, I had, I think, defended myself as to those romances of treason; but it was not granted, and I was brought to my trial destitute of all legal ways of defence. The judges could not bring one precedent of any arraigned in Ireland, and afterwards brought to England to be arraigned for the same fact. If the trial had been in Ireland no Protestant jury had believed the romances of treason sworn against me, so with that 70,000 men enrolled in Ireland to promote the Catholic cause, that I levied monies in Ireland for their maintenance, and that I had the haven of Carlingford for to receive a French army, which haven is hardly able to receive fishermen, yet it passed here, and also the 70,000 men, for sworn truths.

"Salute all my friends there as if I had named them, and I recommend myself to their prayer: none of them ought to be grieved for my death, being as innocent of what is lodged to my charge as a child unborn, as to matter of treason: as for my religion and character, 'tis glorious for all my friends that I should die for it. I did expect yesterday to be brought to execution, but finding I am not to be brought to it until Friday or Saturday, I thought fit to write to you these few lines.

"See what you can do with Mr. Cybo and others for Jemmy, Joseph, &c., who, by my untimely death, will be in a sad condition, unless they be relieved. I can hardly believe, though 'tis reported, that Tyrrell is taken: Dromgole, I know, was, and Forstall and Creagh. If they be, I will lead them the way to a worthy death.

"What pictures are there I leave them to the place where you are, and where I got my first education. I would there were cornices about them. You may write to Joyce, and direct your letters thus:—*For Mr. Thomas Golding, in the Inns, Dublin*, and he will send them to \* Acarne. If I will not be executed on Friday, this shall not be the last. These eight days past I have some more liberty than before. I could not get leave to have a priest, though there be eight or nine here condemned: amongst whom there is one Mr. Corker, to whom I am much obliged. Yet I am in hopes to get leave for one of them to come to me. 22 Junii, stylo vet.

"P.S.—Besides two great persons, whom I will not name, these were most kind to me and charitable:—The Lady Goreing, the Lord Browne, the Lord Bellasis, the Marquis of Winchester, the Lady Francis Meeth, Mrs. Chavers, Mr. Horgreaves, Sir Charley Wilgrave, Mr. Sheldon, Mr. Dormer, and several other unknown benefactors. Bringing my witnesses from Ireland, expenses of solicitors, lawyers, orders, petitions, &c., came to hundreds, and debts I have contracted, above a hundred: my own expenses in prison came near 100 those seven months.

"Another P.S.—I am also much obliged to a clergyman, Mr.

\* Or Acarne.

Morsall, Mr. Napper, Mr. Anderson, and to all; and to the lord of Strafford, who was executed's family, to Mr. Sheldon's family, and to several others."

This letter, as was usual, was not sent directly to Rome but enclosed in another to Canon Joyce, which has been preserved to us by Father Arsdekin, and which we translate from his Latin version:—

"Sentence of death has been passed against me, but I fear it not; nor does it deprive me of one moment of my rest, for I am as free from all the conspiracy imputed to me, as the infant of yesterday. As to what regarded my character, profession, and function, I publicly professed it, and this being the cause of my death, I willingly lay down my life, and, as I am the first of the Irish bishops to come hither, so, aided by the divine grace, I will give example to the others not to dread such a death. But wherefore have I, a miserable creature, such courage of soul, whilst I see that even my Creator on the approach of death began to fear and dread? But I reflect, indeed, that Christ, by his fear and dread, merited for me to be free from all fear. A sufficient time for bringing my witnesses from Ireland was denied me; they arrived eight days after I was condemned to death, but I willingly embrace it as I die for my profession, character, and function. I expect every day to be led out for execution, where my bowels are to be taken out and burned before my face, and then my head cut off. I ardently desire to be dissolved, and to be with Christ. I pardon from my heart, and I pray that God may pardon all who in any way were cause of my death."

Surely nothing can be more generous, more noble than the sentiments of these letters of the holy prelate; the unaffected piety which they breathe, and at the same time the ardour which they disclose to us of his desire to suffer for the faith, and that true Christian courage which, whilst it joyously reposes on the divine aid, is ever diffident in itself, and loves to dwell on its own lowliness and infirmity, recall to mind the letters of the glorious confessors of the early ages, and cannot fail to fill the soul with spiritual consolation and delight.

Eight days after the primate's execution, the Internuncio Tanari writes from Brussels, conveying the sad intelligence to the authorities in Rome:—

"It has been impossible to prevent or defer the sentence of death which was passed against the Archbishop of Armagh, tho king having obstinately resolved that his cause should proceed in the same manner as that of Fitzharris, whose execution was eagerly desired by his majesty, in order to strike terror, for the future, into his calumniators. Twelve

days were denied him, which the prelate requested, that the witnesses whom he had summoned from Ireland might arrive; and after their arrival, their testimony would not be received, as he had already been found guilty, for the court was afraid to displease the people, should they execute a rebel and calumniator, and declare free from the imputed calumnies a Catholic who was truly innocent, that is, should they put Fitzharris to death and merely detain Dr. Plunket in prison. Perhaps, however, this impious policy has promoted the interests of religion more efficaciously than the zeal of Dr. Plunket could have effected, though he had lived for many years, since all who had conversed with him in prison, or saw him when standing before the judge, or when led out to execution, have admired the sanctity of his manners, the constancy of his soul, and his calm resignation. In a lengthened and able discourse, of which I annex a translation, (for he sent the original signed, by his own hand, to the palace of the Spanish ambassador), he defended his innocence, so that all present were moved and compassionated his lot, the more so as they heard at the same time Fitzharris acknowledge his guilt and retract his accusations against the queen and the Duke of York.

"After his death the Catholics were allowed to collect and put together the remains of the prelate and inter them, as he had desired, near the Jesuit fathers who suffered in 1679."

The Bishop of Clogher, Dr. Tyrrell, wrote about the same time to the Secretary of Propaganda, conveying the same sad intelligence:—

"What I feared has at length come to pass, that is, the Archbishop of Armagh, falsely accused of treason against the king and state, was, on the 11th of this month of July, executed and beheaded, having left in writing a last declaration of his innocence, and a *glorious example to us of an indomitable patience and constancy*. His accusers were bad priests, degenerate friars, most wicked laymen, though, nevertheless, all pretended Catholics."

Another important document, testifying the heroic sentiments with which the glorious prelate prepared for his happy lot, was presented by the learned Jesuit, Father Teyling, to the Sacred Congregation, and is a recapitulation of various letters which he had received from members of his order, and others in London. It is as follows:—

"1. Dr. Plunket, primate of Ireland, died with the greatest fortitude and piety that could be wished for; and with such a serenity and joy of countenance, that the innumerable multitude which was assembled, by repeated exclamations, attested his innocence, and even his enemies wept at his death.



"2. The blessed martyr (as another letter says), had a great esteem for Father Whitbread and his companions, Jesuits, who a short time before had been put to death, so that he asked to be interred with them, as was accordingly done.

"3. Father Edward Peters, a prisoner in the Tower, was present at the execution, and writes, that the primate Plunket had the look of an angel who had descended from heaven, and was about to return thither : and that he has rendered immense glory to the Catholic religion by his angelical deportment in death as well as when conducted through London to the place of execution.

"4. In other letters it is said that on the days which preceded his death the concourse was continuous from morning till evening, of persons of every class, and all attested their extreme delight and edification at his manners, discourses, and modesty ; and even the children went to visit him. Such, moreover, was his resignation, that he declared to a friend that he knew not which to choose, were it proposed to him to live or to die. And, moreover, he felt so comforted at the prospect of dying, that he wrote to a Benedictine father, confined in the same prison, that he felt a scruple for the little, or rather, no fear of death. He prepared himself for death on the day preceding his execution, all alone, and with the assistance of a priest, admitted to him by the keeper, who, though most cruel with others, yet with the primate was merciful and compassionate.

It now only remains to present\* to the reader the beautiful and well-known narrative written by the often commemorated Benedictine, Father Corker. This worthy priest was fellow prisoner with the primate, and had more than once enjoyed the privilege of holding communication with him by letter. Dr. Plunket speaks of him as one from whom he had received special favours, and for whom he had entertained a particular esteem. In this narrative, which was written immediately after the execution, the good Benedictine father describes his own personal emotions when communicating with the holy man, as well as the sentiments of all who approached him, and the heroic practice of every virtue with which he disposed himself to receive the martyr's crown :—

"I cannot as yet," he thus writes, "pretend to give you, as you desire, a description of the virtues of the glorious archbishop and martyr, Dr. Oliver Plunket. I am promised the particulars of his life and actions, both at Rome, where he studied and taught almost twenty years, and in Ireland, where he exercised his episcopal, or rather,

\* It is deserving of remark that Protestant historians, such as Burnet, Echard, Stuart, Leland, Carte, Harris, Hume, and others, write almost in the same strain as our own Catholic historians concerning the virtues and heroic constancy of Dr. Plunket.

apostolic function, till he became a champion of faith ; but these particulars are not, as yet, arrived at my hands. After his transportation hither, he was, as you know, close confined, and secluded from all conversation, save that of the keepers, until his arraignment ; so that here also I am much in the dark, and can only inform you of what I learned, as it were by chance, from the mouths of the keepers, viz., that he spent his time in almost continual prayer ; that he fasted usually three or four days a-week, with nothing but bread ; that he appeared to them always modestly cheerful, without any anguish or concern at his danger or strait confinement ; that by his sweet and pious demeanour, he attracted an esteem and reverence from those few that came near him. When he was arraigned, it is true, I could write to him, and he to me, but our letters were read, transcribed, and examined by the officers before they were delivered to either of us. For which cause we had little other communication than what was necessary in order to his trial. But the trial being ended, and he condemned, his man had leave to wait on him alone in his chamber, by whose means we had free intercourse by letters to each other. And now it was, I clearly perceived, the spirit of God in him, and those lovely fruits of the Holy Ghost, charity, joy, peace, &c., transparent in his soul. And not only I but many other Catholics, who came to receive his benediction, and were eye-witnesses (a favour not denied to us) can testify ; there appeared in his words, in his actions, in his countenance, something so divinely elevated—such a composed mixture of cheerfulness, constancy, love, sweetness, and candour, as manifestly denoted the divine Goodness had made him fit for a victim, and destined him for heaven. None saw or came near him, but received new comfort, new fervour, new desires to please, serve, and suffer for Christ Jesus, by his very presence. Concerning the manner and state of his prayer, he seemed most devoted to Catholic sentences taken out of scripture, the divine office, and missal, which he made me procure for him three months before he died. Upon these sentences he let his soul dilate itself in love, following herein the sweet impulse and dictates of the Holy Ghost, and reading his prayers, writ rather in his heart than in his books according to that—*unctio ejus docet vos de omnibus*.—(St. John, ii. 27). For this reason, I suppose, it was, that when, with great humility, he sent me his last speech to correct, he also writ me word he would not, at the place of execution, make use of any other set form or method of prayer than the *Pater noster*, *Ave Maria*, *Credo*, *Miserere*, *In manus tuas Domine*, &c., and for the rest he would breathe forth his soul in such prayer and ejaculations as God Almighty should then inspire him withal. He continually endeavoured to improve and advance himself in the purity of divine love, and by consequence also in contrition for his sins past ; of his deficiency in both which this humble soul complained to me as the only thing that troubled him. This love had extinguished in him all fear of death, *perfecta charitas foras mittit timorem* : a lover feareth not, but rejoiceth at the approach of the beloved. Hence, the joy of our holy martyr seemed still to increase with his danger, and was fully accom-

plished by an assurance of death. The very night before he died, being now, as it were, at heart's ease, he went to bed at eleven o'clock, and slept quietly and soundly till four in the morning, at which time his man, who lay in the room with him, awaked him; so little concern had he upon his spirit, or rather, so much had the loveliness of the end beautified the horror of the passage to it. After he certainly knew that God Almighty had chosen him to the crown and dignity of martyrdom, he continually studied how to divest himself of himself, and become more and more an entire and perfect holocaust, to which end, as he gave up his soul, with all its faculties, to the conduct of God, so, for God's sake, he resigned the care and disposal of his body to unworthy me, &c. But I neither can, nor dare undertake to describe unto you the signal virtues of this blessed martyr. There appeared in him something beyond expression—something more than human; the most savage and hard-hearted people were mollified, and attendered at his sight. Many Protestants, in my hearing, wished their souls in the same state with his. All believed him innocent; and he made Catholics, even the most timorous, in love with death. When he was carried out of the press-yard to execution, he turned him about to our chamber windows, and with a pleasant aspect and elevated hands, gave us his benediction. How he composed himself after he was taken from hence, you yourself can give a more exact account than I, etc."

---

## CHAPTER XXX.

### VENERATION SHOWN TO DR. PLUNKET AFTER HIS DEATH.

As the Benedictine, Father Corker, had been the admiring friend of Dr. Plunket during life, so did he cherish his memory after death. Dodd, in his Church History, mentions that, on the morning of the execution, the primate bequeathed his earthly remains to this good father; but, perhaps, he did so rather on the preceding day, when, as we have seen, he alone enjoyed the company of Dr. Plunket, and aided him in disposing his happy soul for its passage to a glorious eternity. Permission was, without difficulty, obtained to collect the scattered members of the mangled body of the martyr, and they were, with due solemnity, interred close by the remains of Father Whitbread and his four companions, all Jesuit fathers, who, two years before, had, in like manner, laid down their lives at Tyburn, and whom Dr. Plunket had ever venerated as glorious martyrs of the Catholic faith. The head and arms, from the elbow, were placed in a separate case, and preserved with due reverence till Father Corker, on being liberated from prison, was enabled to translate them, together

with the body, to the monastery of his order, at Lamspring, in Germany. Dodd mentions, that the site where his body was interred, was "under the North wall," in St. Giles's, and he quotes from Mr. Wood, in his 'Athen. Oxoniæ,' page 221, the additional circumstance that, "in the said place, Plunket's quarters continued till the crop-eared plot broke out in 1683, and then they were taken up and conveyed beyond the sea to the monastery of the Benedictines, at Lamspring, in Germany." The exact date of this translation of Dr. Plunket's remains cannot accurately be determined. The author now cited refers it to 1683; on the other hand, Chaloner (page 244,) says, that it took place four years after his death, which would place it, at least, in 1685. The friend, however, of the martyred prelate, to whose narrative we have had so often occasion to refer, the Archbishop of Cashel, is more precise; and in a letter, which we shall more fully cite just now, and which was written in April, 1684, he says, that the translation of Dr. Plunket's body to Germany had then *recently* taken place. Thus it was probably in the month of March, 1684: and, perhaps, if the different manner of computation be taken into account, this will reconcile the otherwise conflicting opinions; for 1683, in the old style, did not terminate till the 25th of March, 1684. The account given in Harris' 'Ware's Writers,' will also be found to substantially agree; it is as follows:—

"The head was separated from his body, which was divided into four quarters, and they were buried in the churchyard of St. Giles in the Fields, where they rested *about two years*, and then were taken up and conveyed beyond seas to a monastery of English Benedictines at Lamspring, in the dominions of the Duke of Brunswick, in Germany, where, with great ceremony, they were re-buried."

We learn from Dr. Chaloner, that when, in 1684, the body of Dr. Plunket was disinterred, it was found to be entire.\* It was translated, as we have seen, to Germany, through the care of Father Corker, and a few years later (in 1693), this same father erected a handsome monument in the church of his order, at Lamspring, which bore the Latin inscription:—†

\* Hugh M'Mahon, Archbishop of Armagh, (in the second part of his "Jus Primatiale," section 22, page 8-9,) attests that many miracles were performed by these sacred remains: "recens est," he says, "memoria eorum quæ egit Illmus Oliverius post gloriosum martyrium adeo signis et miraculis coruscans ut caput et membra in varias deportata regiones, integra et incorrupta permaneant fragrantem spirantia odorem."

† See Chalmer's "Lives of Mis. Priests," vol. 2, page 245.

"Reliquiæ sanctæ memoriæ Oliveri Plunketti, Archiepiscopi Armachani, Hiberniæ Primatis, qui in odium Catholicæ fidei laqueo suspensus, extractis visceribus et in ignem projectis celebris martyr occubuit Londini primo die Julii stylo veteri, anno salutis 1681:" *i.e.*, "The remains of Oliver Plunket (of holy memory), Archbishop of Armagh, Primate of Ireland, who, being hanged through hatred of the Catholic faith, and his bowels being taken out and cast into the fire, a glorious martyr, laid down his life in London, the 11th of July, 1681."

The devotion of the good Benedictine did not rest here. He caused the right hand to be placed in a rich case, and it is still so preserved in the church at Lambspring. The head also he cased in a silver shrine, eagerly looking forward to the recognition of his martyrdom by the Holy See, to show all due reverence and honour to these holy remains. In 1684 he petitioned the authorities in Rome to be allowed to keep a perpetual lamp ever burning before his shrine; and to strengthen his petition, procured recommendatory letters from the Irish bishops, who, despite the persecution, still remained guardians of their flocks. It was on this occasion that the Archbishop of Cashel thus wrote to the Secretary of the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda, in 1684:—

"The body of the happy primate has been lately translated from London to a more Catholic country, by a Benedictine father, who assisted him during his imprisonment in London. This father, in a spirit of zeal, desires to have the remains of this great prelate honoured with a lamp continually lighting, and to this effect supplicates their Eminences: he has warmly pressed me to add my prayers, and, to content him, I wrote a letter to Monsig. Cybo, and another to Cardinal Norfolk, both of which letters I transmitted to himself, according to his desire. I also supplicate the goodness of your Excellency to use your influence in causing to be honoured the memory of this glorious prelate, who was so devoted to you; and, should you judge the desired favour unreasonable, or, as yet, inopportune, yet I pray you to excuse the liberty which I have taken."

From the fact of the letters of the Archbishop of Cashel, addressed to Cardinal Norfolk and Monsignor Cybo, having been sent to Father Corker, it would seem probable that he himself even undertook a journey to Rome to attain the desired end. But the Holy See did not, as yet, deem it opportune, such were the then existing circumstances of the English nation, to declare our holy prelate "*a martyr*;" we may, however, fondly hope that the day is not now far distant, when our long-afflicted church will be consoled with the solemn declaration of the

Vicar of Christ, that he who, in the hour of trial, was the pillar of the House of God in our country, and who so nobly sealed with his blood the doctrines of our faith, may be ranked among the martyrs\* of our holy church.

In Ireland, so fierce was the rage of the enemies of the Catholic Church, even after the execution of the primate, that our persecuted people had but little opportunity of manifesting their reverence and devotion for the memory of Dr. Plunket. The letter sent by the Archbishop of Cashel, when transmitting the narrative, which we have already given entire, discloses to us the sad affliction to which our prelates were then subjected, and the terror and dismay which everywhere prevailed. It is dated the vigil of the Assumption (14th August), 1681, and is addressed to the Secretary of Propaganda:—

“MONSIGNOR,—I send enclosed a dolorous narrative, the subject of which has filled with affliction all the good in these parts. Our friend died gloriously, if we consider true felicity, but otherwise most sadly; for he was really murdered† *est qui judicet*. He being the first prelate gave good example encountering death, confiding in the mercy of God and in his own innocence, and it is probable that other bishops will follow in the same path, for wicked men are not wanting to accuse them though innocent, and rewards and pardons are held out to stimulate such perjurers. Two bishops are already in prison, viz., those of Cork and Kildare; but, as yet, it is not known what will be done with them. Of all our prelates, the Bishop of Limerick is the only one who is tolerated on account of his old age. Nothing is known about the metropolitan‡ of this province, and should he be taken, woe to him. Some say he has left the kingdom, some say no; but wherever he is, he does not allow himself to be known through dread of some apostates, although every one of sense knows his innocence; but

\* We have already more than once presented extracts from the contemporary prelates of our church, in which Dr. Plunket receives the title of *martyr*; we may here add a passage of a letter of Dr. Forstall, Bishop of Kildare, 29th November, 1681, in which he writes:—“unless the Bishop of Clogher be at once nominated successor of the deceased holy martyr, &c.” *Successore del defonto martire*. See also appendix No. 50. *Catholici ipsum venerabantur ut martyrem et quidem fortissimum, &c., &c.*

† In the Propaganda archives, there is a letter addressed from Paris by “Patritius Coneus,” who styles himself a veteran in the service of Rome (un servitore veterano della corte di Roma) to Monsignor Cybo, Segretario di Propaganda, and dated 26th January, 1682, in which he lodges a complaint against *irreligiosos fratres*, of whom he says, “they presented themselves before the tribunals to give false testimony against the poor primate of Ireland, now a glorious martyr, and who, through the malice of these wicked men, was condemned to death (si presentarono avanti la ginstizia per portare testimonianza falsa contro il povero primate d’Ibernia ora glorioso martire che per l’invidia di quei scelerati ha perso la vita).

‡ He thus speaks of himself as a third person.



innocence does not suffice in these countries *ubi sedes est Satana* (where Satan holds his sway). I omitted writing for some time, though much against my inclination, as those who received and despatched my letters were themselves accused of conspiracy by some apostate friars, wherefore they are now unwilling to receive or despatch any letter; nor can any others be found who will undertake to do so, so great is the terror which has seized on all honest men; and I omit writing not to expose them or myself to danger or apprehension of danger. I send these papers by a long sea-route, and I hope they will reach their intended destination without any danger. Should I live, as I hope, I will procure in a short time some expedient to write more frequently; but we now live in such a conjuncture, that each one fears his own shadow: we hope, however, always that God will console our innocence.

"I request you to transmit the enclosed narrative, whither it should go, and to excuse the absence of titles, occasioned by the sad state of the times. Be good enough not to send an answer till you receive further news, and I subscribe myself as usual, "J. C."

It was the desire of many distinguished members of our Irish church to have the diocese of Armagh subjected to some signal chastisement by the Holy See, that thus it might manifest the common detestation of all Catholics for the deed perpetrated by unworthy members of that diocese. The Bishop of Clogher, in a letter written not long after this occurrence, does not hesitate to say:—

"Should their Eminences refuse to stigmatize that people by some punishment, many here are apprehensive, lest the bad example should occasion the worst impressions, and this impunity assume the character of licentiousness. I submit, however, to whatsoever arrangement may be made, and I only add that all our prelates are of opinion, that, for the present, no native of that diocese, and no one nominated by them, should be appointed to that see, lest it should seem to be, as I already said, *pretium sanguinis*; and as the Bishop of Kildare would not accept this dignity, were it offered to him, there is no one, in my opinion, so suited for it as Dr. James Cusack, Bishop of Meath, &c., &c."

There is in the Propaganda archives another anonymous letter addressed to canon Joyce by some friend of the primate, which was transmitted to Rome by the Internuncio in Brussels to make known to the Sacred Congregation the sentiments of the Irish Catholics. It is as follows:—

"29th Jan., 1682.

"DEAR SIR,—I received last week your letter of the 23rd November, and I have long since answered yours of the 25th August,

giving you the fullest details that I was able about the death of our dear and happy friend. The boys, James and Joseph, will commence their journey next spring or summer.

"Is it possible that an inconsiderate action, such as that of the murder of the Bishop of Castro, could set all Italy in confusion, and that now the long-premeditated death of our primate should be allowed to be passed over without any sign of displeasure? On account of the former deed the episcopate was transferred from that see, and shall this latter so flagitious crime deserve no chastisement? I, for my part, can with difficulty understand how some chastisement is not inflicted for so infamous a deed: the whole world expects it, and the Sacred Congregation has been already three times solicited to transfer at least the primacy to some other part of the kingdom as a punishment, and as a perpetual memorial of so great a cruelty practised against their lawful superior. The crime has not been so personal, as the good bishop represented it to be before his death; '*ut non vinceretur a malo sed in bono vinceret malum.*' That Friar MacMoyer wrote to Dr. Cusack, telling him to exhort bishop Tyrrell, Dr. Dromgole, and many others, to abandon the kingdom, as otherwise they would share the fate of Dr. Plunket. The Governor\* having learned the contents of this letter, sent an express order to have the letter itself transmitted to him, and in consequence of it Moyer was arrested at Kilmainham, whence he was conducted to Armagh to stand his trial, some say for robbery, others say for this letter; one thing is certain, we are much obliged to the courtesy of our governors, though they be heretics."

None, however, were so vehement in denouncing the wicked sacrilege, and soliciting the Sacred Congregation to inflict condign punishment on its perpetrators, as Dr. James Cusack, bishop of Meath. In a letter of January, 1682, he thus writes to Propaganda:—

"Again I cry out, again and a third time I knock, if not with clamorous shouts, yet certainly with mournful sighs. I am forced to repeat my cries by the repeated injuries of the wicked men who prolong their iniquity. I mean the murderers of our most illustrious Oliver of Armagh, to whom they rendered evil for good, and hatred for love, all whose fault was, that he reprehended the faults of the wicked. They now add iniquity to iniquity, and seek by new warrants to procure the death of the Bishop of Clogher, Dromgole, Hughes, Maguirke, and others, and the last named, who is Dean of Armagh, was lately betrayed into the hands of his enemies. All the righteous of heart cry out to you to avenge that sacrilegious parricide by a proportionate chastisement. We hold that the spirit of our prelate, too, with the other souls of the slain, cries out in a loud voice from beneath the altar, and demands revenge, and this the more forcibly, as his blood has been the more

\* Perhaps the Viceroy is here referred to.



recently poured out upon the earth. What does the loved one of the Spouse do? the guardian of the Spouse of Christ? the shepherd of Christ's fold? Does he devise some spiritual remedy to check this so horrid and unheard-of pest? New diseases must be met by new remedies, and such a remedy must, indeed, be found, which, at the same time, will heal for the present the recent wound of the church, and serve as a warning to posterity. If the spiritual rod of the church should spare those by whose impulse and perjuries the innocent blood has been poured out upon the earth, who can be ignorant of the consequences which will ensue? How many amongst the clergy will the impunity of these, contrary to all justice and law, cause to be promoted, not through any merit of their piety, but through fear of their own subjects. To avenge so great a crime, something must be decreed of advantage to the church, that thus the health now received may be transfused into posterity, and the future generations may learn not only what was presmed, but also how it was avenged, lest otherwise the poison should spread, and no antidote being applied, many may come to perish. Let them know that there is a prophet in Israel. All Ireland vehemently desires and demands this. It is desired by the little, and by the great, and otherwise it will be a scandal exceeding great in the church of God, and I fear that the authority of the Holy See will suffer serious injury and undergo great loss. Nor in a matter so weighty and so universal, should we act tepidly or timidly. Innocent the Tenth has set an example to us in regard to the death of the Bishop of Castro, and Innocent the Third, to the death of the Bishop of Herbipolis; and shall the parricide of the Archbishop of Armagh under Innocent the Eleventh, perpetrated with far more deliberation, remain unavenged?

"At least let the diocese of Meath be cut off from the province of Armagh, and be immediately subjected to the Apostolic See. This the people, the nobles, the clergy of Meath anxiously demand. For, should it not be expedient to stigmatize the province of Ulster with some special mark of infamy, yet surely it behoves, through respect for the most illustrious prelate, that his birth-place should be honoured, especially as between those of Ulster and Meath there exists the greatest dissimilarity, nor can we deem it safe in this or the next century to go to their meetings or synods. The prophet hated the congregation of the wicked, nor would he sit with the impious. But does it behove the prophet alone to have this zeal, and is it not required from every priest of the Lord? And since those from Ulster, in the convent of St. Isidore's in Rome, destroyed the archbishop of Armagh in effigy, as they afterwards did in reality in London, and as the clergy and people of Ulster now receive these parricides, it is manifest that the crime is not so personal that only the immediate actors in it should receive punishment. A short time since I was struck dumb, and my grief was renewed when I saw, on letters being received here, announcing that one from Ulster was to be appointed to the See of Armagh, how the innocent were filled with ignominy, and how the impious rejoiced that they had done evil, and exulted in their wicked deeds. Truly, if things be so, it must be, not from their own works of justice, but accord-

ing to the greatness of your mercy, or rather, in order that, where crimes super-abounded, there too favour should super-abound; for, from the time of Judas Iscariot there have been found none who thus rose up against their masters and betrayed just blood. Allow not, I beseech you, allow not this to occur; for all who hear of it will wonder, and none will extol it: it would give strength to the impious and disarm the zeal for justice. And, as if their own wickedness did not suffice, they would boast and exult that they had found defenders in those who should rather have been the punishers of their crime. Wherefore we shall hope that the Lord will not delay to save the afflicted from the hand of the oppressor, and to render retribution to the proud, and, though he should delay, yet will he not abandon us for ever more, but the protection which is deferred will one day be more powerful, and will more perfectly succour us.

"The clergy of Dublin lately presented the Very Rev. Patrick Russell for their archbishop: he is now, for the second time, vicar-general of that diocese during the vacancy of the see,—a man distinguished for his piety and zeal, and so beloved by both clergy and people that a nobleman of high position, on hearing a short time ago that a Franciscan from the Convent of St. Isidore's was to be appointed to that see, exclaimed with an oath,—Rome is astray, if it ever think of appointing, at the present time, a Franciscan to this diocese.

"The discord which reigns in Armagh has extended itself to the diocese of Clanmacnoise, where two vicars contend about nonsense; one of them, who alone is worthy of being appointed, is named Moriarty Kearney.

"If, urged on by zeal, I have here said anything which I should not, or otherwise than I should have said it, I pray you to hold it as unsaid: whatever I have said right, and in a becoming manner, I hope will not be said in vain. Should not even my zeal merit consideration, you are at least debtors to the wise and to the foolish; and I beseech you to bear with my folly.

"P.S.—After writing the above, I received the letters of your Excellency of the 19th December, with the enclosed letter restoring peace to Armagh,\* which, before two days, I will deliver into the hands of Dr. Dromgole himself, and we have easily learned from this how great is the watchfulness and solicitude of their Eminences, and of your Excellency for our affairs. As we can return no thanks equal to such merits, we pray that the Almighty may preserve you many years for his glory and the propagation of the Catholic faith, and, after having happily consummated your earthly course, may crown us with you in glory."

Writing again on the 1st of December, 1683, he urges once more the necessity of inflicting some signal and public chastisement on the see of Armagh:—

"I am importunate, if I conjecture rightly, but I deserve to be

\* Viz., by the appointment of Dr. Dromgole as vicar-apostolic of that see.

excused; for, some illustrious prelates, who themselves had often written against the murderers of the primate, solicit me, and I am urged on by the redoubled prayers of the clergy and nobility of Meath. The very Protestants demand it; nor does anything render more probable, in their opinion, the imaginary conspiracy than that so great a sacrilege should remain unpunished. I add that I proposed nothing save what was conformable to reason and to law, and what I judged best suited to promote the lustre and glory of the Holy See. I thought, and I am still convinced that that horrid guilt would be punished even less than its demerits by the translation of the primatial see, according to the practice of Innocent the Tenth of holy memory, and thus, if the subjects of Armagh seek to imitate those of Sicily, their sad distemper will be healed by ceasing to give them pastors and withdrawing the object of their fury, so that though their fury remains unabated, yet a fixed pastor will be wanting, and thus, not having a person against whom to vent their rage, they may desist from their temerity, or at least, in the words of the canon, may cease to be a dire contagion to others. And, as I understand that it was whispered to you that such a chastisement would be displeasing to our nobility, a matter which no one here can understand, it is almost a year since I made known to your Excellency that nothing could be more agreeable to them than to have it decreed that those from Ulster should never, or at least for a hundred and fifty years, should not be promoted to that dignity. And, although as yet we have derived no fruit from our writings, but, on the contrary, the rejoicing of the Ulstermen is heard on every side, who boast and exult that they have found for their protectors those who should have been their chastisers, and that in the last Congregation held on Irish matters, one from Ulster, and he, too, a regular, was reserved *in petto*, as they say, for the see of Armagh; we will hope for better things. And although it must be left to the prudence and mature counsels of their Eminences, to decide whether it be right that they should thus lord it over us, yet it is proper that your Excellency should know how dangerous we would here consider it to have any change made, until he who has actually been appointed vicar apostolic be acknowledged by them. . . . For, though many things must be done and borne with to promote peace, yet we must take care lest, when restoring peace to the diocese of Armagh, the whole province may be put in confusion, and thus the last error be worse than the former. For (in this hypothesis) the Bishop of Clogher will most anxiously solicit to be exempted from Armagh, and the Bishop of Meath will most pressingly, though reverently, demand to be subjected to the Apostolic See or to Dublin, for they will not willingly submit to the yoke of Ulster. Should the Sacred Congregation decree that no appointment would be made until they submit to Dr. Dromgole, and that this should be promulgated by the Bishop of Clogher, before ten days all would return to obedience. The same result should ensue were he absolutely declared primate, for whilst he is moveable at will they will hope to succeed in removing him by their solicitations in Rome, although all confess that he is most eminent in virtue and learning, and most beloved by our own late Dr. Plunket."

Even when the Sacred Congregation had shown itself deaf to these solicitations, and appointed Dr. Maguire to the primatial see, Dr. Cusack did not cease his solicitations; and, as late as July, 1686, we find the following passage in a letter addressed by him to Cardinal Altieri, then Cardinal Protector of Ireland in the Roman court:—

“I have often expressed how anxiously this nation desired that those of Ulster—that is, of Armagh—on account of their parricide of the most illustrious Oliver of Armagh, should receive some chastisement commensurate with their deeds, and how dangerous it would be to allow so great a crime to go down to posterity unavenged; and now again, with all humility and earnestness, I, by the present letter, pray that, through reverence for him, this diocese, which was the birthplace of the deceased prelate, may be added to the province of Dublin.”

Notwithstanding these repeated and urgent solicitations, Rome remained unmoved; and the Holy Father continued, as heretofore, to nominate worthy successors to St. Patrick in the primatial see. When we now look back on this period of our history, whilst we render the just tribute of our admiration to the zeal and solicitude of these worthy prelates to have such a perennial memorial, such a public tribute rendered to the memory of their loved martyred primate, surely we must be filled with gratitude for the wisdom and paternal solicitude of the Holy See, which refused to involve alike the innocent with the guilty in the merited chastisement, or impute to the whole body the guilt of some unworthy members, who were already cut off by suspension and excommunication from its bosom, and thus deprive our national Church of its glorious privilege of pointing out the series of its primates, who, in unbroken succession, have handed down unchanged the sacred deposit of faith which our Apostle, St. Patrick, bore with him from the Centre of Religion and Catholicity.

We have seen the reverence shown by the good Benedictine father to the remains of Dr. Plunket; we have only to add a few words regarding the manner in which part of these relics passed to our own country.

In 1714 Dr. Hugh MacMahon was transferred from the see of Clogher to the primatial see. From his infancy he had learned to walk in the footsteps and admire the virtues and the zeal of his martyred predecessor. When studying in Rome, in the Irish College, he often heard of the glory which Dr. Plunket had won for religion by his glorious death; and often, too, did he there contemplate the shrine containing the head of that

happy prelate, which, as tradition tells us, had been presented many years before by Father Corker to Cardinal Norfolk—perhaps at the time of the contemplated visit of the good father to Rome, in 1684, of which we have already spoken; and on the demise of that Cardinal, in 1690, was preserved in the convent of the Dominican order in that city.

In 1721, at the request of Stephen MacEgan, provincial of the Irish Dominicans, and afterwards bishop of Meath, Dr. MacMahon applied to the general of the order, who was soon after decked with the purple, and is known as Cardinal Pípa, to have a convent for the nuns of his order opened in Ireland. The circumstances were indeed most favourable. We have seen with what affection, in a letter written in prison a few days before his death, Dr. Plunket speaks of his niece, *little Catherine*. Before many years the Dominican nuns numbered her amongst their members; and at the time of which we speak she was matured in sanctity and distinguished for her virtues in the convent of that order at Brussels. She was at once chosen first Superioress for the Irish convent, and Drogheda was destined as the scene of her labours. This convent was dedicated under the invocation of St. Catherine of Sienna, and it remains to the present day; never has the primitive fervour of its holy inmates abated; and it now, as under its first foundress, diffuses throughout our island the sweet odour of its sanctity. No spot could be better chosen for the shrine of Dr. Plunket; and Dr. MacMahon hesitated not to place there, without delay, the precious deposit which had already been granted to him from Rome—the head of his martyred predecessor. The foundress of this convent was still living when it was first visited by the celebrated author of the “*Hibernia Dominicana*,” who found Dr. Plunket’s head entire, and yet retaining its grey hair. The last writer on the “*Life and Death of Oliver Plunket*,” gives the following description of the reliquary in which it is now preserved:—

“At present it is enshrined in a little ebony temple, at each of the four angles of which is a Corinthian pillar of silver. The sides are also inlaid with silver plates. There are two doors, one in the front and one in the rere, and inside of each there is a glass plate, through which the head can be seen. On the silver plate in the front door are the primate’s arms, surmounted by a silver mitre. On each angle of the roof is a silver flame, emblematical of martyrdom. The head itself is of a brown colour, and quite perfect, with the exception of the nose, which is slightly injured. It still retains some of the white hair of which De Buge speaks.”

Together with the head is preserved the authentic declaration

of its genuineness, written on parchment, of which the following is a copy:—

“The underwritten, John Ridley, Chirurgeon, and Elizabeth Sheldon, doe hereby testifye and declare: That in this chest are included two tinne Boxes, whereof the one being Round containeth the Head, and the other being Long containeth the two Hands armes from the Fingers’ End to the Elbow, of the Blessed Martyr Oliver Plunkett, Arch-Bishop of Armach, who was hanged, drawne and quartered at Tyburne on the first day of July An: Dni: 1681 for the holy Catholick Religion; under pretence of a Plott wrongfully imposed upon him and others of the same Religion. The said Head was cutt of from the Body at the tyme and place of execution: And on the same day the two hands armes aforesaid were disjointed and separated from the rest of the said Body by mee, John Ridley, in the presence of Elizabeth Sheldon, immediately before the Quarters of the said Blessed body were putt into the Coffin in order to their interment, which Head, Hands and Armes were reserved by us out of the Coffin and placed in the said two Boxes of Tinne included in this as above specyfyed.

“In wittenesse whereof wee have hereunto sett our hands seales this 29th day of May An: Dni: 1682.

“JOHN (*seal*) RIDLEY.

“ELIZABETH (*seal*) SHELDON.”

On the back of the parchment is written:—

“Signed and sealed in the presence of,

“EDWARD SHELDON.

“RAPHE SHELDON.”

We have brought to a close the “Memoirs” of Dr. Plunket; and whosoever examines the documents which they present must assuredly confess, that the period of his episcopate forms a bright epoch in the history of our Church. In the centuries which immediately succeeded the conversion of our island, heaven seemed to pour out upon our people all the treasures of grace, and, at the same time, all the blessings of peace: for later ages was reserved the ordeal of our faith. But her triumphs in the arena were not less glorious than her crowns in time of peace; and, as the virtues of her children merited for her the glorious name of “Island of Saints,” so the noble heroism displayed by her champions of faith in the days of persecution have won the no less peerless title of “Martyr-Island of the Church.” From the records we have published, it is evident that Dr. Plunket must be ranked amongst the foremost of these her heroic children. Neither is his fame confined to our own island; but many are the

panegyrist which his virtues and his martyrdom have found throughout the continent. In our own country it is not only in the records of our history and the annals of our Church that his name is inscribed; it is yet, after a lapse of near two centuries, a household word in every family, and lives in the tradition of our faithful people.\* And well has been verified the prediction of his illustrious friend, the Archbishop of Cashel, that, indeed, "*the memory of this glorious prelate will ever be revered in these kingdoms, as, on the contrary, the names of his impious accusers shall ever be held in abomination, for having, with sacrilegious impiety, shed his sacred and innocent blood.*"

\* One of the most learned and judicious of our national writers, Matthew O'Connor, Esq., in his "History of the Irish Catholics," part i. page 106 *seq.* (Dublin, 1813), after detailing some particulars of the foul conspiracy against the Catholics, thus apostrophises the martyred primate—"Illustrious shade! thy memory is embalmed in the tears and honoured by the admiration of six successive generations! The power of thy persecutors was short-lived, and nothing remains of them but the memory of their crimes; your virtues still exhibit a glorious example of patience, meekness, humility, charity, and fortitude. Thou hast received thy reward: *Anima sanctissima aveto salveto.* May the contemplation of thy happiness encourage to the imitation of thy virtues! May the example of thy resignation sustain those who may be exposed to similar persecution!"





## ADDITIONAL NOTES

### NOTE TO CHAPTER XII.

#### PUBLICATION OF THE DECREE OF THE COUNCIL OF TRENT ON CLANDESTINE MARRIAGES IN IRELAND.

At the end of chapter xii. of these memoirs, p. 137, we have made some remarks regarding the publication of the decree of the Council of Trent on clandestinity in Ireland. From Dr. Plunket we learn that it had been published in some parts of Ireland, in the time of Elizabeth, and that it had been proposed in the famous Council of Kilkenny to extend it to all Ireland. If this question were discussed in that council, no serious result appears to have been obtained; for if anything had been done, it would not have escaped the notice of Dr. French, who was present.

From other authorities we learn that the decree in question was not published in several parts of Ireland for many years after the time in which Dr. Plunket wrote. In an old MS. copy of the Synods of Dublin I find the following memorandum:—"Certain advices left on record, for new coming missionaries by old father Barnaby Barnwall—1st. *That the Council of Trent is received only in the north, in the county of Louth, in Meath, and Elphin in Connaught; but the decree of clandestine marriages is not published in Meath.*" Father Barnwall was superior of the Irish Capuchins, and is mentioned with great eulogy by Dr. Plunket, at page 270 of these memoirs. It is here to be added, that the Council of Trent was published in Meath, and also in Galway, on the 2nd Dec. 1827.

In the statutes of Cashel and Emly, published by Dr. Bray, we read, at page 216, "*the decree of the Council of Trent, condemning and annulling clandestine marriages, was duly published and received in the dioceses of Cashel and Emly in September, 1775.*" In a letter of Dr. M'Kenna, Bishop of Cloyne and Ross, dated October, 1775, it is stated that the decree on clandestinity was published in all the dioceses of the province of Cashel, on the first Sunday of the preceding September, and the following Sundays, for thirty days. "*Prælati hujus provincie in mense Julii proxime elapsi convenerunt prope Corcagiam, ubiq. receperunt decretum Conc. Trid. relative ad matrimonia clandestina, promulgaruntque prima Dominica Septembris aliisque diebus Dom. et festis per triginta dies.*"

In the provincial statutes of Dublin, enacted in Kilkenny, 1624, whilst the other decrees of the Council of Trent are received, the following exception is made—"Ob temporum injuriam decretum concilii Tridentini matrimonia clandestina irritans nondum promulgare audemus." In another synod, held 24th July, 1685, by Dr. Russell, a similar exception is made—"Admittimus et recipimus S. Concilium Trid. quoad omnia præter decretum irritans matrimonia clandestina." Things remained in this state in the province of Dublin until the 2nd December, 1827.

In that year the tridentine decree was published in each parish, as according to Benedict XIV. De Syn. Dioc. l. x.; no enactment of a diocesan or provincial synod would suffice to introduce the impediment of clandestinity.

We here give a letter of Dr. Troy, written in 1780, before his translation to Dublin, which throws great light on this and other questions. The letter principally treats of the validity of mixed marriages, and the view taken by Dr.

Troy appears to have been adopted by Pius VI., who, in the year 1785, declared mixed marriages valid in Ireland, even when contracted clandestinely. It is to be hoped, that letters so replete with erudition and so full of useful instruction as those of Dr Troy, may yet be collected, and published for the guidance of future generations.

"Kilkenny, 23 July, 1780.

"MOST HONOURED AND REVEREND DEAR SIR,—My constant and various avocations in the country, since I had the honour of your last favour covering a copy of C. Antonelli's letter to you, of the 20th May, deprived me of sufficient leisure to deliver my sentiments on both, with that degree of satisfaction, my respect for your command, and the importance of their contents required. At present a short respite from business and fatigue, on this day of rest, enables me to observe, that the caution of his Eminence is an indication of his prudence. His dread of evil consequences from a declaration and decision against the validity of the mixed marriages in question, is certainly well grounded; but I do not apprehend the smallest inconveniency from establishing their validity.

No real innovation would thereby be introduced amongst us, because, such mixed marriages have been, and are *de facto* regarded as indissoluble in the very districts where the discipline of the council has been even lately enforced. If I am not mistaken, the laws of this kingdom do not annul these marriages, unless they are solemnized by a Catholic priest. If he should unite two Protestants, the marriage is likewise null and void; and in either case he is guilty of felony without benefit of clergy, as p. stat. 19, Geo. II. chap. 13, sect. 1. anno 1746, and stat. 23 ejusdem cap. 10, sect. 3, anno 1750. A degraded minister of the established church, or any layman pretending to be a clergyman thereof, is guilty of the same crime; but the marriage performed by either, or a licensed minister, is not declared invalid, and the last incurs no penalty. Stat. 12, Geo. I., cap. 3, sect. 1. I have made these extracts from Bullinbrooke's abridgment, *Titulo Marriages*.

I said that no innovation would be introduced amongst us, were the declaration and instruction of the Holy See respecting Holland to be extended to this kingdom; because they would only ratify what is already universally practised, notwithstanding the speculative doubts of some prelates about the spirit and meaning of the Tridentine law.

As to myself, although I have frequently and seriously considered the subject in every point of view, I have not discovered any solid reason for altering my opinion. I still regard the marriages of Protestants with each other, or with Catholics in any part of the kingdom as valid. The account given by Benedict XIV., in his work *De Synodo Diocesana*, of the deliberation of the canonists and divines, and likewise of the decision of the S. Congregation of the council in 1741, on the subject, appears to me very satisfactory. The arguments in the 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, and 12th numbers of the sixth book and chapter are remarkably conclusive, and undoubtedly determined him to publish the celebrated decree and constitution—*Matrimonia*.

I agree with Cardinal Antonelli that the marriages of Roman Catholics and Protestants are unlawful, wicked, and dangerous, and of course have been always, and are still reprobated by the church; but I do not imagine that a declaration from the Holy See of their being, what they are now held to be, *valid*, would increase them.

A repeal of the civil laws annulling them, and mentioned above, would multiply them much more effectually. I do not wish it for that very reason.

His Eminence, in stating the different situation of Ireland and Holland with regard to these marriages, says:—"Nam pro Hollandia vehementer ambigebatur an memorandum concilii Tridentini decretum esset promulgatum in singulis parochiis." Now, I appeal to you if it does not clearly appear from Benedict XIV. *Ibid.* Num. viii. and ix., that the divines consulted by him, not only abstracted from that question of fact, but likewise established the validity of the marriages, even admitting that the decree of the council had been duly received and promulgated in Flanders—I mean the United States.

As to the greater or lesser number of Catholics in Ireland and Holland; and other discriminating circumstances between both countries, mentioned by the Cardinal, they are quite extrinsic to the main question, which is plainly this:—Does the decree of the Council of Trent, annulling clandestine marriages, affect Protestants, or other heretical societies, in all and every place where said decree has been received and duly published?

This question, as I conceive, ought to be answered categorically and distinctly, without any attention to expediency, which never can alter the nature of things.

It is the business of those prelates in whose districts the discipline of the council has not yet been adopted, to judge of the conveniency of enforcing it, after receiving a satisfactory answer to the above query; and such bishops as have, after the example of their predecessors, or from their own determination, required an observance thereof, are to abide by the consequences as well as they can.

Although no positive and explicit answer has been given to the above question, his Eminence seems to deliver his own opinion with regard to it when he says—“*Nam cum hæretici non minus quam Catholici, Ecclesie legibus subiecti sint, si Tridentinum decretum pro clandestini matrimonii nullitate vim habet pro uni, eandem vim habere debet pro alteris*,” &c.

In my humble apprehension this mode of reasoning savours of what the logicians call a *Petitio Principii*. All Catholics must acknowledge that Christians of every denomination are in general subject to the church on account of their baptism; but the prelates of Ireland, and many others, doubt whether Protestants or other heretics are subject to a particular disciplinary law or decree of the Council of Trent; and give very plausible, if not convincing, reasons in support of the opinion which affirms that they are not. In this state of uncertainty the Holy See is consulted, and the prefect of the Sacred Congregation (inadvertently, I am sure) answers by supposing what is questioned:—“*Nam cum hæretici, &c., ut supra*.”

From my above remarks you may easily guess my answers to the queries of his Eminence:—Ad primum respondeo—In provincia Dublinensi, nondum publicatum fuit memoratum concilii Tridentini decretum: In majori vero parte parochiarum cæterarum Hiberniæ, diversis temporibus receptum et promulgatum fuit. In nonnullis parochiis extant dictæ promulgationis authentica documenta; in omnibus vero dicti decreti observantia repetenda est non a regni legibus quibus matrimonia ipsorum Protestantium inter se vel cum Catholicis, coram sacerdote Catholico celebrata, irrita et nulla declarantur; sed a sacri concilii statuto, ad effectum illud omnimode exequendi. Ad secundum—Perturbationes maximæ, aliæque ingentia incommoda certissime oriuntur in hoc regno, si dicta matrimonia irrita et invalida declararentur. Si valida declarantur, nullum incommodum timendum, cum jam pro rata et firmis habeantur, etiam in iis locis in quibus publicatum fuit Tridentinum decretum. Ad tertium—Cum impediri nequeat promiscua Catholicorum cum hæreticis communicatio, nimis frequentia sunt inter ipsos matrimonia istius modi clandestina. Ad quartum—Si nulla declarantur, certum est quam plurima matrimonia jamdum contracta in iis locis in quibus concilii decretum publicatum fuit, cum ingenti familiarum incommodo et dissidio, necnon publico scandalo irritanda et perfringenda fore. Ad quintum—Nihil molestie aut detrimenti timendum est ex parte secularis potestatis. In impedimento dirimente matrimonium ex consanguinitate vel affinitate, aliisque disciplinæ capitibus, diversa est praxis Catholicorum et Protestantium, quin ea ratione aliquid damni rei Catholice obveniat. Ad ultimum—Cum pro rata et validis jam communiter habeantur matrimonia de quibus agitur, uti supra in responsione ad secundum quesitum; non possunt multiplicari ea declaratione faciendâ quod valida sint: si vero leges civiles talia matrimonia irritantes modo supra expresso ad primum quesitum, aliquando revocari contingat, tunc quidem adeo multiplicari possunt, ut periculo hæresis amplectendæ exponantur contrahentes et proles.”

“You are at liberty to use the above communication of my thoughts as you may think proper. I have worded it in the most unreserved and candid manner in obedience to your command; but would not wish to convey the most distant idea of disrespect towards C. Antonelli, or secretary Borgia. I am

long and well acquainted with both, and can assure you they are remarkable for profound extensive knowledge, consummate prudence, and disinterested zeal. I desire to know your opinion of my reflections, and pray you to communicate it for my instruction.

"It would be madness in us to adopt, and enforce the discipline of the council, until a satisfactory reply shall come from the city; and should it be "pro invaliditate," I think it (the decree) ought never to be published in this province. In this, and every other matter, I shall always pay due deference to your better judgment.

"Maggiora, anditor-general to the nuncio at Bruxelles, in a letter of the 29th May, says the S. Cong. has determined to appoint one of the Armagh suffragans coadjutor to Dr. Blake, and desires me, in the name of the congregation and his Excellency, to recommend the one I think in my conscience the most worthy and fit. Without much hesitation I answered on the 15th instant, and recommended Dr. Plunket of Meath. This transaction, in my opinion, ought to be kept private; otherwise the disaffection of some, and ambition of others might frustrate this measure, and protract the scandals in Armagh. Pray, what news from Spain and Lisbon? If the colleges there are not speedily re-established the consequences may be fatal to religion in this country. The French modes are already become too fashionable amongst all ranks of our people. Mr. O'Connor enclosed a copy of the decrees you received from the city about the new offices and indulgences. I see the office of the passion has not been granted. Has our friend Charles Kelly given you any communicable news? The above decrees, and the one about the obligation of p. priests to apply their masses on Sundays, &c., for their respective flocks, ought to be published in next year's directory. I hope the new supplement is in forwardness. It is full time to let you breathe after reading this long scroll. I shall finish it with sincere assurances of being with unfeigned sentiments of respect, esteem, and attachment.

"Most Rev. and Hon. dear sir,

"Your most affectionate and very humble servant,

"✠ JOHN THOMAS TROY."

"*The Most Rev. Dr. Carpenter.*"

#### NOTE TO CHAPTERS XI. AND XII.

What we have said in chapters XI. and XII. of these memoirs, when treating of the Synods of Clones and Ardpatrick, shows how zealously the prelates of the province of Armagh exerted themselves in restoring ecclesiastical discipline as soon as the circumstances of the times allowed them to assemble. The same zeal was manifested in the other provinces. In the valuable and learned life of the Most Rev. Dr. Murray, of happy memory, published by Monsigr. Meagher, we find, at page 111, an illustration of the spirit of the bishops of Leinster. The collection of canons of the same province, published in 1770, is also very valuable. In the Statuta Synodalia of Cashel, published in 1813, mention is made of several synods of that province. But, perhaps, during the times of persecution there was no synod held more deserving of our admiration than that celebrated in Galway in the year 1632, under the presidency of Dr. Malachy O'Quigley, who soon after died a martyr for the faith at Sligo, in 1645. Though not connected with our memoirs, we publish here the decrees of that synod, a letter of Dr. O'Quigley, and another of Dr. O'Dwyer, who was afterwards Bishop of Limerick. These documents show the desire of the Irish prelates of that time to promote discipline, and prove that they were men of great theological learning. It is to be regretted that we have not a full collection of all the synods of the Irish Church, which would throw light on our history, and fix the succession of our bishops.

1. *Letter of Dr. Malachy O'Quigley, Archbishop of Tuam, to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland.*

"Ems. ac Revme. Dne.

"Anno quarto a consecratione currente, memor professionis et juramenti tum facti quo obstrictus tenebar quolibet quadriennio Pontificis max. presentiam adire, et coram injuncti pastoralis officii rationem reddere, idq. personaliter, si fieri posset, aut certe per fidelem nuntium, cumq. ut ex animo cupio, et omnino debeo, ibi in propria persona interesse non possim, saviente in hac afflicta patria persecutione, ne fideles mihi concediti, qui ad vultum proprii Pastoris confirmari et consolidari solent, existimarent se desertos, et me forte mercenarium, qui lupo veniente fugerem, et praesertim quod sumptus ad tantum iter conficiendum necessarii omnino mihi desunt, qui tantum fidelium charitate et beuevolentia sustentor. Quare Rdo. admodum Domino D. Edmundo Dwyero nostrae Provinciae in urbe procuratori commisi curam reddeudi rationem villicationis meae, ex quo assumptus sum ad Archiepiscopatum Tuamensem coram SSmo. Domino Nro, et Ema. Dominatione Vra. ea, qua par est, animi submissione rogans Emam. Dnem. Vram., ut absentiam meam invitam quidem pro sua benignitate excusatam apud suam Sanctitatem habeat; et praedicto Dno. Dwyero, et nulli alii ei opposito in rebus nostram Provinciam concernentibus, aut in Prelatorum in ea promovendorum promotione fidem habere dignetur. Interim D. O. M. suam Sanctitatem, et Emam. Dnem. Vram., quae hujus afflicti regni ecclesiam in suum patrocinium, et beuevolentiae sinum suscepit, diutissime incolumes servet suae sanctae ecclesiae, communi bono, et hujus desolate patriae consolationi, ut ex toto corde optat Ex loco nostri Refugii in Hibernia die 16, Maji 1634.

"Ems. ac Rvmae. Dnis. vrm. servus obsequmus,

"MALACHIUS, Archiep. Tuamensis."

2. *Report of the state of the diocese of Tuam, presented to the congregation of the Council by Dr. Edmund O'Dwyer, agent of the Archbishop of Tuam.*

"Archiepiscopus Tuamensis an. IV. a consecratione currente, memor professionis et juramenti, quo obstrictus tenetur, injuncti pastoralis officii rationem reddere coram SS. D. N. si non personaliter, saltem per fidelem nuntium, me infrascriptum per suas ad Card. Protectorem Ant. Barberinum literas procuratorem et nuntium ad illud munus humiliter praestandum constituit, et ordinavit, quod fideliter in hunc, qui sequitur, modum perstringo.

"Archiepiscopus Tuamensis suspectus per hereticos habitus, quod munus consecrationis intra limites Regni contra statuta Regis et prohibitiones gravissimas, minitantes penas in contra facientes suscepit, necesse habuit per aliquot menses fugam expetere, ne prosequentium insidiis interceptus, inique carcerationis aut exilii damnum pateretur.

"Quando primum licuit, agentem in curiam hanc destinavit, qui sedem Aplicam, et Ems. Principes de Hiberniae ecclesiae statu sub haereticorum severa tyrannide fluctantis hactenus ac pene confusae, nunc demum singulari SS. D. N. Urbani PP. VIII. benignitate non medicriter instaureta plene informaret graviumq. difficultatum dubiorum ac impedimentorum passim exorientium, quibus non aliter, quam per Sedis Apostolicae dispositionem provideri possit, salutaria remedia adhiberi procuraret.

"Item Pallio recepto, Sacramentum Confirmationis tum in propria diocesi tum extra de consensu respective ordinariarum, centum mille hominibus circiter utriusq. sexus ministravit.

"Item suam diocesim quotannis in propria persona visitavit, quae continet Insulas in melio mari sitas, aliaq. loca aspera, et montosa, et fere inaccessibleia, in quibus ante hominum memoriam nullas visus est episcopus; tot passus est incommoda, objectus saepe periculis in mari, saepius in terra noctes adjecit diebus pedibus incedendo, ut difficile dictu sit, quantis arumnis, quantisq. laboribus onus suum supportaverit; ea tamen consolatione destitutus est, ut sedem

suum metropolitancam Tuamensem, metu-pseudo archiepi, hominis deperditi in ea commorantis, videre nequiverit. Hinc fit, ut in visitatione sue diocesis sola nobilium Catholicorum charitate eum in domos suas hospitio misericorditer suscipiunt, sustentetur.

"Item synodum convocavit provincialem, cujus statuta Sedis aplice, confirmatione probari curavit; pacem adeo cum suis suffraganeis conservat, ut omnes unius oris et labii videantur, ac diocesana metropolitani statuta omnes receperint, iisq. se ac suos conforment.

"Item hæreticos ad magnum numerum ecclesie conciliavit, prædicationis munus per seipsum præstat, quoties per hæreticos licet.

"Item Archiepiscopus Tuamensis habet manoria seu castella 24. Capitulum constat ex Decano, Præcentore, Archidiacono, Præposito et 12 canonicis, ac 5 Vicariis choralibus. Parochos continet, jam prout res sunt, 56. Parochias vero 89, Præbendas 12, Rectorias 16.

"Hæc breviter ex literis ipsius Archiepiscopi Tuamensis extraxi, ac de ejus mandato S. Cgni. humiliter præsentio hac die 14 Sept., 1634.

"EDMUNDUS DWYER, Agens Archiepi,  
"Tuamensis."

### 3 Decrees of the Provincial Synod of Tuam, held in 1632 :—

"1. Ut ædificium nostrum spirituale a fundamento suo, fide scilicet exordiat; hoc Tuamense concilium legitime congregatum statuit et decernit, ut omnes professores, confessarii, concionatores ac hæretici ad ecclesias redeuntes præter abjuramentum, ad quam hi tenentur, coram Ordinario suo, vel ejus guli. Vicario, vel alio ab eorum altero ad id nominando emittant professionem fidei juxta bullam Pii IV., et in Rom. Ecclesie obedientia se spondeant permanensuros."

"2. Eandem fidei professionem omnes sive in præsentia, sive in futuro dignitates et beneficia ecclesie habituri emittere omnino teneantur, ad præscriptum S. Conc. Tridentini."

"3. Doceant Parochi sibi subditos abstinere a disputationibus hæreticorum, et lectione suorum Librorum, aliorumq. ex professo lubricorum."

"4. Sacerdotes sæculares et regulares (quibus ex eorum instituto permittitur) Sacramenta Eupmi. S. Euchæ., Extr. unctionis, et Matrimonii administraturi nec non concionaturi, stola, superpelliceo, vel alla induantur."

"5. Pastores ad ministranda sacramenta vocati officio suo fungi non tardent, alioqui graviter puniendi, gravissime vero siquem sine baptismo, confessione, a viatico, aut extr. unctione migrare contigerit sua negligentia."

"6. Singuli foranei singulor. Decanatum ab Ordinario suo S. Chrisma et SS. olea tempestive petant, ea ad se ferri curent per constitutum in sacris, et in vasis solum argenteis aut stanneis: novis ita receptis, veteribus amplius non utantur, sed quod superest liquidum in bombyce aut gossipio comburatur super piscinam, aut decentiori quo poterit modo, ob temporum iniquitatem."

"7. Parochi singuli habeant libros Baptizatorum cum nominibus parentum ac patrinoꝝ, ac matrinorum nec non et die baptismi exhibendos singulis annis visitatoribus, unumq. vel ad unam, vel ad summum unum, et unam tantum admittant ad suscipiendum baptizandum. cæterosq. non designatos significant nullam cognationem spirituales contrahere."

"8. Doceant sæpe populum materiam et formam Baptismi, ut contingente necessitate sciant baptizare, expositis si receperint cum sale, aut alio signo non Bapti. baptizent absolute sine hesitatione, nullo vero tali signo reperto apud infantem, sub conditione baptizent."

"9. Doceant Parochi suos subditos Sacramentum Confirmationis iterari non posse, eiq. debere a confirmandis doli capacibus confessionem præmitti, et de patrinoꝝ obligatione, et cognatione spirituali."

"10. Moneantur confessarii, ut nonnisi sedentes confessiones excipiant, quacumq. dignitate penitentes fulgeant."

"11. Nullus Sacerdos aut Parochus absolvat a casib. SS. Pontifici reservatis, aut Epis. extra mortis articulum, nisi speciali facultate ad hoc fulcitus, in quo

etm. casu super irregularitatibus aut votis dispensare non præsumat, nisi ad hoc speciale quoque facultatem habuerit a Sede Aplica, et ab Epis. respective."

"12. Omnes Confessarii servant sigillum confessionis sub penis a SS. Concilio, et Aplica. Constitutionibus inflictis."

"13. Nemo aliquem ad sibi soli confitendum obliget; talem promissionem etiam juratam uti irrationabilem et indiscretam hoc concilium irritam esse declarat, et quatenus opus est, irritat."

"14. Sint cauti confessarii in imponendis poenitentibus, nisi prout spiritus, et prudentia suggererit juxta *Conc. Trid.* cap. 8, ss. 14, de *Semo. poenitentia sacramento*, et pro indulgentiis publicandis observent decretum *ejusd. Conc. Trid.* ss. 21, cap. 9, de *Reform.*"

"15. Quilibet annos discretionis habens nisi ex legitima causa confessarii iudicaverint tempus prorogare, communicare debet ad minus in Paschate in Ecclesia sua propria Parochiali; huic mandato non obediens vel in Paschate a Parochia absens, ac reversus intra octo dies non docuerit se in Paschate communicasse, denuntietur statim Ordinario, ut ab eo debite puniatur juxta SS. Canones."

"16. Concubinari non admittantur ad communionem, nisi prius dimissis concubinis, et id fiat cum usurariis publicis, nisi usuris renuntiaverint, et nisi requisitam a SS. canonibus satisfactionem presterint."

"17. Moneant Parochi subditos non differre extr. unctionis susceptionem, et eadem Testamenti conditionem non impediri, et ad pristinam valetudinem recuperandam plurimum prodesse."

"18. Ad minores ordines promovendi habeant bonum testimonium vitæ a Parocho et magistro scholæ, in qua educantur, et alias juxta *S. Conc.* cap. 5, ss. 23, de *Reform.* dispositiones."

"19. Clerici sæculares majorib. ordinibus insigniendi ætatis, natalium, vitæ morumq. probatis bonum testimonium a proprio ordinario habeant: Regulares vero predicti qualitatibus requisitis ad ordines suscipiendos literas dimissorias ab eorum superioribus Regularib. habeant directas Ep. diocesano scilicet illius monasterii in cujus familia commorantur; et si Epus. diocesanus abfuerit, vel non esset habiturus ordinatus, vel sedes Epilis. vacaret, ad alium quemcumq. Epum., a quo ante collationem ordinum examinentur quoad doctrinam, dummodo tamen ipsi Regulares de industria non distulerint concedere dimissorias in id tempus, in quo Epus. diocesanus vel abfuturus, vel nullas habiturus esset ordinatus, ac propterea cum a superioribus Regularibus Ep. diocesano absente, vel non habente ordinationes literas dimissorias dabuntur, in eis causa absentis Ep. diocesani, vel ordinatis, ab eo non habende exprimenda crit. Quod si Regulares ordinandi in nullius diocesis monasterio commorentur, hujusmodi dimissoriæ concedendæ erunt ad Epum. viciniorem, observatis omnibus, quæ de Ep. diocesano supra prescripta sunt."

"20. Episcopi solos probatos suos subditos et examinatos ad alium Epum. ordinandos dimittant, et quilibet ordinandus ad titulum patrimonii aut beneficii juret quod titulum ipsum exhibitum vere et sine fraude possideat, quodq. illum non resignabit vel alienabit, nisi ei de alio sufficienti titulo sit perspectum, et alias ad prescriptum cap. 2, ss. 21, de *Ref.* et cap. 3 et 8 ss. 23, de *Ref.*"

"21. "Parochus ante matrimonii solemnizationem interroget expresse sponso, an libere, et non coacte ad matrimonium simul contrahendum advenerint, et eorum aliquo conquerente de coactione, ad matrimonii solemnizationem non procedat, nec alteri suas vices committat, quando concurrit suspicio metus vel alia legitima causa, sub pena suspensionis ab officio et beneficio."

"22. "Omittens denunciations matrimonium præire mandatas per *Conc. Tridentinum* nisi eorum remissione ab ordinario in scriptis obtenta, et monstrata, graviter puniatur."

"23. "Quando sponsi sunt diversarum diocesium, decanatum, vel Parochiarum hortamur, ut fiant denunciations in utriusq. Parochia publice coram populo, et prius neuter parochorum attentet procedere ad matrimonii solemnizationem sub pena gravi arbitraria; denunciatio aliquo impedimento consulat ordinarium quid facere debeat, nisi locorum ordinariis respective aliter visum fuerit."

"24. "Ne sint presentes Parochi vagorum hominum matrimoniis, nisi obtenta in

scriptis licentia ordinarii, quam concedi nolumus, absque causæ cognitione, et matura deliberatione.

25. "Habeant singuli Parochi ad præscriptum S. Conc. Trident. librum, in quo teneantur in conscientia scribere nomina et cognomina matrimonio coram ipsis junctorum, et testium ad minus duorum vel trium, nec non diem et locum contracti matrimonii: hunc librum diligenter custodiant quotannis monstrandum visitoribus.

26. Prædicent Regulares cum licentia suor. superiorum accedente benedictione ordinarii in eccliis suis, in non suis autem habeant expressam licentiam episcopi cum suor. superiorum licentia juxta præscriptum S. Conc. Tridentini.

27. "Sacerdotes sæculares nullo modo predicare audeant sine licentia ordinarii, et ecclesiarum Pastores nullum admittant ad concionandum in suis locis, nisi visa prius in scriptis licentia ordinarii.

28. "Fugiant Prædicatores quæstiones impertinentes, convicia fabulosa, et risum moventia proponantq. tantum ad veram fidem, pietatem, et obedientiam, ac concordiam conducuntia, et alias virtutes Christianas.

29. "Hæc synodus hortatur omnes et singulos Pastores, ut singulis diebus Dnics. et festivis juventutem sibi in gregem commissam catechizent.

30. "Nemo adhibeat in missa alias ceremonias vel preces quam ab ecclia Rom. receptas et probatas, in canone vero nihil addatur vel mutetur.

31. "Etsi Pastorum paupertas altaria pro dignitate ornare non valeat, monet tamen syn. hæc, ut altaris paramenta sint munda, et integra semper, et sacri calices debite mundentur.

32. "Uniformitas cæremoniarum in omnibus eccliis et ab omnibus Pastoribus servetur, nec primitias missarum celebratio eas liceat celebrare, nisi literis magistris cæremoniarum illius Decanatus, cujus est celebraturus, constet ordinario, aut ejus vicario, illum bene callere ceremonias.

33. "Festorum obligatio incipit a media nocte antecedentis diei ad mediam noctem diei festi. Festorum aliqua sunt ex obligatione, alia vero ex devotione observanda. Ex obligatione sunt sequentia, omnes Dominicæ per annum, circumcisio Dni, Epiphania; Festum S. Brigidæ, Purificationis B. M. V.; Festum S. Matthiæ Apli; S. Patritii Hiberniæ Apli; Annunciatio B. M., 2a. et 3a. feriæ Hebdomadæ Paschalis; Festum S. Marci Evang. quod si ceciderit in Dnicam in albis, celebretur Fer. 4a post illam Dnicam tam a clero, quam a populo; si vero in aliam Dnicam inciderit, festum servetur in illa die Dnica, processio autem, et abstinencia a carnibus per totum diem, et jejunium usque ad prandium serventur die seq.; si verò translatus in feriam 4am. post Dnicam in albis concurrerit cum festis SS. Philippi et Jacobi Apostolorum, aut S. Crucis, celebritas servetur a populo illis ipsis diebus, processio autem, abstinencia et jejunium sicut in diebus rogationum transferantur in 5am. fer.; quando autem contigerit intra octavam Paschæ non est abstinendum. Festum SS. Apostolorum Philippi et Jacobi, inventio S. Crucis, Ascensio Dni, 2a. et 3a. feriæ Pentecostes, Festum Corporis Christi, Nativitas S. Joannis Baptistæ, Festum SS. Apostolorum Petri et Pauli, S. Jacobi Apli, S. Laurentii Mart., S. Bartholomæi Apli. Festum Assumptionis B. M. V., Nativitas B. V., Festum S. Matthæi Apli, et Evang. Dedicatio S. Michaelis Archang., Festum S. Luce Evang., SS. Simonis et Judæ Aplorum, Festum omnium sanctor., S. Martini Epi. et Conf., S. Andræ Apli, Conceptio B. M. V., Festum D. Thomæ Apli. Nativitas Dni, Festum S. Stephani protomart. S. Joannis Evang., Festum SS. Innocentium et festa Patronorum singularum Ecclesiarum.

"Festa vero quæ ex devotione observantur, sunt seqq., Visitatio B. M. V., Exaltatio S. Crucis, Commemoratio omnium Fidelium Defunctorum usque ad meridiem, Festum S. Catharinæ Virg. et Mart. fer. 4a. cinerum, et fer. 6a. majoris Hebdomadæ usq. ad meridiem devotionis causa pro festis habeantur: meminerint omnes, quod dedicatio Ecclie. celebranda sit Duica. prox. sequenti Festum S. Remigii, vel ipsa die S. Remigii, si in Dnicam. inciderit, prout etiam in Dicationibus aliar. Ecclesiarum Provinciæ observandum est.

34. "Doceant Parochi suas oves sæpe fugere superstitiosa remedia ad morbos, vel vulnera curanda a quibusdam perversis dari solita, qualia sunt censenda omnia, quæ nec ex natura rei, nec ex divina institutione, aut ordinatione, aut approbatione Ecclie. ad tales curationes Doctorum judicio sunt idonea.



35. "Exulare faciant Parochi ex suis districtibus divinatores, aut pro talibus se venditantes, quales sunt Ægyptii apud nos et alii, nec non maleficos et incantatores. et incantatrices; præterea neminem admittant ad exorcizandum in suis parochiis, nisi visa prius ordinarii loci licentia in scriptis.

36. "Singuli sacerdotes modeste incedant, crines non nutrant oblongos, et superius labrum habeant rescissum, quantum temporum iniquitas patietur; omnem negotiationem questus faciendi gratia evitent, tabernas non nisi urgente necessitate, edendi, aut bibendi gratia ingrediantur, mulierum consortia fugiant, et ebrietatem a se, et a suis, hujusq. causas, æquales nempe haustus longe ablegent, non fidejubeant, neq. coram judice sæculari litem moveant, nisi uti actores sequi forum laicorum reorum cogantur juxta *cap. 3 de foro comp.* Alias si dicti clerici rei, vel cause solius cognitionis Ecclesiasticæ sint, adire judicis laici forum, vel ibi respondere aut consentire omnino prohibeantur, neque ordinariorum licentiam ad hoc eis suffragari, sed contra facientes penas et censuras a SS. canonibus, et in Bulla in die eamæ Dni. legi solita inflictas incurrant: legant sæpe *Trid. cap. 1. ss. 22. De Ref.* et sæpe alibi, ut eidem se conforment, et suos conformari faciant, quantum in Dno. hisce nostris iniquis temporibus potuerint.

37. "Postremo mandat hoc Concilium Provinciale, ut hæc decreta inviolabiliter observentur, si a S. Sede Aplica. fuerint approbata, cujus censuræ et judicio se humillime submittit.

"Actum Galviæ Anno Dni. 1632, die 9 Decemb. subscriptum.

"MALACHIAS, Archiepus. Tuamen.

"F. BOETHIUS, Elphinen. Epus.

"OLIVERUS DE BURGO, Vic. Ap. Duacen.

"ANDREAS LINCH, Vic. Ap. Alladen.

"JO. DE BURGO, Vic. Ap. Clonfertena.

"JACOBUS FALLENG, Vic. Ap. Achaden."

4. "*Decree of Card. Barberini, approving the Statutes of the Synod of Tuam in the name of the S. Congregation of the Council.*

"Nos Antonius Tituli S. Agathæ S. R. E. Diaconus Card. Barberinus nomine S. Cænis Emorum Patrum Concilii Trid. Interpretum fidem facimus, et attestamur Decreta in Provinciali Synodo Thuamen. edita ab eadem S. Cægne. auctoritate sibi tributa, examinata, recognita, emendata, aptata, atque approbata fuisse, nec non eorundem Decretorum exemplum apud ipsam congruam remansisse, cum quo hujusmodi decreta in hoc folio incipientia *Decreta*, et desinentia *Decembris subscriptum* descripta et collationata in omnibus et per omnia concordant.

"In quorum fidem has propria manu subscripsimus, et ab infrascripto ejusd. S. Congregationis secretario subscribi, sigillique nostri impressione muniti mandavimus.

"Romæ die 6 Maji 1634."

NOTE TO CHAP. XIII.

In connexion with the reports given by Dr. Plunket of the state of several dioceses, we publish here two letters of Dr. White, (Vitus) Vicar Ap. of Waterford and Lismore, written in the year 1606, and addressed to the celebrated father of the ecclesiastical annals, Cardinal Baronius. These letters are found in vol. 2, p. 228 of the letters and smaller works of the Cardinal, printed in Rome in 1759, and give an interesting account of the afflicted state of our church at the opening of the seventeenth century. The sentiments expressed by Dr. White are worthy of a true apostle suffering for Jesus Christ. It is to the labours of such holy men that we owe the preservation of the faith in Ireland. It is to be hoped that every fragment connected with their history may yet be published.

*Letter of Dr. White to Cardinal Baronius.*

"Scripti aliquoties ad illustrissimam et reverendissimam dominationem vestram a tempore, quo appuli in afflictissimam hanc insulam de statu fidei, ac religionis Catholice, precipue vero in mense Octobri proxime elapso, statim a publicato edicto contra Catholicos omnes, sive de clero, sive de populo. Quo edicto stric- tissime præceptum fuit, ut ante diem decimam Decembris superioris anni, omnes omnino Jesuitæ, seminaristæ, sacerdotes quicumque, et episcopi extranea auctori- tate ordinati (sic enim sunt verba edicti) exirent ex universo hoc regno, et sin- gulis ejus partibus sub pena supremæ indignationis majestatis regie, aliisque pro arbitrio infligendis. De cujus edicti rigore sperabamus aliquid detrahendum : hactenus, tamen nihil factum est, immo vero adeo rigide executioni mandatur, ut non sit fere ubi catholicus consistat. Nam impius miles sacerdotes innoxios nocte dieque insequitur, et sine misericordia persequitur : hactenus tamen tres tantum comprehenderunt, ex quibus unus servatur in vinculis in castro Dubli- nensi, alter in civitate Corcagensi, tertius vero omnium felicissimus unuc, ut spero, triumphat in caelo cum Christo Domino, nam in furore militum sine ulteriori processu, hoc solo, quod se sacerdotem esse fassus est, suspensus est patibulo. Sit felix, et faustum initium hoc, et omen : talibus enim hostiis placatum speramus Deum nostrum ex alto sancto suo prospecturum, nostrique miserum. Interea det nobis gratiam, ut in fide salutifera S. R. Ecclesiæ ita radicemur, ne vel in minimo a portis inferi superemur. Uti sacerdotes sunt præda crudelium militum, ita et Catholici et populo modo rebus abundant, aulicorum quorundam avarorum quos hi iucessanter vexant mulctis, carceribus, vinculis, minis, insolitis inhumanisque tractant modis, donec eos in extremam paupertatem, et penuriam adigant. His tamen pressuris non obstantibus currit verbum Domini, nec necessarium, quoad fieri potest ovibus ministerium deest. Præclarum plurimi fidei Catholice dant testimonium, parumque (Deo laus) hactenus profecit, aut lucratus est fidei Catho- licæ adversarius, et hostis. Fusiùs de statu nostro præsentis scripsi ad reveren- tissimum nostrum Ardmachanum, et ad patres societatis Jesu, qui et plene illus- trissime dominationi vestre totius rei seriem referent. Interea, quum ab im- portunis, et malis vexemur hominibus, rogamus, ut pro nobis fiant orationes in civitate illa sancta coram sanctorum exuviis, nosque, quoad fieri potest, juvet, Petri successor Christi Jesu vicarius. Inde enim fidem accepimus, inde etiam obsecramus, tueatur. Nostro per Christi gratiam non deerimus officio. Plurimos, et præclaros hic habemus operarios e secularibus, et religiosis ordinibus, e socie- tate Jesu maxime, qui singulariter sese in hoc opere gerunt. Sistere nunc cogor, imminens cujusdam admonitus periculi. Christus Jesus dominum meum illustrissimum nobis, et ecclesiæ suæ sanctæ diu incolumem conservet. Water- fordæ in regno Hiberniæ. Kal. Maii MDCVI.

"JACOBUS VITUS,

"Vic. Ap. Lismore et Waterfordiensis."

*Another Letter of the same Dr. White to Cardinal Baronius.*

"In mensibus Octobris, et Aprilis proxime elapsis, per viam Olisiponensem in Lusitania, scripsi ad dominationem vestram illustrissimam. Rerum statum in negotio fidei Catholice tunc significavi, modo vero in longe majoribus versamur angustiis. Superiori siquidem hebdomada nova quedam locis publicis affixa sunt edicta, ut sacerdotes omnes quotquot comprehensi fuerint, absque ambagi- bus, aut ulteriori processu in viciniari arbore, aut patibulo suspendantur. Sit nomen domini Jesu benedictum, qui palmitem omnem ferentem fructum purgare solet, ut fructum plus afferat, cujus divini amoris ista sunt evidentissima iudicia, et certissima pignora, quando a sceleratis hereticis eodem afficiuntur corporis membra dedecore, quo a perfidis Judeis quondam affectus fuit, qui caput nostrum est Christus dominus in propria specie. Nunc ferrum, funesque data sunt impiis et sine fide militibus, ut sacerdotes Christi confodiant et strangulent. Nuperrime tres Catholicos comprehensos, quos persecutores suspi- cabantur fuisse sacerdotes, extremo affecerunt supplicio, e quibus unus erat vere sacerdos, reliqui duo erant laici, uti nunc plane constat. Sit felix, et fausta ista

eorum nativitas, sitque precor modo fecunda martyribus contrita insula ista, que olim emicuit confessoribus, et virginibus. In tantas angustias redacti sunt sacerdotes nostri omnes, ut non sit unus, cujus vita non periclitatur per singula momenta. Hactenus semper adfuit Christus, quem in finem usque nobis non defuturum confidimus. Præclare se hactenus gesserunt Catholici laici, qui cum squallore carcerum, æquanimitè etiam ferunt bonorum, et fortunarum rapinam. Furori huic occasionem dedisse videtur adulterina quedam bulla Vicarii Christi nomine a falsariis et maledictis hæreticis confecta; quam non solum in judiciis publicis iudices ipsi coram populo legere solent, sed et ministri etiam in cathedris in odium Sedis Apostolicæ auditoribus suis proponere, et ostendere. Cujus figmenti transumptum ad dominationem vestram illustrissimam his junctum suis Sanctitati (si ita visum fuerit) ostendendum transmitto, ut videat in tali casu, quid factu sit opus. Interea det nobis vires viriliter agendi in negotio animarum. Et licet apud veritatis amatores tale commentum nullam habeat fidem, propterea quod stylus sit plane apostaticus, et Calvinisticus, tantumque differat ab apostolici oris oraculis, quantum distat hæreticus a Catholico, et apostata ab apostolo; nec minimum quod contineat, dignum sit quod a Sanctissimi D. nostri ore proficiisci putetur: pergunt tamen persecutores nostri, et tali fundamentum innixi, funditus nos perdere, et extinguere decreverunt. Præter alia multa, que in hoc commento adverti possunt, nec nomen Sanctissimi Domini nostri habetur, neque secretarii ejusdem, nec mentio alienjus sigilli, anni domini, aut pontificatus sanctissimi. Insuper totus discursus nihil aliud est, quam verborum ineptorum confusio, sine ordine, methodo, et in multis sine ullo omnino sensu. Denique hoc solo comprehenduntur auctores hujus figmenti, quod dicatur Consistorium ibi habitum fuisse in die omnium Sanctorum, eoque tunc pervenisse edictum publicatum contra Catholicos omnes hoc in loco die XXVI. Octobris. Fieri ne autem potest ut hinc in urbem volare potuerit quinque dierum spatium? Transumptum illud prout circumfertur, transmitto, quod facile in Latinam, aut Italianam transferet reverendissimus noster Ardmachanus, aut alius quispiam lingue Anglicæ peritus. Cum igitur in tantis pro gloria Christi domini versemur periculis, nesciamusque qua hora in collo comprehendendi simus a tenebrarum ministris, et militibus, extensis brachiis benedictionem Sanctissimi Domini nostri petimus, utque pro nobis oret Deum optimum maximum, ne in tantis periculis nobis desint vires, quibus contra veritatis hostes viriliter decertemus. Si fieri potest, obsecro, dignetur dominatio vestra illustrissima agere cum reverendo Patre Claudio Aquaviva societatis Jesu generali preposito, ut e suis aliquos ad nos mittat, nam quotquot ex ipsius filiis hic sunt, singulares sunt, in hoc in quo pro gloria Christi versamur certamine, et si juvari possumus, juvemur obsecro. Christus dominus dominationem vestram illustrissimam et reverendissimam ecclesie sue sanctæ diu incolumem conservet. Raptim Waterfordiæ in Hibernia V. Kal. Junii MDCVL

“JACOBUS VITUS, *ut supra.*”

#### NOTE TO CHAPTER XXI.

Under the patronage of Joseph II., the unhappy Emperor of Austria, attempts were made, towards the end of the last century, to give new life to Jansenism, which, in the beginning of the same century, had received so fatal a blow in the constitution, *Unigenitus* of Pope Clement XI., as we have seen in chapter 21st. The principal agent in promoting the imperial designs was Scipio Ricci, who held a synod in Pistoja, in 1786, in which he endeavoured to systematize and to propagate the most dangerous principles of Jansenism. His great theologian, on this occasion, was P. Tamburini, who was afterwards professor of theology, until the year 1827, in the University of Pavia. This man, whilst professor in Pavia, and previously in Rome, had formed acquaintance with several young Irish students, and appeared to have infused the poison of his errors into their minds. The return of these young men to Ireland excited considerable alarm, lest they might attempt anything contrary to the purity of our ancient faith. Hence great vigilance was adopted with regard to those young men, and when Pius VI.

had published, in 1794, his Constitution, *Auctorem fidei*, in which he condemned the acts of the synod of Pistoja, the bishops of Ireland immediately communicated it to their flocks, and also hastened to return their thanks to the Holy See for its final condemnation of the pestiferous errors of Jansenism.

We insert here the letter of the bishops of the ecclesiastical province of Dublin, written on this occasion, and the answer of the learned Cardinal Gerbil.

*Letter of the Bishops of the Ecclesiastical Province of Dublin to Pius VI., on the Bull Auctorem Fidei.*

"BEATISSIME PATER—Quamquam serius ad nos infrascriptos provincie Dublinensis in Hibernia antistites pervenerit diu exoptata Sanctitatis eus constitutio dogmatica, condemnatoria errorum infausta synodi Pistoriensis; nulli tamen priores nobis ac promptiores, obedientiam, venerationem, atque religionem in eandem prestare unquam poterant: quandoquidem (ut Hugonis Hetheriani, lib. 3, cap. 10, de Process. Spiritus Sancti verbis utamur), 'Æquum est, ratum habere, quod tanta ecclesia decernit, quod tantus pontifex annuntiat. Qui autem primæ sedis, immo totius orbis præsulì resistant, Christi dispositioni resistunt; et nisi resipiscant, contumacie iudicio plectantur. Quicunque Christi naviculam spernit, incaute navigat, et nisi plumbeas molas pervicacitatis abiciat, influent in eum maria.'

"Predictam ergo auream constitutionem, cœu ab immobili Petri cathedra ad nos missam, intimis medullis, atque toto cordis affectu excipimus, veneramur, atque amplectimur; et a grege nobis concredito, (quem, Deo opitulante, nulla ejusmodi Pistoriensium errorum contagia hactenus læserunt) observari prospiciemus, consilii ex S. Irenæo, patre antiquissimo, 'Sedem tuam propter potiorem principalitatem eam esse, ad quam confluent qui sunt undique fideles.'

"Quocirca, quemadmodum Patres Calcedonenses lecta auditaque epistola dogmatica S. Leonis Magni plena voce plaudentes dixerunt; 'Petrus per Leonem locutus est,' ita nos Constitutionem Beatitudinis Tuse audientes, in has voces incunctanter leti erupimus: *Petrus per Pium oracula fundit.*

"Qua in re nescimus profecto quid admirari potius debeamus, procacitatemne, audaciamque hominum petulantium, qui majori periculo, et fœdiori scandalo, quam eorum inter quos hic versamur, vel prope ipsam Catholicæ unitatis centrum, et apostolicum verticem, tam absurda et impia effutire non erubere: an robur invictum, pastoremque vigilantiam Sanctitatis tue in arcendis e caula dominici gregis lupis, noxiisque, ac virulentis herbis evellendis, salutaribusque plantandis; atque abscessa zizaniorum mala radice, electis seminibus in Evangelico Agro conservandis.

"Gratulamur itaque Beatitudini tue, quod et superioribus annis, et postremis insuper, magnam tibi Deus exercendæ apostolicæ auctoritatis et virtutis, tot profigatis, contritisque erroribus, materiam suppeditavit; quod inter cætera Catholicæ nostræ fidei credibilitatis testimonia, ac monumenta non postremam tenet locum; ut S. Augustinus contra epistolam fundamenti his verbis asseveravit: 'Tenet nos in Catholica fide, ah ipsa Sede Petri, cui pascendas oves post resurrectionem suam Dominus commendavit, usque ad præsentem (tuum) episcopatum successio sacerdotum,' qui omnes, pro re nata, emergentes ex orco errores Vaticanis fulminibus debellarunt.

"Perge porro, Beatissime Pater, Magne Pontifex Pie, eadem qua cœpisti prudentia, magnanimitate, et fortitudine Christi naviculam deinceps diutissime gubernare: Deum nos interim faustiora, ac tranquilliora tempora Beatitudini tue suppliciter exposcentee ab eodem clementissimo Domino, pro cujus gloria tot tantæque labores et ærumnas perpessa est, apostolicam benedictionem imploramus.

"Datum Kilkennise, nonis Junii, anni salutis, 1796.

"F. JOH. THO. (TROY) Archiep. Dublinensis,

"DANIEL (DELANY) Epus. Kildarien. et Leighliniensis,

"JACOBUS (CAULFIELD) Epus. Fernensis,

"JACOBUS (LANIGAN) Epus. Ossoriensis."

*Answer of his Eminence Cardinal Gerdil to the preceding letter.*

"PERILLUSTRES ET AMPLISSIMI D.D.

"Data ad Sanctissimum D.D. nostrum præclaras dignasque omni laude amplitudinum vestrarum litteras detulit ad me vir spectatissimus R. D. Concanen, ad Sanctitatem suam vestro per me nomine perferendas: quibus litteris eximium vestrum in excipienda apostolica ejus dogmatica constitutione, cujus initium *Auctorem Fidei*, qua pseudo-synodi Pistoriensis errores damnantur, sensum, animumque, vos ipsos sic affectos ostenditis, ut quo diutius illa est a vobis expetita constitutio, propterea quod non nisi serius ad vos pervenerit, eo alacriore studio illam amplexi sitis; et ita quidem nemini ut concedatis qui *promptiore animo debitam ei venerationem et obedientiam prestare unquam poterit*. Ego vero cum in hoc nibi demandati gratissimi officii munere insigne a vobis in me profectum beneficium lubens agnoverim, tum et mihi vehementer sum gratulatus oblatam simul in eo perfungendo facultatem optatissimam, quo non minus grato munere vos vicissim remunerari valeam. Nec enim, ut animati estis letis quidquam existimo nuntiari vobis posse; quodque libentius pro vestra pietate audiat, quam quod jam licet mihi ad vos referre, non parum certe in hac tristitia temporum levaminis, et solatii amantissimo Patri per amantissimas vestras litteras oblatum esse. In iis Ille cognitum sibi jam pridem, perspectumque recognovit pristinum istud vestrum erga se, atque hanc apostolicam Sedem egregium studium, vestram assiduum in omni tuenda religionis officio sedulitatem, et diligentiam cum pari præstantis doctrinæ laude conjunctam, qua, opitulante Deo, factum est, ut Pistoriensium errorum contagio nullam adhuc vestræ curæ concediti Gregis partem attigerit. Ac ipsi quidem pro vestra sapientia recte ac merito miramini, eo usque progressam impudentium hominum temeritatem, et audaciam, quos non puduerit talem, tantamque errorum colluviem prope sacra ipsa apostolorum limina profundere. Audax certe facinus, ac tale quo tentando haud alienum suspicari, grandius quiddam astutos homines animo perceperisse. Jam dudum tota illa factio, ut ut se sanctioris vetustatis vindicem jactaret in vulgus, nimiumque multis ex partibus fallaci ea species ad se traxisset, sentiebat tamen, quamdiu nulla foret panilo graviori auctoritate munita, tamdiu propter illud ipsum factionis, et sectæ nomen, se semper in ea procaci contentione inferiorem futuram, quam adversus apostolicæ sedis dignitatem, quin et episcopalis totius ordinis cum apostolicam sede, ut par est, consentientis universitatem, fædo non minus quam irritò conatu suscepit. Huic avertendo incommode id agere ceperunt sectæ principes, suisque tandem artibus assecuti sunt, ut per unum seductum antistitem, tumultuosa evocata concione, sua demum dogmata sacræ velut synodalis, et canonice sanctionis præsidio firmata, in clarissimæ civitatis luce prodirent. Tum sibi visi sunt optatem sedem nactos esse, in qua pestilentie suæ cathedram haberent constitutam, quam cathedræ veritatis in Petri Sede divinitus collocatæ fidentius opponerent.

Verum est Deus in Israel, (1. Reg. c. 17., v. 46.) qui apprehendit sapientes in astutia eorum, et pravorum consilia dissipat, (Job, 5, 13. 1 Cor. 3, 19.) Cum percrebesceret in dies fama Synodi, eamque sui auctores in externas quasque nationes sua cujusque lingua propagare satagerent, tum vero intonsit de Cælo Dominus: \* Vox Petri audita est, vox virtutis ad destructionem per Dei potentiam omnia altitudinis extollentis se adversus scientiam Dei. Hac voce, cui continuo voces responderunt clarissimorum undique plaudentium antistitem, periculosa synodus sic brevi obsolevit, ut quam auctores sui, et assecle velut præperne victricis suæ causæ monumentum posteritati propagatum iri confidebant, ea nonnisi sua damnatione pene jam innoscat, infictis nempe notis quibus iniusta est ad turpitudinis memoriam sempiternam. Scilicet hæc est in Petri Sede, et successione infixæ vis, et auctoritas, quam sapienter vos ipsi, Auctore Augustino, inter cætera Catholicæ nostræ fidei credibilitatis testimonia, ac monumenta non potest-

\* Ps. 17, 14.

*num tenera locum\** animadvertitis, quippe qui et *concilii ecclie ex Irenæo Patre antiquissimo*, eam Sedem esse ad quam propter potiorē principalitatem necesse est omnes Ecclesie, omnes qui sunt undique fideles confluere—Et revera sapientissimus ille Pater, et Doctor ætati pene suppar apostolorum, cum multiplicium quæ jam extortæ fuerant sectarum varietati, unum Ecclesie Catholice per orbem dispersæ in una traditione apostolica consensum opponeret, ut ejus consensus fidem faceret, non per singulas successiones eundem existimabat ad earum cujusque sensum exquirendum, quod vix, aut nullo modo, fieri posse intelligebat. Verum unum opus, idque satis esse, ad illam unam *maximam omnibus notam, in urbe Roma constitutam Ecclesiam* intendere, in qua scirent omnes apostolicæ doctrinæ plenitudinem sic depositam esse, ut per ejus Pontificum successionem in omnes ecclesias dimanaret, indeque præconium veritatis descenderet, quo hæreses omnes ubicunque terrarum extortæ, aut exorturæ profligarentur. Ita totidem pene verbis Irenæus, quod et subjecto statim illustri exemplo confirmat Ecclesie Corinthiorum penes quos cum non pax modo, sed et ipsa doctrinæ integritas paucorum improbitate in maximum prope discrimen venisset, utramque notat ille conquisitis aliarum successione consiliis, et sententiis, sed unis plane Clementis Romani Pontificis potentissimis litteris reparatam esse: Quo insigni facto id ab initio Petri successor præstitit, quod æterno Dei consilio dispositum erat, in omne futurum tempus a Petro præstandum, *audire scil. gentes per os ejus verbum Evangelii, et credere.*† Hæc nimirum Romana illa fides est, quæ, ut Apostolo teste, jam tum, annuntiabatur in universo mundo, sic et universi mundi fidem representabat, ut merito summus ille Lugdunensium Antistes in ea fide fidem universalis ecclesie spectandam proponere, ac dissitis quibusque Sectis opponere non dubitaret.

“Utinam vero vestram istam, Præsules Amplissimi, quotquot ubique gentium præsent ecclesiis in veneranda sacræ illius vetustatis memoria retinenda plenam religionis, et pietatis inviolatam constantiam æmulari non pigneat, ut simus omnes *perfecti in eodem sensu, et sententia.*‡ Nec se quisquam sinat invidiosis questionibus implicari, quæ contentiones pariant, nec ad aliud valeat, quam, si non ad plane discindendum, saltem ad aliquanto relaxandum arctissimum cum Apostolicæ Cathedræ principatu consociationis vinculum quæ perfectæ unitatis, quæ propria est Corporis Christi, firmitas continetur.

“Quibus ego rebus commemorandis, quæ vestram certe sapientiam non fugiant, si paulo fui longior, quam ferat epistolæ modus, et ratio, velim existimetis non id eo factum, quod putem vos a me doceri oportere, qui contra malum, valdeque cupiam cum vestra eruditione, tum et vestris exemplis proficere; sed unum hoc mihi propositum esse, quod et ipsi mihi iacundum, nec vobis pro vestra humanitate molestum fore spero, *simul consolari in vobis per eam, quæ invicem est, fidem vestram atque meam.*§ Interea Deo Opt. Max. gratias quas possum maximas ago, qui vos miserit, fideles, ac prudentes Ministros, et Dispensatores, ut *fructum afferatis et fructus vester maneat* :|| semper in orationibus meis rogaui eum, quantumvis indignus, et obsecrans ut ad ecclesie sue subsidium, et incrementum amplitudines vestras quam diutissime velit servare nobis incolumes.

AA. V. V.

Datum Romæ, hac die 17 Decembris, 1796.

*Servus servus,*

HYAC. CARD. GERDIL.

Per Illustris et Amplissimis D. D. Archiepiscopo, ac Primati Dublinen.  
Ceterisque Episcopis ejus Suffraganeis.

\* Cont. hæres. l. 3, c. 3.

‡ I. Cor. i. 10.

|| Joh. 15. 16.

† Act. 15, 7, Rom. i. 8.

§ Rom. i. 12.

## ADDITIONAL NOTE TO CHAPTER XXII.

At page 264 of these memoirs we have given a letter of the Archbishop of Tuam, Dr. James Lynch, addressed to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland. We here add a letter of the same archbishop, written shortly after his appointment, to the cardinals of Propaganda. It shows the veneration which he entertained for the Sacred Congregation, and brings before us the difficulties with which our prelates had to contend in preserving the faith in Ireland.

*Letter of Dr. James Lynch to the Sacred Congregation of Propaganda.*

"EMINENTISSIMI PATRES—Cum multa ab Ecclesia Romana divinitus instituta sint, tum illud præclarissimum, quod vos fidei Catholice propagandæ præesse voluerit, ut sapientissimi patres contra spirituales nequitiæ defenderent ecclesiam et Dei gloriam promoverent. Prodit annalium vetustas quam vehementer impugnata fuerit Catholica fides a paganis, hæreticis, schismaticis, Turcis, et male viventibus Christianis ita ut, si Dei providentia non obstitisset, jamdudum compressa fuisset. Quam ergo sapienter prævisum est ab Apostolica Sede, ut plenum sapientia et Dei zelo Senatum instituere, quem iisdem hostibus tanquam murum opponeret, et per eam eandem fidem ubique disseminaret. Docet D. Paulus ea que habentur in ecclesia, in qua militamus, esse imagines quasdam eorum, quas sunt in ea, in qua sancti triumphant. Vestram congregationem eam esse arbitramur, quæ primam hierarchiam coelestem representat. Quoniam Vicario Christi semper assistens omnes orbis ecclesias a vertice Romano contemplatur, eas statuit, quibus fides ubique incrementum accipiat. Hoc nuper re ipsa comprobavit Hibernia nostra que in finibus mundi constituta et assiduo labore et agone fatigata jam respirare cepit, acceptis pastoribus, qui gregi Dominico invigilarent eumque a luporum rabie defenderent. Quod nos omnes inducit ad immortales vobis gratias agendas. Continuum curam vestram in regionem hanc postulant mala, quibus quotidie premimur. Nam præter eas, quas intus patimur, calamitates, si quando foras egredimur, in hostes fidei incidere necesse est; circumvallamur undique errore infectis gentibus, nempe Anglis, Scotis, Danis, Suecia, contra quos plusquam humanum auxilium necessarium est. Erit certe jucunda in malis, et grata in dolore vestra in nos voluntas. Subvenite ergo, Emi. patres huic Hiberniæ ecclesiæ tanquam lucernæ lucenti in caliginoso loco, ne forte perpetuis undis ac tempestatibus jactata penitus extinguatur. Putate hanc esse centesimam partem gregis Christi et exemplo pastoris illius, qui ad querendam centesimam ovem reliquit nonaginta novem in deserto, et inventam gaudio exultans domum retulit. Sit ergo per vos, Emi. patres gaudium pastori æterno Christo ob hanc particulam gregis sui retentam in ecclesia. Sit gaudium angelis Dei, sit universæ ecclesiæ, sit etiam

"Prope Galviam 12 Octobris, 1669.

"EMI, P.P.,

"Humillmo et Obsequio. Servo Vro. Jacobo  
Archiepiscopo Tuamensi."





# INDEX.

	Page. ▼
PREFACE, . . . . .	v
INTRODUCTION TO THE MEMOIRS OF DR. PLUNKET; OR, AN ESSAY ON THE PERSECUTIONS OF THE IRISH CATHOLICS BY THE PURITAN PARLIAMENT AND CROMWELL FROM 1641-58.	

## PART I.

General proscription of Irish Catholics by Puritans, vii.—Sufferings of Catholics in the principal districts of Ireland.—In Dublin, xi.—Cashel, xv.—Drogheda, xviii.—Wexford, xxi.—Cork, xxiv.—Kilkenny, xxvi.—Waterford, xxvii.—In Galway, xxix.—The plague, 1650-53, xxxii.

## PART II.

General state of the kingdom, xxxvi. ; Edict against the clergy ; xl — Other penal laws ; xlv.—Perils of clergy ; xlviii.—Transplanting to Connaught ; li.—Puritan colonists ; liv.—Irish exported as slaves ; lvii.—The oath of abjuration ; lx.—Constancy of the Irish in the faith ; lxiii.—Decay of Puritan colonists ; lxvi.

### MEMOIRS OF MOST REV. DR. PLUNKET.

#### CHAPTER I.—EARLY LIFE OF DR. PLUNKET. . . . . 1

Dr. Plunket born at Loughcrew in 1629 ; 1.—Connected with the families of Fingal and Roscommon ; 2.—Letter of Dr. Patrick Plunket of Ardagh, regarding his family ; 2 —Dr. Oliver Plunket goes to Rome in 1645, with F. Scarampo and John Brennan, afterwards Archbishop of Cashel ; 4.—Journey to Rome ; 5.—Account of the virtues of Scarampo ; 6.—His death by the plague in 1656 ; 8.—Dr. Plunket's letter regarding him 9—1-9.

#### CHAPTER II.—DR. PLUNKET PURSUES HIS STUDIES IN ROME. . . . . 10

Account of Irish college in Rome ; 10.—Anxiety of Irish bishops for its foundation ; ib.—Founded by Cardinal Ludovisi and Father Luke Wadding ; 11.—Under the Jesuits ; ib.—Dr. Plunket entered this college in 1646 ; 12.—Testimony of the Rector Locke as to his proficiency ; ib.—Studies canon law in the Sapienza ; ib.—Obtains leave to remain in Rome ; 13.—His acquaintance with Cardinal Palavicino, Cardinal Odescalchi, afterwards Pope Innocent XI.—With Monsignor Cerri ; 13-14.—Account of death of the last prelate ; 17.—Dr. Plunket, in 1654, enters the house of S. Jerome, belonging to the Oratorians, but was never an Oratorian ; 17-18.—Dr. Plunket exercises his piety in visiting hospitals and sacred monuments in Rome 18.—His martyrdom foretold by a Polish chaplain of the hospital of S. Spirito ; 20.

	Page
<b>CHAPTER III.—DR. PLUNKET AS AGENT OF THE IRISH BISHOPS IN ROME.</b>	20
Nomination of several Irish bishops in 1669; 20.—Joy of the Catholics at this event.—Letter of Dr. Fallon, professor at Bologna; 21.—Dr. Plunket appointed agent by Dr. Talbot of Dublin, and other bishops; 21.—Dr. Talbot's letter; <i>ib.</i> —Letter of same on the censures of Rinuccini; 22.—Predecessors of Dr. Plunket as agents, very distinguished; 23.—Letter of Dr. Talbot regarding Peter Walsh; 24.—Account of Father Taale and his impostures; Letter of Dr. P. Plunket of Ardlagh; 29.	
<b>CHAPTER IV.—DR. PLUNKET NOMINATED ARCHBISHOP OF ARMAGH.</b>	29
Dr. Plunket appointed to Armagh 9 July, 1669.—Letter of Dr. Talbot on the vacancy of that see; 30.—Letter of Dr. French on the promotion of Dr. Plunket.—Letter of Dr. Dowley, V. G. of Limerick, and Dr. O'Molony, afterwards Bishop of Killaloe; 33.—Letter of Dr. Talbot; 34.—Petition of Dr. Plunket to be consecrated in Rome refused; 35.—Cross left to church of Armagh, by M. Scanarola; 38.—Dr. Plunket presents a vineyard and paintings to Irish college; 38.	
<b>CHAPTER V.—CONSECRATION OF DR. PLUNKET.</b>	39
Dr. Plunket leaves Rome in August; 1669.—Letter of General of Dominicans; 39.—Journey to Brussels.—Letter of Dr. Burgatt, Archbishop of Cashel.—Letter of Internuncio; 40.—Bishop of Ghent consecrates Dr. Plunket, on 30th November, 1669.—Letter of Dr. French announcing it; 41.—Kindness of Belgian bishops to Irish; 42.—Letter of Dr. Plunket from London; 43.—Another letter of Dr. Plunket; 44.—Letter of Dr. Plunket announcing arrival in Ireland; 45.	
<b>CHAPTER VI.—POLICY OF GOVERNMENT AT THE BEGINNING OF DR. PLUNKET'S EPISCOPACY.</b>	46
Better prospects of peace in Ireland.—Lord Ormond removed.—Lord Roberts appointed Lord Lieutenant in his stead; 47.—Letter of S. G. Rawdon, ordering arrest of Dr. Plunket; 47.—Lord Berkeley, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland; 48.—Instructions given to him to persecute Catholics; 48.—Dean Swift's account of the state of churches in Ireland; 49.—Degraded state of Protestant church; 50.—Letter of Dr. Plunket on the character of Lord Berkeley; 51.—Dr. Plunket to Clement X.; 52.—Expulsion of Catholics from Limerick; 53.—Letter of Dr. Plunket announcing removal of Lord Berkeley; 53.—O'Connor's character of Lord Berkeley; 54.—Succeeded by Lord Essex, <i>ib.</i>	
<b>CHAPTER VII.—APOSTOLIC LABOURS OF DR. PLUNKET.</b>	55
Dr. Plunket confirms, and ordains, and hold several synods; 56.—Synod of Clones (see ch. xii.), testifies to the merits of Dr. Plunket; 57.—Synod of Ardpatrick, near Louth (see ch. xii.), bears similar testimony; 59.—Ardekin's testimony, <i>ib.</i> note.—Dr. Plunket settles disputes between the O'Reilly's; 64.—Attempts of the Protestant chancellor of the Bishop of Clogher to tax Catholic baptisms and marriages resisted by Dr. Plunket; 61.—Extortions of Protestant clergy; 62.—Number confirmed by Dr. Plunket; 63.—His assiduous preaching, <i>ib.</i> —His continued toils, letters, &c.; 64.—Number of regular clergy; 66.—Churches opened; 68.—Persecution in 1673; 69.—Account of ditto; 71.—Religious houses and novitiates closed; 72.—Dr. Brennan, Bishop of Waterford, concealed with	

Dr. Plunket ; 73.—Letter of Dr. Plunket refuting charges against the Irish bishops ; 73.—Misery of Ireland ; 75.—Labours of Dr. Plunket ; letters of Dr. Creagh and Dr. Brennan ; 76-77.

#### CHAPTER VIII.—DR. PLUNKET'S ZEAL IN CORRECTING ABUSES . . . 77

Dr. Plunket preaches against drunkenness ; inculcates and preaches temperance ; 78.—Efforts to introduce religious observance ; 79.—Letter of the Franciscan superior of Louvain to Dr. Plunket thanking him for services rendered to that order ; 79.—Dr. Plunket complains that there were too many novitiates in Ireland ; 80.—His letter to the Franciscan chapter ; 81 (note).—Further complaints of Dr. Plunket on the number of novitiates ; 83-84.—Dr. Plunket proposes that Dr. Tyrrell, Bishop of Clogher, a Franciscan, should be appointed visitator of Franciscans ; 85.—Charges against Dr. Plunket ; 87.—Charity of Dr. Plunket towards an apostate, M. French ; 89.—Reconciles Fathers Harold, and Coppinger, and O'Reilly ; 90.—Account of Dr. C. Daly ; 92.—Testimony of Dr. Brennan, Archbishop of Cashel, in favour of Franciscans ; 94.

#### CHAPTER IX.—EFFORTS OF DR. PLUNKET TO EDUCATE HIS FLOCK. . . 95

Love of Irish for learning ; 95.—Penal laws against Catholic education ; 96.—Dr. Brennan's letters on want of Catholic schools ; 97.—Various efforts of Catholics to establish schools under care of Jesuits ; 98.—Dr. Plunket founds a school in his diocese ; 99.—His letter to F. Oliva, General of Jesuits ; 100.—Letter of Dr. Plunket regarding management and expense of school ; 103.—His letter to Dr. Creagh regarding same subject ; 104.—Many Protestant children attending Dr. Plunket's school ; 106.—Desire of Dr. Plunket to have priests well educated, and especially from Rome ; 108.—Account of Propaganda, *ib.*—Account of Irish colleges on continent ; 110.—Foundation of Irish college in Paris ; 112.—Complaints regarding the selection of students ; 114.

#### CHAPTER X.—NATIONAL COUNCIL HELD IN DUBLIN IN 1670. . . 115

Council held in June, 1670.—Its decrees, English and Latin ; 116.—Address of Bishops to Viceroy ; 118.—Identical with address rejected in 1666 ; 119.—Propositions of Parisian clergy attached to address of 1666 ; 120.—Dispute between Dr. Plunket and Dr. Talbot explained ; 121.—Petition of council for various faculties and names of ecclesiastics proposed for vacant sees ; 122.—List of new bishops appointed in accordance with wishes of council ; 124.

#### CHAPTER XI.—PROVINCIAL SYNOD OF ARMAGH, HELD IN CLONES, 1670. . . . .

Synod of Clones ; 125.—Account of Terence O'Kelly, Vicar of Derry ; *ib.*—History of those who assisted at Synod ; 126.—Its decrees ; 127.—Same in Latin ; 128.

#### CHAPTER XII.—PROVINCIAL SYNOD OF ARDPATRICK, NEAR LOUTH, IN 1678. . . . . 180

Synod held in August, 1678 ; and prelates present ; 130.—Decrees ; 131.—Same in Latin ; 133.—Explanation given by Dr. Plunket of some passages in the decrees ; 136.—Publication of Council of Trent in Ireland ; 137.—Letter of Dr. French on this matter ; 137.—See note, page 381.

	Page
CHAPTER XIII.—VISITATION OF DIOCESES BY DR. PLUNKET.	139
Visits Down and Connor; 140.—Letter of Dr. Conwell, Vicar-Apostolic of Derry; 141.—Letter of the Vicar-General of Raphoe; 143.—Letter of Dr. Plunket regarding the Bishop of Meath;—defence of same; 144.—Visit of Dr. Plunket to Tuam and Galway; his letter giving account of his kind reception; 148.—Report of state of Armagh, Meath, Clogher, Derry, Kilmore, Raphoe, Connor, Dromore, Down, Ardagh, Clonmacnoise; 149.—Protestants in possession of revenues of Catholic sees,—Presbyterians; 150.—Visitation in 1678, Dr. Tyrrell, Bishop of Clogher; 151.—Visitation of Meath; 152.—Great poverty of Irish Dioceses; 154.	
CHAPTER XIV.—VISITATION OF VARIOUS DIOCESES, CONTINUED.	159
Clogher; 156.—Hostility of Government to its bishop, Dr. Duffy. Opposition to Dr. Tyrrell, who succeeds Dr. Duffy; 157. Meath; 160.—Death of Dr. Patrick Plunket.—Account of his merits; 160.—Dr. Cusack, his coadjutor; 162.—Dispute between Dr. Cusack and regulars, decided by Dr. Plunket; 163.—Letters of Dr. Cusack on this subject; 165.—Diocese of Derry.—Dr. Conwell succeeded by Dr. Luke Plunket; 167.—Letter of Nuncio regarding him, and letter of Dr. Plunket; 167.—Kildare.—Dr. Forstall, Bishop; 169.—Poverty; Leighlin united to Kildare; 170.—Kilfenora; account of Dr. Andrew Lynch, its bishop; 171.	
CHAPTER XV.—DR. PLUNKET'S MISSION TO THE SCOTO-IRISH OF THE HIGHLANDS AND HEBRIDES.	172
Persecution in those places; 172.—Extracts from the reports of Jesuit Fathers; 173.—Report of Dr. Winster; Dr. Plunket's letter on this matter; Marquis of Antrim; 174, 175.—Report of Dr. Winster on the Hebrides; labours of Father Duigen and Father White, Irish Priests; 177.—Second report of Dr. Winster, customs of the Highlanders; Lowlanders hostile to them; 178.	
CHAPTER XVI.—THE REVENUE OF THE IRISH SEES.	179
Protestants seize on revenues of Catholic sees; 179.—State of Protestant church described by Sir H. Sydney, and Sir J. Davies, and Bishop Bedell; ib.—Letters of Dr. Plunket on revenues of Catholic bishops; 181-2.—Revenues of the different sees; 182.—Their poverty; ib.	
CHAPTER XVII.—SPECIAL EVENTS OF DR. PLUNKET'S LIFE.	184
In 1670, Dr. Plunket obliged to go in disguise as a layman; 184.—Kindness of Earl of Charlemont; 186.—Various other facts of this year.—Petition for Pallium; 188.—Events of 1671. Controversy in Ross between F. Nugent, S.J., and a Protestant minister.—F. Nugent put in prison; 193.—Dr. Plunket obliged to burn all his papers; 190.—Viceroy informs Dr. Plunket that his letters had been intercepted; 191-2.—Nephew of Lord Fingall sent to Rome; 192.—Events of 1672.—Jubilee.—Departure of Monsigr. Airoldi from Brussels; 193.—1673, letter of Dr. Plunket; 194. 1674.—Persecution of Catholic clergy;—great famine in Ireland; 195.—1676. The Archdeacon of Dublin, J. Bertach, petitions to have jubilee extended to Ireland; 198.—Dr. Plunket visits Dr. Brennan of Cashel;—his letter; 198.—Account of Dr. John de Burgo, Vicar-Apostolic of Killala; 200.—Letter of Dr. Plunket to Archbishop of Tuam; 201.—Pilgrimage of Dr. de Burgo to Jerusalem; 202. 1678.—Case of Fitzsimons, administrator of Kilmore; 203.	

## CHAPTER XVIII.—DISPUTE REGARDING THE PRIMACY. . . . . 208

Work of Dr. Plunket on the primacy, published in 1672.—Answer of Dr. Talbot in 1674.—Friends of Dr. Plunket, Colonel Patrick, and Sir N. Plunket; 211.—Friends of Dr. Talbot, Colonel Talbot, afterwards Duke of Tyrconnell; 211.—Government promotes discord; 212.—Letters of Duke of Ormond and Lord Clarendon; 213.—Letter of Dr. Talbot to Propaganda; 215.—Dr. Plunket's esteem for Dr. Talbot; 217.—Reconciliation between Dr. Plunket and Dr. Talbot; 218.—Letters of Dr. Brennan on the controversy of the primacy; 221-25.—Final reconciliation of Dr. Plunket and Dr. Talbot, by Dr. O'Molony of Killaloe; 225.—Matrimonial case decided in Dublin by Dr. Plunket.—He admits his mistake; 227.—Letter of Dr. Plunket, giving his arguments for the primacy; 231.—Appendix containing further account of reconciliation; 233.—Letter of Dr. O'Molony, note; 233.—Briefs of Clement XI. in 1719 to the Archbishops of Armagh and Dublin, calling to Rome the controversy on the primacy, note; 234.

## CHAPTER XIX.—PERSECUTION OF 1674 . . . . . 236

Letter of Dr. Plunket describing his flight and sufferings; 236-7.—Letter of Dr. Brennan of Waterford; 237.—Another letter of Dr. Plunket; penalties for hearing Mass; persecution in Scotland; oath of abjuration; fast prescribed against popery; 238-9.—Account of the place of refuge of D. Plunket and Dr. Brennan; 240.—Letter of Dr. Duffy of Clogher; 241.—Of Father Patrick Barnecwall; 241.

## CHAPTER XX.—DR. PLUNKET DECIDES THE CONTROVERSY BETWEEN THE DOMINICANS AND FRANCISCANS . . . . . 242

Origin of this controversy regarding the convents of Gaule, Carlingford, and Villa Nova; 242.—Dr. Plunket deputed to decide it; 243.—His sentence; 245.—Letter to the Secretary of Propaganda, explaining the grounds of his decision; 246.—Testimony of the Vicar Forane, of the diocese of Clogher, and of Dr. Fitzsymons of Kilmore; 349.

## CHAPTER XXI.—JANSENISM IN IRELAND . . . . . 250

Students from Paris and Louvain return to Ireland infected with Jansenism; G. Ferrall, Vicar-General of Ardagh, complains of this; 250.—Peter Walsh, a friend of Jansenism, *ib.*—Dr. Plunket exerts himself against Jansenism; decrees of Clones and Ardpatrick; 251.—Letter to Cardinal Altieri on same subject, *ib.*—Letter of Dr. Brennan of Waterford; 252.—Another letter of Dr. Brennan, as Archbishop of Cashel; 253.—Account of Dr. Cornelius Daly, accused unjustly of Jansenism; 254.—Declaration of Irish ecclesiastics in Paris against that heresy; 255.—Renewed attempts to spread Jansenism in Ireland in 1709.—Letter of the Archbishop of Cashel to Pope Clement XI.; 257.—Fable of the author of the history of the Jansenists in Holland, regarding Dr. Luke Fagan, Bishop of Meath, refuted; 248.

## CHAPTER XXII.—CORRESPONDENCE OF DR. PLUNKET . . . . . 259

Letter to Clement X. on his elevation to the chair of St. Peter; 259.—Letter to the Cardinal Protector of Ireland on same subject; state of Catholics peaceful; 260.—Answer of the Pope; 261.—Letter of Dr. Plunket to Cardinal Altieri, recommending Dr. Cragh agent of Irish bishops; 262.—Dr. Cragh skilled in many languages; 263.—Letter of Archbishop of Tuam to same Cardinal; 264.—Letter of Dr. Plunket regarding remonstrants, who are

declared to be almost extinct; 265.—Account of act of premunire; 266.—Thomas Cox and Edward Hamon, names under which Dr. Plunket carried on his correspondence; 268.—Letter of Dr. Plunket when leaving Ireland for prison in London; 269.—Father Barnabas Barnewall, superior of Capuchins; 270.

**CHAPTER XXIII.—RENEWAL OF THE PERSECUTION TOWARDS THE CLOSE OF 1678 . . . . . 271**

Titus Oates' plot; 271.—Lord Macaulay's account of it; 272.—The Viceroy Ormond disbelieves it, but introduces rigorous measures in Ireland; 272-3.—Dr. Talbot of Dublin arrested; 274.—Letter of Dr. Plunket, *ib.*—Another letter describing persecution and his own poverty; 276.—Letters of Dr. Brennan of Cashel on the persecution; 278.—Words of the celebrated Fox; 280.

**CHAPTER XXIV.—ARREST AND IMPRISONMENT OF DR. PLUNKET . . . . . 281**

Dr. Plunket persecuted on account of his exertions to reform abuses; 281.—Dr. Forstall, Bishop of Kildare imprisoned.—Account of accusers of Dr. Plunket, MacMoyer, Anthony Daly; 283.—Charges against Dr. Plunket, by his enemies; 284.—Dr. Plunket arrested, 6th December, 1679.—Letter of William Plunket, student returning from Rome, regarding Dr. Plunket's arrest; 286.—Dr. Plunket at first not charged with treason; 287.—Barnet's authority; 288.—Dr. Plunket tried in Dundalk; 289.—No witnesses appear against him, *ib.*—Dr. Plunket removed to London; 292.—His letters on leaving Ireland; 292-3.—Death of Dr. Talbot: he receives absolution from Dr. Plunket; 294.—Report of Dr. Brennan, Archbishop of Cashel, of imprisonment, &c., of Dr. Plunket; 294-5.—Letter of Dr. Plunket, 16th May, 1681.—Letter of Hugh Reilly; 297.—Letter of Internuncio of Brussels, *ib.*

**CHAPTER XXV.—CHARACTER OF THE THREE CHIEF WITNESSES . . . . . 298**

John MacMoyer; 298.—Letter of Archbishop of Cashel regarding him and Hetherington; 299.—MacMoyer afraid to appear in Dundalk; 300.—Edmund Murphy second witness.—Pamphlet by him; 301.—Murphy connected with Tories or robbers; 302.—Hetherington meets him in Dundalk jail; 303.—Fate of the witnesses, MacMoyer and Duffy; 306.—Letter of Dr. Cusack, Bishop of Meath, on the charges against Dr. Plunket; 307.

**CHAPTER XXVI.—CHARACTER OF OTHER WITNESSES . . . . . 308**

Letter of Lord Massarene about Neal O'Quinn; 310.—Duke of Ormond disbelieves witnesses; 311.—David Fitzgerald, Florence MacMoyer, O'Neal, Hanlon, Murphy, MacLane, witnesses; 312.—Letter of Archbishop of Cashel; 313.—Retraction of H. O'Neal; 314.—Letter of Bishop of Clogher regarding MacLane; 317.—Account of F. MacMoyer and the book of Armagh; M'Geoghegan; 318.—His death; 320.—M'Namara; 321.—Apology of Dr. A. Arnaud for Catholics; 321.

**CHAPTER XXVII.—TRIAL OF DR. PLUNKET. . . . . 322**

Narrative of Archbishop of Cashel; 322.—No counsel allowed to Dr. Plunket.—judges; 324.—Dr. Plunket put on trial, 3rd May, 1681. Trial deferred.—Difficulty of getting witnesses from Ireland; 325.—Final Trial of Dr. Plunket.—Bigotry of Chief Justice; 326.—Evidence of Florence MacMoyer; 327.—Duffy; 329.—Mac Lane;

331.—Friar Mac Moyer; 332.—Verdict against Dr. Plunket; 336.—Dr. Plunket brought up for sentence.—His address; 336.—Sentence; 339.

CHAPTER XXVIII.—THE EXECUTION OF DR. PLUNKET. . . . . 339

Narrative of Father Teyling, S. J.; 340-4.—Testimony of Echard, Sir R. Barker; 344-5.—Letter of Dr. Plunket to F. Corker; 346.—Letter of the Archbishop of Cashel on Dr. Plunket's execution; 347. Speech of Dr. Plunket at Tyburn; 350.—Letter of a Catholic Gentleman to Archbishop of Cashel, describing Dr. Plunket's death; 356.

CHAPTER XXVIII.—HEROIC SENTIMENTS OF DR. PLUNKET DURING HIS IMPRISONMENT AND AT THE PLACE OF EXECUTION . . . . . 357

Testimony of Archbishop of Cashel, of Arsdekin, Internuncio; 357-8.—Letter of Dr. Plunket to Michael Plunket, Irish College, Rome, within a short time before his execution; 359.—To Mr. Joyce; 360.—Another letter to Michael Plunket; account of his trial; of his family; generosity of English catholics; 361-2.—Letter to Mr. Joyce; 363.—Letter of Internuncio, ib, Dr. Tyrrell, Bishop of Clogher; 364.—Father Teyling, ib., Father Corker; 365.

CHAPTER XXX.—VENERATION SHOWN TO DR. PLUNKET AFTER HIS DEATH . . . . . 367

Dr. Plunket buried at Saint Giles; 368.—His remains translated to Lamspring, in Germany; 368.—Letter of Archbishop of Cashel; attempts to degrade Diocese of Armagh.—Dr. Tyrrell's letter, anonymous; 371.—Letters of Dr. Cusack of Meath; 372-6.—Firmness of Holy See in preserving rights of Armagh.—Head of Dr. Plunket in Drogheda; 377.—Conclusion 378.

---

## ADDITIONAL NOTES.

NOTE TO CHAPTER XII. . . . . 381

Publication of Council of Trent on clandestine marriages in Armagh.—In Cashel, in 1775.—In Dublin, Meath, and Galway, in 1827, p. 381.—Letter of Dr. Troy on mixed marriages and clandestinity, 382.

NOTE TO CHAPTERS XI. AND XII. . . . . 384

Various Councils held in Armagh, Cashel, Dublin.—Council held in Galway, by Dr. Malachy O'Quigley, Archbishop of Tuam, in 1632, p. 384.—His letter, 385.—Report of his agent, Dr. O'Dwyer.—Statutes of Synod, 386.

NOTE TO CHAPTER XIII. . . . . 389

Two Letters of Dr. White, Vicar Apostolic of Waterford, to Cardinal Baronius, 390.

NOTE TO CHAPTER XXI. . . . . 391

Attempts to propagate Jansenism.—Synod of Piestofa.—Pietro Tamburini, 391.—Letter of Bishops of province of Dublin receiving the Constitution Auctorem Fidei.—Letter of Cardinal Gerdil in reply, 393.

NOTE TO CHAPTER XXII. . . . . . 394

Letter of Dr. James Lynch, of Tuam, to the Cardinals of the Sacred  
Congregation of Propaganda, 395.

---

ERRATA.—At page 5, line 22—for 1845, read 1645. Page 17.—The passage of Catalani referred to is found at page 313, vol. iii., edition of Paris, 1852, of his Commentary on the Pontifical.









